

Applying Fuzzing Metrics



Dr. Jared DeMott

CTO AND FOUNDER

@jareddemott www.vdalabs.com



Overview



Course summary

When are we done?

Did we find all the bugs?



Course Summary



Traditional fuzzers

- Mutation
 - Easy
- Generation
 - ROI

Niche

- API, In-memory

Add

- Monitoring
- Distribution
- Code coverage
 - Gcov or the like



Course Summary



Next-gen fuzzers

- Feedback
 - Pros
 - Typically include monitoring, coverage, and distribution
 - Better guarantees around bugs
 - Cons
 - Runs slower than generation
 - Harder to setup
 - Local process with single input

Metrics

Adoption

Bugs

Tools

Time

Coverage

Measurement



Adoption

Number of people/projects fuzzing



Bugs

Count by

- Tool
- Technique
- Team
- Time
- etc.



Tools

Diversity is good

- Different fuzzers find different bugs
 - In-house vs. public
 - Custom vs. framework
- Mutation
 - Quicker to setup, but may yield less unique results
- Generation
 - Better results, but is more expensive
- Feedback
 - Best if applicable



Time

Clock

- How long

Iterations

- How many

When is enough, enough?

- Bug bars



Coverage

Code

- Branch coverage
- Path coverage

System



Measurement

Learners take tests to measure retention

Organizations can test readiness

Improvement

- More of X and less of Y than last quarter
- Pentests
 - This is a bit tricky to do well



Policy

All groups with native code

A number of iterations

B tools

C coverage

D improvements



Fuzzing Misconceptions

I'll give you the hardware if you start a fuzzer real quick for me

- Fuzzing is so much more than hardware

Fuzzing is better than X or Y

- They all fit together



Summary



Make code better through fuzzing

- Variety of tools and techniques
- No one size fits all
- In-house expertise is needed
- Measure progress