

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} x^2 + y^2 - 8x - 4y + 1 & \alpha(x, y) \text{ IF } x = 2y \\ x^2 + y^2 - 4x - 2y & \beta(x, y) \text{ OTHERWISE} \end{cases}$$

EXAMINE POINT  $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(2, 1) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(2+h, 1) - f(2, 1)}{h}$$

$$f(2+h, 1) \xrightarrow{\text{because } x \neq 2y} \beta(2+h, 1)$$

$$f(2, 1) \xrightarrow{\text{because } x = 2y} \alpha(2, 1) = -5 = \beta(2, 1)$$

$$\text{HENCE } \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(2, 1) = \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x}(2, 1) = 2x - 4 \xrightarrow{\text{substitute } x=2} 0$$

$$\text{SIMILARLY, } \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(2, 1) = \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial y}(2, 1) = 2y - 2 \xrightarrow{\text{substitute } y=1} 0$$

$$\text{HENCE } \nabla_f(2, 1) = \vec{0} \quad \textcircled{1}$$



$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right) (2, 1) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} (2+h, 1) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} (2, 1)}{h}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} (2+h, 1) = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(2+h+k, 1) - f(2+h, 1)}{k}$$

$$f(2+h+k, 1) \quad \text{IF } \begin{cases} h \rightarrow 0^-, k \rightarrow 0^- & \underline{\text{because } x \neq 2y} \\ h \rightarrow 0^-, k \rightarrow 0^+ & \underline{\text{because } x = 2y} \\ h \rightarrow 0^+, k \rightarrow 0^- & \underline{\text{because } x = 2y} \\ h \rightarrow 0^+, k \rightarrow 0^+ & \underline{\text{because } x \neq 2y} \end{cases} \quad \beta(2+h+k, 1)$$

$$h \rightarrow 0^-, k \rightarrow 0^+ \quad \underline{\text{because } x = 2y}$$

$$h \rightarrow 0^+, k \rightarrow 0^- \quad \underline{\text{because } x = 2y}$$

$$h \rightarrow 0^+, k \rightarrow 0^+ \quad \underline{\text{because } x \neq 2y}$$

$$\beta(2+h+k, 1)$$

$$\alpha(2, 1) = -5 = \beta(2, 1) \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{GENERALIZE AS} \\ f(2+h+k, 1) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\alpha(2, 1) = -5 = \beta(2, 1)$$

$$\beta(2+h+k, 1)$$

這兩項不一樣  
PARTIAL DERIVATIVE 是否本身  
就有問題?

$$f(2+h, 1) \quad \underline{\text{because } x \neq 2y} \quad \beta(2+h, 1)$$

$$\text{HENCE } \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} (2+h, 1) = \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x} (2+h, 1)$$

$$\text{ALSO, WE'VE PROVED THAT } \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} (2, 1) = \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x} (2, 1)$$

$$\text{HENCE } \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right) (2, 1) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x} \right) (2, 1) \quad \underline{\text{because } \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x} (2, 1) = 2x - 4} \quad 2$$



$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right) (2, 1) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(2, 1+h) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(2, 1)}{h}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(2, 1+h) = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(2+k, 1+h) - f(2, 1+h)}{k}$$

$$f(2+k, 1+h) \quad \text{IF} \quad \begin{array}{ll} h \rightarrow 0^-, k \rightarrow 0^- & \underline{\underline{\text{because } x \neq 2y}} \\ h \rightarrow 0^-, k \rightarrow 0^+ & \underline{\underline{\text{because } x \neq 2y}} \\ h \rightarrow 0^+, k \rightarrow 0^- & \underline{\underline{\text{because } x \neq 2y}} \\ h \rightarrow 0^+, k \rightarrow 0^+ & \underline{\underline{\text{because } x \neq 2y}} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \beta(2+k, 1+h) \\ \beta(2+k, 1+h) \\ \beta(2+k, 1+h) \\ \beta(2+k, 1+h) \end{array}$$

$$f(2, 1+h) \quad \underline{\underline{\text{because } x \neq 2y}} \quad \beta(2, 1+h)$$

$$\text{HENCE } \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(2, 1+h) = \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x}(2, 1+h)$$

$$\text{AGAIN, WE KNOW THAT } \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(2, 1) = \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x}(2, 1)$$

$$\text{HENCE } \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right) (2, 1) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x} \right) (2, 1) \quad \underline{\underline{\text{because } \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x}(2, 1) = 2x - 4}} \quad \emptyset$$



DOING SIMILAR DEDUCTIONS FOR  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right)$  AND  $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)$ , WE HAVE

AT  $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{(\partial x)^2} = 2$$

$$H = \frac{\partial^2 f}{(\partial x)^2} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 f}{(\partial y)^2} - \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} = 4 > 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} = 0$$

BY (1) AND (2),  $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  IS A LOCAL MINIMUM POINT

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} = 0$$

BUT THIS IS NOT TRUE, AS FOR  $h \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h \rightarrow 0^+$ :

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{(\partial y)^2} = 2$$

$$f(2+2h, 1+h) = \alpha(2+2h, 1+h) < \alpha(2, 1) = f(2, 1)$$

WHY THAT IS TRUE?

LET  $x = 2y$ ,  $\alpha(y) = 2y^2 - 12y + 10$

EXAMINE  $\frac{d\alpha}{dy}(1) = 4y - 12 = -8 < 0$

OR  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\alpha(1+h) - \alpha(1)}{h} < 0$

$\Rightarrow \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\alpha(1+h) - \alpha(1)}{h} < 0$

$$\Leftrightarrow \alpha(1+h) - \alpha(1) < 0 \quad (h \rightarrow 0^+)$$

OR  $\alpha(1+h) < \alpha(1) \quad (h \rightarrow 0^+)$

I.E.  $\alpha(2+2h, 1+h) < \alpha(2, 1) \quad (h \rightarrow 0^+)$

PROVED!