

AUTOTUNING GPU COMPILER PARAMETERS WITH OPENTUNER

Pedro Bruel

phrb@ime.usp.br

Marcos Amarís

amaris@ime.usp.br

Alfredo Goldman

gold@ime.usp.br

October 19, 2015



Instituto de Matemática e Estatística
Universidade de São Paulo

CONTRIBUTION


It is possible to optimize GPU applications for different devices by automatically tuning compilation parameters.

AUTOTUNING

Configurations and Optimizations



Search Space





- Autotuning framework
- Implements ensembles of search techniques
- Shares optimization results between techniques




Figure 1: Autotuning recursive sorting algorithms for an 8-core machine.

Figure 1: Ansel, Jason, et al. "Opentuner: An extensible framework for program autotuning." Proceedings of the 23rd ICPAC. ACM, 2014.

COMPILER FLAGS

Step	Options			
NVCC	prec-sqrt, relocatable-device-code, no-align-double, use-fast-math, gpu-architecture, ftz, prec-div			
PTX	def-load-cache, opt-level, fmad, allow-expensive-optimizations, maxrregcount			
NVLINK	preserve-relocs			
Options	gpu-architecture	opt-level	def-load-cache	maxrregcount
Values	sm_20, sm_21, sm_30, sm_32, sm_35	0 - 1	ca, cg, cv, cs	16 - 64

GPU TESTBED

Model	c.c.	Global Memory	Bus	Bandwidth	L2	SM/Cores	Clock
GTX-680	3.0	2 GB	256-bit	192.2 GB/s	512 KB	8/1536	1006 Mhz
Tesla-K20	3.5	4 GB	320-bit	208 GB/s	1280 KB	13/2496	706 Mhz
Tesla-K40	3.5	12 GB	384-bit	276.5 GB/s	1536 KB	15/2880	745 Mhz

EXPERIMENTS

All the results' data and the code for the experiments, the autotuner and the figures is hosted at github.com/phrb/gpu-autotuning, under the GNU GPLv3 license.

EXPERIMENTS: MATRIX MULTIPLICATION

Four optimizations of square matrix multiplication ($N = 1024$):


- #1: Non-Coalesced accesses to Global Memory
- #2: Coalesced accesses to Global Memory
- #3: #1, plus Shared Memory
- #4: #2, plus Shared Memory

EXPERIMENTS: MAXIMUM SUBARRAY

Find the maximum subsequence sum of an array ($N = 134217728$):

- 4096 threads
- 32 blocks of 128 threads

RESULTS: OPTIMIZATION




RESULTS: OPTIMIZATION

Why did the **GTX-680** have the best results?

Model	c.c.	Global Memory	Bus	Bandwidth	L2	SM/Cores	Clock
GTX-680	3.0	2 GB	256-bit	192.2 GB/s	512 KB	8/1536	1006 Mhz
Tesla-K20	3.5	4 GB	320-bit	208 GB/s	1280 KB	13/2496	706 Mhz
Tesla-K40	3.5	12 GB	384-bit	276.5 GB/s	1536 KB	15/2880	745 Mhz



RESULTS: AUTOTUNER

Results for optimization #2 in the **GTX-680**:




RESULTS: AUTOTUNER

Results for optimization #2 in the **GTX-680**:



RESULTS: FAILED CONFIGURATIONS



RESULTS: FAILED CONFIGURATIONS

Who's guilty?

- **sm_32**, for #1 in the **K20** and **K40**

CONCLUSION

- 30% speedup for #1 in the GTX-680

CONCLUSION

- 30% speedup for #1 in the GTX-680
- Different parameters for each GPU

CONCLUSION

- 30% speedup for #1 in the GTX-680
- Different parameters for each GPU
- Always assert the results

THANK YOU!

AUTOTUNING GPU COMPILER PARAMETERS WITH OPENTUNER

Pedro Bruel

phrb@ime.usp.br

Marcos Amarís

amaris@ime.usp.br

Alfredo Goldman

gold@ime.usp.br

October 19, 2015



Instituto de Matemática e Estatística
Universidade de São Paulo