## Privacy requirements elicitation: A systematic literature review and perception analysis of IT practitioners

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract Context: During the software development process and throughout the software lifecycle, organizations must guarantee users' privacy by protecting personal data. There are several studies in the literature proposing methodologies, techniques, and tools for privacy requirements elicitation. These studies report that practitioners must use systematic approaches to specify these requirements during initial software development activities to avoid users' data privacy breaches. Objective: The main goal of this study is to identify which methodologies, techniques, and tools are used in privacy requirements elicitation in the literature. We have also investigated Information Technology (IT) practitioners' perceptions regarding the methodologies, techniques, and tools identified in the literature. Method: We have carried out a systematic literature review (SLR) to identify the methodologies, techniques, and tools used for privacy requirements elicitation. Besides, we have surveyed IT practitioners to understand their perception of using these techniques and tools in the software development process. Results: We have found several methodologies, techniques, and tools proposed in the literature to carry out privacy requirements elicitation. Out of 78 studies cataloged within the SLR, most of them did not verify their methodologies and techniques in a practical case study or illustrative contexts (38 studies), and less than 35% of them (26 studies) experimented with their propositions within an industry context. The Privacy Safeguard method (PriS) is the best known among the 198 practitioners in the industry who participated in the survey. Moreover, use cases and user story are their most-used techniques. Conclusion: This qualitative study shows a perception of IT practitioners different from that presented in other research papers, and suggests that methodologies, techniques, and tools play an important role in IT practitioners' perceptions about privacy requirements elicitation.

Address(es) of author(s) should be given

**Keywords** Privacy Requirements Elicitation · Systematic Literature Review · Methodologies · Techniques · Tools

## 1 Supplementary Material

| ID  | Reference       | Methodologies                            | Techniques     | Tools                   |
|-----|-----------------|--|----------------|-------------------------|
| S1  | Yu and Cys-     | i* Model; Non-                           |                |                         |
|     | neiros [77]     | Functional Re-                           |                |                         |
|     |                 | quirements (NFR)                         |                |                         |
|     |                 | Framework.                               |                |                         |
| S2  | He et al. [27]  | Discretionary and                        |                | Specific,               |
|     |                 | Mandatory Access                         |                | Mea-                    |
|     |                 | Controls (DAC and                        |                | surable,                |
|     |                 | MAC); Privacy-Aware<br>Role-Based Access |                | Attainable,<br>Realiz-  |
|     |                 | Control (PARBAC).                        |                | able, and               |
|     |                 | Control (LARDAC).                        |                | Traceable               |
|     |                 |  |                | (SMaRT).                |
| S3  | Liu et al. [41] | i* Model; Agent-                         |                |                         |
|     |                 | Based Model; Alloy                       |                |                         |
|     |                 | Language; Agent-                         |                |                         |
|     |                 | Oriented Modeling                        |                |                         |
| 0.4 | T . 1           | Framework.                               |                | CITID 4 1               |
| S4  | Jensen et al.   | Goal-Oriented Ap-                        |                | STRuctured              |
|     | [31]            | proach.                                  |                | Analysis<br>for Privacy |
|     |                 |  |                | (STRAP)                 |
|     |                 |  |                | Tool.                   |
| S5  | Sindre and Op-  |  | Misuse Case.   | 1001.                   |
|     | dahl [69]       |  | Tillbase Case. |                         |
| S6  | Mouratidis      | i* Model; Secure Tro-                    |                | T-Tool.                 |
|     | and Giorgini    | pos.                                     |                |                         |
|     | [48]            |  |                |                         |
| S7  | Kalloniatis     | Privacy Safeguard                        |                |                         |
|     | et al. [33]     | Method (PriS).                           |                |                         |
| S8  | Tøndel et al.   | NFR Framework.                           | Misuse Case;   |                         |
|     | [72]            |  | Use case.      |                         |
| S9  | Miyazaki et al. | Development Life Cy-                     | Questionnaire; | Privacy Re-             |
|     | [46]            | cle Models and Cost.                     | Use Case;      | quirements              |
|     |                 |  | Flow Charts.   | Elicitation             |
|     |                 |  |                | Technique               |
|     |                 |  |                | (PRET)                  |
|     |                 |  |                | Tool.                   |

|     |                   |                       | I             |            |
|-----|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------|------------|
| S10 | Kalloniatis       | PriS Conceptual       |               |            |
|     | et al. [34]       | Framework.            |               |            |
| S11 | Kalloniatis       | Goal Model; Directed  |               | PriS Tool. |
|     | et al. [35]       | Acyclic Graph.        |               |            |
| S12 | Bijwe and         | Goal-Based Re-        | Use Case;     | PRET       |
|     | Mead [11]         | quirements Analysis   | Misuse Case;  | Tool.      |
|     |                   | Method (GBRAM);       | Attack Trees; |            |
|     |                   | Pattern-Based Ap-     | User-Role     |            |
|     |                   | proach (PBA); E-      | Hierarchies   |            |
|     |                   | Commerce Person-      | (URH);        |            |
|     |                   | alization Approach    | System Ar-    |            |
|     |                   | (ECPA); Soft Systems  | chitecture    |            |
|     |                   | Methodology (SSM);    | Diagrams      |            |
|     |                   | Feature-Oriented      | (SAD); Inter- |            |
|     |                   | Domain Analysis       | views.        |            |
|     |                   | (FODA).               |               |            |
| S13 | Islam et al. [29] | Secure Tropos; Goal   |               |            |
|     |                   | Driven Security       |               |            |
|     |                   | Risk Management       |               |            |
|     |                   | (GSRM).               |               |            |
| S14 | Deng et al. [16]  | Linkability, Iden-    | Data Flow     |            |
|     |                   | tifiability, Non-     | Diagrams      |            |
|     |                   | repudiation, De-      | (DFD); Use    |            |
|     |                   | tectability, Informa- | Case.         |            |
|     |                   | tion Disclosure, Con- |               |            |
|     |                   | tent Unawareness and  |               |            |
|     |                   | Policy/Consent Non-   |               |            |
|     |                   | compliance (LIND-     |               |            |
|     |                   | DUN).                 |               |            |
| S15 | Kalloniatis       | Pris Extension.       |               | Pris Tool. |
|     | et al. [36]       |                       |               |            |

| S16   | Mead et al. [45]   | PRET; Security        | Misuse Case; |  |
|-------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------|--|
|       | 1.1000 00 01. [10] | Quality Require-      | Joint Ap-    |  |
|       |                    | ments Engineering     | plication    |  |
|       |                    | (SQUARE); Soft        | Development  |  |
|       |                    | Systems Methodol-     | (JAD); Ques- |  |
|       |                    | ogy (SSM); Quality    | tionnaire.   |  |
|       |                    | Function Deployment   | domianc.     |  |
|       |                    | (QFD); Controlled     |              |  |
|       |                    | Requirements Ex-      |              |  |
|       |                    | pression (CORE);      |              |  |
|       |                    | Issue-Based Informa-  |              |  |
|       |                    | tion Systems (IBIS);  |              |  |
|       |                    |                       |              |  |
|       |                    | *                     |              |  |
|       |                    | Discourse Analysis    |              |  |
|       |                    | (CDA); Accelerated    |              |  |
|       |                    | Requirements Method   |              |  |
|       |                    | (ARM); Reusable       |              |  |
|       |                    | Legal Requirements;   |              |  |
|       |                    | GBRAM; PBA;           |              |  |
| 017   | 3.6                | ECPA.                 |              |  |
| S17   | Mouratidis         | NFR Framework; i*     |              |  |
|       | et al. [49]        | Model; Secure Tropos; |              |  |
|       |                    | Keep All Objectives   |              |  |
|       |                    | Satisfied (KAOS);     |              |  |
|       |                    | Goal-Based Re-        |              |  |
|       |                    | quirements Analysis   |              |  |
|       |                    | Method (GBRAM);       |              |  |
|       |                    | Role Based Access     |              |  |
|       |                    | Control (RBAC);       |              |  |
|       |                    | Moffett-Nuseibeh      |              |  |
|       |                    | (M-N) Framework;      |              |  |
|       |                    | STRuctured Analysis   |              |  |
|       |                    | for Privacy (STRAP);  |              |  |
|       |                    | Privacy Safeguard     |              |  |
| Q : - |                    | (PriS).               |              |  |
| S18   | Beckers [10]       | Conceptual Frame-     |              |  |
|       |                    | work for Security     |              |  |
|       |                    | Requirements Engi-    |              |  |
|       |                    | neering (CF); PriS;   |              |  |
|       |                    | LINDDUN; Privacy-     |              |  |
|       |                    | Friendly System       |              |  |
|       |                    | Design from Spieker-  |              |  |
|       |                    | mann (FPRSD).         |              |  |

| S19 | Neureiter et al. [53]      | Reliability, Availability, Maintainability and Safety (RAMS); Privacy-RAMS; LINDDUN.  |                         |   |
|-----|----------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| S20 | Kalloniatis<br>et al. [37] | Secure Tropos; Pris.  |                         |   |
| S21 | Amorim et al. [5]          | Privacy by Design;<br>Digital Image Analysis (DIA).   |                         |   |
| S22 | Radics et al. [64]         | Poolsappasit and Ray's Framework; Sensor-Safe and MAPaS framework; Beckers' Method; LINDDUN; PriS; Model by Hong; Privacy Requirements Engineering Process (PREPProcess). |                         |   |
| S23 | Martín et al. [43]         | Privacy by Design; Privacy Enhancing Technology (PET), Pris; i* Model.  |                         | OASIS- Privacy Man- agement Reference Model Method- ology (PMRM) Tools. |
| S24 | Breaux et al. [12]         |   | Survey; Interview; DFD. |   |
| S25 | Ganji et al. [21]          | NFR Framework; i* Model; Secure Tro- pos; KAOS; GBRAM; RBAC; M-N Frame- work, Bellotti-Sellen Framework, STRAP; PriS, Caprice, Securi- Tas, Easy Win-Win.                 |                         |   |
| S26 | Notario et al. [54]        | Goal-Oriented; System Analysis Approach; Privacy by Design.   |                         |   |

| S27 | Gharib et al. [24]               | Questionnaire-Based<br>Requirements Elic-<br>itation; Scenario<br>Based Requirements<br>Elicitation.  | D. i. D.                                    |  |
|-----|----------------------------------|---|---|--|
| S28 | Argyropoulos<br>et al. [7]       | Secure Tropos Approach; Secure Tropos.  | Business Process Model and Notation (BPMN). |  |
| S29 | Mukisa and<br>Rashid [51]        | LINDDUN.  |   |  |
| S30 | Pattakou et al. [55]             | SQUARE; Model Oriented Security Requirements Engi- neering (MOSRE); Security Require- ments Engineering Framework (SREF); Security Require- ments Engineering Process (SREP); Se- cure Tropos; KAOS; Problem-based Se- curity Requirements Elicitation (Pres- Sure); LINDDUN; SQUARE; PriS. | BPMN.                                       |  |
| S31 | Diamantopoulou<br>et al. [17]    | Secure Tropos Graphical Notation.   |   |  |
| S32 | Gharib et al. [25]               | Privacy by Design.  | Unified Modeling Language (UML) Diagram.    |  |
| S33 | Gharib and<br>Mylopoulos<br>[23] | COPri - a Core On-<br>tology for Privacy Re-<br>quirements Engineer-<br>ing.  | BPMN.                                       |  |
| S34 | Islam et al. [30]                |   | UML Diagram; Use Case.                      |  |
| S35 | Junior et al. [32]               |   | Questionnaire;<br>Personas;<br>User Story.  |  |

| S36<br>S37 | Pattakou et al. [56] da Silva et al. [68] | LINDDUN;<br>SQUARE; PriS;<br>RBAC; STRAP; Se-<br>cure Tropos; PriS; i*<br>Model; PRET.<br>Design of Crowdsourc-<br>ing. |   | PriS Tool.   |
|------------|---|---|---|--|
| S38        | Mai et al. [42]                           | Natural Language Processing; Gamification.  | Use Case;<br>UML Dia-<br>gram.                                    | Restricted Misuse Case Modeling - Verifier (RMCM- V) Tool. |
| S39        | Ayala-Rivera<br>and Pasquale<br>[8]       | Business Analysis Body of Knowledge (BABOK).  | Use Case;<br>Question-<br>naire.                                  | SMART<br>Tool.   |
| S40        | Levy and<br>Hadar [39]                    | Design Thinking.  | Empathy<br>Map.   |  |
| S41        | Coles et al. [15]                         | Process Based on<br>Computer Aided<br>Integration of Re-<br>quirements and<br>Information Security<br>(CAIRIS).         | Use case; Personas; UML Diagrams; DFD; Semi-Structured Interview. | Tool Supported Data Protection Impact Assessment (DPIA).   |
| S42        | Peixoto and<br>Silva [59]                 | Goal-Oriented Modeling Language.  | UML Diagram; Survey.  | , ,  |

| 049 | T:4 -1 [40]      |                       | T4:            |
|-----|------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| S43 | Lim et al. [40]  |                       | Interview;     |
|     |                  |                       | Question-      |
|     |                  |                       | naire; Brain-  |
|     |                  |                       | storming;      |
|     |                  |                       | Prototype;     |
|     |                  |                       | Use Case;      |
|     |                  |                       | Workshop;      |
|     |                  |                       | Affinity       |
|     |                  |                       | Mapping;       |
|     |                  |                       |                |
|     |                  |                       | Crowdsourc-    |
|     |                  |                       | ing Survey;    |
|     |                  |                       | Data Min-      |
|     |                  |                       | ing; Content   |
|     |                  |                       | Analysis;      |
|     |                  |                       | Cultural       |
|     |                  |                       | Probe;         |
|     |                  |                       | Ethnographic   |
|     |                  |                       | Data; Fo-      |
|     |                  |                       | cal Group;     |
|     |                  |                       | Scenario;      |
|     |                  |                       | Roles; Service |
|     |                  |                       | Blueprint.     |
| S44 | Peixoto et al.   | Privacy Criteria      | User Story.    |
|     | [57]             | Method (PCM).         | eser story.    |
| S45 | Netto et al.     |                       | Interview;     |
|     | [52]             |                       | Case Study;    |
|     | [02]             |                       | Focus Group.   |
| S46 | García-Mireles   | PriS Method; Privacy  | Tocus Group.   |
| 540 |                  | by Design; LIND-      |                |
|     | et al. [22]      |                       |                |
|     |                  | DUN; General Data     |                |
|     |                  | Protection Regulation |                |
|     | 3.5.1            | (GDPR).               |                |
| S47 | Mohammadi        | ISO/IEC 29100;        |                |
|     | et al. [47]      | GDPR; SPARQL, a       |                |
|     |                  | query language for    |                |
|     |                  | triple stores.        |                |
| S48 | Veseli et al.    | Framework LIND-       | DFD.           |
|     | [75]             | DUN.                  |                |
| S49 | Rösch et al.     | GDPR.                 |                |
|     | [65]             |                       |                |
| S50 | Bartolini et al. | Conceptual Model of   | User Story.    |
|     | [9]              | GDPR-Focused User     | -              |
|     |                  | Stories.              |                |
|     |                  | <u> </u>              |                |

| S51 | Pullonen et al. [63]                           | Privacy-Oriented Goals; Privacy- Enhanced BPMN (PE-BPMN).   | BPMN.  |           |
|-----|--|---|--|-----------|
| S52 | Stach and<br>Steimle [70]                      | Recommender-Based Privacy Requirements Elicitation (EPICUREAN) and Privacy System for Internet of Things Applications (PA-TRON); Privacy by Design.           | Interviews.  Modeling and Data Mining Techniques |           |
| S53 | Tsohou et al. [73]                             |   | Questionnaire;<br>Interview.                     |           |
| S54 | Pullonen et al. [63]                           |   | BPMN.  |           |
| S55 | Ahmadian et al. [2]                            |   | UML Diagram.                                     |           |
| S56 | Vilela et al. [76]                             | System Theoretic Process Analysis (STPA); i* Model.   |  |           |
| S57 | Mavroeidi<br>et al. [44]                       | GDPR.   | UML Diagram.                                     |           |
| S58 | Peixoto et al. [57]                            | Privacy criteria<br>Method.   | User Story.                                      | PCM Tool. |
| S59 | Ehécatl<br>Morales-<br>Trujillo et al.<br>[18] | Privacy by Design;<br>GDPR.   |  |           |
| S60 | Ferraris and<br>Gago [19]                      | JSON-Based Requirement Elicitation; Security, Availability, Privacy, Identity and Safety (TrUStAPIS); K-Model proposed in previous work Ferraris et al. [20]. |  |           |
| S61 | Mouratidis<br>et al. [50]                      | Cloud Security Analysis; Security Mitigation Analysis and Transparency Analysis; SectroCloud Module.  |  |           |

| S62 | Perera et al.     | Set of Guidelines Gen- |                |            |
|-----|-------------------|------------------------|----------------|------------|
| 302 |                   |                        |                |            |
|     | [61]              | erated by the Ade-     |                |            |
|     |                   | quacy of Privacy by    |                |            |
|     |                   | Design for the Con-    |                |            |
|     |                   | text of Internet Of    |                |            |
|     |                   | Things.                |                |            |
| S63 | Peixoto [58]      | PCM.                   | User Story.    | PCM Tool.  |
| S64 | Salnitri et al.   | Security, Privacy      | BPMN.          | STS-Tool   |
|     | [66]              | and Trust Approach     |                | and SecTro |
|     | . ,               | (SePTA); Goal-Based    |                | Tool.      |
|     |                   | Modelling Languages;   |                | 10011      |
|     |                   | Socio-Technical Se-    |                |            |
|     |                   | curity Modelling       |                |            |
|     |                   | , ,                    |                |            |
|     |                   | Language (STS-ml)      |                |            |
|     |                   | Diagram; Secure        |                |            |
|     |                   | Tropos; i* Model.      |                |            |
| S65 | Carvalho et al.   | i* Model.              | Survey; Ques-  |            |
|     | [14]              |                        | tionnaire.     |            |
| S66 | Peixoto et al.    | iStar; Secure Tropos;  | Use Case;      |            |
|     | [60]              | Problem Frames;        | DFD.           |            |
|     |                   | NFR Framework;         |                |            |
|     |                   | SI* Modelling;         |                |            |
|     |                   | GRL; Threat Model;     |                |            |
|     |                   | KAOS; SecBPMN-ml;      |                |            |
|     |                   | UML4PF.                |                |            |
| S67 | Ahmadian [1]      | Model-Based Pri-       | UML Dia-       |            |
| 501 | Allinatian [1]    |                        |                |            |
|     |                   | vacy by Design;        | gram.          |            |
|     |                   | Model-Based Cost       |                |            |
|     |                   | Estimation; PET;       |                |            |
|     |                   | RAMS; CARiSMA.         |                |            |
| S68 | Canedo et al.     |                        | User Story;    |            |
|     | [13]              |                        | Use Case;      |            |
|     |                   |                        | Interview;     |            |
|     |                   |                        | BPMN.          |            |
| S69 | He et al. [28]    |                        | Questionnaire. | Amazon     |
|     |                   |                        |                | Mechanical |
|     |                   |                        |                | Turk.      |
| S70 | Tsohou et al.     | Privacy by Design; Se- | Questionnaire; | SecTro     |
|     | [74]              | cure Tropos.           | Interview;     | Tool.      |
|     | [' *]             | care fropos.           | User Story.    | 1001.      |
| S71 | Tomashchuk        | GDPR; Chinese Cy-      | Case Study;    |            |
| 311 | et al. [71]       |                        | DFD.           |            |
| 070 |                   | bersecurity Act.       |                | OTO T1     |
| S72 | Piras et al. [62] | Secure Tropos.         | Questionnaire. | STS-Tool.  |
| S73 | Akil et al. [3]   | GDPR.                  | Use Case.      |            |

| S74 | Gharib et al.     | GDPR.              | UML Dia-       | SPARQL     |
|-----|-------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------|
|     | [26]              |                    | gram.          | Tool.      |
| S75 | Sangaroonsilp     | GDPR; ISO/IEC      |                |            |
|     | et al. [67]       | 29100.             |                |            |
| S76 | Ansari et al. [6] | Security Threat    | Interview;     |            |
|     |                   | Oriented Require-  | Brainstorm-    |            |
|     |                   | ments Engineering  | ing; Ques-     |            |
|     |                   | (STORE); LIND-     | tionnaire.     |            |
|     |                   | DUN.               |                |            |
| S77 | Alkubaisy         | Secure Tropos      | Survey.        | IDEMIX     |
|     | et al. [4]        | Methodology; DE-   |                | Tool; Sec- |
|     |                   | FeND Platform;     |                | Tro Tool.  |
|     |                   | ConfIS Framework.  |                |            |
| S78 | Kitsiou et al.    | Privacy by Design. | Questionnaire. |            |
|     | [38]              |                    |                |            |

Table 1: Primary studies selected from 2002 to 2021

## References

- Ahmadian A (2020) Model-based privacy by design. PhD thesis, University of Koblenz and Landau, Germany
- Ahmadian AS, Strüber D, Jürjens J (2019) Privacy-enhanced system design modeling based on privacy features. In: Proceedings of the 34th ACM/SIGAPP Symposium on Applied Computing, SAC 2019, Limassol, Cyprus, April 8-12, 2019, https://doi.org/10.1145/3297280.3297431, https://dblp.org/rec/conf/sac/Ahmadian0J19.bib, pp 1492–1499, DOI 10.1145/3297280.3297431
- 3. Akil M, Islami L, Fischer-Hübner S, Martucci LA, Zuccato A (2020) Privacy-preserving identifiers for iot: A systematic literature review. IEEE Access 8:168470–168485
- 4. Alkubaisy D, Piras L, Al-Obeidallah MG, Cox K, Mouratidis H (2021) Confis: A tool for privacy and security analysis and conflict resolution for supporting GDPR compliance through privacy-by-design. In: Ali R, Kaindl H, Maciaszek LA (eds) Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Evaluation of Novel Approaches to Software Engineering, ENASE 2021, Online Streaming, April 26-27, 2021, SCITEPRESS, pp 80-91, DOI 10.5220/0010406100800091, URL https://doi.org/10.5220/0010406100800091
- Amorim JA, Åhlfeldt R, Gustavsson PM, Andler SF (2013) Privacy and security in cyberspace: Training perspectives on the personal data ecosystem. In: 2013 European Intelligence and Security Informatics Conference, Uppsala, Sweden, August 12-14, 2013, https://doi.org/10.1109/EISIC.2013.30, https://doi.org/rec/conf/eisic/AmorimAGA13.bib, pp 139-142, DOI 10.1109/EISIC. 2013.30
- 6. Ansari MTJ, Baz A, Alhakami H, Alhakami W, Kumar R, Khan RA (2021) P-store: Extension of store methodology to elicit privacy requirements. Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering pp 1-24
- 7. Argyropoulos N, Shei S, Kalloniatis C, Mouratidis H, Delaney AJ, Fish A, Gritzalis S (2017) A semi-automatic approach for eliciting cloud security and privacy requirements. In: HICSS, ScholarSpace / AIS Electronic Library (AISeL), http://hdl.handle.net/10125/41749, pp 1–10
- Ayala-Rivera V, Pasquale L (2018) The grace period has ended: An approach to operationalize GDPR requirements. In: RE, IEEE Computer Society, 10.1109/RE.2018.00023, pp 136–146

 Bartolini C, Daoudagh S, Lenzini G, Marchetti E (2019) Gdpr-based user stories in the access control perspective. In: QUATIC, Springer, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-29238-6\_1, Communications in Computer and Information Science, vol 1010, pp 3-17

- Beckers K (2012) Comparing privacy requirements engineering approaches.
   In: Seventh International Conference on Availability, Reliability and Security, Prague, ARES 2012, Czech Republic, August 20-24, 2012, IEEE, https://dblp.org/rec/conf/IEEEares/Beckers12.bib, pp 574-581, DOI 10.1109/ARES.2012.29, URL https://doi.org/10.1109/ARES.2012.29
- Bijwe A, Mead N (2010) Adapting the square process for privacy requirements engineering (cmu/sei-2010-tn-022)
- Breaux TD, Hibshi H, Rao A (2014) Eddy, a formal language for specifying and analyzing data flow specifications for conflicting privacy requirements. Requir Eng 19(3):281

  307
- 13. Canedo ED, Calazans ATS, Masson ETS, Costa PHT, Lima F (2020) Perceptions of ICT practitioners regarding software privacy. Entropy 22(4):429
- Carvalho RM, Andrade RMC, Lelli V, Silva EG, de Oliveira KM (2020) What about catalogs of non-functional requirements? In: REFSQ Workshops, CEUR Workshop Proceedings, CEUR-WS.org, vol 2584, pp 1–6
- 15. Coles J, Faily S, Ki-Aries D (2018) Tool-supporting data protection impact assessments with CAIRIS. In: ESPRE@RE, IEEE, 10.1109/ESPRE.2018.00010, pp 21–27
- 16. Deng M, Wuyts K, Scandariato R, Preneel B, Joosen W (2011) A privacy threat analysis framework: supporting the elicitation and fulfillment of privacy requirements. Requir Eng 16(1):3–32
- 17. Diamantopoulou V, Pavlidis M, Mouratidis H (2017) Evaluation of a security and privacy requirements methodology using the physics of notation. In: Cyber-ICPS/SECPRE@ESORICS, Springer, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-72817-9\_14, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 10683, pp 210–225
- 18. Ehécatl Morales-Trujillo M, García-Mireles GA, Matla-Cruz EO, Piattini M (2019) A systematic mapping study on privacy by design in software engineering. Clei Electronic Journal
- Ferraris D, Gago MCF (2020) Trustapis: a trust requirements elicitation method for iot.
   Int J Inf Sec 19(1):111–127, DOI 10.1007/s10207-019-00438-x, URL https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10207-019-00438-x
- Ferraris D, Gago MCF, López J (2018) A trust-by-design framework for the internet of things. In: 9th IFIP International Conference on New Technologies, Mobility and Security, NTMS 2018, Paris, France, February 26-28, 2018, IEEE, https://dblp.org/rec/conf/ntms/FerrarisGL18.bib, pp 1-4, DOI 10.1109/NTMS.2018.8328674, URL https://doi.org/10.1109/NTMS.2018.8328674
- 21. Ganji D, Mouratidis H, Gheytassi SM, Petridis M (2015) Conflicts between security and privacy measures in software requirements engineering. In: Global Security, Safety and Sustainability: Tomorrow's Challenges of Cyber Security 10th International Conference, ICGS3 2015, London, UK, September 15-17, 2015. Proceedings, Springer, https://dblp.org/rec/conf/icgs3/GanjiMGP15.bib, pp 323-334, DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-23276-8\29, URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-23276-8\29
- 22. García-Mireles GA, Ehécatl Morales-Trujillo M, Piattini M, Matla-Cruz EO (2019) A systematic mapping study on privacy by design in software engineering. Clei Electronic Journal 22(1):1–20
- Gharib M, Mylopoulos J (2018) A core ontology for privacy requirements engineering.
   CoRR abs/1811.12621:1-44, URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1811.12621, 1811.12621
- Gharib M, Salnitri M, Paja E, Giorgini P, Mouratidis H, Pavlidis M, Ruiz JF, Fernandez S, Siria AD (2016) Privacy requirements: Findings and lessons learned in developing a privacy platform. In: RE, IEEE Computer Society, 10.1109/RE.2016.13, pp 256–265
- 25. Gharib M, Giorgini P, Mylopoulos J (2017) Towards an ontology for privacy requirements via a systematic literature review. In: Conceptual Modeling 36th International Conference, ER 2017, Valencia, Spain, November 6-9, 2017, Proceedings, IEEE, https://dblp.org/rec/conf/er/GharibGM17.bib, pp 193–208, DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-69904-2\16, URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-69904-2\16

- 26. Gharib M, Giorgini P, Mylopoulos J (2021) Copri v.2 A core ontology for privacy requirements. Data Knowl Eng 133:101888
- 27. He Q, Antón AI, et al. (2003) A framework for modeling privacy requirements in role engineering. In: Procedures of REFSQ, REFSQ, https://core.ac.uk/display/21027630, vol 3, pp 137–146
- 28. He Y, Bahirat P, Knijnenburg BP, Menon A (2020) A data-driven approach to designing for privacy in household iot. ACM Trans Interact Intell Syst 10(1):10:1–10:47, DOI 10.1145/3241378, URL https://doi.org/10.1145/3241378
- 29. Islam S, Mouratidis H, Wagner S (2010) Towards a framework to elicit and manage security and privacy requirements from laws and regulations. In: Requirements Engineering: Foundation for Software Quality, 16th International Working Conference, REFSQ 2010, Essen, Germany, June 30 July 2, 2010. Proceedings, IEEE, https://dblp.org/rec/conf/refsq/IslamMW10.bib, pp 255–261, DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-14192-8\_23, URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-14192-8\_23
- Islam S, Ouedraogo M, Kalloniatis C, Mouratidis H, Gritzalis S (2018) Assurance of security and privacy requirements for cloud deployment models. IEEE Trans Cloud Computing 6(2):387–400, DOI 10.1109/TCC.2015.2511719, URL https://doi.org/10. 1109/TCC.2015.2511719
- 31. Jensen C, Tullio J, Potts C, Mynatt ED (2005) Strap: a structured analysis framework for privacy. Tech. rep., Georgia Institute of Technology
- 32. Junior DPS, de Souza PC, de Jesus Gonçalves TA (2018) Early privacy: Approximating mental models in the definition of privacy requirements in systems design. In: Proceedings of the 17th Brazilian Symposium on Human Factors in Computing Systems, IHC 2018, Belém, Brazil, October 22-26, 2018, ACM, https://dblp.org/rec/conf/ihc/JuniorSG18.bib, pp 19:1-19:10, DOI 10.1145/3274192. 3274211, URL https://doi.org/10.1145/3274192.3274211
- 33. Kalloniatis C, Kavakli E, Gritzalis S (2007) Using privacy process patterns for incorporating privacy requirements into the system design process. In: Proceedings of the The Second International Conference on Availability, Reliability and Security, ARES 2007, The International Dependability Conference Bridging Theory and Practice, April 10-13 2007, Vienna, Austria, IEEE, https://dblp.org/rec/conf/IEEEares/KalloniatisKG07.bib, pp 1009–1017, DOI 10. 1109/ARES.2007.156, URL https://doi.org/10.1109/ARES.2007.156
- 34. Kalloniatis C, Kavakli E, Gritzalis S (2008) Addressing privacy requirements in system design: the pris method. Requir Eng 13(3):241–255, DOI 10.1007/s00766-008-0067-3, URL https://doi.org/10.1007/s00766-008-0067-3
- Kalloniatis C, Kavakli E, Kontellis E (2009) Pris tool: A case tool for privacy-oriented requirements engineering. In: MCIS, Athens University of Economics and Business / AISeL, https://aisel.aisnet.org/mcis2009/71/, p 71
- 36. Kalloniatis C, Belsis P, Gritzalis S (2011) A soft computing approach for privacy requirements engineering: The pris framework. Appl Soft Comput 11(7):4341–4348, DOI 10.1016/j.asoc.2010.10.012, URL https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2010.10.012
- 37. Kalloniatis C, Mouratidis H, Islam S (2013) Evaluating cloud deployment scenarios based on security and privacy requirements. Requir Eng 18(4):299–319
- 38. Kitsiou A, Tzortzaki E, Kalloniatis C, Gritzalis S (2021) Identifying privacy related requirements for the design of self-adaptive privacy protections schemes in social networks. Future Internet 13(2):23, DOI 10.3390/fi13020023, URL https://doi.org/10.3390/fi13020023
- 39. Levy M, Hadar I (2018) The importance of empathy for analyzing privacy requirements. In: ESPRE@RE, IEEE, 10.1109/ESPRE.2018.00008, pp 9–13
- Lim T, Chua F, Tajuddin BB (2018) Elicitation techniques for internet of things applications requirements: A systematic review. In: ICNCC, ACM, https://doi.org/10.1145/3301326.3301360, pp 182–188
- 41. Liu L, Yu ESK, Mylopoulos J (2003) Security and privacy requirements analysis within a social setting. In: RE, IEEE Computer Society, 10.1109/ICRE.2003.1232746, pp 151–161
- 42. Mai PX, Goknil A, Shar LK, Pastore F, Briand LC, Shaame S (2018) Modeling security and privacy requirements: a use case-driven approach. Inf Softw Technol 100:165–182

43. Martín YS, Del Alamo JM, Yelmo JC (2014) Engineering privacy requirements valuable lessons from another realm. In: 2014 IEEE 1st International Workshop on Evolving Security and Privacy Requirements Engineering (ESPRE), IEEE, 10.1109/ESPRE.2014.6890523, pp 19–24

- Mavroeidi A, Kitsiou A, Kalloniatis C (2019) The interrelation of game elements and privacy requirements for the design of a system: A metamodel. In: TrustBus, Springer, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-27813-7\_8, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 11711, pp 110-125
- Mead NR, Miyazaki S, Zhan J (2011) Integrating privacy requirements considerations into a security requirements engineering method and tool. IJIPSI 1(1):106-126, DOI 10.1504/IJIPSI.2011.043733, URL https://doi.org/10.1504/IJIPSI.2011.043733
- Miyazaki S, Mead NR, Zhan J (2008) Computer-aided privacy requirements elicitation technique. In: APSCC, IEEE Computer Society, 10.1109/APSCC.2008.263, pp 367–372
- 47. Mohammadi NG, Leicht J, Ulfat-Bunyadi N, Heisel M (2019) Privacy policy specification framework for addressing end-users' privacy requirements. In: Trust, Privacy and Security in Digital Business 16th International Conference, TrustBus 2019, Linz, Austria, August 26-29, 2019, Proceedings, Springer, https://dblp.org/rec/conf/trustbus/MohammadiLUH19.bib, pp 46-62, DOI 10.1007/978-3-030-27813-7\\_4, URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-27813-7\\_4
- 48. Mouratidis H, Giorgini P (2007) Secure tropos: a security-oriented extension of the tropos methodology. International Journal of Software Engineering and Knowledge Engineering 17(2):285–309, DOI 10.1142/S0218194007003240, URL https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218194007003240
- Mouratidis H, Kalloniatis C, Islam S, Huget M, Gritzalis S (2012) Aligning security and privacy to support the development of secure information systems. J UCS 18(12):1608– 1627
- Mouratidis H, Shei S, Delaney AJ (2020) A security requirements modelling language for cloud computing environments. Software and Systems Modeling 19(2):271–295, DOI 10.1007/s10270-019-00747-8, URL https://doi.org/10.1007/s10270-019-00747-8
- 51. Mukisa SS, Rashid A (2017) Challenges of privacy requirements modelling in V2X applications: A telematic insurance case study. In: IEEE 25th International Requirements Engineering Conference Workshops, RE 2017 Workshops, Lisbon, Portugal, September 4-8, 2017, IEEE, https://dblp.org/rec/conf/re/MukisaR17.bib, pp 97–103, DOI 10.1109/REW.2017.48, URL https://doi.org/10.1109/REW.2017.48
- (2019)52. Netto D, Peixoto MM. Silva  $^{\rm C}$ Privacy rity inrequirements engineering: Results from systematic mapping. In: WER. Editora PUC-Rio, http://wer.inf.pucrio.br/WERpapers/artigos/artigos\_WER19/WER\_2019\_paper\_14.pdf, pp 1-15
- 53. Neureiter C, Eibl G, Veichtlbauer A, Engel D (2013) Towards a framework for engineering smart-grid-specific privacy requirements. In: IECON 2013 39th Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society, Vienna, Austria, November 10-13, 2013, IEEE, https://dblp.org/rec/conf/iecon/NeureiterEVE13.bib, pp 4803–4808, DOI 10.1109/IECON.2013.6699912, URL https://doi.org/10.1109/IECON.2013.6699912
- Notario N, Crespo A, Martín YS, del Álamo JM, Métayer DL, Antignac T, Kung A, Kroener I, Wright D (2015) PRIPARE: integrating privacy best practices into a privacy engineering methodology. In: IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy Workshops, IEEE Computer Society, 10.1109/SPW.2015.22, pp 151–158
- Pattakou A, Kalloniatis C, Gritzalis S (2017) Security and privacy requirements engineering methods for traditional and cloud-based systems: a review. CLOUD COMPUT-ING 2017 155:1–7
- Pattakou A, Mavroeidi AG, Diamantopoulou V, Kalloniatis C, Gritzalis S (2018) Towards the design of usable privacy by design methodologies. In: 2018 IEEE 5th International Workshop on Evolving Security & Privacy Requirements Engineering (ESPRE), IEEE, 10.1109/ESPRE.2018.00007, pp 1–8
- 57. Peixoto M, Silva C, Lima R, Araújo J, Gorschek T, Silva J (2019) Pcm tool: Privacy requirements specification in agile software development. In: Anais Estendidos da X Conferência Brasileira de Software: Teoria e Prática, SBC, 10.5753/cb-

- $soft\_estendido.2019.7666, \; pp \; 108–113$
- 58. Peixoto MM (2020) Privacy requirements engineering in agile software development: a specification method. In: Joint Proceedings of REFSQ-2020 Workshops, Doctoral Symposium, Live Studies Track, and Poster Track co-located with the 26th International Conference on Requirements Engineering: Foundation for Software Quality (REFSQ 2020), Pisa, Italy, March 24, 2020, IEEE, https://dblp.org/rec/conf/refsq/Peixoto20.bib, pp 1-7, URL http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-2584/DS-paper1.pdf
- 59. Peixoto MM, Silva C (2018) Specifying privacy requirements with goal-oriented modeling languages. In: Proceedings of the XXXII Brazilian Symposium on Software Engineering, SBES 2018, Sao Carlos, Brazil, September 17-21, 2018, ACM, https://dblp.org/rec/conf/sbes/PeixotoS18.bib, pp 112-121, DOI 10.1145/3266237. 3266270, URL https://doi.org/10.1145/3266237.3266270
- Peixoto MM, Silva C, Maia H, Araújo J (2020) Towards a catalog of privacy related concepts. In: REFSQ Workshops, CEUR-WS.org, http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-2584/PT-paper5.pdf, CEUR Workshop Proceedings, vol 2584, pp 1–6
- 61. Perera C, Barhamgi M, Bandara AK, Azad MA, Price BA, Nuseibeh B (2020) Designing privacy-aware internet of things applications. Inf Sci 512:238–257, DOI 10.1016/j.ins. 2019.09.061, URL https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2019.09.061
- 62. Piras L, Calabrese F, Giorgini P (2020) Applying acceptance requirements to requirements modeling tools via gamification: A case study on privacy and security. In: PoEM, Springer, Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing, vol 400, pp 366–376
- 63. Pullonen P, Tom J, Matulevicius R, Toots A (2019) Privacy-enhanced BPMN: enabling data privacy analysis in business processes models. Software and Systems Modeling 18(6):3235–3264, DOI 10.1007/s10270-019-00718-z, URL https://doi.org/10.1007/s10270-019-00718-z
- 64. Radics PJ, Gracanin D, Kafura DG (2013) Preprocess before you build: Introducing a framework for privacy requirements engineering. In: International Conference on Social Computing, SocialCom 2013, SocialCom/PASSAT/BigData/EconCom/BioMedCom 2013, Washington, DC, USA, 8-14 September, 2013, IEEE, https://dblp.org/rec/conf/socialcom/RadicsGK13.bib, pp 564-569, DOI 10.1109/SocialCom.2013.85, URL https://doi.org/10.1109/SocialCom.2013.85
- 65. Rösch D, Schuster T, Waidelich L, Alpers S (2019) Privacy control patterns for compliant application of GDPR. In: 25th Americas Conference on Information Systems, AMCIS 2019, Cancún, Mexico, August 15-17, 2019, AISEL, https://dblp.org/rec/conf/amcis/RoschSWA19.bib, pp 1-10, URL https://aisel.aisnet.org/amcis2019/info\_security\_privacy/info\_security\_privacy/27
- 66. Salnitri M, Angelopoulos K, Pavlidis M, Diamantopoulou V, Mouratidis H, Giorgini P (2020) Modelling the interplay of security, privacy and trust in sociotechnical systems: a computer-aided design approach. Software and Systems Modeling 19(2):467–491
- 67. Sangaroonsilp P, Dam HK, Choetkiertikul M, Ragkhitwetsagul C, Ghose A (2021) A taxonomy for mining and classifying privacy requirements in issue reports. CoRR abs/2101.01298
- 68. da Silva M, Viterbo J, Bernardini F, Maciel C (2018) Identifying privacy functional requirements for crowdsourcing applications in smart cities. In: ISI, IEEE, 10.1109/ISI.2018.8587316, pp 106–111
- 69. Sindre G, Opdahl AL (2005) Eliciting security requirements with misuse cases. Requir Eng 10(1):34-44, URL http://www.springerlink.com/index/10.1007/s00766-004-0194-4
- Stach C, Steimle F (2019) Recommender-based privacy requirements elicitation EPI-CUREAN: an approach to simplify privacy settings in iot applications with respect to the GDPR. In: SAC, ACM, https://doi.org/10.1145/3297280.3297432, pp 1500-1507
- Tomashchuk O, Li Y, Van Landuyt D, Joosen W (2020) Operationalization of privacy and security requirements for ehealth iot applications in the context of gdpr and csl. In: Antunes L, Naldi M, Italiano GF, Rannenberg K, Drogkaris P (eds) Privacy Technologies and Policy, Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp 143–160

 Tøndel IA, Jaatun MG, Meland PH (2008) Security requirements for the rest of us: A survey. IEEE Software 25(1):20–27, DOI 10.1109/MS.2008.19, URL https://doi.org/ 10.1109/MS.2008.19

- 73. Tsohou A, Magkos M, Mouratidis H, Chrysoloras G, Piras L, Pavlidis M, Debussche J, Rotoloni M, Crespo BG (2019) Privacy, security, legal and technology acceptance requirements for a GDPR compliance platform. In: Computer Security ES-ORICS 2019 International Workshops, CyberICPS, SECPRE, SPOSE, and ADIOT, Luxembourg City, Luxembourg, September 26-27, 2019 Revised Selected Papers, Springer, https://dblp.org/rec/conf/esorics/TsohouMMCPPDRC19.bib, pp 204-223, DOI https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-42048-2\\_14, URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-42048-2\_14
- 74. Tsohou A, Magkos E, Mouratidis H, Chrysoloras G, Piras L, Pavlidis M, Debussche J, Rotoloni M, Crespo BG (2020) Privacy, security, legal and technology acceptance elicited and consolidated requirements for a GDPR compliance platform. Inf Comput Secur 28(4):531–553
- Veseli F, Serna-Olvera J, Pulls T, Rannenberg K (2019) Engineering privacy by design: lessons from the design and implementation of an identity wallet platform. In: Proceedings of the 34th ACM/SIGAPP Symposium on Applied Computing, SAC 2019, Limassol, Cyprus, April 8-12, 2019, ACM, https://dblp.org/rec/conf/sac/VeseliSPR19.bib, pp 1475–1483, DOI 10.1145/3297280.3297429, URL https://doi.org/10.1145/3297280.3297429
- 76. Vilela J, Silva C, Castro J, Martins LEG, Gorschek T (2019) Sarssi\*: a safety requirements specification method based on stamp/stpa and i\* language. In: Anais do I Brazilian Workshop on Large-scale Critical Systems, SBC, Porto Alegre, RS, Brasil, pp 17-24, DOI 10.5753/bware.2019.7504, URL https://sol.sbc.org.br/index.php/bware/article/view/7504
- 77. Yu E, Cysneiros L (2002) Designing for privacy and other competing requirements. In: 2nd Symposium on Requirements Engineering for Information Security (SREIS'02), Raleigh, North Carolina, Citeseer, http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/, pp 15–16