


Principles of Programming Languages

Lexical Analysis


Quan Thanh Tho, Ph.D.
CSE – HCMUT
qttho@hcmut.edu.vn



Outline

- Lexical Analysis
- Token
- Regular Expression

The Big Picture



Lexical Analysis

- **Lexical Analysis**, also called “scanning” or “lexing”
- It does two things:
 - Transforms the input source string into a sequence of substrings
 - Classifies them according to their “role”
- The input is the source code
- The output is a list of **tokens**

```
if (x == y)
    z = 12;
else
    z = 7;
```

Lexical Analysis

- The output is a list of **tokens**

```
if (x == y)
    z = 12;
else
    z = 7;
```

i	f		(x	=	=	y)	\n	\t	z	=		1	2	;	\n	e		l		s		e	\n	\t	z	=		7	;	\n
---	---	--	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	---	---	--	---	---	---	----	---	--	---	--	---	--	---	----	----	---	---	--	---	---	----

Tokens


- A token is a **syntactic category**
- Example tokens:
 - Identifier
 - Integer
 - Floating-point number
 - Keyword
 - etc.
- In English we'd talk about
 - Noun
 - Verb
 - Adjective
 - etc.

Lexeme

- A **lexeme** is the string that represents an **instance of a token**
- The set of all possible lexemes that can represent a token instance is described by a **pattern**
- For instance, we can decide that the pattern for an identifier is
 - A string of letters, numbers, or underscores, that starts with a capital letter

Lexing output

```
i f ( x == y ) \n \t z = 1 2 ; \n e l s e \n \t z = 7 ; \n
```



Lexicon output

- Note that the lexer removes non-essential characters
 - Spaces, tabs, linefeeds
 - And comments!
 - Typically a good idea for the lexer to allow arbitrary numbers of white spaces, tabs, and linefeeds

Regular Expressions

- To avoid the endless nesting of if-then-else to capture all types of possible tokens one needs a formalization of the lexing process
- If we have a good formalization, we could even generate the lexing code automatically!

Lexer Specification

- Question: How do we formalize the job a lexer has to do to recognize the tokens of a specific language?
- Answer: We need a language!
- What's a language?
 - An alphabet (typically called Σ)
 - e.g., the ASCII characters
 - A subset of all the possible strings over Σ
- How to represent the language?
- It turns out that for all (reasonable) programming languages, the tokens can be described by a **regular language**

Describing Tokens

- The most popular way to describe tokens is to use **regular expressions**
- Regular expressions are just **notations**, which happen to be able to represent regular languages
 - A regular expression is a string (in a meta-language) that describes a pattern (in the token language)
- If A is a regular expression, then $L(A)$ is the language represented by A

Describing Tokens

- Basic: $L("c") = \{"c"\}$
- Concatenation: $L(AB) = \{ab \mid a \text{ in } L(A) \text{ and } b \text{ in } L(B)\}$
 - $L("i" "f") = \{"if"\}$
- Union: $L(A|B) = \{x \mid x \text{ in } L(A) \text{ or } x \text{ in } L(B)\}$
 - $L("if"|"then"|"else") = \{"if", "then", "else"\}$
 - $L(("0"|"1") ("1"|"0")) = \{"00", "01", "10", "11"\}$

Regular Expression Overview

Expression	Meaning
ε	empty pattern
a	Any pattern represented by 'a'
ab	Strings with pattern 'a' followed by pattern 'b'
a b	Strings with pattern 'a' or pattern 'b'
a [*]	Zero or more occurrences of pattern 'a'
a ⁺	One or more occurrences of pattern 'a'
a ³	Exactly 3 occurrences of pattern 'a'
a?	(a ε)
.	Any single character (not very standard)

REs for Keywords

- It is easy to define a RE that describes all keywords

Key = "if" | "else" | "for" | "while" | "int" | ..

- These can be split in groups if needed

Keyword = "if" | "else" | "for" | ...

Type = "int" | "double" | "long" | ...

RE for Numbers

- Straightforward representation for integers
 - `digits = "0" | "1" | "2" | "3" | "4" | "5" | "6" | "7" | "8" | "9"`
 - `integer = digits+`
- Typically, regular expression systems allow the use of '-' for ranges, sometimes with '[' and ']'
 - `digits = 0-9`

RE for Numbers

- Floating point numbers are much more complicated
 - 2.00
 - .12e-12
 - 312.00001E+12
 - 4
- Here is one attempt
 - `(digit+ "."? | digits* ("." digit+)) (("E"|"e") ("+"|"-"|ε) digit+))?`
- Note the difference between meta-character and language-characters
 - "+" versus +, "-" versus -, "(" versus (, etc.
- Often books/documentations use different fonts for each level of language

RE for Identifiers

- Here is a typical description
 - letter = a-z | A-Z
 - ident = letter (letter | digit | “_”)^{*}
 - Starts with a letter
 - Has any number of letter or digit or “_” afterwards
- In C: ident = (letter | “_”) (letter | digit | “_”)^{*}

RE for Phone Numbers

- Simple RE
 - digit = 0-9
 - area = digit³
 - exchange = digit³
 - local = digit⁴
 - phonenumber = “(“ area “)” “ “? exchange (“-”|” “) local

Now What?

- Now we have a nice way to formalize each token (which is a set of possible strings)
- Each token is described by a RE
 - And hopefully we have made sure that our REs are correct
 - Easier than writing the lexer from scratch
 - But still requires that one be careful
- Question: How do we use these REs to parse the input source code and generate the token stream?

Example

- Say we have the following tokens (described by a RE, and thus a natural NFA, and thus a DFA):
 - TOKEN_IF: “if”
 - TOKEN_IDENT: letter (letter | “_”)+
 - TOKEN_NUMBER: (digit)+
 - TOKEN_COMPARE: “==”
 - TOKEN_ASSIGN: “=”
- This is a very small set of tokens for a tiny language
- The language assumes that tokens are all separated by spaces
- Let’s see what happens on the following input:

i	f		i	f	0		=	=		c		x		=		2	x	3
---	---	--	---	---	---	--	---	---	--	---	--	---	--	---	--	---	---	---

Example

i	f		i	f	0		=	=		c		x		=		2	x	3
---	---	--	---	---	---	--	---	---	--	---	--	---	--	---	--	---	---	---

- If there had be no syntax error, the lexer would have emitted:
 - <TOKEN_IF, “if”>
 - <TOKEN_ID, “if0”>
 - <TOKEN_COMPARE, “==”>
 - <TOKEN_ID, “c”>
 - <TOKEN_ID, “x”>
 - <TOKEN_ASSIGN, “=”>
 - <TOKEN_NUMBER, “23”>

Lexer Generation

- A lot of of the lexing process is really mechanical once on has defined the REs (Contrast with the horrible if-then-else nesting of the “by hand” lexer!)
- So there are “lexer generators” available
 - They take as input a list of token specifications
 - token name
 - regular expression
 - They produce a piece of code that is the lexer for these tokens
- Well-known examples of such generators are lex and flex
- With these tools, a complicated lexer for a full language can be developed in a **few hours**

Exercises

- Find RE for $a^n b^m$: $n+m$ is even
- Find RE for $a^n b^m$: $n \geq 1, m \geq 1$

Further Exercises

```
int a= 2;  
float b = 2.0;  
if (a>=5|| b<5)  
{  
    a ++;  
    b +=3.5;  
}
```

Token Set

d: [0-9]

ID: ('a'-'z'|'A'-'Z'|'_')('a'-'z'|'A'-'Z'|'0'-'9'|'_')*

N: d+

F: N'.'N

ADD: '+'

RELOP: '<'|'<='|'>'|'>='

EQLOP: '=='|'!='

OR: '||'

INT: "int"

FLOAT: "float"

BRACE: '{'|'}'

ASSIGN: '='

SEMI: ';' ;