### **Outline**

- Introduction
- Background
- Distributed DBMS Architecture
- Distributed Database Design
- Semantic Data Control
  - View Management
  - Data Security
  - Semantic Integrity Control
- Distributed Query Processing
- Distributed Transaction Management
- Distributed Database Operating Systems
- Open Systems and Interoperability
- Parallel Database Systems
- Distributed Object Management
- Concluding Remarks

Distributed Database Management

© 1994 M. Tamer Özsu & Patrick Valduriez Page 5. 1

### **Semantic Data Control**

- Involves:
  - View management
  - Security control
  - Integrity control
- Objective :
  - ➡ Insure that authorized users perform correct operations on the database, contributing to the maintenance of the database integrity.

## **View Management**

#### View - virtual relation

- generated from base relation(s) by a query
- not stored as base relations

### Example:

CREATE VIEW SYSAN(ENO,ENAME)
AS SELECT ENO,ENAME

 $\textbf{FROM} \quad \ \, \mathbb{E}$ 

WHERE TITLE="Syst. Anal."

| E   |           |             |  |  |
|-----|-----------|-------------|--|--|
| ENO | ENAME     | TITLE       |  |  |
| E1  | J. Doe    | Elect. Eng  |  |  |
| E2  | M. Smith  | Syst. Anal. |  |  |
| E3  | A. Lee    | Mech. Eng.  |  |  |
| E4  | J. Miller | Programme   |  |  |
| E5  | B. Casey  | Syst. Anal. |  |  |
| E6  | L. Chu    | Elect. Eng. |  |  |
| E7  | R. Davis  | Mech. Eng.  |  |  |
| E8  | J. Jones  | Syst. Anal. |  |  |

#### SYSAN

| ENO | ENAME   |
|-----|---------|
| E2  | M.Smith |
| E5  | B.Casey |
| E8  | J.Jones |

Distributed Database Management

© 1994 M. Tamer Özsu & Patrick Valduriez Page 5. 3

## **View Management**

Views can be manipulated as base relations

### Example:

SELECT ENAME, JNO, RESP

FROM SYSAN, G

WHERE SYSAN.ENO = G.ENO

## **Query Modification**

queries expressed on views



queries expresed on base relations

### Example:

SELECT ENAME, JNO, RESP

FROM SYSAN, G

WHERE SYSN.ENO = G.ENO

 $\Downarrow$ 

SELECT ENAME, JNO, RESP

FROM E, G

WHERE E.ENO = G.ENO

AND TITLE = "Syst. Anal."

| ENAME   | PNO | RESP    |
|---------|-----|---------|
| M.Smith | P1  | Analyst |
| M.Smith | P2  | Analyst |
| B.Casey | P3  | Manager |
| J.Jones | P4  | Manager |

Distributed Database Management

© 1994 M. Tamer Özsu & Patrick Valduriez Page 5. 5

## **View Management**

■ To restrict access

CREATE VIEW ESAME

AS SELECT \*

FROM E E1, E E2

WHERE E1.TITLE = E2.TITLE

AND E1.ENO = USER

Query

SELECT

FROM ESAME

| ENO | ENAME  | TITLE      |
|-----|--------|------------|
| E1  | J. Doe | Elect. Eng |
| E2  | L. Chu | Elect. Eng |

### **View Updates**

### **■** Updatable

```
CREATE VIEW SYSAN(ENO,ENAME)

AS SELECT ENO,ENAME

FROM E
```

TITLE="Syst. Anal."

■ Non-updatable

```
CREATE VIEW EG(ENAME,RESP)

AS SELECT ENAME,RESP

FROM E, G

WHERE E.ENO=G.ENO
```

WHERE

Distributed Database Management

© 1994 M. Tamer Özsu & Patrick Valduriez Page 5. 7

### **View Management in DDBMS**

- Views might be derived from fragments.
- View definition storage should be treated as database storage
- Query modification results in a distributed query
- View evaluations might be costly if base relations are distributed
  - use snapshots
    - Static views do not reflect the updates to the base relations
    - managed as temporary relations only access path is sequential scan
    - bad selectivity snapshots behave as pre-calculated answers
    - periodic recalculation

### **Data Security**

#### ■ Data protection

- prevent the physical content of data to be understood by unauthorized users
- encryption/decryption
  - Data Encryption Standard
  - Public-key encryption

#### Authorization control

- only authorized users perform operations they are allowed to on the database
  - identification of subjects and objects
  - authentication of subjects
  - granting of rights (authorization matrix)

Distributed Database Management

© 1994 M. Tamer Özsu & Patrick Valduriez Page 5. 9

### **Semantic Integrity Control**

Maintain database consistency by enforcing a set of constraints defined on the database.

#### ■ Structural constraints

basic semantic properties inherent to a data model e.g., unique key constraint in relational model

#### ■ Behavioral constraints

- regulate application behavior e.g., dependencies in the relational model
- Two components
  - Integrity constraint specification
  - **➡** Integrity constraint enforcement

### **Semantic Integrity Control**

#### ■ Procedural

control embedded in each application program

#### Declarative

assertions in predicate calculus

- easy to define constraints
- definition of database consistency clear
- inefficient to check assertions for each update
  - limit the search space
  - decrease the number of data accesses/assertion
  - preventive strategies
  - checking at compile time

Distributed Database Management

© 1994 M. Tamer Özsu & Patrick Valduriez Page 5. 11

## **Constraint Specification Language**

#### Predefined constraints

specify the more common constraints of the relational model

Not-null attribute

ENO **NOT NULL IN** E

Unique key

(ENO, JNO) UNIQUE IN G

Foreign key

A key in a relation R is a foreign key if it is a primary key of another relation S and the existence of any of its values in R is dependent upon the existence of the same value in S

JNO IN G REFERENCES JNO IN J

Functional dependency

ENO IN E DETERMINES ENAME

### **Constraint Specification Language**

#### Precompiled constraints

Express preconditions that must be satisfied by all tuples in a relation for a given update type

(INSERT, DELETE, MODIFY)

NEW - ranges over new tuples to be inserted

OLD - ranges over old tuples to be deleted

**General Form** 

CHECK ON <relation> [WHEN <update type>] <qualification>

Distributed Database Management

© 1994 M. Tamer Özsu & Patrick Valduriez Page 5. 13

### **Constraint Specification Language**

#### Precompiled constraints

Domain constraint

**CHECK ON** J(BUDGET≥500000 **AND** BUDGET≤1000000)

Domain constraint on deletion

**CHECK ON** J **WHEN DELETE** (BUDGET = 0)

**➡** Transition constraint

 $\label{eq:check-onj} \textbf{CHECK ON J (NEW.BUDGET} > \textbf{OLD}.BUDGET \ \textbf{AND} \\ \textbf{NEW.JNO} = \textbf{OLD}.JNO)$ 

## **Constraint Specification Language**

#### General constraints

Constraints that must always be true. Formulae of tuple relational calculus where all variables are quantified.

#### **General Form**

CHECK ON <variable>:<relation>,(<qualification>)

Functional dependency

```
CHECK ON e1:E, e2:E
(e1.ENAME = e2.ENAME IF e1.ENO = e2.ENO)
```

Constraint with aggregate function

```
CHECK ON g:G, j:J  (\textbf{SUM}(g.DUR \ \textbf{WHERE} \ g.JNO = j.JNO) < 100 \ \textbf{IF} \\ j.JNAME = "CAD/CAM")
```

Distributed Database Management

© 1994 M. Tamer Özsu & Patrick Valduriez Page 5. 15

## **Integrity Enforcement**

#### Two methods

#### Detection

```
Execute update u: D \to D_u

If D_u is inconsistent then

compensate D_u \to D_u

else

undo D_u \to D
```

■ Preventive

Execute  $u: D \rightarrow D_u$  only if  $D_u$  will be consistent

- Determine valid programs
- Determine valid states

### **Query Modification**

- preventive
- add the assertion qualification to the update query
- only applicable to tuple calculus formulae with universally quantified variables

```
UPDATE J

SET BUDGET = BUDGET*1.1

WHERE JNAME = "CAD/CAM"

↓

UPDATE J

SET BUDGET = BUDGET*1.1

WHERE JNAME = "CAD/CAM"

AND NEW.BUDGET ≥ 500000

AND NEW.BUDGET ≤ 1000000
```

Distributed Database Management

© 1994 M. Tamer Özsu & Patrick Valduriez Page 5. 17

### **Compiled Assertions**

```
Triple (R, T, C) where
        R
                  relation
         T
                  update type (insert, delete, modify)
         C
                  assertion on differential relations
Example: Foreign key assertion
        \forall g \in G, \exists j \in J : g.JNO = j.JNO
    Compiled assertions:
           (G, INSERT, C1), (J, DELETE, C2), (J, MODIFY, C3)
        where
           C1: \forall NEW \in G+, \exists j \in J: NEW.JNO = j.JNO
           C2: \forall g \in G, \ \forall \textbf{OLD} \in J-: g.JNO \neq \textbf{OLD}.JNO
           C3: \forall g \in G, \forall OLD \in J-, \exists NEW \in J+:g.JNO \neq OLD.JNO
                           OR OLD.JNO = NEW.JNO
```

### **Differential Relations**

```
Given relation R and update u
R+ \text{ contains tuples inserted by } u
R- \text{ contains tuples deleted by } u
\text{Type of } u
\text{insert} \quad R- \text{ empty}
\text{delete} \quad R+ \text{ empty}
\text{modify} \quad R+ \cup (R-R-)
```

Distributed Database Management

© 1994 M. Tamer Özsu & Patrick Valduriez Page 5. 19

### **Differential Relations**

```
Algorithm
```

```
Input: Relation R, update u, compiled assertion C<sub>i</sub>
Step 1: Generate differential relations R+ and R-
Step 2: Retrieve the tuples of R+ and R- which do not satisfy C<sub>i</sub>
Step 3: If retrieval is not successful, then the assertion is valid.
```

#### **Example:**

```
    u is delete on J. Enforcing (J, DELETE, C2):
        retrieve all tuples of J-
        into RESULT
        where not(C2)
    If RESULT = φ, the assertion is verified.
```

Distributed Database Management

# **Distributed Integrity Control**

- **■** Problems:
  - Definition of constraints
    - consideration for fragments
  - Where to store
    - replication
    - non-replicated : fragments
  - Enforcement
    - minimize costs

Distributed Database Management

© 1994 M. Tamer Özsu & Patrick Valduriez Page 5. 21

## **Types of Distributed Assertions**

- Individual assertions
  - single relation, single variable
  - domain constraint
- Set oriented assertions
  - ➡ single relation, multi-variable
    - functional dependency
  - multi-relation, multi-variable
    - foreign key
- Assertions involving aggregates

### **Distributed Integrity Control**

- Assertion Definition
  - similar to the centralized techniques
  - transform the assertions to compiled assertions
- Assertion Storage
  - Individual assertions
    - one relation, only fragments
    - · at each fragment site, check for compatibility
    - if compatible, store; otherwise reject
    - if all the sites reject, globally reject
  - Set-oriented assertions
    - involves joins (between fragments or relations)
    - maybe necessary to perform joins to check for compatibility
    - store if compatible

Distributed Database Management

© 1994 M. Tamer Özsu & Patrick Valduriez Page 5. 23

## **Distributed Integrity Control**

- Assertion Enforcement
  - Where do you enforce each assertion?
    - type of assertion
    - type of update and where update is issued
  - Individual Assertions
    - update = insert
      - ✓ enforce at the site where the update is issued
    - update = qualified
      - ✓ send the assertions to all the sites involved
      - $\checkmark$  execute the qualification to obtain R+ and R-
      - $\checkmark$  each site enforce its own assertion
  - Set-oriented Assertions
    - single relation
      - $\checkmark$  similar to individual assertions with qualified updates
    - multi-relation
      - ✓ move data between sites to perform joins; then send the result to the query master site