

Emp(eid: integer, ename: varchar, sal: integer, age: integer, did: integer)
Dept(did: integer, budget: integer, floor: integer, mgr eid: integer)

Salaries range from \$10,000 to \$100,000, ages vary from 20 to 80, each department has about five employees on average, there are 10 floors, and budgets vary from \$10,000 to \$1 million. You can assume uniform distributions of values.

For each of the following queries, which of the listed index choices would you choose to speed up the query?

Query: Print ename, age, and sal for all employees.

- Clustered hash index on <ename, age, sal> fields of Emp.
- Unclustered hash index on <ename, age, sal> fields of Emp.
- Clustered B+ tree index on <ename, age, sal> fields of Emp.
- Unclustered hash index on <eid, did> fields of Emp.
- No index.

1. (e) No index. Since we want to print data of **all** employees.

Emp(eid: integer, ename: varchar, sal: integer, age: integer, did: integer)
Dept(did: integer, budget: integer, floor: integer, mgr eid: integer)

Salaries range from \$10,000 to \$100,000, ages vary from 20 to 80, each department has about five employees on average, there are 10 floors, and budgets vary from \$10,000 to \$1 million. You can assume uniform distributions of values.

For each of the following queries, which of the listed index choices would you choose to speed up the query?

Query: Find the dids of departments that are on the 10th floor and have a budget of less than \$15,000.

- Clustered hash index on the floor field of Dept.
- Unclustered hash index on the floor field of Dept.
- Clustered B+ tree index on <floor, budget> fields of Dept.
- Clustered B+ tree index on the budget field of Dept.
- No index.

2. (c) Clustered B+ tree index on <floor, budget> field of Dept. I choose Clustered B+ tree because the result is range result and we want 10th floor less than \$15,000 budget, then index on <floor, budget> will sort the data for us to easily query.

From the SQL query below, which index structure will not be beneficial to the query execution?

```
Select * From Apply, College
Where Apply.cName = College.cName
And Apply.major = 'cs' and College.enrollment <5000
```

- a. Tree-based index on Apply.cName
 - b. Hash-based index on Apply.major
 - c. Hash-based index on College.enrollment
 - d. Hash-based index on College.cName
 - e. More than one choices are not beneficial
3. (c) hash-based index on College.enrollment because hash will store data unordered. So it would be a good candidate only if we have equality selection.

สำหรับฐานข้อมูลที่ใช้ Unclustered hash index

- Leaf page แต่ละ page จะมีจำนวน Data entry เท่าใด
- ถ้าต้องการ List data record ออกมาทั้งหมดโดยใช้ Hash index ต้องใช้เวลาทั้งหมดเท่าใด

[กำหนดให้ occupancy rate = 67% (ไม่มี overflow chains), Data entry size = 20% ของ data records, B = จำนวน Data page เมื่อมี record แพร่คอยู่เต็มโดยไม่มี slot ว่าง, R = จำนวน record ในแต่ละ page, D = เวลาเฉลี่ยในการอ่าน/เขียนแต่ละ disk page]

4. 1. Since Data entry size = 20% of data records. 1 Page can contain $\frac{R}{0.20}$ data entries.

Moreover, occupancy rate = 67% means 1 page contains only 67%. So, each leaf page

contains $(\frac{67}{100}) \frac{R}{0.20} = \frac{67}{20} R$ data entries.

2. $BRD + \frac{BRD}{\frac{67}{20} R} = BRD + \frac{20}{67} BD$ where BRD is time for fetch data record and

$\frac{20}{67} BD$ is time for accessing data entries

ในกรณีที่ใช้ Clustered files index (B+ tree: Alternative (1))
 กับฐานข้อมูลที่มีการเพิ่ม record ใหม่อยู่ตลอด จึงกำหนดให้
 occupancy rate เป็น 25% ให้หาค่า cost ในการหา leaf page
 ที่เหมาะสมในการ Insert ข้อมูลใหม่ในแต่ละครั้ง (Average case)
 [กำหนดให้ F = Fanout ของ B+ tree, B = จำนวน Data page
 เมื่อมี record แฝกอยู่เต็มโดยไม่มี slot ว่าง, R = จำนวน record
 ในแต่ละ page, D = เวลาเฉลี่ยในการอ่าน/เขียนแต่ละ disk page]

5. Since occupancy rate = 25%, then number of data pages is $\frac{B}{0.25} = 4B$

To find leaf page, the cost is $D \cdot height = D \log_F(4B)$