Home assignment for Computer Network EDA387

Group 24

October 12, 2025

Algorithm 1 Reliable Flooding for disseminating Link State Packets (LSPs) across a network.

1: Each node stores the latest Link State Packet (LSP) from every other node.
2: LSPs are forwarded to all nodes except the sender, preventing unnecessary retransmissions.
3: Periodic generation of new LSPs occurs, with an incremented Sequence Number (SEQNO). After a reboot, SEQNO starts at 0.
4: Upon hearing an LSP with SEQNO $= x$, a node sets its next SEQNO to $x + 1$.
5: Stored LSPs' Time-to-Live (TTL) decreases; LSPs expire when TTL reaches 0.

---

4 The Link State Algorithm and Self-stabilization

The Link State algorithm involves sending information not only to neighbors but to all network nodes. This question asks whether the link state algorithm is self-stabilizing or can become one. Algorithm 1 sketches the protocol for reliable flooding, as we learned in class. We clarify that the Link State algorithm also uses a local calculation. That is, the flooding method described in Algorithm 1 supplies the link state algorithm with a set of LSPs for each SEQNO. Using Dijkstra's shortest path algorithm, this link state algorithm enables each node to calculate the shortest path within a given graph locally.

Question 1.

- What is the model used by the Link-State algorithm? Please choose the most appropriate, correct, complete, and accurate answer. If you think there is more than one correct answer, select the ones that can help you simplify your answer to the rest of this question. Clearly justify your selection.

    1. Asynchronous shared memory network with the topology of the fully connected graph with fair communication.

2. Synchronous message-passing network with the topology of general graph and reliable communication channels.

3. Synchronous message-passing network with the topology of the general graph with fair execution.

4. Asynchronous message-passing network with the topology of the general graph with fair communication.

5. Synchronous shared memory network with topology of fully connected graph and fair communications.

- Please provide a detailed pseudo code for reliable flooding, which is used by the Link State Algorithm. Your pseudo-code should consider the model you selected above.

- Discuss the self-stabilization properties of the algorithm you have provided above. A simple 'yes' or 'no' response is insufficient for credit; your answer should demonstrate a comprehensive understanding of the topic. For example, you may analyze how the algorithm behaves when initiated from an arbitrary starting state (related to the link-state algorithm), highlighting potential disruptions in network operation without the ability to self-recover. Conversely, if you believe the algorithmis self-stabilizing, you can outline a correctness proof while emphasizing its key assumptions.

Proof. For the model choosing, the most appropriate model to chose is the model 4, *Asynchronous message-passing network with the topology of the general graph with fair communication.*, for the justifying:

- **Asynchronous** because in the Internet, all the nodes (or routers) act at their own pace, there is no a central clock for all these nodes. The time for a message from a node to another one is unpredictable, so we cannot use the synchronous one, because it contains restriction that is not correct in comparison with actual network model.

- **Messenger-passing** as stated before, because every nodes have their own clock, hence, own memory, it is impossible to have a shared memory between these nodes. Moreover, in a large network, nodes are added and removed quite frequently, a model that has a central memory will require more operation overhead to maintain, manage as well as to response to the network changes. All nodes in real topology communicate by sending and recieving messages over physical link.

- **General graph** in the real model, the network topology is not always clear, therefore, an algorithm and periodically flooding from neighbor nodes are require to discover the paths between these nodes.

- **Fair communication** is a realistic assumption. It states that if a message is sent infinitely, it will be received infinitely. On the other hand, even there are loss in communication channels, the Link State Protocol and algorithm are able to handle this loss, hence retransmit these packets.

Algorithm 2 Pseudocode for Reliable Flooding of LSPs

1: **Initialization for node P_i:**
2:   $SEQNO_i \leftarrow 0$
3:   $N(i) \leftarrow$ set of neighbors
4:   $LSP[] \leftarrow \emptyset$
5: **procedure** PERIODICUPDATE
6:     **loop**
7:         $SEQNO_i \leftarrow SEQNO_i + 1$
8:         $LSP_i \leftarrow generateLSP(i, SEQNO_i, TTL_i)$
9:         $LSP[i] \leftarrow LSP_i$
10:        **for all** neighbor $P_j \in N(i)$ **do**
11:           send $\langle i, LSP[i] \rangle$ to P_j
12:        **end for**
13:     **end loop**
14: **end procedure**
15: **procedure** ONRECEIVE($\langle j, LSP_j \rangle$ from neighbor P_j)
16:     **if** $LSP_j \notin LSP[]$ **or** $SEQNO_j > LSP[j].SEQNO$ **then**
17:         $LSP[j] \leftarrow LSP_j$
18:         $SEQNO_i \leftarrow SEQNO_j + 1$
19:        **for all** neighbor $P_k \in N(i)$ such that $k \neq s$ **do**
20:           send $\langle j, LSP_j \rangle$ to P_k
21:        **end for**
22:     **end if**
23: **end procedure**
24: **procedure** DECREMENTTTL
25:     **loop**
26:        **for all** $\langle j, LSP_j \rangle$ in $LSP[]$ **do**
27:           $LSP_j.TTL \leftarrow LSP_j.TTL - 1$
28:           **if** $LSP_j.TTL \leq 0$ **then**
29:              remove $\langle j, LSP_j \rangle$ from $LSP[]$
30:           **end if**
31:        **end for**
32:     **end loop**
33: **end procedure**

Discussion on Self-Stabilization

Let's consider that the system starts with an arbitrary status, where nodes can send wrong Link-State package, or the packet is loss during transmission. In this algorithm, $SEQNO$ and TTL can be used to ensure the fault does not stay in the system forever.

In the pseudo code, when a node/processor P_i receives a LSP (line 16-19), considered that the packet contained fault information. But due to the flooding nature of this algorithm, P_i will receive a new packet from its neighbors, after a comparison, it keeps the packet with

higher $SEQNO$ (and plus 1, remarks that is an asynchronous round).

From the start, or restart event, every nodes have $SEQNO = 0$, means that they will accept any LSP packet with higher number. This ensure when a new node joins this network or a node that just recovered from fault can keep up with latest network topology.

Moreover, due to *fair communication*, the new, correct LSP will be eventually passed to all other nodes. And because LSP is periodically generated with a higher $SEQNO$ (line 6-9), the correct (and newer) LSP is always accepted.

Finally, the TTL can ensure that even without new LSP, or the arbitrary status lasts longer than expected, node will drop expired LSP information. Every time it receives new LSP or completing a round, it can decrease the TTL of stored LSP information, hence remove the stale one when TTL drops to 0.

The safe configuration of this system is when all the nodes have the latest LSP information.

$\square$