```
RSA Challenge Writeup
  The Challenge
This is a fun RSA challenge with an interesting twist! Instead of the typical approach of factoring the modulus N, we need to
recover the message directly using a clever mathematical insight.
What we are given:
 • Public key (e,N)
 ullet Ciphertext of the flag: ct_1=m^e mod N
 ullet The interesting part: ct_2=m^{p+q}mod N
  Key Observation: The primes p,q are super large, so we can't just factor N. But the leak ct_2=m^{p+q} mod N gives
  us a different attack vector!
   ? The Solution Approach
Instead of trying to factor N, my approach was to recover the message directly using the leaked information.
   Step 1:
  Understanding Euler's Totient Function
   The key insight starts with Euler's totient function \phi(N):
                                           \phi(N) = (p-1) \cdot (q-1)
                                              =N+1-(p+q)
     What is \phi(N)? It's called the Euler quotient of N, equal to the product of its prime factors minus 1.
     For prime factors p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n of N:
                                             \phi(N) = \prod_{i=1}^n (p_i-1)
  Step 2:
  Euler's Theorem
  We know from Euler's theorem that:
                                            a^{\phi(N)} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}
   This means:
                                           a^{\phi(N)+1} \equiv a \pmod{N}
    Insight: If we could raise the plaintext (flag) to \phi(N)+1, we get back the flag!
   Step 3:
  The Mathematical Trick
  Since \phi(N) = N + 1 - (p+q) and we have m^{p+q} mod N, we can work backwards.
  We need to find a way to compute m^{\phi(N)+1} mod N without directly knowing m.
  Let's express N in terms of e:
                                          N = lpha \cdot e + eta 	ext{ where } eta < e
                                             Define \gamma = e - \beta - 1
                                             Thus: e = \gamma + \beta + 1
   Step 4:
  The Key Calculation
  If we raise ct_1 to lpha+1 and multiply by the inverse of ct_2:
```

```
m_{to\_\gamma} = ct_1^{lpha+1} \cdot ct_2^{-1} mod N
= m^{e(\alpha+1)} \cdot m^{-(p+q)} \bmod N
    = m^{e\alpha + e - (p+q)} \bmod N
 = m^{e\alpha + \gamma + \beta + 1 - (p+q)} \bmod N
   = m^{N+\gamma+1-(p+q)} \bmod N
       = m^{\phi(N) + \gamma} \bmod N
     = m^{\phi(N)} \cdot m^{\gamma} \bmod N
         = 1 \cdot m^{\gamma} \bmod N
           = m^{\gamma} \bmod N
```

Step 5:

Recovering the Message with Bézout's Lemma

Now we have:

 $ullet ct_1=m^e mod N$ $ullet m_{to_\gamma} = m^\gamma mod N$

Bézout's Lemma: For given integers a,b, there exist unique integers x,y such that: $ax + by = \gcd(a, b)$ These can be found using the Extended Euclidean Algorithm.

Since $\gamma < e$ and e is prime, we have $\gcd(e, \gamma) = 1$. We find x,y such that $ex+\gamma y=1$, then:

 $m = (ct_1^x \cdot m_{to_\gamma}^y) mod N$

Why does this work?

 $(ct_1^x \cdot m_{to_\gamma}^y) mod N = (m^{ex} \cdot m^{\gamma y}) mod N$ $= m^{ex+\gamma y} \bmod N$ $= m^1 \bmod N = m \bmod N$

Implementation

Result

```
from Crypto.Util.number import *
alpha = N // e
gamma = e - beta - 1
print(f"{alpha = }")
print(f"{gamma = }")
m_{to}gamma = (pow(ct1, alpha + 1, N) * pow(ct2, -1, N)) % N
   Returns (gcd, x, y) such that:
       gcd, x1, y1 = extended_gcd(b % a, a)
print("Here is the flag to the power of", gcd, ":", long_to_bytes(flag_to_gcd))
```

This approach successfully recovers the original plaintext message (the flag) without needing to factor the large modulus N! **Key Takeaway:** Sometimes in cryptography challenges, the most elegant solution doesn't follow the "standard" approach. The additional leak $ct_2=m^{p+q} mod N$ opened up a completely different attack vector using properties of Euler's totient function and the Extended Euclidean Algorithm.

