

# Separation Logic

Niccolò Piazzesi

Università degli studi di Pisa  
Anno Accademico 2021-22

December 27, 2021

# Outline

Introduction

Theoretical Foundations

Reasoning with separation logic

Tools

# Outline

Introduction

Theoretical Foundations

Reasoning with separation logic

Tools

## Brief recap: reasoning about code

- ▶ Program semantics described by logical conditions satisfied by language constructs
- ▶ Classical model, first put forward by Robert W. Floyd and Tony Hoare

# Floyd-Hoare Logic in 1 slide

$$\{P\}S\{Q\}$$

- ▶  $P$  : pre-conditions
- ▶  $S$  : statement
- ▶  $Q$  : post conditions

Partial correctness: **If the initial state fullfils pre-conditions and the statement terminates**, the final state satisfies the post conditions.

Total correctness: **If the initial state fullfils the pre-conditions** then the statement terminates and the final state satisfies the post-conditions.

# Limitations

Does not work for non terminating programs

# Limitations

Does not work for non terminating programs

Becomes complex with modular constructs such as objects and unconditional jumps

# Limitations

Does not work for non terminating programs

Becomes complex with modular constructs such as objects and unconditional jumps

**Global view of state becomes a burden when introducing pointers( think of pointer aliasing..)**



# Motivating example

```
void deletetree(struct node
*root){ if(root != NULL){ struct
node *left = root->l; struct node
*right = root->r; deletetree(left);
deletetree(right); free(root); } }
```

How can we prove memory safety?

# Outline

Introduction

**Theoretical Foundations**

Reasoning with separation logic

Tools

# Outline

Introduction

Theoretical Foundations

Reasoning with separation logic

Tools

# Outline




Introduction

Theoretical Foundations

Reasoning with separation logic

Tools

# References I

-  Peter O'Hearn, John Reynolds, and Hongseok Yang.  
Local reasoning about programs that alter data structures.  
In *International Workshop on Computer Science Logic*, pages 1–19. Springer, 2001.
-  Cristiano Calcagno, Dino Distefano, Jérémy Dubreil, Dominik Gabi, Pieter Hooimeijer, Martino Luca, Peter O'Hearn, Irene Papakonstantinou, Jim Purbrick, and Dulma Rodriguez.  
Moving fast with software verification.  
In *NASA Formal Methods Symposium*, pages 3–11. Springer, 2015.
-  Dino Distefano, Peter W. O'Hearn, and Hongseok Yang.  
A local shape analysis based on separation logic.  
In Holger Hermanns and Jens Palsberg, editors, *Tools and Algorithms for the Construction and Analysis of Systems*, pages 287–302, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2006. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

# References II



Josh Berdine, Cristiano Calcagno, and Peter W. O'Hearn.  
Smallfoot: Modular automatic assertion checking with  
separation logic.

In Frank S. de Boer, Marcello M. Bonsangue, Susanne Graf,  
and Willem-Paul de Roever, editors, *Formal Methods for  
Components and Objects*, pages 115–137, Berlin, Heidelberg,  
2006. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.



James Brotherston, Nikos Gorogiannis, Max Kanovich, and  
Reuben Rowe.

Model checking for symbolic-heap separation logic with  
inductive predicates.

*ACM SIGPLAN Notices*, 51(1):84–96, 2016.

# References III



Josh Berdine, Byron Cook, and Samin Ishtiaq.

Slayer: Memory safety for systems-level code.

In *International Conference on Computer Aided Verification*,  
pages 178–183. Springer, 2011.