



# **FIX Trading Community Investment Management/Buy-side Working Groups, EMEA Business Practices Subcommittee**

## **FIX Execution Venue Reporting Recommended Best Practices**

**August 2015**

**Proposal Status: Final**

## **DISCLAIMER**

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN AND THE FINANCIAL INFORMATION EXCHANGE PROTOCOL (COLLECTIVELY, THE "FIX PROTOCOL") ARE PROVIDED "AS IS" AND NO PERSON OR ENTITY ASSOCIATED WITH THE FIX PROTOCOL MAKES ANY REPRESENTATION OR WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE FIX PROTOCOL (OR THE RESULTS TO BE OBTAINED BY THE USE THEREOF) OR ANY OTHER MATTER AND EACH SUCH PERSON AND ENTITY SPECIFICALLY DISCLAIMS ANY WARRANTY OF ORIGINALITY, ACCURACY, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. SUCH PERSONS AND ENTITIES DO NOT WARRANT THAT THE FIX PROTOCOL WILL CONFORM TO ANY DESCRIPTION THEREOF OR BE FREE OF ERRORS. THE ENTIRE RISK OF ANY USE OF THE FIX PROTOCOL IS ASSUMED BY THE USER.

NO PERSON OR ENTITY ASSOCIATED WITH THE FIX PROTOCOL SHALL HAVE ANY LIABILITY FOR DAMAGES OF ANY KIND ARISING IN ANY MANNER OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH ANY USER'S USE OF (OR ANY INABILITY TO USE) THE FIX PROTOCOL, WHETHER DIRECT, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, LOSS OF DATA, LOSS OF USE, CLAIMS OF THIRD PARTIES OR LOST PROFITS OR REVENUES OR OTHER ECONOMIC LOSS), WHETHER IN TORT (INCLUDING NEGLIGENCE AND STRICT LIABILITY), CONTRACT OR OTHERWISE, WHETHER OR NOT ANY SUCH PERSON OR ENTITY HAS BEEN ADVISED OF, OR OTHERWISE MIGHT HAVE ANTICIPATED THE POSSIBILITY OF, SUCH DAMAGES.

**DRAFT OR NOT RATIFIED PROPOSALS** (REFER TO PROPOSAL STATUS AND/OR SUBMISSION STATUS ON COVER PAGE) ARE PROVIDED "AS IS" TO INTERESTED PARTIES FOR DISCUSSION ONLY. PARTIES THAT CHOOSE TO IMPLEMENT THIS DRAFT PROPOSAL DO SO AT THEIR OWN RISK. IT IS A DRAFT DOCUMENT AND MAY BE UPDATED, REPLACED, OR MADE OBSOLETE BY OTHER DOCUMENTS AT ANY TIME. THE FPL GLOBAL TECHNICAL COMMITTEE WILL NOT ALLOW EARLY IMPLEMENTATION TO CONSTRAIN ITS ABILITY TO MAKE CHANGES TO THIS SPECIFICATION PRIOR TO FINAL RELEASE. IT IS INAPPROPRIATE TO USE FPL WORKING DRAFTS AS REFERENCE MATERIAL OR TO CITE THEM AS OTHER THAN "WORKS IN PROGRESS". THE FPL GLOBAL TECHNICAL COMMITTEE WILL ISSUE, UPON COMPLETION OF REVIEW AND RATIFICATION, AN OFFICIAL STATUS ("APPROVED") FOR THE PROPOSAL AND A RELEASE NUMBER.

No proprietary or ownership interest of any kind is granted with respect to the FIX Protocol (or any rights therein).

Copyright 2003-2015 FIX Protocol Limited, all rights reserved.

## Table of Contents

1	- Executive Summary.....	4
2	- Objective .....	4
3	- Scope.....	4
4	- LastCapacity(29) .....	5
5	- LastMkt(30) .....	6
6	- LastLiquidityInd(851) (Alternative UDF: 8010) .....	7
7	EMEA Trade Scenarios .....	8

# 1 - Executive Summary

Ensuring that the needs of the buy-side community are effectively addressed is a central goal of the FIX Trading Community, the non-profit industry standards organization at the heart of the global trading community. To achieve this goal, we have launched Investment Management Working Groups in the Americas, EMEA and Asia Pacific regions. These groups provide a platform for buy-side participants to discuss how the needs impacting this sector can be more efficiently met by the automated trading community. A key issue prioritized was an inconsistent response from the broker-dealer community with regards to broker reporting of the execution venue on each fill. To address this issue, recommended best practices for execution venue reporting have been developed, encouraging increased consistency and enhanced transparency.

This document is an update to a document published in May 2012 and brings this topic up to date in light of market developments and evolution in requirements.

## 2 - Objective

The best practices define the usage expectations for the FIX fields that describe the final destination point of an execution, the capacity of the broker for that execution and the nature of liquidity (added or taken) for that trade. The buy-side participants would like to encourage the sell-side community to implement these best practices after consulting with their clients on the readiness of the client's systems. The following recommendations have been produced by the FPL Americas Buy-Side Execution Venue Subgroup, working primarily with the EMEA Business Practices Subcommittee.

## 3 - Scope

The best practice recommendations focus on the following FIX tags:

- LastCapacity(29)
- LastMkt(30)
- LastLiquidityInd(851)

## 4 – LastCapacity(29)

**LastCapacity(29)** – Broker capacity in order execution. This field is applicable only for OrdStatus of Partial or Filled.

**Valid Values:**

Value	Usage in Americas	Usage in EMEA
<b>1 (agent)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>An execution on an exchange or alternative execution venue external to the executing broker-dealer, where the broker-dealer is acting as agent on behalf of the client.</li></ul>	
<b>2 (cross as agent)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>An execution between two clients of the executing broker-dealer, where the broker-dealer is acting as agent on behalf of both clients, including when the execution takes place within the broker-dealer's electronic matching engine in an agency capacity (i.e. client order vs. another client order).</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>An execution between two clients of the executing broker-dealer taking place within the broker dealer. This also applies where the other order is a house order generated specifically to hedge a swap.</li></ul>
<b>3 (cross as principal)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>An execution between two clients of the executing broker-dealer, where the broker is acting as a principal solely for the purpose of effecting the execution between the clients, including when the broker is acting as principal to collect consideration for the transaction by printing one or both clients at net prices.</li><li>An execution performed on a public market in riskless principal capacity.</li><li>An execution taking place within the broker-dealer's electronic matching engine in a principal capacity (e.g. client order vs. a house order).</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>An execution performed on a public market in riskless principal capacity.</li><li>An execution between a client order and a house risk order taking place within the broker-dealer.</li></ul>

Value	Usage in Americas	Usage in EMEA
<b>4 (principal)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An execution where the broker-dealer is acting as a dealer and is the principal on the opposite side of the client execution.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An execution where the broker-dealer is acting as a dealer and is the principal on the opposite side of the client execution.</li> <li>Where the FIX execution message is being used to communicate an indicative price and quantity (for example when being used by the broker to write a swap), regardless of the source of liquidity.</li> </ul>

## 5 – LastMkt(30)

**LastMkt(30)** – Market of execution for last fill, or an indication of the market where an order was routed.

### General comments

- Use only Market Identifier Codes (MICs) regardless of FIX version.
- The actual destination where the fill was executed should be relayed in the tag (i.e. intermediate hops that were taken to get to the final point of execution are irrelevant for scope of this effort and should not be considered a valid entry for LastMkt(30).
- For a list of current MICs or information on how to apply for a MIC follow this link - [Market Identifier Code Homepage](#).

### Trading Venue Executions

- All market centers, including broker crossing systems and alternative trading systems, should register or be encouraged to register for a MIC code. Where a market center has separate segregated order books, such as a 'Dark' and a 'Lit' order book, run by a single exchange or MTF, the market center should register for a separate MIC for each order book. Where a market centre runs an integrated order book containing both dark and lit orders, then this will have a single MIC.
- If the venue does not distinguish dark/lit, the convention is to base the dark/lit nature of an execution as being taken from the order that caused that execution. So if the order was a lit order, all executions will be treated as lit (even if the order crossed with a dark order, where the market counterparty's executions would be flagged as dark).
- If a valid MIC doesn't exist on the venue that the trade occurred, then use the XXXX MIC code as per the [List of ISO 10383 Exchange/Market Identifier Codes \(xls\)](#).
- Markets should be asked to apply for MIC codes and where possible to use separate MIC codes for Dark/Lit venues when a gap is discovered.

### Broker Executions

- All brokers are to have an operator-level MIC in each major location in which they operate<sup>1</sup>.
- All brokers that operate automated execution systems (e.g. BCN, MTF, ATS) should have a segment-level MIC for each one and use that MIC in LastMkt(30) on executions arising from these systems.
- Systematic internalisers are to have their own MICs.
- For OTC executions outside systematic internalisers, brokers may either use the appropriate operator-level MIC in LastMkt(30) or have separate segment-level MICs at their discretion.

<sup>1</sup> This is a requirement from SWIFT, the registration authority (RA) for the ISO MIC standard.

The use of MICs is standard from FIX version 4.3 and on, but the proliferation of markets has made it imperative to use this standard in the earlier versions of FIX as well. This departure from the FIX 4.2 protocol is recognized but from our viewpoint is unavoidable and necessary.

It is noted that some trading venues have multiple MICs and, to reduce implementation complexity for both buy-side and sell-side firms, we have created a list of 'recommended' MICs to be used when trading against trading venues<sup>2</sup>. The list can be found [here](#).

## 6 – LastLiquidityInd(851) (Alternative UDF: 8010)

**LastLiquidityInd(851)** – This is a FIX 4.4 field the buy-side is advocating be used in <= FIX 4.3 sessions. For systems unable to use LastLiquidityInd(851) over <= FIX 4.3, the equivalent custom tag

8010 can be used to convey the same information. Indicator to identify whether this fill was a result of a liquidity provider providing or a liquidity taker taking the liquidity. This field is applicable only for OrdStatus of Partial or Filled.

**Valid Values:** 1 = Added Liquidity  
2 = Removed Liquidity  
3 = Liquidity Routed Out  
4 = Auction

These tags should be required, for the purposes of these best practices, for each and every execution where fill information is being relayed and that the information be as accurate as possible.

The EMEA Business Practices Sub-Committee recommends the following usage of these fields for trading EMEA equities:

- **1 (added liquidity) or 2 (removed liquidity)** to be used where the venue explicitly provides it. If not explicitly provided by the venue, then do not supply this field at all (except where a value 4 is appropriate).
- **3 (liquidity routed away)** used for liquidity from external liquidity providers routed to from other venues or by a specific liquidity provision service provided by this venue.
- **4 (auction)** for auction uncrosses. This applies to any auction, including intraday auctions and those arising from volatility interruptions and similar. Note that executions taking place on a venue not itself in an auction phase while another market (e.g. primary market) is in an auction phase will not count as '4'.

The following two values (which are not part of the official value set for this tag<sup>3</sup>) can be used for conditional order executions:

- **8** for conditional order executions arising during continuous trading
- **9** for conditional order executions arising during auctions

---

<sup>2</sup> It should be noted that this list is intended to be used only for LastMkt(30) on execution report messages from sell-side to buy-side firms as an informational field and hence may differ from the MIC represented on transaction reports, the trading venue's own data etc.

<sup>3</sup> The official mechanism for communicating this information is to use MatchType(574) which has values for 'auto-match with last look' and 'cross auction with last look'.

## 7 EMEA Trade Scenarios

LastCapacity(29) and LastMkt(30) between them will provide sufficient granularity on the location and type of each execution as follows:

**External market executions (LastMkt(30) = market's MIC)**

My order	Broker's market-side execution capacity	My LastCapacity(29)
Non-swap client order	Agent	1 (agent)
Non-swap client order	Riskless principal	3 (principal cross)
Non-swap client order	Principal	4 (principal)
Client swap hedge	Principal	4 (principal)
Client swap hedge	Riskless principal	4 (principal)

**Broker executions between two orders (LastMkt(30) = broker's OTC or crossing system MIC as appropriate)**

My order	Other order	My LastCapacity(29)	Other order's LastCapacity(29)
Non-swap client order	Non-swap client order	2 (agent cross)	2 (agent cross)
Non-swap client order	Client swap hedge	2 (agent cross)	4 (principal)
Non-swap client order	Risk order	3 (principal cross)	4 (principal)
Client swap hedge	Anything	4 (principal)	2,3 or 4 (as per above scenarios)

**Broker risk fills (i.e. one-sided executions), including from systematic internalisers (LastMkt(30) = broker's OTC or SI MIC as appropriate)**

My order	My LastCapacity(29)
Anything	4 (principal)