

A correlation analysis created by CAT

Creation time: 2012-07-20 16:35

Input file: testdata.csv

1: $a = U(0, 1)$

2: $b = G(0, 1)$

3: $c = a \times b$


4: $d = U(a, 1)$

5: $e = r \times \cos \phi$

6: $f = r \times \sin \phi$

Please report bugs to michael.prim@kit.edu

Pearson's correlation coefficient matrix of variables



1: $a = U(0, 1)$

2: $b = G(0, 1)$


3: $c = a \times b$

4: $d = U(a, 1)$

5: $e = r \times \cos \phi$

6: $f = r \times \sin \phi$

Spearman's rank correlation coefficient matrix of variables



1: $a = U(0, 1)$

2: $b = G(0, 1)$


3: $c = a \times b$

4: $d = U(a, 1)$

5: $e = r \times \cos \phi$

6: $f = r \times \sin \phi$

This page shows a profile plot matrix of all variables



1: $a = U(0, 1)$

2: $b = G(0, 1)$


3: $c = a \times b$

4: $d = U(a, 1)$

5: $e = r \times \cos \phi$

6: $f = r \times \sin \phi$

Significance matrix of the test for two variables being dependent



1: $a = U(0, 1)$

2: $b = G(0, 1)$

3: $c = a \times b$

4: $d = U(a, 1)$


5: $e = r \times \cos \phi$

6: $f = r \times \sin \phi$

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$a = U(0, 1)$ vs. $b = G(0, 1)$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data


$a = U(0, 1)$ vs. $b = G(0, 1)$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.925910

This corresponds to a correlation with 0.09 sigma significance.

approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of
 $a = U(0, 1)$ vs. $c = a \times b$
from the expectation value of a flat distribution




Probability of the data
 $a = U(0, 1)$ vs. $c = a \times b$
to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.000000
This corresponds to a correlation with more than 8 sigma significance.

approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$a = U(0, 1)$ vs. $d = U(a, 1)$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data

$a = U(0, 1)$ vs. $d = U(a, 1)$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.000000


This corresponds to a correlation with more than 8 sigma significance.

- approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
- approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
- approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
- approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
- approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$a = U(0,1)$ vs. $e = r \times \cos \phi$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data

$a = U(0,1)$ vs. $e = r \times \cos \phi$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.577111


This corresponds to a correlation with 0.56 sigma significance.

- approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
- approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
- approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
- approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
- approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$a = U(0, 1)$ vs. $f = r \times \sin \phi$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data

$a = U(0, 1)$ vs. $f = r \times \sin \phi$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.040554


This corresponds to a correlation with 2.05 sigma significance.

- approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
- approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
- approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
- approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
- approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$b = G(0, 1)$ vs. $a = U(0, 1)$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data

$b = G(0, 1)$ vs. $a = U(0, 1)$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.925910


This corresponds to a correlation with 0.09 sigma significance.

- approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
- approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
- approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
- approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
- approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$b = G(0, 1)$ vs. $c = a \times b$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data

$b = G(0, 1)$ vs. $c = a \times b$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.000000


This corresponds to a correlation with more than 8 sigma significance.

approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$b = G(0, 1)$ vs. $d = U(a, 1)$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data

$b = G(0, 1)$ vs. $d = U(a, 1)$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.209144


This corresponds to a correlation with 1.26 sigma significance.

- approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
- approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
- approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
- approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
- approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$b = G(0, 1)$ vs. $e = r \times \cos \phi$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data

$b = G(0, 1)$ vs. $e = r \times \cos \phi$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.773495


This corresponds to a correlation with 0.29 sigma significance.

- approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
- approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
- approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
- approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
- approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$b = G(0, 1)$ vs. $f = r \times \sin \phi$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data

$b = G(0, 1)$ vs. $f = r \times \sin \phi$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.223176


This corresponds to a correlation with 1.22 sigma significance.

- approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
- approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
- approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
- approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
- approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$c = a \times b$ vs. $a = U(0, 1)$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data

$c = a \times b$ vs. $a = U(0, 1)$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.000000


This corresponds to a correlation with more than 8 sigma significance.

approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$c = a \times b$ vs. $b = G(0, 1)$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data

$c = a \times b$ vs. $b = G(0, 1)$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.000000


This corresponds to a correlation with more than 8 sigma significance.

- approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
- approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
- approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
- approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
- approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$$c = a \times b \text{ vs. } d = U(a, 1)$$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data

$$c = a \times b \text{ vs. } d = U(a, 1)$$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.000000


This corresponds to a correlation with more than 8 sigma significance.

approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$c = a \times b$ vs. $e = r \times \cos \phi$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data

$c = a \times b$ vs. $e = r \times \cos \phi$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.723861


This corresponds to a correlation with 0.35 sigma significance.

- approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
- approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
- approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
- approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
- approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$c = a \times b$ vs. $f = r \times \sin \phi$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data

$c = a \times b$ vs. $f = r \times \sin \phi$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.351546


This corresponds to a correlation with 0.93 sigma significance.

approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$d = U(a, 1)$ vs. $a = U(0, 1)$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data

$d = U(a, 1)$ vs. $a = U(0, 1)$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.000000


This corresponds to a correlation with more than 8 sigma significance.

- approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
- approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
- approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
- approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
- approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$d = U(a, 1)$ vs. $b = G(0, 1)$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data

$d = U(a, 1)$ vs. $b = G(0, 1)$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.209144


This corresponds to a correlation with 1.26 sigma significance.

- approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
- approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
- approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
- approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
- approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$$d = U(a, 1) \text{ vs. } c = a \times b$$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data

$$d = U(a, 1) \text{ vs. } c = a \times b$$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.000000


This corresponds to a correlation with more than 8 sigma significance.

- approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
- approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
- approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
- approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
- approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$d = U(a, 1)$ vs. $e = r \times \cos \phi$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data

$d = U(a, 1)$ vs. $e = r \times \cos \phi$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.879887


This corresponds to a correlation with 0.15 sigma significance.

- approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
- approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
- approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
- approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
- approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$d = U(a, 1)$ vs. $f = r \times \sin \phi$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data

$d = U(a, 1)$ vs. $f = r \times \sin \phi$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.334119


This corresponds to a correlation with 0.97 sigma significance.

- approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
- approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
- approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
- approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
- approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$e = r \times \cos \phi$ vs. $a = U(0, 1)$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data

$e = r \times \cos \phi$ vs. $a = U(0, 1)$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.577111


This corresponds to a correlation with 0.56 sigma significance.

- approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
- approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
- approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
- approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
- approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$e = r \times \cos \phi$ vs. $b = G(0, 1)$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data

$e = r \times \cos \phi$ vs. $b = G(0, 1)$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.773495


This corresponds to a correlation with 0.29 sigma significance.

approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$e = r \times \cos \phi$ vs. $c = a \times b$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data

$e = r \times \cos \phi$ vs. $c = a \times b$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.723861


This corresponds to a correlation with 0.35 sigma significance.

approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$e = r \times \cos \phi$ vs. $d = U(a, 1)$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data

$e = r \times \cos \phi$ vs. $d = U(a, 1)$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.879887


This corresponds to a correlation with 0.15 sigma significance.

approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$e = r \times \cos \phi$ vs. $f = r \times \sin \phi$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data

$e = r \times \cos \phi$ vs. $f = r \times \sin \phi$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.000000


This corresponds to a correlation with more than 8 sigma significance.

- approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
- approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
- approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
- approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
- approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$f = r \times \sin \phi$ vs. $a = U(0, 1)$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data

$f = r \times \sin \phi$ vs. $a = U(0, 1)$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.040554


This corresponds to a correlation with 2.05 sigma significance.

- approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
- approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
- approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
- approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
- approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$f = r \times \sin \phi$ vs. $b = G(0, 1)$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data

$f = r \times \sin \phi$ vs. $b = G(0, 1)$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.223176


This corresponds to a correlation with 1.22 sigma significance.

- approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
- approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
- approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
- approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
- approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$f = r \times \sin \phi$ vs. $c = a \times b$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data

$f = r \times \sin \phi$ vs. $c = a \times b$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.351546


This corresponds to a correlation with 0.93 sigma significance.

- approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
- approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
- approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
- approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
- approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$f = r \times \sin \phi$ vs. $d = U(a, 1)$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data

$f = r \times \sin \phi$ vs. $d = U(a, 1)$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.334119


This corresponds to a correlation with 0.97 sigma significance.

- approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
- approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
- approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
- approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
- approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma

Matrix with the deviations in sigma of

$$f = r \times \sin \phi \text{ vs. } e = r \times \cos \phi$$

from the expectation value of a flat distribution



Probability of the data





$$f = r \times \sin \phi \text{ vs. } e = r \times \cos \phi$$

to be consistent with a flat hypothesis is 0.000000





This corresponds to a correlation with more than 8 sigma significance.

approximate 0.317311 corresponds to 1 sigma
approximate 0.045500 corresponds to 2 sigma
approximate 0.002700 corresponds to 3 sigma
approximate 0.000063 corresponds to 4 sigma
approximate 0.000001 corresponds to 5 sigma





This page shows $a = U(0,1)$ in bins of $b = G(0,1)$







This page shows $a = U(0, 1)$ in bins of $c = a \times b$





This page shows $a = U(0, 1)$ in bins of $d = U(a, 1)$







This page shows $a = U(0, 1)$ in bins of $e = r \times \cos \phi$







This page shows $a = U(0, 1)$ in bins of $f = r \times \sin \phi$







This page shows $b = G(0, 1)$ in bins of $a = U(0, 1)$







This page shows $b = G(0, 1)$ in bins of $c = a \times b$







This page shows $b = G(0, 1)$ in bins of $d = U(a, 1)$







This page shows $b = G(0, 1)$ in bins of $e = r \times \cos \phi$







This page shows $b = G(0, 1)$ in bins of $f = r \times \sin \phi$







This page shows $c = a \times b$ in bins of $a = U(0, 1)$







This page shows $c = a \times b$ in bins of $b = G(0, 1)$







This page shows $c = a \times b$ in bins of $d = U(a, 1)$







This page shows $c = a \times b$ in bins of $e = r \times \cos \phi$







This page shows $c = a \times b$ in bins of $f = r \times \sin \phi$







This page shows $d = U(a, 1)$ in bins of $a = U(0, 1)$







This page shows $d = U(a, 1)$ in bins of $b = G(0, 1)$







This page shows $d = U(a, 1)$ in bins of $c = a \times b$







This page shows $d = U(a, 1)$ in bins of $e = r \times \cos \phi$







This page shows $d = U(a, 1)$ in bins of $f = r \times \sin \phi$







This page shows $e = r \times \cos \phi$ in bins of $a = U(0, 1)$







This page shows $e = r \times \cos \phi$ in bins of $b = G(0, 1)$







This page shows $e = r \times \cos \phi$ in bins of $c = a \times b$







This page shows $e = r \times \cos \phi$ in bins of $d = U(a, 1)$







This page shows $e = r \times \cos \phi$ in bins of $f = r \times \sin \phi$







This page shows $f = r \times \sin \phi$ in bins of $a = U(0, 1)$







This page shows $f = r \times \sin \phi$ in bins of $b = G(0, 1)$



This page shows $f = r \times \sin \phi$ in bins of $c = a \times b$



This page shows $f = r \times \sin \phi$ in bins of $d = U(a, 1)$



This page shows $f = r \times \sin \phi$ in bins of $e = r \times \cos \phi$

