

FOR INTERNAL SCRUTINY (date of this version: 24/3/2016)

UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH
COLLEGE OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
SCHOOL OF INFORMATICS

TYPES AND SEMANTICS FOR PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES

Sunday 1st April 2012

00:00 to 00:00

INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES

MOCK EXAM MOCK EXAM

Answer any TWO questions

All questions carry equal weight

MOCK EXAM MOCK EXAM

Year 4 Courses

Convener: ITO-Will-Determine

External Examiners: ITO-Will-Determine

THIS EXAMINATION WILL BE MARKED ANONYMOUSLY

1. This question uses the library definition of `list` in Coq, which includes the function `++`.

Here is an informal definition of the predicate `member`.

$$\text{member_here} \frac{}{\text{member } x \ (x :: xs)} \quad \text{member_later} \frac{\text{member } x \ xs}{\text{member } x \ (y :: xs)}$$

- Formalise the definitions above. [9 marks]
- Prove both of the following.

Theorem `app_member_left` : $\forall (X : \text{Type}) (x : X) (xs \ ys : \text{list } X),$
 $\text{member } x \ xs \longrightarrow \text{member } x \ (xs ++ ys).$

Theorem `app_member_right` : $\forall (X : \text{Type}) (x : X) (xs \ ys : \text{list } X),$
 $\text{member } x \ ys \longrightarrow \text{member } x \ (xs ++ ys).$

[8 marks]

- Prove both of the following.

Theorem `or_app_member` : $\forall (X : \text{Type}) (x : X) (xs \ ys : \text{list } X),$
 $\text{member } x \ xs \vee \text{member } x \ ys \longrightarrow \text{member } x \ (xs ++ ys).$

Theorem `app_or_member` : $\forall (X : \text{Type}) (x : X) (xs \ ys : \text{list } X),$
 $\text{member } x \ (xs ++ ys) \longrightarrow \text{member } x \ xs \vee \text{member } x \ ys.$

[8 marks]

2. You will be provided with a definition of a simple imperative language in Coq.
Consider a construct satisfying the following rules.

Evaluation:

$$\text{E_RepeatEnd} \frac{c/st \Downarrow st' \quad \text{beval } st' \ b = \text{true}}{\text{REPEAT } c \text{ UNTIL } b \text{ END} / st \Downarrow st'}$$

$$\text{E_LoopLoop} \frac{c/st \Downarrow st' \quad \text{beval } st' \ b = \text{false} \quad \text{REPEAT } c \text{ UNTIL } b \text{ END} / st' \Downarrow st''}{\text{REPEAT } c \text{ UNTIL } b \text{ END} / st \Downarrow st''}$$

Hoare logic:

$$\text{hoare_loop} \frac{\begin{array}{c} \{\{P\}\} \ c \ \{\{Q\}\} \\ Q \wedge \neg b \rightarrow P \end{array}}{\{\{P\}\} \ \text{REPEAT } c \text{ UNTIL } b \text{ END} \ \{\{Q \wedge b\}\}}$$

- Extend the given definition to formalise the evaluation rules. [12 marks]
- Prove the Hoare rule. You will be provided with proofs of Hoare rules for the simple imperative language that you may modify. [13 marks]

3. You will be provided with a definition of simply-typed lambda calculus in Coq.

Consider constructs satisfying the following rules. (There is no T parameter on **leaf**, so types are not unique.)

Evaluation:

$$\text{ST_BranchLeft} \frac{t_1 \Rightarrow t'_1}{(\text{branch } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3) \Rightarrow (\text{branch } t'_1 \ t_2 \ t_3)}$$

$$\text{ST_BranchMiddle} \frac{\text{value } v_1 \quad t_2 \Rightarrow t'_2}{(\text{branch } v_1 \ t_2 \ t_3) \Rightarrow (\text{branch } v_1 \ t'_2 \ t_3)}$$

$$\text{ST_BranchRight} \frac{\text{value } v_1 \quad \text{value } v_2 \quad t_3 \Rightarrow t'_3}{(\text{branch } v_1 \ v_2 \ t_3) \Rightarrow (\text{branch } v_1 \ v_2 \ t'_3)}$$

$$\text{ST_TCase} \frac{t_1 \Rightarrow t'_1}{\begin{array}{l} (\text{tcase } t_1 \text{ of leaf } \Rightarrow t_2 \mid \text{branch } xt \ y \ zt \Rightarrow t_3) \\ \Rightarrow (\text{tcase } t'_1 \text{ of leaf } \Rightarrow t_2 \mid \text{branch } xt \ y \ zt \Rightarrow t_3) \end{array}}$$

$$\text{ST_TCaseLeaf} \frac{}{(\text{tcase leaf of leaf } \Rightarrow t_2 \mid \text{branch } xt \ y \ zt \Rightarrow t_3) \Rightarrow t_2}$$

$$\text{ST_TCaseBranch} \frac{\text{value } v_{11} \quad \text{value } v_{12} \quad \text{value } v_{13}}{\begin{array}{l} (\text{tcase } (\text{branch } v_{11} \ v_{12} \ v_{13}) \text{ of leaf } \Rightarrow t_2 \mid \text{branch } xt \ y \ zt \Rightarrow t_3) \\ \Rightarrow [xt := v_{11}][y := v_{12}][zt := v_{13}]t_3 \end{array}}$$

Typing

$$\text{T_Leaf} \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{leaf} \in \text{Tree } T}$$

$$\text{T_Branch} \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 \in \text{Tree } T \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 \in T \quad \Gamma \vdash t_3 \in \text{Tree } T}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{branch } t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3) \in \text{Tree } T}$$

$$\text{T_TCase} \frac{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma \vdash t_0 \in \text{Tree } T \\ \Gamma \vdash t_1 \in T' \\ \Gamma, xt \in \text{Tree } T, y \in T, zt \in \text{Tree } T \vdash t_2 \in T' \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{tcase } t_0 \text{ of leaf } \Rightarrow t_1 \mid \text{branch } xt \ y \ zt \Rightarrow t_2) \in T'}$$

- Extend the given definition to formalise the evaluation and typing rules. [12 marks]
- Prove progress. You will be provided with a proof of progress for simply-typed lambda calculus that you may extend. [13 marks]