On sum over states (SOS)

Pierre Beaujean

November 1, 2024

1 Introduction

On the ground of perturbation theory, the SOS expression of Orr and Ward [1] (see also Bishop [2]) states that any component of any nonlinear optical tensor $\chi^{(n)}(-\omega_{\sigma};\omega_1,...)$ (of order n)¹ is given by:

$$\chi_{\zeta\eta\dots\nu}^{(n)}(-\omega_{\sigma};\omega_{1},\dots) = \hbar^{-n} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \sum_{a_{1},a_{2}\dots a_{n}} \frac{\mu_{0a_{1}}^{\zeta} \mu_{a_{1}a_{2}}^{\eta} \dots \mu_{a_{n}0}^{\nu}}{\prod_{0 < i \leq n} (\omega_{a_{i}} - \omega_{\sigma} + \sum_{0 < j < i} \omega_{j})}, \tag{1}$$

where ζ, η, \ldots are the Cartesian coordinates x, y, z (in the molecular frame), $\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots$, the (optical) input frequencies of the laser for the NLO process (with $\omega_{\sigma} = \sum_{0 < i < n} \omega_i$), $|a_1\rangle, |a_2\rangle, \ldots$, the states of the system **including the ground state** (with $\hbar\omega_{a_i}$ the excitation energy from ground state, noted $|0\rangle$, to $|a_i\rangle$), $\mu_{a_ia_j}^{\zeta} = \langle a_i|\hat{\zeta}|a_j\rangle$ the transition dipole moment from state a_i to a_j (it corresponds to the dipole moment of electronic state a_i when i = j), and $\sum_{\mathcal{P}}$ the sum of the different permutations over each pair $(\zeta, \omega_{\sigma}), (\eta, \omega_1), \ldots$

Given the form of Eq. (1), it is relatively easy to write a (Python) code that compute any $\chi^{(n)}$. However, doing so requires care, since this expression blow up when any $\omega_i = 0$. The goal of this document is to find alternative formulas, while retaining generality.

2 Theory

2.1 Avoiding secular divergence: using fluctuation dipole

Examining the expressions for $n \in [1, 3]$ more closely, one has:

$$\alpha_{ij}(-\omega;\omega) = \hbar^{-1} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \sum_{a_1} \frac{(\zeta \eta)_{a_1}}{\omega_{a_1} - \omega},\tag{2}$$

$$\beta_{ijk}(-\omega_{\sigma};\omega_1,\omega_2) = \hbar^{-2} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \sum_{a_1,a_2} \frac{(\zeta \eta \kappa)_{a_1 a_2}}{(\omega_{a_1} - \omega_{\sigma})(\omega_{a_2} - \omega_{\sigma} + \omega_1)},\tag{3}$$

$$\gamma_{ijkl}(-\omega_{\sigma};\omega_1,\omega_2,\omega_3) = \hbar^{-3} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \sum_{a_1,a_2,a_3} \frac{(\zeta \eta \kappa \lambda)_{a_1 a_2 a_3}}{(\omega_{a_1} - \omega_{\sigma})(\omega_{a_2} - \omega_{\sigma} + \omega_1)(\omega_{a_3} - \omega_{\sigma} + \omega_1 + \omega_2)}, \quad (4)$$

¹I'm aware that χ is generaly used to refer to the nonlinear susceptibility, the macroscopic equivalent of what I'm discussing here, but I needed a greek letter.

representing the polarizability $\alpha = \chi^{(1)}$, first hyperpolarizability $\beta = \chi^{(2)}$, and second hyperpolarizability $\gamma = \chi^{(3)}$. Here, the numerator notation of Bishop [2], $(\zeta \eta \kappa \lambda)_{a_1 a_2 a_3} = \mu_{0a_1}^{\zeta} \mu_{a_1 a_2}^{\eta} \mu_{a_2 a_3}^{\kappa} \mu_{a_3 0}^{\lambda}$, is employed.

Each of Eqs. (2)-(4) encounters divergences (or singularities) when a denominator vanishes. This phenomenon is termed **secular divergence** if caused by any state $|a_i\rangle = |0\rangle$ (and thus $\omega_{a_i} = 0$) or, if any optical frequency (or a combination thereof) matches $\omega_{a_i} \neq 0$, is generally termed **resonance** [2]. While resonances are intrinsic to perturbation theory and often mitigated by introducing damping factors (though methods remain debated [3]), secular divergences are mathematical artifacts and can be avoided.

Following Bishops, substituting the dipole operator in Eq. (1) with a fluctuation dipole operator, $\bar{\mu}_{a_1 a_2}^{\zeta} = \mu_{a_1 a_2}^{\zeta} - \delta_{a_1 a_2} \mu_{00}^{\zeta}$, results in $(\bar{\zeta})_g = 0$, allowing the ground state to be excluded from the summations in Eqs. (2) and (3). Consequently, we obtain:

$$\alpha_{ij}(-\omega;\omega) = \hbar^{-1} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \sum_{a_1'} \frac{(\zeta \eta)_{a_1}}{\omega_{a_1} - \omega},$$

$$\beta_{ijk}(-\omega_{\sigma};\omega_1,\omega_2) = \hbar^{-2} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \sum_{a_1',a_2'} \frac{(\zeta \bar{\eta}\kappa)_{a_1 a_2}}{(\omega_{a_1} - \omega_{\sigma})(\omega_{a_2} - \omega_{\sigma} + \omega_1)},$$

where the prime indicates that the sums over a_1 (and a_2) now exclude $|0\rangle$. This adjustment removes secular divergence, but also permits the first and last transition dipoles in each term to omit the "bar" as well.

Applying this procedure to Eq. (4) introduces an error in cases where terms with $|a_2\rangle = |0\rangle$ are omitted. The correct expression for the second hyperpolarizability, γ , is therefore the sum of two components, $\gamma = \gamma^{(+)} + \gamma^{(-)}$, where:

$$\gamma_{ijkl}^{(+)}(-\omega_{\sigma};\omega_{1},\omega_{2},\omega_{3}) = \hbar^{-3} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \sum_{a'_{1},a'_{2},a'_{3}} \frac{(\zeta \bar{\eta} \bar{\kappa} \lambda)_{a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}}}{(\omega_{a_{1}} - \omega_{\sigma})(\omega_{a_{2}} - \omega_{\sigma} + \omega_{1})(\omega_{a_{3}} - \omega_{\sigma} + \omega_{1} + \omega_{2})},
\gamma_{ijkl}^{(-)}(-\omega_{\sigma};\omega_{1},\omega_{2},\omega_{3}) = \hbar^{-3} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \sum_{a'_{1},a'_{3}} \frac{(\zeta \eta)_{a_{1}}(\kappa \lambda)_{a_{3}}}{(\omega_{a_{1}} - \omega_{\sigma})(-\omega_{\sigma} + \omega_{1})(\omega_{a_{3}} - \omega_{\sigma} + \omega_{1} + \omega_{2})}, \tag{5}$$

where $\gamma^{(+)}$ corresponds to the expression when summing over all non-ground states, while $\gamma^{(-)}$ is a correction term, obtained by setting $|a_2\rangle = |0\rangle$ in the expression of $\gamma^{(+)}$. However, these (so-called) secular terms, grouped in $\gamma^{(-)}$, lead to divergence if the conditions $-\omega_{\sigma}+\omega_1=\omega_2+\omega_3=0$ is satisfied, even though the ground state is excluded from the summation.

Before addressing this divergence in detail, note that a generalization of this procedure yields

$$\chi_{\zeta\eta\ldots\nu}^{(n)}(-\omega_{\sigma};\omega_{1},\ldots) = \chi_{\zeta\eta\ldots\nu}^{(n,+)}(-\omega_{\sigma};\omega_{1},\ldots) + \chi_{\zeta\eta\ldots\nu}^{(n,-)}(-\omega_{\sigma};\omega_{1},\ldots), \tag{6}$$

where $\chi^{(n,+)}$ represents the non-secular contributions, given by:

$$\chi_{\zeta\eta\dots\nu}^{(n,+)}(-\omega_{\sigma};\omega_{1},\dots) = \hbar^{-n} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \sum_{a'_{1},a'_{2},\dots} \frac{(\zeta\bar{\eta}\bar{\kappa}\dots\nu)_{a_{1}a_{2}\dots a_{n}}}{\prod_{0< i\leq n} \omega'_{a_{i}}},\tag{7}$$

which follows directly from Eq. (1), with the summation now excluding the ground state. Here, the notation $\omega'_{a_i} = \omega_{a_i} - \omega_{\sigma} + \sum_{0 < j < i} \omega_j$ is introduced, which will be useful next. The secular contributions, $\chi^{(n,-)}$, are given by:

$$\chi^{(n,-)} = \sum_{1 < i < n} \left[\chi^{(n,+)} \Big|_{|a_i\rangle = |0\rangle} + \sum_{i+1 < j < n} \left(\chi^{(n,+)} \Big|_{|a_i\rangle = |a_j\rangle = |0\rangle} + \ldots \right) \right], \tag{8}$$

where Cartesian indices and laser frequencies have been omitted for clarity. The notation $|a_i\rangle = |a_j\rangle = |0\rangle$ specifies that both states $|a_i\rangle$ and $|a_j\rangle$ are evaluated as the ground state in Eq. (7). The number of secular terms increases with n, as higher-order interactions introduce additional configurations in which intermediate states are the ground state.

2.2 Curing the remaining divergent secular terms

To avoid divergence in secular terms, Bishop [2] suggests using the fact that these terms are invariant under time-reversal, so that:

$$\chi_{\zeta\eta\ldots\nu}^{(n,-)}(-\omega_{\sigma};\omega_1,\omega_2,\ldots)=\chi_{\zeta\eta\ldots\nu}^{(n,-)}(+\omega_{\sigma};-\omega_1,-\omega_2,\ldots),$$

a property characteristic of any nonlinear optical (NLO) tensor element. The approach, then, is to rewrite $\chi^{(n,-)}$ as the average of itself and its time-reversed counterpart. Applying this procedure to $\chi^{(n,+)}|_{|a_j\rangle=|0\rangle}$ (where $\omega_{a_j}=0$) and focusing on the denominator (the numerator remains unaffected due to the time-reversal invariance of the dipole operator) yields:

$$\frac{1}{2x} \left[\frac{1}{\prod_{0 < i \neq j \leq n} (\omega'_{a_i} + x)} - \frac{1}{\prod_{0 < i \neq j \leq n} \omega'_{a_i}} \right] = -\frac{1}{2x} \left[\frac{\prod_{0 < i \neq j \leq n} (\omega'_{a_i} + x) - \prod_{0 < i \neq j \leq n} \omega'_{a_i}}{\prod_{0 < i \neq j \leq n} (\omega'_{a_i} + x) \omega'_{a_i}} \right],$$

after setting $\omega'_{a_j} = -x$ for convenience and using a permutation of indices to obtain $\omega'_{a_i} - x$ in the denominator of the time-reversed term. Applying Theorem A.2 to the expression above gives:

$$-\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\sum_{0 < i \neq j \le n} \left(\prod_{0 < l \neq j < i} (\omega'_{a_l} + x) \prod_{i < l \neq j \le n} (\omega'_{a_l}) \right)}{\prod_{0 < l \neq j \le n} (\omega'_{a_l} + x) \omega'_{a_l}} \right] = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 < i \neq j \le n} \frac{1}{\prod_{0 < l \neq j \le i} (\omega'_{a_l}) \prod_{i \le l \neq j \le n} (\omega'_{a_l} + x)}.$$

Thus, we obtain:

$$\chi^{(n,+)}\big|_{|a_{j}\rangle=|0\rangle} = -\frac{1}{2\hbar^{n}} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \sum_{a'_{1}, a'_{2}, \dots} \sum_{0 < i \neq j \leq n} \frac{(\zeta \bar{\eta} \dots \kappa)_{a_{1}a_{2} \dots a_{j-1}} (\xi \bar{\tau} \dots \nu)_{a_{j+1} \dots a_{n}}}{\prod_{0 < l \neq j \leq i} (\omega'_{a_{l}}) \prod_{i \leq l \neq j \leq n} (\omega'_{a_{l}} + x)},$$
(9)

where $x = \omega_{\sigma} - \sum_{0 < l < j} \omega_{l}$. By further manipulating this equation, one can derive Eq. (5). Additional explicit formulas are provided in Ref. [2]. However, Eq. (9) is general enough to be implemented in a Python code.

With Eq. (9) alone, one is limited to n < 5, since n = 5 and above requires to include

configuration where two intermediate states are ground. While it is most definitely possible to derive an expression for such case, it is out of the scope for the moment.

3 Results

After theses formula have been implemented, comparisons between Eq. (1) and Eq. (6) have been successfully conducted to get tensors, $\chi^{(n)}$ corresponding to n^{th} -harmonic generation process (where all $\omega_i = \omega$), within both two- and three-state models. It was performed up to n = 5 with divergent secular terms (which includes a secular term where $|a_2\rangle = |a_4\rangle = |0\rangle$), and up to n = 4 with non-divergent secular terms [using Eq. (9)].

A A few proofs

Lemma A.1. Given a set of reals k_i so that $\{k_i|0 < i \le n\}$, for any $0 < i \le n$, one has:

$$\prod_{0 < j \le i} (k_j + x) = k_i \left[\prod_{0 < j < i} (k_j + x) \right] + x \left[\prod_{0 < j < i} (k_j + x) \right].$$
 (A1)

Proof. Trivial. \Box

Theorem A.2. Given a set of reals k_i so that $\{k_i | 0 < i \le n\}$,

$$\prod_{0 < i \le n} (k_i + x) = \prod_{0 < i \le n} (k_i) + x \left[\sum_{0 < i \le n} \left(\prod_{0 < j < i} (k_j + x) \prod_{i < j \le n} (k_j) \right) \right].$$
 (A2)

Proof. The n=0 and n=1 cases are trivial. For n=2, using Lemma A.1 two times then rearranging:

$$f(x) = (k_1 + x) (k_2 + x)$$

$$= k_2 (k_1 + x) + x (k_1 + x)$$

$$= k_1 k_2 + x k_2 + x (k_1 + x) = k_1 k_2 + x [(k_1 + x) + k_2],$$

fulfills theorem A.2. For n = 3, using Lemma A.1, then the result for n = 2 gives:

$$f(x) = (k_1 + x) (k_2 + x) (k_3 + x)$$

$$= k_3 (k_1 + x) (k_2 + x) + x (k_1 + x) (k_2 + x)$$

$$= k_3 \{k_1 k_2 + x [(k_1 + x) + k_2]\} + x (k_1 + x) (k_2 + x)$$

$$= k_1 k_2 k_3 + x [(k_1 + x) (k_2 + x) + (k_1 + x) k_3 + k_2 k_3]$$

which also fulfill theorem A.2. Finally, given the case n = N, let's prove for n = N + 1:

$$\prod_{0 < i \le N+1} (k_i + x) = k_{N+1} \prod_{0 < i \le N} (k_i + x) + x \prod_{0 < i \le N} (k_i + x)$$

$$= k_{N+1} \left\{ \prod_{0 < i \le N} (k_i) + x \left[\sum_{0 < i \le N} \left(\prod_{0 < j < i} (k_j + x) \prod_{i < j \le N} (k_j) \right) \right] \right\} + x \prod_{0 < i \le N} (k_i + x)$$

$$= \prod_{0 < i \le N+1} (k_i) + x k_{N+1} \left[\sum_{0 < i \le N} \left(\prod_{0 < j < i} (k_j + x) \prod_{i < j \le N} (k_j) \right) \right] + x \prod_{0 < i \le N} (k_i + x)$$

$$= \prod_{0 < i \le N+1} (k_i) + x \left[\sum_{0 < i \le N} \left(\prod_{0 < j < i} (k_j + x) \prod_{i < j \le N+1} (k_j) \right) \right] + x \prod_{0 < i < N+1} (k_i + x)$$

$$= \prod_{0 < i \le N+1} (k_i) + x \left[\sum_{0 < i \le N+1} \left(\prod_{0 < j < i} (k_j + x) \prod_{i < j \le N+1} (k_j) \right) \right].$$

The first line is the application of Lemma A.1, and the second uses Eq. (A2) for n = N.

The three last lines have been obtained by carefully rewriting the boundaries of the sums and products, following the development for n=3. Thus, by induction, theorem A.2 is valid for any $n \geq 0$.

References

- [1] B.J. Orr and J.F. Ward. Perturbation theory of the non-linear optical polarization of an isolated system. *Mol. Phys.*, 20:513–526, 1971.
- [2] David M. Bishop. Explicit nondivergent formulas for atomic and molecular dynamic hyper-polarizabilities. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 100(9):6535–6542, May 1994.
- [3] Jochen Campo, Wim Wenseleers, Joel M. Hales, Nikolay S. Makarov, and Joseph W. Perry. Practical Model for First Hyperpolarizability Dispersion Accounting for Both Homogeneous and Inhomogeneous Broadening Effects. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters*, 3(16):2248–2252, August 2012.