Excited-to-excited transition dipoles

Pierre

March 2, 2024

By neglecting the response of the XC kernel and the Hartree XC kernel, the element of the first hyperpolarizability tensor in the sTD-DFT framework are:

$$\beta_{\zeta\sigma\tau}(\omega_{\varsigma};\omega_{1},\omega_{2}) = -\left\langle \left\langle \hat{\mu}_{\zeta};\hat{\mu}_{\sigma},\hat{\mu}_{\tau} \right\rangle \right\rangle_{\omega_{1},\omega_{2}} = \mathcal{A}_{\zeta\sigma\tau}(\omega_{\varsigma};\omega_{1},\omega_{2}) - \mathcal{B}_{\zeta\sigma\tau}(\omega_{\varsigma};\omega_{1},\omega_{2}), \tag{1}$$

with $\omega_{\varsigma} = -\omega_1 - \omega_2$, and:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\zeta\sigma\tau}(\omega_{\varsigma};\omega_{1},\omega_{2}) = \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \sum_{ia.ja} x_{ia,\zeta}(\omega_{\varsigma}) \left[-\mu_{ij,\sigma} \right] y_{ja,\tau}(\omega_{2}), \tag{2}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{\zeta\sigma\tau}(\omega_{\varsigma};\omega_{1},\omega_{2}) = \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \sum_{ia,ib} x_{ia,\zeta}(\omega_{\varsigma}) \left[-\mu_{ab,\sigma} \right] y_{ib,\tau}(\omega_{2}), \tag{3}$$

where $\sum_{\mathcal{P}}$ is the sum over the sequence of permutations of the pairs of components and energies, $\{(\zeta, \omega_{\varsigma}), (\sigma, \omega_1), (\tau, \omega_2)\}$. For example,

$$\mathcal{A}_{\zeta\sigma\tau}(\omega_{\varsigma};\omega_{1},\omega_{2}) = \sum_{ia,ja} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_{ia,\zeta}(\omega_{\varsigma}) \left[-\mu_{ij,\sigma} \right] y_{ja,\tau}(\omega_{2}) + x_{ia,\zeta}(\omega_{\varsigma}) \left[-\mu_{ij,\tau} \right] y_{ja,\sigma}(\omega_{1}) \\ + x_{ia,\sigma}(\omega_{1}) \left[-\mu_{ij,\zeta} \right] y_{ja,\tau}(\omega_{2}) + x_{ia,\sigma}(\omega_{1}) \left[-\mu_{ij,\tau} \right] y_{ja,\zeta}(\omega_{\varsigma}) \\ + x_{ia,\tau}(\omega_{2}) \left[-\mu_{ij,\zeta} \right] y_{ja,\sigma}(\omega_{1}) + x_{ia,\tau}(\omega_{2}) \left[-\mu_{ij,\sigma} \right] y_{ja,\zeta}(\omega_{\varsigma}) \end{array} \right\},$$

and the same goes for \mathcal{B} .

The spectra representation of linear response vectors $\mathbf{x}_{\zeta}(\omega)$ and $\mathbf{y}_{\zeta}(\omega)$ is given by:

$$x_{ia,\zeta}(\omega) = \sum_{|m\rangle} \mu_{ia,\zeta} \left(x_{ia}^m + y_{ia}^m \right) \left[\frac{x_{ia}^m}{\omega - \omega_m} - \frac{y_{ia}^m}{\omega + \omega_m} \right],$$
$$y_{ia,\zeta}(\omega) = \sum_{|m\rangle} \mu_{ia,\zeta} \left(x_{ia}^m + y_{ia}^m \right) \left[\frac{y_{ia}^m}{\omega - \omega_m} - \frac{x_{ia}^m}{\omega + \omega_m} \right],$$

where \mathbf{x}^m and \mathbf{y}^m are the amplitude vectors associated to excited state $|m\rangle$. Thus, the "residue" of the linear response vectors are:

$$\lim_{\omega_{1} \to -\omega_{m}} (\omega_{1} + \omega_{m}) x_{ia,\zeta}(\omega_{1}) = \mu_{ia,\zeta} (x_{ia}^{m} + y_{ia}^{m}) (-y_{ia}^{m}),$$

$$\lim_{\omega_{1} \to -\omega_{m}} (\omega_{1} + \omega_{m}) y_{ia,\zeta}(\omega_{1}) = \mu_{ia,\zeta} (x_{ia}^{m} + y_{ia}^{m}) (-x_{ia}^{m}),$$

$$\lim_{\omega_{2} \to \omega_{n}} (\omega_{2} - \omega_{n}) x_{ia,\zeta}(\omega_{2}) = \mu_{ia,\zeta} (x_{ia}^{n} + y_{ia}^{n}) (x_{ia}^{n}),$$

$$\lim_{\omega_{2} \to \omega_{n}} (\omega_{2} - \omega_{n}) y_{ia,\zeta}(\omega_{2}) = \mu_{ia,\zeta} (x_{ia}^{n} + y_{ia}^{n}) (y_{ia}^{n}).$$

Now, the double residue of the quadratic response function is:

$$\lim_{\omega_{1} \to -\omega_{m}} \lim_{\omega_{2} \to \omega_{n}} (\omega_{1} + \omega_{m}) (\omega_{2} - \omega_{n}) \left\langle \left\langle \hat{\mu}_{\zeta}; \hat{\mu}_{\sigma}, \hat{\mu}_{\tau} \right\rangle \right\rangle_{\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}}$$

$$= \left\langle 0 | \hat{\mu}_{\zeta} | m \right\rangle \left\langle m | \hat{\mu}_{\sigma} - \delta_{mn} \left\langle 0 | \hat{\mu}_{\sigma} | 0 \right\rangle | n \right\rangle \left\langle n | \hat{\mu}_{\tau} | 0 \right\rangle$$

$$= \lim_{\omega_{1} \to -\omega_{m}} \lim_{\omega_{2} \to \omega_{n}} (\omega_{1} + \omega_{m}) (\omega_{2} - \omega_{n}) \left[\mathcal{B}_{\zeta\sigma\tau}(\omega_{\zeta}; \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}) - \mathcal{A}_{\zeta\sigma\tau}(\omega_{\zeta}; \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}) \right]. \tag{5}$$

In particular, from Eq. (2):

$$\lim_{\omega_{1} \to -\omega_{m}} \lim_{\omega_{2} \to \omega_{n}} (\omega_{1} + \omega_{m}) (\omega_{2} - \omega_{n}) \mathcal{A}_{\zeta \sigma \tau} (\omega_{\varsigma}; \omega_{1}, \omega_{2})$$

$$= \sum_{ia, ja} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu_{ia,\zeta} (x_{ia}^{m} + y_{ia}^{m}) (-y_{ia}^{m}) [-\mu_{ij,\zeta}] \mu_{ja,\tau} (x_{ja}^{n} + y_{ja}^{n}) (y_{ja}^{n}) \\ + \mu_{ia,\tau} (x_{ia}^{n} + y_{ia}^{n}) (x_{ia}^{n}) [-\mu_{ij,\zeta}] \mu_{ja,\zeta} (x_{ja}^{m} + y_{ja}^{m}) (-x_{ja}^{m}) \end{array} \right\},$$

and, from Eq. (3),

$$\lim_{\omega_{1} \to -\omega_{m}} \lim_{\omega_{2} \to \omega_{n}} (\omega_{1} + \omega_{m}) (\omega_{2} - \omega_{n}) \mathcal{B}_{\zeta \sigma \tau}(\omega_{\zeta}; \omega_{1}, \omega_{2})$$

$$= \sum_{ia.ib} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu_{ia,\zeta} (x_{ia}^{m} + y_{ia}^{m}) (-y_{ia}^{m}) [-\mu_{ab,\zeta}] \mu_{ib,\tau} (x_{ib}^{n} + y_{ib}^{n}) (y_{ib}^{n}) \\ + \mu_{ia,\tau} (x_{ia}^{n} + y_{ia}^{n}) (x_{ia}^{n}) [-\mu_{ab,\zeta}] \mu_{ib,\zeta} (x_{ib}^{m} + y_{ib}^{m}) (-x_{ib}^{m}) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Since,

$$\langle 0|\hat{\mu}_{\zeta}|m\rangle = \sqrt{2} \sum_{ia} \vec{\mu}_{ia,\zeta} (x_{ia}^m + y_{ia}^m),$$

equating Eqs. (4) and (5) results in:

$$\langle m|\hat{\mu}_{\zeta} - \delta_{mn} \langle 0|\hat{\mu}_{\zeta}|0\rangle |n\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{ia,ib} \mu_{ab,\zeta} \left[x_{ia}^{n} x_{ib}^{m} + y_{ia}^{m} y_{ib}^{n} \right] - \sum_{ia,ja} \mu_{ij,\zeta} \left[x_{ia}^{n} x_{ja}^{m} + y_{ia}^{m} y_{ja}^{n} \right] \right\}.$$
 (6)