

# Practical Invalid Curve Attack Using Quadratic Twist

Pierre Chrétien

February 2025

## 1 Introduction

The so called *Invalid curve attack* is a real threat for cryptographic protocols based on elliptic curves. The attack has first been presented in [2] and the use of twists was described in [4]. OpenPGP.js prior to 4.2.0 was found to be vulnerable<sup>1</sup>. The Node.js secp256k1-node allows bindings to the "Bitcoin curve" `secp256k1` and was found to be vulnerable<sup>2</sup> to small subgroup attacks. Bluetooth was proved to be vulnerable to a "Fixed Coordinate" variant [3]. Edwards model has also been examined in [5]. The SafeCurves website and the associated paper [1] ask for resistance to this attack.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 recalls the basics mathematical concepts used, in particular about discrete logarithm problem (DLP) and twists of elliptic curves. Section 3 presents the general setting of the attack and ways to exploit poor implementation and weak curves. Section 4 is a complete walkthrough example with a toy curve  $E$ . A comprehensive study of morphisms and the quadratic twist  $E_d$  allows to solve the challenge DLP not in  $E(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$  (as usually seen in Invalid Curve Attack write-ups) but instead in  $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and  $E_d(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . This paper has an expository role.

## 2 Background Material

**Notations :** We will denote by  $\mathbb{F}_q$  the finite field with  $q = p^n$  elements where  $p \geq 5$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ . We will denote by  $E/\mathbb{F}_q$  an elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The reader is assumed to be familiar with basic theory of elliptic curves.

**Short Weierstrass equations.** Since  $p \geq 5$ , every elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{F}_q$  may be written as

$$E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q.$$

This is a so called *short Weierstrass form* of the curve  $E$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The point at infinity of  $E$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{O}_E$ .

**Remark 1.** The condition  $p \geq 5$  is not a restriction in our context since  $p$  will usually be a large prime.

**Automorphisms.** Let  $E_1/\mathbb{F}_q$  and  $E_2/\mathbb{F}_q$  be elliptic curves. These curves may be seen over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ , i.e. coefficients of equations defining  $E_i$  may be seen as lying in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$  instead of in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Every geometric isomorphism of elliptic curves  $\phi/\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$  from  $E_1/\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$  to  $E_2/\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$  has an affine part of the form

$$\phi(x, y) = (u^2x + r, u^3y + su^2x + t). \quad (1)$$

for  $u \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}^*$ ,  $r, s, t \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ . The isomorphism  $\psi/\mathbb{F}_q$  is said to be *defined* over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  or *rational* if  $u, r, s, t \in \mathbb{F}_q$ .

**Proposition 1.** Let  $E_i/\mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  be elliptic curves given by short Weierstrass equations

$$E_i : y^2 = x^3 + a_i x + b_i, \quad a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{F}_q.$$

A geometric isomorphism  $\phi$  from  $E_1$  to  $E_2$  is of the form

$$\phi(x, y) = (u^2x, u^3y).$$

*Proof.* This is included as a first step to fully understand isomorphisms in the quadratic twist case.

Let  $(x, y) \in E_1$  and  $\phi$  as given by (1). Applying  $\phi$  to the equation of  $E_1$  and expanding yields

$$\begin{aligned} y^2 &= x^3 + a_1x + b_1 \\ \Leftrightarrow (u^3y + su^2x + t)^2 &= (u^2x + r)^3 + a_1(u^2x + r) + b_1 \\ \Leftrightarrow u^6y^2 + s^2u^4x^2 + t^2 + 2u^5sxy + 2u^3ty + 2tsu^2x &= u^6x^3 + 3ru^4x^2 + 3r^2u^2x + r^3 + a_1u^2x + a_1r + b_1(*) \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>1</sup><https://www.cve.org/CVERecord?id=CVE-2019-9155>

<sup>2</sup><https://nvd.nist.gov/vuln/detail/CVE-2024-48930>

Identifying coefficients of  $xy$  and  $y$  with those of  $y^2 = x^3 + a_2x + b_2$  yields  $s = 0, t = 0$  (recall that  $u \neq 0$  and  $p \neq 2$ ).

$$(*) \Leftrightarrow u^6 y^2 = u^6 x^3 + 3ru^4 x^2 + 3r^2 u^2 x + r^3 + a_1 u^2 x + a_1 r + b_1$$

Then, identifying the coefficient of  $x^2$  with the short equation of  $E_2$  yields  $r = 0$  (here we use  $p \neq 3$ ). Thus  $\phi(x, y) = (u^2 x, u^3 y)$ . We conclude with the following computations that will be used in the sequel.

$$\begin{aligned} u^6 y^2 &= u^6 x^3 + a_1 u^2 x + b_1 \\ \Leftrightarrow y^2 &= x^3 + \frac{a_1}{u^4} x + \frac{b_1}{u^6} \\ \Leftrightarrow \frac{a_1}{u^4} &= a_2, \quad \frac{b_1}{u^6} = b_2 \quad (**) \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 2.** Let  $p \geq 5$  and  $E$  be an elliptic curve given by short Weierstrass equation  $E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . The  $j$ -invariant of  $E$  is defined to be

$$j(E) = 1728 \frac{4a^3}{4a^3 + 27b^2}.$$

Let  $E_1/\mathbb{F}_q, E_2/\mathbb{F}_q$  be elliptic curves, there exists an isomorphism  $\phi/\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$  from  $E_1$  to  $E_2$  if and only if  $j(E_1) = j(E_2)$ .

**Remark 2.** 1. We insist that the  $j$ -invariant classifies **geometric** isomorphism classes of elliptic curves.

2. Since  $E$  has a short equation,  $j(E)$  has the special form given above for which  $j(E) \notin \{0, 1728\} \Leftrightarrow a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ .

## 2.1 Twists of Elliptic Curves

**Twists.** Non trivial twists of  $E/\mathbb{F}_q$  are elliptic curves  $E'/\mathbb{F}_q$  being isomorphic to  $E$  when viewed over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$  but not isomorphic to  $E$  when viewed over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $E/\mathbb{F}_q$  be an elliptic curve in short Weierstrass equation  $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ . Recall that  $q = p^n$  and  $p \geq 5$ , so it is possible to write such an equation for  $E$ .

**Definition 1.** Let  $E/\mathbb{F}_q$  be an elliptic curve. A *twist* of  $E$  is an elliptic curve  $E_t/\mathbb{F}_q$  such that there is a geometric isomorphism  $\phi/\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$  of elliptic curves  $\phi : E \simeq E_t$ . A twist  $E_t$  of  $E$  is *trivial* if there exists an isomorphism  $\psi/\mathbb{F}_q : E \rightarrow E_t$  of elliptic curves.

**Definition 2.** Let  $d \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ . The *twist*  $E_d$  of  $E$  by  $d$  is the elliptic curve given by short Weierstrass equation

$$E_d : y^2 = x^3 + d^2 ax + d^3 b.$$

**Remark 3.** We did not specify that  $E_d$  is non trivial. Actually, let  $\delta$  be a square root of  $d$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$  i.e.  $\delta^2 = d$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : E &\rightarrow E_d \\ (x, y) &\mapsto \left(\frac{x}{d}, \frac{y}{d\delta}\right) \end{aligned}$$

is a geometric isomorphism. It matches relations  $(**)$  with  $a_1 = a, b_1 = b, a_2 = ad^2, b_2 = bd^3$  and  $d = \frac{1}{u^2}$ .

**Proposition 3.** Assume that  $j(E) \neq 0, 1728$ . The twist  $E_d$  is trivial if and only if  $d \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$ .

*Proof.*  $(\Rightarrow)$  Assume that there exists a rational isomorphism  $\psi$  from  $E$  to  $E_d$ . According to Proposition 1

$$\exists u \in \mathbb{F}_q^*, \psi(x, y) = (u^2 x, u^3 y)$$

According to  $(**)$ ,  $\frac{a}{u^4} = ad^2$  and  $\frac{b}{u^6} = bd^3$ . The assumption about  $j(E)$  is equivalent to  $a, b \neq 0$ . Thus  $\frac{1}{u^4} = d^2$ ,  $\frac{1}{u^6} = d^3$  and  $d = \frac{d^3}{d^2} = \frac{1}{u^2} \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$ .

$(\Leftarrow)$  Conversely, let  $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$  such that  $\delta^2 = d$ . Then a rational isomorphism from  $E$  to  $E_d$  is

$$\psi(x, y) = \left(\frac{x}{d}, \frac{y}{d\delta}\right)$$

□

**Proposition 4.** Assume that  $j(E) \neq 0, 1728$ . Then a twist  $E_t/\mathbb{F}_q$  of  $E/\mathbb{F}_q$  is either trivial or isomorphic over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  to  $E_d/\mathbb{F}_q$  for some  $d \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*) \setminus (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $E_t/\mathbb{F}_q$  is a non trivial twist of  $E/\mathbb{F}_q$  with isomorphism  $\phi : E \rightarrow E_t$  given by  $\phi(x, y) = (u^2x, u^3y)$ ,  $u \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ ,  $u \notin \mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $E_t : y^2 = x^3 + a_tx + b_t$ ,  $a_t, b_t \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , thus  $(**)$  yields

$$a_t = \frac{a}{u^4}, b_t = \frac{b}{u^6}$$

Then  $u^2 = \frac{ba_t}{ab_t} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , i.e.  $u \notin \mathbb{F}_q$  but  $u^2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . This means that  $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $d := \frac{1}{u^2}$ , then  $a_t = d^2a, b_t = d^3b$  and  $\phi(x, y) = (\frac{x}{d}, \frac{uy}{d})$  gives an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Remark 4.** Proposition 3 is wrong if  $j(E) \in \{0; 1728\}$ . For example, let  $p = q = 3$ ,  $E : y^2 = x^3 + x + 1$  and  $d = 2$ . In this case,  $E$  is isomorphic to  $E_d : y^2 = x^3 + x + 2$  with  $\psi$  given by constants  $(u, r, s, t) = (2, 2, 0, 0)$ . So  $E_d$  is a trivial twist of  $E$  but  $d$  is non square modulo 3. Note that the general definition of  $j(E)$  when  $p = 3$  gives here  $j(E) = 0$ .

**Order of group of rational points.** The group of rational points  $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$  has order  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q) = q + 1 - t$  where  $t$  is the *Trace of Frobenius*. An extensive description of the Frobenius endomorphism is out scope for this paper, we only need some basics facts we recall below.

**Proposition 5.** 1. (**Hasse Bound**) One has  $|t| \leq 2\sqrt{q}$ .

2. Let  $E_d$  be the non trivial quadratic twist of  $E$ . One has  $\#E_d(\mathbb{F}_q) = q + 1 + t$ , thus  $|\#E_d(\mathbb{F}_q) - \#E(\mathbb{F}_q)| = |2t| \leq 4\sqrt{q}$ .

*Proof.* 1. The proof of Hasse Bound is technical, the interested reader may refer to V Theorem 1.1 from [6]

2. We include this proof to give some insight into how points distribute over  $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and  $E_d(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . We will prove that

$$\#E(\mathbb{F}_q) + \#E_d(\mathbb{F}_q) = 2q + 2 \quad (\dagger)$$

substituting  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q) = q + 1 - t$  in  $(\dagger)$  gives the result.

Let  $f(x) = x^3 + ax + b$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$  be non square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and  $E_d : Y^2 = X^3 + d^2aX + d^3b$ . The following change of variables on  $E_d$  (take care, this is not an automorphism of  $E_d$  but a tool to count points)

$$X = dx, Y = dy$$

$$\text{yields } Y^2 = X^3 + d^2aX + d^3b \Leftrightarrow d^2y^2 = d^3x^3 + d^3ax + d^3b \Leftrightarrow y^2 = df(x).$$

- Let  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$  such that  $f(x) = 0$ , then  $(x, 0) \in E(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and  $(xd, 0) \in E_d(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Each curve gets one point.
- Let  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$  such that  $f(x) \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$ . Then  $y^2 = f(x)$  has two solutions. Since  $d$  is non square,  $df(x)$  is non square and  $y^2 = df(x)$  has no solution in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . So  $E$  gets two points and  $E_d$  zero.
- Let  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$  such that  $f(x) \notin (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$ . Then  $df(x) \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$  thus  $y^2 = df(x)$  has two solutions in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  giving rise to two points.  $E_d$  and  $E$  gets zero point.

Let  $E_a(\mathbb{F}_q) = E(\mathbb{F}_q) \setminus \{\mathcal{O}_E\}$  be the affine part of  $E$  and  $E_{d,a}(\mathbb{F}_q) = E_d(\mathbb{F}_q) \setminus \{\mathcal{O}_{E_d}\}$  be the affine part of  $E_d$ . Each  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$  contributes for 2 points in  $E_a(\mathbb{F}_q) \cup E_{d,a}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , note that  $E_a(\mathbb{F}_q) \cap E_{d,a}(\mathbb{F}_q) = \emptyset$ . Counting points at infinity once for each curve yields  $(\dagger)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5.** It is very significant that every  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$  lifts to  $E(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$  but about an half of those  $x$  lifts to  $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Tracking the coefficient  $d$  as in the proof allows to lift points either in  $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$  or in  $E_d(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , that is avoiding any reference to  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . The ultimate goal of this section about twist is this remark. It allows to solve the DLP not in  $E(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$  but in  $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$  or  $E_d(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , speeding up the attack.

## 2.2 Discrete Logarithm Problem

**Definition 3.** Let  $G$  be a group in multiplicative notation. The **Discrete Logarithm Problem** (DLP) is : given  $b, h \in G$  find  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $h = b^a$ .

**Remark 6.** The group law on an elliptic curve being usually written in additive notation, DLP for elliptic curves is rephrased as : given  $P, B \in E(\mathbb{F}_q)$  find  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $P = aB$ . The DLP has a solution if and only if  $P$  is in the subgroup  $\langle B \rangle$  generated by  $B$ .

The following discussion gives the necessities notions when dealing with generic methods to solve the DLP and will be used in the last section. The generic `discrete_log` method from SageMath uses a combination of Pohlig-Hellman, Baby Step Giant Step (BSGS), Pollard's kangaroo (i.e. Pollard's Lambda), and Pollard's Rho.

- The Pohlig-Helmann method. Let  $n$  be the order of a point  $B \in E(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and  $n = \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{n_i}$  be its prime factorization. The subgroup  $H$  generated by  $B$  is cyclic of order  $n$ , thus has a unique cyclic subgroup  $H_i$  of order  $p_i^{n_i}$  for each  $i \in [1; m]$ . By means of the Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT), solving the DLP in  $H$  boils down to solve it in each  $H_i$ . The subgroups  $H_i$  have prime power order, which may still be quite large. One can reduce the DLP from  $H_i$  to subgroups  $\tilde{H}_i$  of order **exactly**  $p_i$ . We restrict ourselves to solving the DLP in the  $\tilde{H}_i$ 's.
- BSGS method is a collision finding algorithm to solve DLP that requires  $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{p_i})$  running time and  $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{p_i})$  storage. This gives a bound on the size of the  $p_i$ 's for which we can hope to solve the DLP with this method.
- Pollard's Rho (resp. Lambda) algorithm is solving the DLP with  $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{p_i})$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{p_i})$ ) time complexity but  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ ) space complexity.

### 3 Invalid Curve Attack

Invalid Curve Attack is presented in [4]. Let  $E/\mathbb{F}_q : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$  be the public curve of the cryptosystem on which the DLP is (assumed) hard.

**Main idea :** The vulnerability lies in the fact that a malicious user may send a point that is **not** on  $E/\mathbb{F}_q$  but on a weaker curve, say a quadratic twist, and then exploit it to solve an easier DLP. This is mainly due to  $b$  not being used for scalar multiplication on  $E$  in short Weierstrass form.

1. Mallory may send an honest  $P = (x, y)$  on  $E$  and gets back  $B := k.P$  from Bob. Mallory wants to recover the secret key  $k$ . But Mallory may also send a malicious point  $Q = (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$  on another curve  $\tilde{E} : y^2 = x^3 + ax + \tilde{b}$  (note that the  $a$  coefficient remains unchanged). Since formulae for computing  $k.Q$  do not involve  $b, \tilde{b}$ , Bob will correctly compute  $k.Q \in \tilde{E}$ , believing he just made a computation on  $E$ .
2. Varying the curve  $\tilde{E}$ , i.e. varying  $\tilde{b} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , Mallory collects various  $k.Q_i$  for  $Q_i \in \tilde{E}_i$ . Choosing wisely the  $\tilde{E}_i$ 's and  $Q_i$ 's may produce several easy DLP.
3. Say that Mallory chooses  $\tilde{E}_i$  and  $Q_i \in \tilde{E}_i$  of order  $n_i$ , let  $p_i$  be a prime divisor of  $n_i$ . Sending  $Q_i$  to Bob, Mallory receives  $B_i := k.Q_i$ . Note that  $\hat{Q}_i = \frac{n_i}{p_i} Q_i$  has order  $p_i$ . Mallory computes  $\hat{B}_i = \frac{n_i}{p_i} B_i$ , so that  $\hat{B}_i = k.\hat{Q}_i$ . Mallory solves this DLP in the group  $\langle \hat{Q}_i \rangle$  of order  $p_i$ , thus recovers  $k$  modulo  $p_i$ .
4. Iterating this process over various prime factors  $p_i$  of  $n_i$ , for various  $n_i$  on selected  $\tilde{E}_i$  gives  $k$  modulo many primes. By means of the CRT, Mallory recovers  $k$  modulo **the product** of the  $p_i$ 's. If  $k$  is known to be a, say,  $2^{256}$  bits key and the product of the  $p_i$  is greater than  $2^{256}$  then Mallory actually recovered  $k$ .

**Remark 7.** 1. Checking that the received point  $Q$  actually lies on  $E$  stops the attack.

2. According to [1], a curve supporting "simple, fast, constant-time single-coordinate single-scalar multiplication [...] drastically limits the power of invalid-curve attacks" due to the fact that for a given  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , formulae for single-coordinate ladders work for the original curve and the quadratic twist. So if the implementation is based on such ladders (e.g. Montgomery ladders), Mallory has only access to points on  $E$  and  $E_d$  but on no other curve  $\tilde{E}$ .
3. Even if  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q) = hp$  with  $p$  prime and  $h$  a small cofactor (a classical setting in cryptographic applications) then  $\#E_d(\mathbb{F}_q)$  might have a prime factorization with many small primes (such numbers are called *smooth*). For example **brainpoolP256t1** curve has prime order but its quadratic twist has smooth order since it factors in a product of 7 primes of which 6 have bit length less than 42 (the last prime factor has bit length 89).

### 4 Walkthrough Example

In this section we give details to implement the attack on a toy curve in a fake game between Bob and Mallory. The full code is available in `FastInvalidAttack.py`, containing some more explanations and comments.

**Setting :**

- You are given some ECDH challenge, assume moreover that the curve  $E$  is in short Weierstrass form.
- The implementation on Bob's side does not check whether the user passes a point actually lying on  $E$ .
- If the challenge uses two coordinates to compute on  $E$ , one may try a Small Subgroup Attack. So one may choose  $x$  such that it lifts as  $Q_i = (x, y)$  of smooth order on a weak curve  $E_i$ . This is not the situation we are interested in.

- If the challenge uses single-coordinate to compute on  $E$  (with some sort of Montgomery scalar multiplication) this may be an Invalid Curve Attack restricting the choice of the weak  $E_i$  to the quadratic twist  $E_d$ .

Assume we are given a challenge where one plays the role of a malicious user Mallory interacting with Bob. Mallory sends a point  $P$  to Bob who computes  $B := \mathbf{k} \cdot P$  for some secret key  $\mathbf{k}$  and sends back  $B$ . Mallory goal is to recover the secret key  $\mathbf{k}$ . Assume moreover that Bob uses some sort of single-coordinate scalar multiplication.

1. Check the prime factorizations of  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q), \#E_d(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Check that they are smooth enough, i.e. have many prime factors with bit length less than, say, 40. In our example, each of these orders only have one very large prime factor. Moreover check that these orders have **different** small prime factors. This implies that sending to Bob a point on  $E_d$  allows Mallory to recover the secret key  $\mathbf{k}$  modulo some new prime factors compared to only sending points that lie on  $E$ .
2. One chooses a point  $G_0 \in E_d(\mathbb{F}_q)$  by lifting some  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , this is done by trial and error until one finds  $x$  such that  $f(x) \notin (\mathbb{F}_q)^2$ .
3. Since  $\#E_d(\mathbb{F}_q)$  has only one unhandleable big prime factor  $p_b$  for DLP, we restrict to the subgroup of order  $n_0 = \frac{\#E_d(\mathbb{F}_q)}{p_b}$  by setting  $\hat{G}_0 := p_b G_0$ .
4. One chooses a point  $G_1 \in E(\mathbb{F}_q)$  by lifting some  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , this is done by trial and error until one finds  $x$  such that  $f(x) \in (\mathbb{F}_q)^2$ .
5. Since  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$  has only one unhandleable big prime factor  $p'_b$  for DLP, we restrict to the subgroup of order  $n_1 = \frac{\#E(\mathbb{F}_q)}{p'_b}$  by setting  $\hat{G}_1 := p'_b G_1$ .
6. Mallory sends the  $x$ -coordinates of  $\hat{G}_0$  and  $\hat{G}_1$  to Bob and gets back **pubkey0** and **pubkey1**. Those are  $x$ -coordinates of points  $P_0 \in E_d(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and  $P_1 \in E(\mathbb{F}_q)$  such that

$$P_i = \mathbf{k} \hat{G}_i$$

This is where using morphisms between  $E$  and  $E_d$  allows Mallory to dodge working in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . Note that Bob uses a single-coordinate scalar multiplication (and no extra bit to identify  $y$ ), thus Mallory knows the discrete logs only up to sign. Indeed,  $P_i$  and  $-P_i$  have the same  $x$ -coordinate due to the short Weierstrass form, Mallory may have recovered  $\mathbf{k}$  or  $-\mathbf{k}$  (modulo  $n_i$ ).

7. Mallory solves these two DLP to recover  $\mathbf{k}$  modulo  $n_0$  and  $n_1$ . By means of the CRT, Mallory recovers  $\mathbf{k}$  modulo  $n_0 n_1$  (note that  $n_0, n_1$  are coprime). Since  $n_0 \times n_1 \geq \#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , Mallory actually recovered  $\mathbf{k}$ .

**Remark 8.** Note that points 2. and 3. above are usually done in Invalid Curve Attack write-ups in  $E_d(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$ , thus the DLP involving  $G_0$  is solved over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  implying a significant slow down of the attack. If the challenge has to be solved under some time constraints, this could be an hindering.

## References

- [1] Daniel J. Bernstein and Tanja Lange. Safe curves for elliptic-curve cryptography. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2024/1265, 2024.
- [2] Ingrid Biehl, Bernd Meyer, and Volker Müller. Differential fault attacks on elliptic curve cryptosystems. In Mihir Bellare, editor, *Advances in Cryptology — CRYPTO 2000*, pages 131–146, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2000. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- [3] Eli Biham and Lior Neumann. Breaking the bluetooth pairing – the fixed coordinate invalid curve attack. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2019/1043, 2019.
- [4] P.-A. Fouque, R. Lercier, D. Réal, and F. Valette. Fault Attack on Elliptic Curve with Montgomery Ladder Implementation. In *FDTC '08. 5th Workshop on Fault Diagnosis and Tolerance in Cryptography*, pages 92–98. IEEE-CS Press, August 2008.
- [5] Samuel Neves and Mehdi Tibouchi. Degenerate curve attacks. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2015/1233, 2015.
- [6] Joseph H Silverman. *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*. Graduate texts in mathematics. Springer, Dordrecht, 2009.