

Reinforcement Learning and Optimal Control

IFT6760C, Fall 2021

Pierre-Luc Bacon

December 6, 2021

Continuous-Time OCP

We consider problems of the form:

$$\text{minimize } c(x(t_f)) + \int_{t_0}^{t_f} c(x(t), u(t)) dt$$

$$\text{subject to } \dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t))$$

$$\text{given } x(t_0) = x_0 \text{ .}$$

The Hamilton-Jacobi Equations

We can show that the cost-to-go for the above continuous-time problem function satisfies for all x, t :

$$J(x, t_f) = c(x) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \left\{ c(x, u) + \underbrace{D_2 J(x, t)}_{\text{time derivative}} + \overbrace{D_1 J(x, t)}^{\text{space derivative}} f(x, u) \right\}$$

This is a partial differential equation (PDE), with both time and space partial derivatives.

From HJB to PMP

Proposition 3.3.1 Let $\{u^*(t)|t \in [0, t_f]\}$ be a an optimal control trajectory and let $\{x^*|t \in [0, t_f]\}$ be the corresponding state trajectory. That is:

$$\dot{x}^*(t) = f(x^*(t), u^*(t)), \quad x^*(t_0) = x_0 \quad .$$

For all $t \in [0, t_f]$:

$$u^*(t) \in \arg \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} H(x^*(t), u, \lambda(t)) \quad ,$$

where H is the Hamiltonian, ie:

$$H(x, u, \lambda) \triangleq c(x, u) + \lambda f(x, u) \quad .$$

From HJB to PMP

(continued) and λ satisfies the adjoint equation:

$$\dot{\lambda}(t) = -D_1 H(x^*(t), u^*(t), \lambda(t)) \ ,$$

with boundary condition $\lambda(t_f) = Dc(x^*(t_f))$. Furthermore, there is a constant C such that:

$$H(x^*(t), u^*(t), \lambda(t)) = C \ ,$$

for all $t \in [0, t_f]$.

Derivation (informal)

Here, we use the approach in Bertsekas, which makes an assumption on the set of controls \mathcal{U} being convex, in tandem with lemma 3.3.1 about differentiating through the min operator.

Lemma 3.3.1 Let $F(x, u, t)$ be a continuously differentiable function of $t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and let \mathcal{U} be a convex subset of \mathbb{R}^m . Assume that $\mu^*(x, t)$ is a continuously differentiable function of u such that:

$$\mu^*(x, t) = \arg \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} F(x, u, t) \text{ for all } x, t .$$

Lemma 3.3.1 continued

Let $G(x, t) \triangleq \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} F(x, u, t)$, it follows that for all x, t :

1. $D_1 G(x, t) = D_1 F(x, \mu^*(x, t), t)$
2. $D_2 G(x, t) = D_3 F(x, \mu^*(x, t), t)$

Proof: Note that $G(x, t) = F(x, \mu^*(x, t), t)$. Taking the (total) derivative:

$$D_1 G(x, t) = D_1 F(x, \mu^*(x, t), t) + D_2 F(x, \mu^*(x, t), t) D_1 \mu^*(x, t)$$

$$D_2 G(x, t) = D_3 F(x, \mu^*(x, t), t) + D_2 F(x, \mu^*(x, t), t) D_2 \mu^*(x, t)$$

Or more compactly with $y = (x, t)$ and

$$G(y) = \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} F(y, u) = F(y, \mu^*(y)):$$

$$DG(y) = D_1 F(y, \mu^*(y)) + D_2 F(y, \mu^*(y)) D\mu^*(y) \quad .$$

Lemma 3.3.1: Taylor Theorem

Let $\mu^*(x, t) \triangleq \mu^*(y)$, by Taylor's theorem:

$$\mu^*(z) = \mu^*(y) + D\mu^*(y)(z - y) + h_1(z)(z - y) ,$$

with $\lim_{z \rightarrow y} h_1(z) = 0$. If we evaluate the function at $y + \Delta_y$:

$$\mu^*(y + \Delta_y) = \mu^*(y) + D\mu^*(y)\Delta_y + o(\|\Delta_y\|) ,$$

or written differently:

$$\mu^*(y + \Delta_y) - \mu^*(y) = D\mu^*(y)\Delta_y + o(\|\Delta_y\|) .$$

(the difference in the output for a perturbation Δ_y)

Lemma 3.3.1: Optimality Conditions

The optimality conditions in the convex case are:

$$D_2F(y, \mu^*(y))(u - \mu^*(y)) \geq 0 \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{U}$$

Which we can also write as:

$$D_2F(y, \mu^*(y))(\mu^*(y + \Delta_y) - \mu^*(y)) \geq 0 \text{ for all } \Delta_y$$

Using Taylor's theorem from previous slide:

$$D_2F(x, \mu^*(y), t) (D\mu^*(y)\Delta_y + o(\|\Delta_y\|)) \geq 0 \text{ for all } \Delta_y$$

which means that:

$$D_2F(x, \mu^*(y), t)D\mu^*(y) = 0 \text{ .}$$

Lemma 3.3.1: Simplifying total derivatives

Going back to our problem

$$DG(y) = D_1F(y, \mu^*(y)) + D_2F(y, \mu^*(y))D\mu^*(y) \ .$$

But since

$$D_2F(x, \mu^*(y), t)D\mu^*(y) = 0 \ .$$

It follows that:

$$DG(y) = D_1F(y, \mu^*(y)) \ .$$

Back to HJB

$$0 = \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \{c(x, u) + D_2 J(x, t) + D_1 J(x, t) f(x, u)\} \quad ,$$

which we express using the optimal control function μ^* :

$$0 = c(x, \mu^*(x, t)) + D_2 J(x, t) + D_1 J(x, t) f(x, \mu^*(x, t)) \triangleq G(x, t) \quad .$$

Differentiating G in both arguments and using lemma 3.3.1:

$$D_1 G(x, t) = D_1 c(x, \mu^*(x, t)) + D_1 D_2 J(x, t) + D_1^2 J(x, t) f(x, \mu^*(x, t)) + D_1 f(x, \mu^*(x, t)) D_1 J(x, t)$$

Similarly:

$$0 = D_2^2 J(x, t) + D_2 D_1 J(x, t) f(x, \mu^*(x, t))$$

With some re-writing of the above along $\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t))$, we have:

$$D_t (D_1 J(x(t), t)) = D_1 D_2 J(x(t), t) + D_1^2 J(x(t), t) f(x(t), u)$$

$$D_t (D_2 J(x(t), t)) = D_2^2 J(x(t), t) + D_1 D_2 J(x(t), t) f(x(t), u)$$

Adjoint equation

Denoting:

$$\lambda(t) = D_1 J(x, t)$$

$$\lambda(t_0) = D_2 J(x, t)$$

We can then write:

$$D_1 G(x, t) = D_1 c(x, \mu^*(x, t)) + D_1 D_2 J(x, t) + D_1^2 J(x, t) f(x, \mu^*(x, t)) + \\ D_1 f(x, \mu^*(x, t)) D_1 J(x, t)$$

as:

$$\dot{\lambda}(t) = -D_1 f(x(t), u(t)) \lambda(t) - D_1 c(x(t), u(t)) \quad .$$