

Systemic risk and contagion effects in Australian financial institutions and sectors

Piet de Jong, Geoff Loudon and Weihao Choo

*Department of Applied Finance and Actuarial Studies
Macquarie University, Sydney, NSW 2109.*

piet.dejong@mq.edu.au

1. Literature review

Our starting point for the proposed research is the recent literature and the CIFR targeted areas and APRA aims and functions. This recent literature includes ?, ?, ? and ?. The proposed research aims to extend and apply these techniques particularly in relation to the entities regulated by APRA. Thus our broad aim is to develop, implement and bring to bear recent developments in stress testing on the aims of APRA and the CIFR targeted research areas detailed above.

2. Improved measures of contagion and systematic risk

CoVaR_q as proposed in ? is a basis for proposed measures contagion, exposure and systemic risk. It suffers from a number of drawbacks:

- Couched in terms of VaR_q which contains the scale of the original measurements. It is worthwhile to have measures and techniques robust to scale.
- Conditioning on VaR_{0.5} is undesirable and relatively intractable. In our proposal we reference stress with respect to the unconditional VaR_q. This permits a more transparent analysis and estimation.
- Our proposed approach separates out scale effects and interdependence effects and aims to relates these separately to external variables including shocks and drivers of systemic risk. Thus VaR_q movements due to scale are disentangled from movements due to codependence with separate driver responses.

3. Significance of the project and policy implications

Understanding the impact of external shocks and their propagation through the financial system is vital for managing and remediating systemic risk. Effective regulation is dependent upon the development of a robust and reliable set of appropriate risk measures. We propose new measures of systemic risk that relate marginal and joint distributions separately to external drivers. This allows for more cogent and coherent stress testing as it includes the estimation of contagion effects, exposure effects and systemic risk across related entities and different financial sectors. Improved stress testing, estimation of risk effects and transmission of shocks through the financial system will make for more cogent prudential policy, prudential margin setting and better identify sources of risk to the financial system.

4. Percentile sensitivity and contagion

Improved stress testing in the context of external shocks is based on the following definition

$$q_{yx} \equiv q_{y|x>q_x} - q_y , \quad (1)$$

where the q_y , q_x and $q_{y|x>q_x}$ are the q -quantiles in the distributions of y , x and the conditional distribution of y given $x > q_x$, respectively. Thus q_{yx} is the change in the VaR_q of y when moving from a situation where x is not known, to where x is known to be stressed, $x > q_x$. It is shown that (1) is a more robust and extensible definition than has been proposed in the literature and more readily amenable and useful to empirical work.

If v and u are uniform random variables on $[0, 1]$ then

$$q_u = q_v = q = P(u \leq q_* | v > q) = \frac{P(u \leq q_*, v > q)}{1 - q} = \frac{q_* - C(q_*, q)}{1 - q} \quad (2)$$

where $q_* = q_{u|v>q}$ and $C(u, v)$ is the joint distribution (copula) of u and v . Rearranging yields

$$q_* - C(q, q_*) = q(1 - q) , \quad q_{uv} = C(q + q_{uv}, q) - q^2 . \quad (3)$$

This provides an implicit equation for q_{vu} , solved by root finding algorithms given the copula $C(u, v)$.

If u and v are independent then $C(u, v) = uv$ and $q_{vu} = 0$. If $u = v$ then $C(u, v) = \min(u, v)$ and $q_{uv} = \min(q + q_{uv}, q) - q^2 = q(1 - q)$. If u and v are exchangeable, $C(u, v) = C(v, u)$, then

$$q_{vu} = C(q, q + q_{vu}) - q^2 = C(q + q_{vu}, q) - q^2 = q_{uv} .$$

Finally if q'_{uv} is the derivative of q_{uv} with respect to q then

$$q'_{uv} = (1 + q'_{uv})C_u(q + q_{uv}, q) + C_v(q + q_{uv}, q) - 2q$$

where C_u and C_v are the partial derivatives of C with respect to u and v , respectively.

For a given vector of uniform variables define Q as the matrix with entries

$$\frac{q_{uv}}{q(1-q)}$$

Each column of Q indicates the change VaR_q in each of the row variables when the column variable is stressed, as a proportion of the change if $u = v$. The diagonal of Q is 1.

5. Financial sensitivity and contagion

If F_y is the marginal distribution of y then the change in the VaR_q of y when x becomes stressed is

$$q_{yx} = F_y^-(q + q_{vu}) - q_y \approx q_{vu}(F_y^-)'(q) = \frac{q_{vu}}{f_y(q_y)} .$$

The approximation follows from a first order Taylor expansion. Thus the change in the quantile of y when x becomes stressed depends only on $F_y(y)$ and $C(u, v)$: the marginal $F_x(x)$ is not relevant. Using the same argument

$$q_{yy} = F_y^-(2q - q^2) - q_y \approx \frac{q(1-q)}{f_y(q_y)} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{q_{yx}}{q_{xx}} \approx \frac{q_{vu}}{q(1-q)} = \frac{q_{vu}}{q_{uu}} .$$

Thus Q based on percentiles approximates the contagion matrix of the original variables. (Say something about when the approx is good/bad and the scaling on y that may make the approximation better.)

A column of Q contains the VaR_q sensitivities of each variable when the corresponding column variable is stressed. Each row of Q displays the VaR_q sensitivity of the variable corresponding to the row to all the variables. Define

$$Q_* \equiv \frac{1}{p-1}(Q - I) = UDV' , \quad s \equiv Q_*1 , \quad c \equiv Q_*'1 .$$

$$Q = I + s1' + UDV' , \quad s \equiv \frac{1}{p-1}(Q - I)1$$

Then s is the vector of average VaR_q sensitivity of each variable to all others. Further c is the average VaR_q impact of each variable on all others. The matrices U , D and V define the singular value (svd) decomposition of Q_* , arranged so that diagonal matrix D has the singular values on the diagonal in descending order. If $b = d_1 u_1$ and $c = v_1$ where u_1 , d_1 and v_1 are the first column, top diagonal entry, and first column of U , D and V respectively, then for two variables $y \neq x$ in Q ,

$$q_{yx} = s_y + b_y c_x + \epsilon_{yx}$$

This states that percentage sensitivities are, apart from the “error” ϵ_{yx} , an average sensitivity plus a scaled response to the contagious effect of the x variable. The contagious effects contained in c are estimated by maximising the explanation of Q .

The vector $1'Q$ sums the changes in VaR_q when each of the column variables is stressed, and writes this as a proportion of the change in the variable being stressed. These proportional sums, subtracting 1 and divided by $p - 1$ where p is the number of variables, measures the average contagion of each variable on all others: $c' = (p - 1)^{-1}1'(Q - I)$ or $c = (p - 1)^{-1}(Q - I)'1$

Alternatively the vector $Q1$ sums the changes in VaR_q of each row variable when all the column variables are stressed. Again it is appropriate to remove the effect of a variable on itself and consider the average over the remaining variables: $s \equiv (p - 1)^{-1}(Q - I)1$. If $Q_* \equiv (p - 1)^{-1}(Q - I)$ then $s = Q_*1$ and $c = Q'_*1$ are the vectors of sensitivities and contagions, respectively. If $Q = I$ then $s = c = 0$. If $Q = 11'$ then $s = c = 1$.

6. Systemic risk and causal chains

A rank one approximation to the matrix Q is $Q \approx sc'$. Vector c is an index of the contagious impact of each of variables on the others while s measures the sensitivity of each variable to each of the others. The vectors s and c are derived from the singular value decomposition $Q = UDV'$ where $U'U = V'V = 1$ and where s and c are the first column of UD and V respectively, assuming the svd is organised so that the singular values in the diagonal matrix D are organised from largest to smallest. The appropriateness of the summarisation sc' is measured with $\text{tr}(Q - sc')$.

If the variables are independent then $Q = I$ and both s and c equal a column of the identity matrix with sc' a matrix of 0's except in a single diagonal position where it is 1. Then all but one variable has a contagion effect and only that variable is sensitive to the contagion provided by the variable.

If the variables are comonotonic then $Q = 11'$ and $s = 1$ and $c = 1$ where 1 denotes a vector of ones. Thus the rank 1 approximation is exact and each variable is equally contagious and equally sensitive.

Note that

$$Q^n \approx (s'c)^{n-1}sc' = ,$$

If the random variables are independent the $Q - I = 0$ and $a = b = k = 0$ and there is no error in the first order svd approximation. If the random variables are comonotonic then $Q = 11'$ and $Q - I$ has ones everywhere except on the diagonal where it is zero. The vector of row means is then $p^{-1}(p - 1)1$

Systemic risk in the system is measured with $b'k = d_1(u'_1v_1)$. In the case of comonotonic random variables $Q = 11'$, $a = 1$ and x , where p is the number of variables, $d_1 = 1$.

Furthermore we may define quantities such as $u^- \equiv \text{VaR}_q(v|u \leq q)$ measuring the impact of a non distressed state in v . For brevity we do not dwell on these constructs in this writeup although the ramifications and potential uses of these constructs will be investigated in the research.

7. Econometric implementation

The above development sets out our proposed broad framework for linking bivariate copulas and marginals to external variables and shocks study the impact of the same on stresses within the system and the contagious effects of crises. Proposed econometric analysis will implement and extend ?.

8. Data

We will employ publicly available data as published by APRA and other regulators.

References