

FINAL REPORT ON CIFR FUNDED RESEARCH PROJECT

This report must accompany the final output of your CIFR funded research. Please email an electronic copy to CIFR at research@cifr.edu.au

In your final output please include a section highlighting the policy implications and relevance of your research. Areas requiring further research should be noted where appropriate.

1. PROJECT DETAILS

1. Project title and CIFR tracking number

T014 -Quantifying the impact of systemic risk on capital requirements for interrelated financial institutions and sectors.

2. Project leader

Name	Piet de Jong, Macquarie University	
------	------------------------------------	--

2. FINDINGS

Abstract:

This paper develops, analyses and implements an early warning tool for systemic risk in banks and financial entities. The tool is based on a refined approach to stress testing. Calculations performed on Australian bank data are shown to predict past distress. Risk is measured as a function of expected capital shortfall in individual firms. A simple model of regulatory capital is assumed. Systemic risk is shown to be driven by the size and leverage of balance sheets and interdependence between firms. Firm balance sheets are modelled using publicly available information and assumed to depend on market returns. Model refinements using more comprehensive information and practical implementation are also discussed.

3. SIGNIFICANCE OF FINDINGS

Summarise the significance of your research findings to the Australian financial industry.

Your summary will be featured on the CIFR website and in CIFR publications, and may form the basis of an article for publication in industry media. Please keep in mind this audience when writing the summary. (600 - 800 words)

This paper proposes and develops an approach to assess the extent of systemic risk in the market as a whole and in individual firms. The approach models the impact of a stress on expected capital shortfall. Specific contributions are:

- The notion of systemic risk is formalised as increases in expected capital shortfall under stress.
- Stress testing is enhanced by considering all possible scenarios rather than one or more specific scenarios.
- Overall stress is shown to separate into baseline risk, present in the system without
 external stress and systemic stress due to a potential stress factor. Both are based
 on expected capital shortfall.
- A forward looking tool is proposed to continuously monitor baseline and systemic risks in the entire market and decompose the same into firm contributions.

Systemic risk defined in this paper reaffirms and formalises its key drivers: size, leverage and interdependence between balance sheets of individual firms. Calculations are performed on past Australian bank data which includes the 2008 GFC. Results show heightened systemic risk in the lead up to, and during, the 2008 GFC. In addition the extent of systemic risk at present is significantly higher compared to levels 10 years ago, arguably due to not only persistent turbulence in global financial markets but also increased connectivity between firms.

Throughout this paper a one--factor model of regulatory capital requirements is assumed across all firms. This assumption removes complications of capital requirements in practice which vary between firms and across banks, general insurers and life insurers. These complications are not central to the development of this paper. Refining the model to reflect capital requirements in practice leads to straightforward modifications of results in this paper.

4. IMPLICATIONS OF YOUR RESEARCH

What is the relevance of your research to regulators/industry? (200 - 300 words)

The proposed tool is practical and useful for the real time monitoring and an early
warning system for stress in individual financial institutions and the financial system as whole. The tool is extensible to situations where more detailed balance sheet
information is available. The tool organises information in a formal and consistent manner and hence imposes a detailed discipline on stress testing procedures and the interpretation of output from the same.

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

5.1 Please list and provide details of the level of engagement:

Organisations	
	Level of engagement (including names of relevant personnel)
APRA	Limited discussion of stress testing procedures. Proposal to offer seminars in the new tool (Mr Charles Littrell) with the view of refining tools to more adequately serve the needs of banks and financial regulators
ASIC	
RBA	
Treasury	
ASX	
ABA	
FSC	
ASFA	
ICA	
AFMA	
ACCC	
Other	

6. ACADEMIC OUTPUTS

6.1 Proposed outputs as per original submission

Category	Achieved*	Remarks
A/A* Journal Article modelling and econometric advances	Y	Please see attached academic manuscript. The manuscript (see above) has been submitted and is in the revise/resubmit stage.
Industry Report	Υ	Please see attached "Industry Report"
Presentation of policy implications to APRA and Industry	N	Please see attached presentation of presentation on the structure and use of the proposed tools

*If you selected N as an answer, please explain if the output has been replaced or voided in section 9.2 - Project Execution.

We have offered the to present the presentation (attached) to an APRA audience. However we have not been successful in interesting the APRA in the same.

Additional output/s to date

none	

6.2 Please list any additional outputs directly related to this project:

Category	
	Citation/Details
Book	
Journal article	Submitted — in the revise/resubmit cycle.
Working paper	Has evolved since first iteration into the attached journal submission
Report	Industry paper prepared for less technical audience
Conference paper	
Conference presentation	Please see attached presentation
Industry and/or Government Briefings	No face to face briefing although offer has been extended to APRA
Invited Talks	
Audio/Visual Recordings	
Media Coverage	
Other	

7. RESEARCH TRAINING, CAREERS AND EMPLOYMENT

1. Postgraduate Students

Please provide a list of postgraduate students who worked on this project indicating whether they are PhD, Masters by Coursework or Masters by Research students.

PhD students	Mr Weihao Choo (recently had his PhD examined and was awarded an outright pass and VC commendation for an exceptional thesis. Will formally graduate at the next graduation ceremony)
Research Masters students	
Masters students	
Honours students	

2. Early career researchers (with up to 5 years' postdoctoral experience)

Please list any ECRs who participated on this project:

	Mr Weihao Choo - FIAA — currently employed at Ernst & Young.		
3. Others engaged in the project ease provide details of any other people	e involved in the project:		
Research associates/assistants funded	none		
Professional and/or technical officers	none		
ndustry partner employees	none		
Other personnel involved	none		
cluding the name of their university ar	ia their position title.		
none			
9. CHANGES TO THE PROJECT:			
9.1 Project team Were there any changes in your team?	? (yes/no)		
9. CHANGES TO THE PROJECT: 9.1 Project team	? (yes/no)		

Researcher's name and organisation	Role	Addition/Deletion

2. Project execution: timing, budget or outputs

Were there any significant changes to the project? (yes/no)

yes

If yes, please provide details below:

An original aim of the project was to collect more extensive and detailed balance sheet information on banks and financial institutions and apply tools in a richer data setting. However we found this inopportune at this stage as a) the tool required extensive and major theoretical development and underpinning and testing and b) the more extensive data would have to be predefined prior to access and there would be no guarantees such data would be accessible or appropriate. Accordingly we put maximum effort into developing the tools and implementing/verify/testing the same on more streamlined data. This focus enabled savings to be made compared to the original budget.

Further we have yet to present the tool at a major international conferences again leading to budget savings. Given the academic paper has now had initial feedback (both from CIFR and journal referees) we are now in a better position to effectively present ideas for maximum impact — both to theoreticians and applied agencies such as APRA or banks.

The budget savings can be used to further the implementation of the tools in a richer data setting. This assumes APRA or financial institutions will permit effective access to bank balance sheet data. Given our tool is novel, it is appropriate to be implemented in the context of a variety of balance sheet data to see which works best, required further tool modifications and extensions, and detailed interaction with potential users of the tools. Note that pre specification of data by tool developers is not an effective option — effective tool use and development hinges on intimate knowledge of the potentially available data and current tool constraints relative to the available data. Ideally tools are developed in the context of practice and data availability.

In the absence of more extensive accessible data the tool can be applied to the richer data publicly available elsewhere either in Europe or the U.S.

The project leader is now employed 1 day a week (since September 1). Self funded research time above 1 day a week and research expense (including dissemination) is perhaps inappropriate.

3. Researcher feedback

Your feedback on any aspect of CIFR's research process is welcomed:

10.FINANCIAL REPORT

Please provide details of all income received for the project:

INCOME	
Cash contributions from CIFR	\$88,216
Cash contributions from consortium members (please identify each source separately)	Macquarie University \$88,216
Cash contributions from other contributors (please identify each source separately)	
Total Income	\$176,432

In-kind contributions	Consortium members	Other contributors
Staff		
Equipment		
Other		
Total	\$52,930	

Please provide details of all expenditure incurred:

EXPENDITURE	
Salaries (as per attachments)	\$145,752
Equipment	\$0
Travel	\$0
Scholarships	\$0
Other	\$0
Total Expenditure	\$145,752

11. CERTIFICATION BY PROJECT TEAM LEADER

I certify that:

• all details in this report are true, complete and accurate;

- all team members participating in the project have agreed that this report is an accurate representation of the project; and
- a copy of this report has been provided to my Dean or Associate Dean, Research.

Signature	By.	
	•	
Print Name	Piet de Jong	Date 16 February 2016