Maastricht University

Department of Data Science and Knowledge Engineering

Titan Expedition

Project 1-2 - Report

Group 16

Authors:
Jan-Felix De Man (i6201411)
Selim Gilon (i6192074)
Gyumin Oh ()
Pietro Piccini (i6188338)
Gianluca Vico (i6183186

 $Supervisors:\ \dots$

Examiners: ...

Preface

This report is a description of an approach to reach Saturn's Hazy moon Titan, land on it and travel back to Earth with a realistic spaceship. It is intended for every scientific, mathematician, computer scientist or whoever who is interested in the space's expeditions. This moon has never been reached by any astronaut in the history; only one probe has been sent there to explore Saturn's largest moon. This spacecraft called "Huygens" ¹ (part of the Cassini Mission) landed on in 2005. This is still the most distant landing of any human-made craft. The Scientists agree that this is the most important place where to search for extraterrestrial life ². Nasa's scientists are actually working on a mission called "Dragonfly" ³ to go again to this moon. In this report, you will discover a way that our group made to try to explore this unknown celestial body.

Abstract

The purpose of this project is to bring a manned mission to land safely on Titan and return back to Earth. Some other goals had to be reached such as make the travel as cheap as possible by computing the best trajectory using orbits and also making the duration of the trip as short as possible. The plan is to use as many realistic and correct data as possible and keep a pretty high accuracy in all the calculations. The launch of the spaceship is from Earth. A lot of research about the different parts of the problem is required, so Nasa?s data, for example, have been a really important source to get a deeper comprehension and decomposition of this big problem. The knowledge from the course ?Numerical Mathematics? has also been very helpful to solve many equations in this program. After several versions and improvements, the program is now able to calculate the correct trajectory, land on Titan and come back to Earth.

This reports aims to become a source for further improvements in this field.

¹Rincon, P. (2005). BBC NEWS — Science/Nature — Huygens sends first Titan images. Retrieved from http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/science/nature/4175099.stm

²Bortman, H. (2010). Life Without Water And The Habitable Zone. Retrieved from http://www.spacedaily.com/reports/Life_Without_Water_And_The_Habitable_Zone_999.html ³Bartels, M. (2019). NASA May Decide This Year to Land a Drone on Saturn's Moon Titan. Retrieved from https://www.space.com/43010-dragonfly-mission-would-put-a-drone-on-titan.html

Contents

1	1.1 1.2 1.3	Abbreviations	4 4 4 4
2		oduction	4
	2.1	Problem description	5
	2.2	Research questions	5
	2.3	Report structure	5
3	Mod		5
	3.1	Solar System	5
		3.1.1 Physical approach and alternatives	5
		3.1.2 Equation solvers	6
		3.1.2.1 Euler	6
		3.1.2.2 Adams-Bashforth	6
		3.1.2.3 3 point difference	7
	3.2	Spaceship	7
		3.2.1 Shape	7
		3.2.2 Engines and uses	7
		3.2.3 Rotation	7
4	Trav	vel	8
	4.1	Launch	8
		4.1.1 From Earth	8
		4.1.2 From Titan	8
	4.2	Trajectory	8
	1.2	4.2.1 Algorithm	8
		4.2.2 To Titan	8
		4.2.3 To Earth	9
		4.2.3 10 Earth	Э
5		ding	9
	5.1	On Titan	9
		5.1.1 Given tolerances	10
		5.1.2 Stochastic wind model	10
		5.1.3 Feedback controller	10
		5.1.4 Open loop controller	10
	5.2	On Earth	10
6	Opt	imizations	11
	6.1	Fuel efficiency	11
	6.2	Quickest route to Titan	11
	6.3	Maths optimizations	11
7	GU	[11

8	Exp	eriments	11
	8.1	Realistic velocity	11
	8.2	Unrealistic wind	12
	8.3	Usage	12
9	Res	ults and discussion	12
10	Con	clusion	14

1 List

1.1 Abbreviations

- \vec{a} : acceleration vector
- a: acceleration value
- \vec{F} : vector
- G: gravitational constant
- h: step size
- \bullet I: inertia momentum
- \vec{L} : angular momentum
- m: mass
- $\vec{\omega}$: angular velocity
- $||\vec{r}||$: 2-norm of \vec{r}
- $\vec{\tau}$: torque momentum
- \vec{v} : velocity vector
- v: velocity value
- w_i : approximation of y at the i-th iteration
- $\dot{y}(t)$: derivative of y(t)
- Spaceship, rocket, shuttle and probe are used as synonyms

1.2 Tables

•

1.3 Figures

• Figure 1: trajectory algorithm flowchart

2 Introduction

Space travel and exploration has been on human's minds for as long as they have grasped the idea of ?outer space'. For ages, people dreamt of heading up to the moon and to fly between the stars. As mankind developed and was able to fully explore our planet, the urge to explore the rest of the universe increased. It was not until recently that this became more than a dream. In 1961, Yuri Gagarin, the first living person to return from a space mission, completed the first orbit around Earth. A new era of (human) space exploration had started!

This report is meant for everyone who would like to know more about a complete, safe space trip and its simulation. It is rather an introduction to this topic and it is digital implementation.

NO ROCKETS WERE HURT IN THE MAKING OF THIS REPORT!

2.1 Problem description

In order to start tackling this problem, a physically correct simulation of the solar system is needed. Using this model we could then start experimenting by launching probes from Earth. Imagine these probes as cannonballs, launched from a cannon on Earth's surface. These so called exploratory missions help explore the workings of the physical simulation of the solar system, which is based on gravity. Once you have hit Titan with one of the probes, you know with which velocity to launch it at what point in time and are thus able to reach Saturn's moon.

In order to land a rocket on a planet, you have to make it orbit the planet first. Once this is done, you have to align its direction so you can enter the orbit. This is where the going gets tough: as the rocket will now be influenced by atmospheric factors, such as the wind.

2.2 Research questions

The objective of this research is to understand how to launch a spaceship from Earth to another celestial body and how to calculate its trajectory. Then it studies how to land the spaceship safely, even in extreme wind conditions, and how to come back.

2.3 Report structure

3 Models

3.1 Solar System

3.1.1 Physical approach and alternatives

To digitally simulate our solar systems we used Newton's gravitational theorem. The model is based on equation $\vec{F} = \frac{\vec{r}}{|\vec{r}|} G \frac{m_1 m_2}{|\vec{r}|^2}$, this means that the gravitational force F is equal to the product of the masses of both objects, divided by the distance squared, multiplied by the gravitational constant G. Or in other words: the bigger the mass and the closer the distance, the bigger the force. To implement this model, it is necessary to pick a certain point in time and to know where the planets were located at that time. Once all the celestial bodies are loaded in their original place, the gravity will do its work, which results in the planets orbiting around the Sun and the moons around their respective planets.

Another possibility was to simulate our solar system using an orbital model. This approach is simpler: draw the sun and surround it with ellipses that represent the size of the orbits of the planets.

Newton's model has been chosen instead of the orbital model, because more physically accurate and it can show the evolution of the Solar System. Moreover, it only needs the initial conditions of each body and the gravitational formula. On the other hand calculating the position of a body at a given time could be tricky and the complexity of the algorithm increases exponentially if the number of bodies in the simulation increases.

3.1.2 Equation solvers

The whole simulated solar system works on just equation: Newton's gravitational theorem. In order to implement this and actually make the celestial bodies orbit, you have to solve this equation. There are multiple ways to do it, represented are the ones used in the making of this report.

3.1.2.1 Euler

Euler's method is a numerical method that solves first order first degree differential equations. It is one of the most simple ODE-solvers, yet still relatively accurate.

$$\dot{y}(t) = f(t, y) \tag{1}$$

$$y_i \approx w_i = w_{i-1} + hf(t_{i-1}, w_{i-1}), \text{ with } i > 0$$
 (2)

This method as a global error O(h), require only one function evaluation at each iteration and it is easy to implement.

3.1.2.2 Adams-Bashforth

We decided to use Adams-Bashforth explicit 4 steps method to solve the different equations ⁴. This method has been implemented and replaced the Euler's method to have a higher accuracy in the calculus of the simulation. As mentioned earlier, Euler's method is first order while Adams-Bashforth is a 4rth order equation solver. Adams-Bashforth's method is more accurate than Euler's, that is why it is recommended to work with the Adams-Bashforth method. It is defined as

$$\dot{y}(t) = f(t,y)$$

$$y_{i+1} \approx w_{i+i} = w_i + \frac{h}{24} [55f(t_i, w_i) - 59f(t_{i-1}, w_{i-1}) + 37f(t_{i-2}, w_{i-2}) - 9f(t_{i-3}, w_{i-3})], \text{ with } i > 3$$

$$(4)$$

To initialize this method, data from Nasa are used if available. The acceleration is calculated by using 3 points difference formula and data for the spaceship are assumed to be linear.

The main advantage of the approach is that it reduces the error (from O(h) for Euler's to $O(h^4)$ for Adams-Bashforth's) without increasing the computational cost, because both of them require only a function evaluation at each iteration.

⁴(2019). Retrieved from https://www3.nd.edu/zxu2/acms40390F15/Lec-5.6.pd

3.1.2.3 3 point difference

It was not possible to obtain information about the acceleration of the celestial bodies from Nasa, so it is necessary to calculate it. The acceleration is defined as $\vec{a} = \frac{d\vec{v}}{dt}$. So the values of the acceleration can be calculated as following:

Forward difference:
$$\vec{a}_i = \frac{-3\vec{v}_i + 4\vec{v}_{i+1} - \vec{v}_{i+2}}{2h}$$
 (5)

Centered difference:
$$\vec{a}_i = \frac{\vec{v}_{i+1} - \vec{v}_{i-1}}{2h}$$
 (6)

Backward difference:
$$\vec{a}_i = \frac{3\vec{v}_i - 4\vec{v}_{i-1} + \vec{v}_{i-2}}{2h}$$
 (7)

3.2 Spaceship

3.2.1 Shape

Our rocket is designed to be a spherical shell that is hollow inside. This makes it easier to calculate forces caused by external factors, such as wind and pressure, that affect the rocket. The effects of the wind is determined by the surface area: that more area that can be blown by the wind, the bigger the force. The choice of this shape, although not the most aerodynamic, which leads to increased costs, does help to control the rotation, increases the shuttle's stability and efficiency.

3.2.2 Engines and uses

Our rocket is uses 7 engines which control velocity and rotation. The engines are divided into 2 categories: 1 main engine and 6 sub engines. Main engine allows us to control the rocket?s velocity and acceleration. The sub engines change the rotation of our vehicle for the different x, y, z axis. For every axis, or dimension, there are 2 sub engines or thrusters. This helps us establish better control over our rocket. The main purpose of these engines is to balance the wind in Titan?s atmosphere and to maintain the correct velocity and alignment during the landing.

3.2.3 Rotation

Spaceship's rotations are calculated from torque momentum formula = r F, where r is the distance between the application point of the force and the center of the spaceship, and the angular momentum formula $\vec{L} = I\vec{\omega}$. Because of the particular shape of the spaceship inertia momentum is $I = \frac{2}{5}m\frac{r_2^5 - r_1^5}{r_2^3 - r_1^3}$. Then

the angular velocity could be approximated as $\vec{\omega} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n} (\vec{r_i} \times \vec{F_i}) \Delta t}{I}$ and the

rotation is $\vec{\theta} = \frac{d\vec{\omega}}{dt}$, which is calculated with Adams-Bashforth's method. To calculate the orientation of the spaceship three perpendicular axis are used:

To calculate the orientation of the spaceship three perpendicular axis are used: x and y are horizontal and z is the vertical one. Orientation is computed by using axis-angle rotations: given the unit axis of rotation \vec{e} and the angle θ , the axis of the spaceship are updated to

$$\vec{v}^* = (\cos \theta)\vec{v} + (\sin \theta)(\vec{e} \times \vec{v}) + (1 - \cos \theta)(\vec{e} \cdot \vec{v})\vec{e} \tag{8}$$

4 Travel

4.1 Launch

To launch the rocket from any planet, we assume a special launching station that launches the rocket with a certain initial velocity. It is comparable to a catapult launching a projectile. The higher the velocity during the launch, the easier it is to calculate a correct course, the lower its speed, the easier it gets dragged away by other planets' gravity. Thus it is recommended to experiment with a higher speed first. The launcher seen on is comparable to the one used in this report, albeit on a bigger, digital scale.

4.1.1 From Earth

The spaceship is launched from Earth as soon as the Solar System is initialized, which is the 22nd of March 2019 at midnight. The launch location is really close to the equator (latitude -0.0016°) facing Titan. Atmosphere has been ignored during this phase of the travel.

4.1.2 From Titan

The spaceship is launched again to Earth when it lands on Titan (latitude 56.2499°). The method is similar to the one used in the previous launch from the landing location.

4.2 Trajectory

The trajectory is determined by the starting velocity of the rocket and the gravity influenced on the rocket by the celestial bodies in the solar system, according to Newton's gravitational theorem (see 3.1.1.).

4.2.1 Algorithm

To find a trajectory we developed an algorithm that finds the initial vector velocity of the rocket such that the rocket reaches Titan's atmosphere. The algorithm works by launching the rocket towards Titan with a generic initial velocity. After a determined amount of time the rocket stops and the vector distance between the rocket and Titan is stored and used to correct the rocket's initial velocity, then it is launched again with the improved initial velocity. This process is repeated and the correction made to the initial velocity is proportional to the distance between the rocket and Titan at the end of the launch. This is useful to avoid over-correcting and guarantees that the rocket will find a trajectory after a finite number of iterations. Moreover, the correction factor is changed when the rocket can go close to Titan, in this way it oscillates less around the optimal solution and converges faster.

4.2.2 To Titan

When the spaceship is launched from the Earth it is influenced by all the celestial body in the simulation (the Sun, the main planets, the Moon and Titan). The trajectory to Titan is almost straight, in this way it does not have to use its

engines during the travel, so it reduces the cost. The trajectory is slightly curved by Jupiter and in the final phase by Saturn and Titan. There is no analytic formula for this trajectory, but it is defined by the initial velocity perpendicular to the ground of the spaceship. The velocity used is:

- x: 17.614806943044582
- y: -83.14644838398469
- z: -0.08920608999642003

then the initial velocity is about 84.99 km/s.

By using this trajectory the spaceship is able to perform few orbits around Titan, but then if it does not land it will fly away from the planet, because of Saturn's gravity.

4.2.3 To Earth

The trajectory to return to Earth is calculated with the same algorithm used for the trajectory to go to Titan. The launching time is one year later the launching from Earth, so 22 March 2020. The trajectory is almost straight and similar to previous one. It is slightly influenced by Jupiter.

The initial velocity for the launch is

- x: -13.175557495304957
- y: 106.64920408731306
- z: -15.821866756191314

then the initial velocity is about 108.61 km/s and it manages to return the spaceship in one year.

Another possible trajectory is close to (-1.1758832380706273, 108.73913637470687, -11.157391536482116), which is heavily influenced by the Sun and might be more fuel efficient, but at the moment the spaceship did not manage to land with one.

The velocities used are too high to be realistic, but because we decided on the approach to reach Titan without using the rocket?s engines, is is not possible to find a trajectory to Titan or back with more realistic speeds.

5 Landing

When the shuttle managed to orbit around the target planet, it will start an automated landing. The landing is coordinated by two built-in controllers. These algorithms help to land our shuttle safely on the surface of the planet.

5.1 On Titan

The landing is considered complete when the distance between the spaceship and the ground is zero, then it is not error-based, so it is not possible using a PID controller for the velocity. During the descending it continues to orbit around Titan. Also the final state is measured in this moment.

5.1.1 Given tolerances

In order to assure a safe landing, it is required to respect multiple parameters. A landing is considered safe when the velocity is less than 0.1, the angular velocity less than 0.01, the angle between the vertical axis of the spaceship and the ground is less than 0.02 and the distance to the ground less than 0.1.

5.1.2 Stochastic wind model

The simulation takes into account a stochastic wind when the spaceship gets close to Titan. A few values about the wind have been found on multiple sources . From our research, the big idea is that the wind is pretty weak on the surface (around 0.5-1m/s according to this paper) and stronger at higher altitudes (around 20m/s at 40km high and up to 120m/s). We have created a wind model in respect to these data to have a landing phase as realistic as possible. In this model the wind's speed is exponential to the distance from Titan's ground. The direction of the wind is calculated as $\vec{v} = \vec{d} \times \vec{R} + k \frac{\vec{d}}{\|\vec{d}\|}$, where \vec{d} is

the distance between Titan and the spaceship, \vec{R} is a random unit vector, kk is a random value (it can be scaled to simulated a more variable wind), and \times is the cross product.

This is why the wind is stochastic.

5.1.3 Feedback controller

This controller keeps the spaceship vertical during the landing, so it tries to minimize the angle between the vertical axis of the spaceship and the orthogonal axis to the ground. Titan is assumed to be sphere so the distance between the spaceship and the planet is the orthogonal axis. The controller estimates the angular velocity needed to align by using the angle formula (3.2.3.) and it compensates the actual angular velocity and the wind rotation effect. Then the angle is computed by the equation solver. When the alignment is correct, it adjusts it at every iteration and keeps the angular velocity close to zero.

5.1.4 Open loop controller

The computation for the velocity during the landing is done by using an open loop controller: at 50'000 km the velocity is reduced to 500 km/h (with respect to Titan) with the main engine and with the same direction of the velocity of Titan. Then at 600km, when the spaceship enters the atmosphere, it starts braking to have the same velocity of the planet. During this phase the feedback controller for the rotation is still working and a three dimensional space is used. This controller does not take into account changes of the environment but try to reach the correct state at each phase of the landing.

5.2 On Earth

The return to Earth does not take in account atmosphere and the landing velocity, but it just tries to reach the planet.

6 Optimizations

6.1 Fuel efficiency

Every time that the spaceship uses its engines it consume fuel, so it reduces its mass. The total mass at the launch is 20'000 kg, 15'000 kg are fuel. When it lands on Titan it has consumed 5529.55 kg of fuel. The cost of the fuel is assumed to be the same of kerosene ($1.68 \le /$ gallon 5 , about 0.55? / kg), then the cost is about ? 3067.58. The estimate of the cost when the rocket start the landing at 50'000 km from Titan is ? 1181.00. The estimation assumes that there are no rotation nor atmosphere and it calculates the constant acceleration needed to land with a null velocity and a distance of zero meters from the ground.

From constant acceleration motion formula it is possible to derive that $\vec{a} = \frac{|\vec{v}|^2}{2h}$, where h is the distance from the ground. Then the mass M of fuel need is $M = \frac{ma}{F_{engine}} m_{engine}$ and the cost is calculated by using the mass M.

6.2 Quickest route to Titan

6.3 Maths optimizations

7 GUI

The program described in this report calculates everything in the three dimensions. The solar system it simulates is visualized in 3D. There are added functionalities to make this program more user-friendly: use the buttons A and D to rotate the camera view, press 0 to reset it to the standard rotation. The buttons W and S can be used respectively to zoom in and out. If you press E, the camera will be focused on Earth, T will focus on Titan, U will set the view to Saturn. Furthermore you can use the arrow keys to roam around freely in space.

As soon as we initialize our landing, the GUI switches to a 2D visualization of our rocket landing on the celestial body. All the calculations it uses are still made in three dimensions. You can see the spherical-shaped rocket getting closer to the planet's surface, while it gets affected by the wind. You see the rocket correcting its rotation and speed before it hits the surface.

8 Experiments

8.1 Realistic velocity

Since the rocket travels with a speed of approximately 84.99 km/s, we experimented with lower speeds to make it more realistic (Huygens travelled at an

Fuel ⁵.Jet Monthly Price (Euro Gallon) per Price Prices Charts. Data. and News - IndexMundi itv from https://www.indexmundi.com/commodities/?commodity=jetfuel&months=12¤cy=eur

average velocity of 16.4 km/s). To do so, the initial velocity with which the rocket is launched has been reduced. When changing the velocity to realistic speeds, the shuttle ended up orbiting around the Sun, or sometimes even worse, Earth itself. But if the speed is realistic, then why does not it work in this simulation? In this case, the rocket is shot into space from our launching station, and does not use its engines until it reaches the orbit of the desired celestial body. Therefore it will get stuck in the orbit of either the Sun or Earth. In real life, a rocket uses its own engine to leave Earth orbit and to steer itself to its desired location. Simulating this process would mean that you would need to rethink your whole launching and trajectory approaches, thus would be very time consuming. We see this as a challenge we would like to work on later.

8.2 Unrealistic wind

Since our wind model rightfully predicts a low wind on the surface, we wanted to test the controller for stormier weather as well. We did find data about the wind on Titan and Earth, but we do not want to be surprised by having our rocket crash after all the effort we put into getting it to the planet. We tested our rocket in stormier weather and with great success! The results can be found in section 9., table 2 and 4.

8.3 Usage

Since our wind model rightfully predicts a low wind on the surface, we wanted to test the controller for stormier weather as well. We did find data about the wind on Titan and Earth, but we do not want to be surprised by having our rocket crash after all the effort we put into getting it to the planet.

The command to run the program is java Runner. In this way it runs the simulation to Titan and show the landing animation. It is possible to add arguments to change the program behaviour:

- back: it shows the simulation to Titan and back to Earth, without landing animation (but the landing is computed anyway)
- simulation: it computes the best trajectory to go to Titan
- siulation back: it computes the best trajectory to come back to Earth

The program is tested on Java 10.0.2.

9 Results and discussion

The first parameter to verify is whether it is a safe landing, so if the final condition are within the tolerance range.

It is possible to observe that the angular velocity and the angle with respect to the ground are correct, so the feedback controller for rotation is working fine. On the other hand the velocity controller is not working properly yet, but it has improved from the previous versions of the program (the first version was around 130).

	Velocity	Angular velocity	Angle
Expected	≤ 0.1	≤ 0.01	≤ 0.02
Measured	1.9534	0.0003	0.0093

Table 1: Landing

It is possible to test how robust is the feedback controller by increasing the effect of the rotations generated by the wind.

Rotation influence	x1	x10	x100	x1000
Angular velocity (rad/s)	0.0012	0.0099	0.0755	0.8471
Angle (rad)	0.0093	0.0093	0.0093	0.0092

Table 2: rotation influence

Then the values of the angular velocity and the angle of the spaceship are within the tolerance range, even with rotations 1000 times stronger.

The initial velocity (from Earth) of the spaceship has been reduced to test whether it can still land or not. The results can be found below.

Velocity compared to optimal	Result - cost (€)
99%	Fail
99.9%	Fail*
100%	3067.58
100.1%	Fail*
101%	Fail

^{*} Trajectory heavily curved by Titan

Table 3: initial velocity

From those results, an important observation can be made: the trajectory is really sensitive to the initial conditions and the spaceship completely misses Titan if there are small changes at the beginning. This is because the landing starts quite close to Titan, so the spaceship cannot change direction before. The velocities used have the same direction as the optimal one.

Finally it is possible to change the strength of the wind to observes how the landing velocity changes.

An error analysis has been made to compare the different equations solvers used in the program. At the beginning of the project, Euler's method was responsible for calculating the planets' velocity, position, the rocket's velocity,

Wind strength	x0	x1	x10	x100	x200
Landing velocity	1.95339	1.95339	1.95339	1.95339	1.95404

Table 4: wind strength

position and also its rotation. Then, as previously explained, the 4 steps Adams-Bashforth method has been chosen and implemented. It is completely replacing Euler's method and it is currently responsible for all the roles just mentioned. To compare the accuracy of those 2 equations solvers, we firstly compared the data computed by the program to Nasa's data for each method. We did it after 1 days, 10 days and 1 year. Here is the table of results for the comparison.

Time	Mean Relative Error Adams Bashforth	Mean Relative Error Euler	
1 day	1.66%	0.50%	
10 days	2.16 %	2.40%	
1 year	8.60%	472.60%	

Table 5: error analysis

10 Conclusion

We are planning to search for a more realistic launching velocity by using other celestial bodies to improve the trajectory (in particular Jupiter seems promising) and by organizing a longer travel, for example a 7-year-long travel similar to Cassini mission. Then it is possible to improve the orbit around Titan: at the moment the spaceship can complete a few orbits around the satellite, but because of the speed and the Saturn gravitational force it cannot stay longer. By reducing the velocity it will be possible to orbit longer. A feedback controller that tries to reduce the distance between Titan and the spaceship can used, this way it is possible to reduce the landing velocity more and to have a more realistic landing. Finally it is possible to explore other numerical methods to improve the accuracy of the whole simulation.

Bibliography

- Rincon, P. (2005). BBC NEWS Science/Nature Huygens sends first Titan images. Retrieved from http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/science/nature/4175099.stm [Accessed 6 May 2019].
- Bortman, H. (2010). Life Without Water And The Habitable Zone. Retrieved from http://www.spacedaily.com/reports/Life_Without_Water_And_The_Habitable_Zone_999.html [Accessed 4 May 2019].
- 3. Bartels, M. (2019). NASA May Decide This Year to Land a Drone on

- Saturn's Moon Titan. Retrieved from https://www.space.com/43010-dragonfly-mission-would-put-a-drone-on-titan.html [Accessed 17 June 2019].
- 4. Retrieved from https://www3.nd.edu/zxu2/acms40390F15/Lec-5.6.pdf [Accessed 1 May 2019].
- Hendrix, A. and Yung, Y. (2017). Energy Options for Future Humans on Titan. [online] Available at: https://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/1707/1707.00365.pdf [Accessed 2 May 2019].
- 6. Carey, B. (2005). New View of Titan: Strong Winds, Soft Ground and Lightning. Retrieved from https://www.space.com/1825-view-titan-strong-winds-soft-ground-lightning.html [Accessed 3 May 2019]
- 7. Jet Fuel Monthly Price (Euro per Gallon) Commodity Prices Price Charts, Data, and News IndexMundi. Retrieved from https://www.indexmundi.com/commodities/?commodity=jet-fuel [Accessed 18 June 2019].

Appendix




Figure 1: Algorithm to calculate the trajectory to reach a planet.