

Name:

Collaborators:

Homework 6

The git repository *hws/6* directory is the basis for this homework. Space for answers intentionally left out - include these in your single pdf in the submission zip file.

Reading

- Reread:
 - H&H 3.5: Timing, Metastability, except 3.5.6
 - Definitely read sections 3 and 4 of this guide (the rest is great too, read it if you have time!) [Synchronization and Metastability, Steve Golson, Synopsys Users Group Conference 2014](#)
 - H&H 5.2.5: Shifters and Rotators
 - H&H 5.5: Memory Arrays
- New:
 - H&H 6.1 to 6.4
 - H&H 7.1-7.2

0. Spiral 2 Feedback

Please fill out this [form](#) to give me feedback on how the last spiral through the course went.

1. Metastability and Designing for Failure

(a) Reasonable MTBFs (Mean Times Between/Before Failure)

For each of the following systems, pick an MTBF (specify your time unit!) that you think makes sense as a design requirement for the entire system. Note that for systems with constant probability of failure rates ~66% of units will have failed at least once before the MTBF has elapsed, and that in the case of a metastability failure a full system reset (power cycling) is required. Write a sentence explaining why you chose your number for the application.

Toddler Toy Piano (ages 2 to 4):

Most users will own their piano for 2 years (consumerism...) Say the most piano-enthusiastic children will use the piano for 6 months of that time (a lot of time!) We want 1% of these users to experience failure since this is not a safety-critical system at all, so we pick a MTBF of 50 years.

Industrial Robot Arm:

*10000000 years; robot arms are usually designed to fail safely so occasional failures are ok. If 66% of units will have failed by the MTBF, then we have a cost of $(0.66 * n)/MTBF * c$ dollars per year, where n is the number of arms in the factory and c is the cost of failure. If we have 10 arms (a reasonable amount for a factory, I think) and a failure costs a million dollars, then for our given MTBF we're paying about 60 cents to deal with metastability failures. This seems reasonable since it probably still costs less than 60 cents to add a synchronizer that makes this MTBF work.*

Vehicle ADAS (Automated Driver Assistance System):

One million times the age of the universe. We don't want this system to fail at any cost, and it's really not that hard to down-clock a pair of flip flops (which act as a synchronizer).

(b) Case Study: Toy Piano

Our toy piano has 24 different digital asynchronous inputs (keys) that an eager toddler can hit very quickly. Using Equations (24) and (25) from the Synchronization and Metastability guide (page 22), and the following device parameters, determine the fastest clock speed f_c that lets the **full system** meet the MTBF you found in part (a). You can assume the following device parameters:

- $\tau = 175 \text{ ps}$
- $T_o = 225 \text{ ps}$
- $f_d = 10 \text{ Hz}$ (these are very eager toddlers)
- $t_R = t_{\text{setup}} - T_c$, where $T_c = 1/f_c$ and $t_{\text{setup}} = 200 \text{ ps}$

This problem isn't supposed to be about annoying algebra, so there is a python script in the homework folder that shows you how to sweep some frequencies on a log scale to see what works (there's no analytical solution for this, so you have to guess and check).

~2.3 Hz.

2. Combinational Review: A complete 32-bit ALU

The “thinky” element in our custom RISC-V CPU is the component that can do just about any math we need for reasonable computation. This is called an Arithmetic Logic Unit, or ALU. You should have all the building blocks for this module.

Use only structural combinational logic (no flops, no ifs/elses, etc.). That means use `always_comb` statements with only `~&| ^?` operators for these modules. Working adders and muxes are included in the stub folder. There are many opportunities to be clever with submodule re-use, but remember that it is better to have a working large or slow ALU than a very fast but incomplete one. The only new features that shouldn't be in prior examples/solutions are:

- Implement an SLL (shift left logical) operation that shifts input `a` to the left by `b` bits. The result should be padded with zeros.
- Implement an SRL (shift right logical) operation that shifts input `a` to the right by `b` bits. The result should be padded with zeros.
- Implement an SRA (shift right logical) operation that shifts input `a` to the right by `b` bits. This result should be “sign extended” - that means that you need to copy the most significant bit.
- **STRETCH** - add correct overflow logic so that the ALU reports if an operation resulted in a 32 bit overflow. Only do this if you've finished the rest of the assignment.

You should augment the `test_alu.sv` example with more test cases to give you confidence that you have implemented the different operations correctly. Include descriptions **and** schematics in the top level PDF that show your approach to the shifters.

Confidence/Skills Check

With the hints from the reading this should be starting to feel straightforward in theory but a little tricky in execution (there are a lot of wires to deal with in 32 bit systems). See the solution muxes for examples of using python to auto generate long connection lists.

3. Sequential Review - Register File

Implement a 32-bit register file using **structural synchronous** and **combinational** logic (i.e. only `always_ff`, `always_comb` with basic ops `~&| ^?` allowed). A working `register.sv` file is provided, but you will need to add the other modules together to create this file. Hint, there are some combinational modules not included in the folder that you might need for this one.

Confidence/Skills Check

This is more about understanding how a register file is supposed to work and getting better at wiring large systems together - the underlying circuitry is simple once you understand how a register file is supposed to work.