

# Assignment 3

Due: February 4, 2020

Kanyid, Bradon  
bradon.kanyid@pdx.edu

Reimer, Daniel  
daniel.reimer@pdx.edu

**Problem 1: Lower Bounds [10 points]** Prove that any comparison-based algorithm for constructing a binary search tree from an arbitrary list of  $n$  elements takes  $\Omega(n \log n)$  time in the worst case. (Hint: Consider how to reduce the sorting problem to performing a set of operations on a binary search tree. In other words, show that if a faster algorithm existed for constructing a binary search tree then you would violate the  $\Omega(n \log n)$  comparison-based sorting lower bound.)

## Solution

We don't know how long any possible comparison-based BST construction algorithm would take. However, we do know that if we constructed a BST, we could reduce sorting to an in-order traversal of a BST. We also know that in-order traversal has  $O(n)$ -time complexity.

Assume that we could efficiently construct a BST in  $o(n \lg n)$ -time, i.e. faster than  $O(n \lg n)$ . Since sorting an unsorted list reduces to a BST construction based on that unsorted list, followed by in-order traversal, and we know that in-order traversal is  $O(n)$ -time complexity, then the complexity of sorting an unordered list would be  $o(n \lg n)$ .

However, this is a contradiction because we know sorting has  $\Omega(n \lg n)$ -time complexity. Therefore BST construction must also have  $\Omega(n \lg n)$ -time complexity.

**Problem 2: Median of Medians** The 'Median-of-medians' selection algorithm presented in class divides the input into groups of 5. Using a group of odd size helps keep things a little simpler (because otherwise the group medians are messier to define), but why the choice of 5?

**(a) [10 points]** Show that the same argument for linear worst-case time complexity works if we use groups of size 7 instead.

### Solution

We divide the elements with a recursive call on  $n/7$ . We are able to partition the groups with  $4/7$  items smaller than the pivot (this is  $(1/2)*4*(n/7) == 4n/14$  groups), and groups with  $4/7$  items larger than the pivot (this is also  $(1/2)*4*(n/7) == 4n/14$ ). These are the known elements we can eliminate, which leaves us with  $5n/7$  elements to recurse on and further filter.

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &\leq an + T\left(\frac{n}{7}\right) + T\left(\frac{5n}{7}\right) \\ &= an * \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{6n}{7} \\ &= an * \frac{1}{(1 - (\frac{6}{7}))} \\ &= 7an \in O(n) \end{aligned}$$

With splitting into groups of 7, we get a lower constant but the overall performance is still  $O(n)$

**(b) [10 points]** Show that groups of size 3 results in superlinear time complexity.

### Solution

We divide the elements with a recursive call on  $n/3$ . We are able to partition the groups with  $2/3$  items smaller than the pivot (this is  $(1/2)*2*(n/3) == 2n/6$  groups), and groups with  $2/3$  items larger than the pivot (this is also  $(1/2)*2*(n/3) == 2n/6$ ). These are the known elements we can eliminate, which leaves us with  $2n/3$  elements to recurse on and further filter.

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &\leq an + T\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) + T\left(\frac{2n}{3}\right) \\ &= an * \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{3n}{3} \\ &= an * n \\ &= an^2 \in O(n^2) \end{aligned}$$

Because we are recursing on the tree with  $n/3$  groups and  $2/3n$  groups, the combined recursion ends up looking at  $n$  total elements, i.e. the recursion is not reducing the problem.