

Math 255B Lecture 11 Notes

Daniel Raban

January 31, 2020

1 The Cayley Transform of Symmetric Operators

1.1 The Cayley transform

Let $S : D(S) \rightarrow H$ be closed, symmetric, and densely defined. We have shown that $S \pm i$ are injective $\text{Im}(S \pm i)$ is closed, and $\|(S + i)x\|^2 = \|(S - i)x\|^2 (= \|Sx\|^2 + \|x\|^2)$.

Definition 1.1. The Cayley transform T of S is the operator $T = (S + i)(S - i)^{-1} : \text{Im}(S - i) \rightarrow \text{Im}(S + i)$.

The above norm calculation shows that T is an isometric bijection.

Proposition 1.1. $T - 1$ is injective, $\text{Im}(T - 1) = D(S)$, and $S = i(T + 1)(T - 1)^{-1} : D(S) \rightarrow H$.

Proof. If $y \in \text{Im}(S - i)$ with $y = (S - i)x$, then

$$(T - 1)y = (S + i)x - (S - i)x = 2ix.$$

We get $T - 1$ is injective and $\text{Im}(T - 1) = D(S)$. Similarly,

$$(T + 1)y = (S + i)x + (S - i)x = 2Sx = 2S,$$

so

$$2S \frac{1}{2i} (T - 1)y = (T + 1)y.$$

Then

$$S = i(T + 1)(T - 1)^{-1}. \quad \square$$

Conversely, let $H_1, H_2 \subseteq H$ be closed subspaces, and let $T : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be a unitary map be such that $\text{Im}(T - 1)$ is dense in H . We claim that $T - 1$ is injective: If $(T - 1)y = 0$ for $y \in H_1$, then for $z \in H_1$,

$$\langle y(T - 1)z \rangle = \langle y, Tz \rangle - \langle yz \rangle = \langle Ty, Tz \rangle - \langle y, z \rangle = 0.$$

Define $S : D(S) = \text{Im}(T - 1) \rightarrow H$ by $S = i(T + 1)(T - 1)^{-1}$. We claim that S is symmetric. For $x = (T - 1)y \in D(S)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle Sx, x \rangle &= i \langle (T + 1)y, (T - 1)y \rangle \\ &= i(\|Ty\|^2 - \langle Ty, y \rangle + \langle y, Ty \rangle - \|y\|^2) \\ &= i(-\langle Ty, y \rangle + \langle y, Ty \rangle) \in \mathbb{R}.\end{aligned}$$

We get $\langle Sx, x \rangle = \langle x, Sx \rangle$ for all $x \in D(S)$. Polarize this identity (i.e. $x = y + z, y + iz$) to get that S is symmetric.

We claim that S is closed. If $(x, z) \in \overline{G(S)}$, there is a sequence $y_n \in H_1$ such that $(T - 1)y_n \rightarrow x$ and $i(T + 1)y_n \rightarrow z$. So $y_n \rightarrow y \in H_1$. $Ty_n \rightarrow Ty$, so $(T - 1)y_n \rightarrow (T - 1)y = x \in D(S)$. Then

$$i(T + 1)y_n \rightarrow i(T + 1)y = i(T + 1)(T - 1)^{-1}x = Sx = z.$$

Finally, let T_1 be the Cayley transform of S , $T_1 : \text{Im}(S - i) \rightarrow \text{Im}(S + i)$ with $T_1 = (S + i)(S - i)^{-1}$. If $y \in D(S) = \text{Im}(T - 1)$ with $y = (T - 1)x$ ($x \in H_1$), then

$$(S - i)y = (S - i)(T - 1)x = i(T + 1)x - i(T - 1)x = 2ix.$$

So $D(T_1) = H_1 = D(T)$. Now we check

$$T_1x = \frac{1}{2i}T_1(S - i)y = \frac{1}{2i}(S + i)y = \frac{1}{2i}\underbrace{(S(T - 1)x + i(T + 1)x)}_{i(T + 1)x} = Tx.$$

So the Cayley transform of S is T .

We summarize the results in a proposition.

Proposition 1.2. *Let S be closed, symmetric, and densely defined. Then the Cayley transform $T : \text{Im}(S - i) \rightarrow \text{Im}(S + i)$ sending $(S - i)x \mapsto (S + i)x$ is unitary, $\text{Im}(T - 1) = D(S)$, $T - 1$ is injective, and $S = i(T + 1)(T - 1)^{-1}$. Conversely, if H_1, H_2 are closed subspaces of H , $T : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ is unitary, and $\text{Im}(T - 1)$ is dense, then T is the Cayley transform of a unique symmetric, closed, densely defined operator S .*

1.2 Deficiency subspaces

Now we are ready to check whether a closed, symmetric, and densely defined operator is self-adjoint.

Definition 1.2. Let S be closed, symmetric, and densely defined. The **deficiency subspaces** associated to S are $D_{\pm} := (\text{Im}(S \pm i))^{\perp} = \ker(S^* \mp i)$. The **deficiency indices** are $n_{\pm} = \dim D_{\pm}$ (Hilbert space dimension).

The deficiency indices measure the extent to which S may fail to be self-adjoint. We know $D_{\pm} \subseteq D(S)$. Introduce also

$$D(S^*)|_{D_{\pm}} =: \widehat{D}_{\pm} = \{(x, S^*x) : x \in D_{\pm}\} = \{\langle x, \pm ix \rangle : x \in D_{\pm}\}.$$

Theorem 1.1. *Let S be closed, symmetric, and densely defined. Then*

$$G(S^*) = G(S) \oplus \widehat{D}_+ \oplus \widehat{D}_-,$$

where the direct sum is orthogonal.

Proof. Check first that $G(S) \perp \widehat{D}_{\pm}$ (we check $+$): if $x \in D(S)$ and $y_+ \in D_+$

$$\langle (x, Sx), (y_+, iy_+) \rangle = \langle x, y_+ \rangle + \langle Sx, iy_+ \rangle = \langle x, y_+ \rangle + \underbrace{\langle x, iS^*y_+ \rangle}_{=\langle x, iiy_+ \rangle} = 0.$$

Also, $\widehat{D}_+ \perp \widehat{D}_-$:

$$\langle (y_+, iy_+), (y_-, -iy_-) \rangle = \langle y_+, y_- \rangle + \langle iy_+, (1/i)y_- \rangle = 0.$$

By orthogonality, $G(S) \oplus \widehat{D}_+ \oplus \widehat{D}_-$ is a closed subspace of $G(S^*)$. It remains to show that if (y, S^*y) is orthogonal to $G(S), \widehat{D}_{\pm}$, then $y = 0$. We will show this next time. \square