

# Math 255A Lecture 15 Notes

Daniel Raban

October 31, 2018

## 1 Perturbation of Fredholm Operators and the Logarithmic Law

### 1.1 Perturbation of Fredholm Operators

Last time, we were showing that Fredholm operators are stable under small perturbations. Let's finish the proof.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(B_1, B_2)$  be a Fredholm operator. If  $S \in \mathcal{L}(B_1, B_2)$  is such that  $\|S\|$  is sufficiently small, then  $T + S$  is Fredholm and  $\text{ind}(T + S) = \text{ind}(T)$ .*

*Proof.* We take a “Grushin approach.” Let  $\mathcal{P} : B_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_-} \rightarrow B_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n_+}$  be

$$\mathcal{P} = \begin{bmatrix} T & R_- \\ R_+ & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $n_+ = \dim(\ker(T))$ ,  $n_- = \dim(\text{coker}(T))$ ,  $R_- : \mathbb{C}^{n_-} \rightarrow B_2$  is injective, and  $R_+ : B_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n_+}$  is surjective. Then  $\mathcal{P}$  is invertible, so

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}} = \begin{bmatrix} T + S & R_- \\ R_+ & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is also invertible with the inverse  $\mathcal{E} : B_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n_+} \rightarrow B_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n_-}$  given by

$$\mathcal{E} = \begin{bmatrix} E & E_+ \\ E_- & E_{-+} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{E} = \begin{bmatrix} T + S & R_- \\ R_+ & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E & E_+ \\ E_- & E_{-+} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ * & R_+E_+ \end{bmatrix},$$

so  $R_+E_+$  is the identity on  $\mathbb{C}^{n_+}$ . So  $E_+$  is injective. Similarly,

$$\mathcal{E}\tilde{\mathcal{P}} = \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ * & E_-R_- \end{bmatrix},$$

so  $E_-$  is surjective.

We now show that  $T + S$  is Fredholm.

$$\begin{aligned}
x \in \ker(T + S) &\iff (T + S)x = 0 \\
&\iff \begin{bmatrix} T + S & R_- \\ R_+ & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a_+ \end{bmatrix} \\
&\iff \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{E} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a_+ \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E_+ a_+ \\ E_- a_+ \end{bmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $a_+ = R_+ x \in \mathbb{C}^{n_+}$ . We get that  $x \in \ker(T + S)$  if and only if  $x = E_+ a_+$ , where  $a_+ \in \ker(E_-)$ . Thus,  $E_+ : \ker(E_-) \rightarrow \ker(T + S)$  is surjective. So it is injective, since  $\ker(E_-)$  is finite dimensional. So  $\dim(\ker(T + S)) = \dim(\ker(E_-)) \leq n_+$ . In particular, we get that  $\dim(\ker(T + S)) \leq \dim(\ker(T))$ .

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
(T + S)x = y &\iff \begin{bmatrix} T + S & R_- \\ R_+ & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ a_+ \end{bmatrix} \\
&\iff \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{E} \begin{bmatrix} y \\ a_+ \end{bmatrix} \\
&\iff x = E_+ y + e_+ a_+, 0 = E_- y + E_- a_+.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\text{im}(T + S) = \{y \in B_2 : \exists a_+ \in \mathbb{C}^{n_+} \text{ s.t. } E_- y = -E_- a_+\}$ . We get a map from  $B_2 / \text{im}(T + S) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n_-} / \text{im}(E_-)$  given by  $y + \text{im}(T + S) \mapsto E_- y + \text{im}(E_-)$ . The map is injective and surjective since  $E_-$  is surjective. We get  $\dim(\text{coker}(T + S)) = \dim(\text{coker}(E_-)) < \infty$ . Thus,  $T + S$  is Fredholm and

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{ind}(T + S) &= \text{ind}(E_-) = \dim(\ker(E_-)) - \dim(\mathbb{C}^n / \text{im}(E_-)) \\
&= n_+ - n_- = \dim(\ker(T)) - \dim(\text{im}(T)) = \text{ind}(T). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 1.1.** *The set  $\{T \in \mathcal{L}(B_1, B_2) : T \text{ is Fredholm}\}$  is open in  $\mathcal{L}(B_1, B_2)$ , and the index is constant on each component of this set. Moreover,  $\dim(\ker(T))$  is upper semicontinuous.*

## 1.2 The logarithmic law

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $T_1 \in \mathcal{L}(B_1, B_2)$  and  $T_2 \in \mathcal{L}(B_2, B_3)$  be Fredholm. Then  $T_2 T_1 \in \mathcal{L}(B_1, B_3)$  is also Fredholm, and we have “the logarithmic law”*

$$\text{ind}(T_2 T_1) = \text{ind}(T_2) + \text{ind}(T_1).$$

*Proof.* Consider  $T_1 : \ker(T_2 T_1) \rightarrow \ker(T_2)$  sending  $x \mapsto T_1 x$ . From linear algebra, we have  $\dim(\ker(T_2 T_1) / \ker(T_1)) \leq \dim(\ker(T_2))$ . So

$$\dim(\ker(T_2 T_1)) \leq \dim(\ker(T_1)) + \dim(\ker(T_1)) + \dim(\ker(T_2)).$$

Also, we have the exact sequence

$$B_2/\text{im}(T_1) \xrightarrow{T'_2} B_3/\text{im}(T_2T_1) \xrightarrow{q} B_3/\text{im}(T_2)$$

where  $T'_2$  sends  $x + \text{im}(T_2) \mapsto T_2x + \text{im}(T_2T_1)$ , and  $q$  sends  $x + \text{im}(T_2T_1) \mapsto x + \text{im}(T_2)$ . So we have  $\text{im}(T'_2) = \ker(q)$ . It follows that  $\dim(B_3/\text{im}(T_2T_1)) < \infty$ . So  $T_2T_1$  is Fredholm.

To prove the logarithmic law, consider the family of operators  $B_1 \oplus B_2 \rightarrow B_2 \oplus B_3$  given by

$$L(t) = \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & T_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(t)I_2 & \sin(t)I_2 \\ -\sin(t)I_2 & \cos(t)I_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $I_2$  is the identity on  $B_2$ , and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $L(t)$  is a product of 3 Fredholm operators and is Fredholm for each  $t$ .

The map  $t \mapsto L(t)$  is continuous (w.r.t. the operator norm on  $\mathcal{L}(B_1 \oplus B_2, B_2 \oplus B_3)$ ). Then  $\text{ind}(L(t))$  is locally constant, so it is constant. If  $t = 0$ , we get

$$L(0) = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

so  $\text{ind}(L(0)) = \text{ind}(T_1) + \text{ind}(T_2)$ . If  $t = -\pi/2$ ,

$$L(-\pi/2) = \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & T_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I_2 \\ I_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I_2 \\ T_2T_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

That is,

$$L(-\pi/2) \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -y \\ T_2T_1x \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since  $\text{ind}(L(-\pi/2)) = \text{ind}(T_2T_1)$ , we get the logarithmic law.  $\square$

### 1.3 Introduction to compact operators

**Definition 1.1.** A linear operator  $T : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$  between Banach spaces is called **compact** if the closure of the image of the unit ball in  $B_1$  is compact in  $B_2$ :  $\overline{T(\{\|x\| \leq 1\})}$  is compact in  $B_2$ .

In other words,  $T$  is compact if and only if for  $\|x_n\| \leq 1$ ,  $(Tx_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a convergent subsequence. Also, compact operators are continuous.