Mathematics 222B Lecture 7 Notes

Daniel Raban

February 8, 2022

1 Compactness of Sobolev Embeddings and Poincaré-Type Inequalities

1.1 Compactness of embeddings of Hölder spaces into Hölder spaces

Last time we defined the notion of compact operators.

Definition 1.1. Let X, Y be normed spaces, and let $T: X \to Y$ be linear. We say that T is a **compact operator** if $T(B_X)$, the image of the unit ball in X, is compact in Y. Equivalently, we may require that for all bounded $\{x_n\} \subseteq X$, $\{Tx_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence.

The proof will resemble the proof of the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Arzelà-Ascoli). Let K be a compact set and $A \subseteq C(K)$. Suppose that

- 1. A is **locally bounded**, i.e. for any $x \in K$, there is an M(x) such that for all $f \in A$, $|f(x)| \leq M(x)$.
- 2. A is equicontinuous, i.e. for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$|x - y| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall x, y \in K.$$

Then A is compact.

There is a weaker notion of convergence in C(K), pointwise convergence. The link between pointwise and uniform convergence is given by the equicontinuity assumption. In short, we use extra regularity to help us prove compactness.

Theorem 1.2 (Compactness of $C^{0,\alpha}(U) \subseteq C^{0,\alpha'}(U)$). Let U be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^d , and assume $0 < \alpha' < \alpha < 1$ (so that $C^{0,\alpha}(U) \subseteq C^{0,\alpha'}(U)$). The embedding $C^{0,\alpha}(U) \to C^{0,\alpha'}(U)$ is compact.

Here is a sketch of the proof.

Proof.

- (i) The first observation is to note that the embedding $C^{0,\alpha}(U) \to C(U)$ is compact (this is by Arzelà-Ascoli).
- (ii) By (i), if $\{u_n\} \subseteq C^{0,\alpha}(U)$ is bounded: $\|u_n\}_{C^{0,\alpha}} \leq M$, then there is a subsequence u_{n_j} such that $\{u_{n_j}\}$ is convergent in C(U) (to u_{∞}). We claim that in fact,

$$\|u_{n_j} \to u_\infty\|_{C^{0,\alpha'}(U)} \to 0.$$

The key idea here is **interpolation**. Because

$$||v||_{C^{0,\alpha'}} = ||v||_{L^{\infty}} + [v]_{C^{0,\alpha'}},$$

we need to show that

$$[v]_{C^{0,\alpha'}} \le ||v||_{L^{\infty}} [v]_{C^{0,\alpha}}^{\alpha'/\alpha},$$

where the α'/α exponent comes from dimensional analysis concerns. If we have this, then

$$[u_{n_j} - u_{\infty}]_{C^{0,\alpha'}} \leq \underbrace{\|u_{n_j} - u_{\infty}\|^{1-\alpha'/\alpha}}_{\to 0 \text{ by (i)}} \underbrace{[u_n - u_{\infty}]_{C^{0,\alpha}}^{\alpha'/\alpha}}_{\text{bdd}}.$$

To prove this inequality, write

$$\frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x - y|^{\alpha'}} \le (|v(x)| + |v(y)|)^{1 - \alpha'/\alpha} \left(\frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x - y|^{\alpha'}}\right)^{\alpha'/\alpha}.$$

Then take the sup over $x, y \in U$ with $x \neq y$ on both sides.

1.2 Rellich-Kondrachov compactness of embedding Sobolev spaces into L^p spaces

Theorem 1.3 (Rellich-Kondrachov). Let $d \geq 2$, and let U be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^d with C^1 boundary ∂U . (Recall that if $1 \leq p < d$, we have the embedding $W^{1,p}(U) \to L^{p^*}(U)$, where $\frac{d}{p^*} = \frac{d}{p} - 1$.) Let $1 \leq p < d$, and let $1 \leq q < p^*$. Then the embedding $W^{1,p}(U) \to L^q(U)$ is compact.

As in the discussion of Arzelà-Ascoli, we will approximate a bounded sequence by a part which is compact and leverage some sort of uniform control. Here is a property of mollifiers that will be useful for us: Recall that if $v \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\int \varphi = 1$, $\varphi_{\varepsilon} * v \to v$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. This is a qualitative statement that doesn't tell us how fast this converges with respect to ε . However, if we have more information, we can rectify this.

Lemma 1.1 (Accelerated convergence of modifiers). Let $1 \le p < \infty$, and suppose $v \in W^{k,p}$. Choose $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\int \varphi \, dx = 1$ and $\int x^{\alpha} \varphi \, dx = 0$ for all $1 \le |\alpha| < k$. Then

$$\|\varphi_{\varepsilon} * v - v\|_{L^p} \le C\varepsilon^k \|\partial^{(k)}v\|_{L^p}.$$

Here is the proof of this lemma when k=2. The argument is the same for other values of k.

Proof. First, write

$$\int \varphi_{\varepsilon}(y)v(x-y)\,dy - \underbrace{v(x)}_{=\int \varphi_{\varepsilon}(y)v(x)\,dy} = \int \varphi_{\varepsilon}(y)(v(x-y)-v(x))\,dy.$$

Here, we should think of $|y| \lesssim \varepsilon$. To quantify the convergence of the v part, we Taylor expand in y. We will be using the integral form of the Taylor expansion with remainder. Here is the k=2 case: Write $\int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds}v(x-sy)\,ds = -\int \frac{d}{ds}(1-s)\frac{d}{ds}v(x-sy)\,dx = \frac{d}{dsv(x-sy)|_{s=0}+\int_0^1(1-s)\frac{1}{d}^2}ds^2v(x-sy)\,ds$. The first term gives $y\cdot\nabla v(x)$, and the second term gives $y^iy^j\int_0^1(1-s)\partial_i\partial_jv(x-sy)\,ds$. The contribution of the first term is 0 by the moment condition, and we are left with the remainder, which we can control. In all, we get

$$\left| \int \varphi_{\varepsilon}(y)v(x-y)\,dy - v(x) \right| \leq \int |\varphi_{\varepsilon}(y)||y|^2 \int_0^1 |\partial^2 \varphi(x-sy)|\,ds\,dy.$$

This tells us that

$$\|\cdot\|_{L^p} \le \|\partial^2 v\|_{L^p} \int |\varphi_{\varepsilon}(y)| \underbrace{|y|^2}_{\le \varepsilon^2} dy$$

$$\lesssim \varepsilon^2 \|\partial^2 v\|_{L^p}.$$

Now let's prove the theorem.

Proof.

Step 1: Reduce to the compactness of $W^{1,p}(U) \to L^p(U)$. This is sufficient because of the following two cases:

Case 1: $W^{1,p} \to L^q(U)$ with $1 \le q \le p$. In this case, if U is bounded, then Hölder gives $\|v\|_{L^q(U)} \le |U|^{1/q-1/p} \|v\|_{L^p}$, and we already have control in L^p .

¹The conditions $\int x^{\alpha} \varphi \, dx = 0$ are called **moment conditions**.

²Sung-Jin Oh says that this is the only version of Taylor's theorem you should ever use; this is a lesson he learned later than he would have liked.

Case 2: $W^{1,p} \to L^q(U)$ with $p < q < p^*$. Again by Hölder, we have

$$||v||_{L^q} \le ||v||_{L^p}^{\theta} ||v||_{L^{p^*}}^{1-\theta},$$

where $\frac{d}{q} = \frac{d}{p}\theta + \frac{d}{p^*}(1-\theta)$. The condition that $p < q < p^*$ tells us that $0 < \theta < 1$. The L^p term goes ti 0 by compactness of $W^{1,p} \to C^p$, and the L^{p^*} term goes to 0 by the Sobolev inequality.

Step 2: Prove compactness of $W^{1,p}(U) \to L^p(U)$: Given $\{u_n\} \subseteq W^{1,p}(U)$ with $\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(U)} \leq M < \infty$, by extension, we can find a sequence of extensions \widetilde{u}_n of u_n defined on \mathbb{R}^d such that

$$\|\widetilde{u}_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le C\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(U)} \le CM$$

and supp $\widetilde{u}_n \subseteq V$, where V is a bounded open set containing \overline{U} . It suffices to find a subsequence of \widetilde{u}_n that converges in L^p . Introduce $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\int \varphi \, dx = 1$, and write

$$\widetilde{u}_n = \underbrace{\varphi * \widetilde{u}_n}_{v_{n\varepsilon}} + \underbrace{\left(\widetilde{u}_n - \varphi * \widetilde{u}_n\right)}_{e_{n,\varepsilon}}.$$

By the lemma,

$$||e_{n\varepsilon}||_{L^p} \leq C\varepsilon M,$$

independent of n. Also, note that using Hölder's inequality (specifically using that $\int |\widetilde{u}_n(x-y)\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x-y)| dy \leq \|\widetilde{u}_n\|_{L^p}\|\varphi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p'}}$),

$$||v_{n,\varepsilon}||_{L^{\infty}} + ||\nabla v_{n,\varepsilon}||_{L^{\infty}} \le C_{\varepsilon}.$$

For each ℓ , there exists a subsequence $\widetilde{u}_{n_{\ell}}$ such that

$$||e_{n_{\ell},\varepsilon}|| < 2^{-\ell}$$

and such that

$$||v_{n_{\ell'},\varepsilon} - v_{n_{\ell'},\varepsilon}||_{L^p} < 2^{-\ell} \qquad \forall \ell',\ell'' > \ell.$$

(The second statement is by Arzelà-Ascoli. Now use a diagonal argument to extract a convergent subsubsequence; i.e. apply this recursively to subsequences and then extract a diagonal subsequence that converges. \Box

1.3 Poicaré-type inequalities

A **Poincaré-type inequality** refers to any inequality that controls u in terms of information on Du, along with some additional condition to fix the ambiguity.

Theorem 1.4 (Poincaré inequality). Let $1 \le p < \infty$, and let U be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^d with C^1 boundary ∂U . For $u \in W^{1,p}(U)$ with $\int_U u \, dx = 0$,

$$||u||_{L^p} \leq C_U ||Du||_{L^p}.$$

Remark 1.1. For p = 1, the proof requires a bit more effort than what we will say.

Here is a proof from Evans' book. This is a typical application of Rellich-Kondrachov compactness.

Proof. We argue by contradiction. For contradiction, assume that for each $n \geq 1$, there exists $u_n \in W^{1,p}(U)$ such that $\int u_n = 0$ and

$$||u_n||_{L^p} \ge n||\nabla u_n||_{L^p}.$$

By normalization, we may assume that $||u_n||_{L^p} = 1$. Then it follows that

$$\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^p} \le \frac{1}{n}.$$

In particular, this means that $||u_n||_{W^{1,p}(U)} \leq 2$, and by Rellich-Kondrachov compactness, there is a subsequence such that $u_n \to u_\infty$ in L^p . Moreover, $1 = ||u_n||_{L^p} \to ||u_\infty||_{L^p}$. Since $Du_n \to Du$ weakly in L^p , we must have Du = 0. That is, u is constant on U. But $0 = \int u_n \to \int u$, which tells us that u = 0 on U. However, this contradicts $||u||_{L^p} = 1$. \square

In most applications of this compactness arguments, u will satisfy linear relations that imply that it equals 0. Then you can show that it's not 0.

Remark 1.2. Another popular form of the Poincaré inequality is

$$\left\| u - \frac{1}{|U|} u \right\|_{L^p} \le C_U \|Du\|_{L^p}.$$

Here are some other examples of Poincaré-type inequalities:

Theorem 1.5 (Friedrich inequality). Let $1 \le p < \infty$, and let U be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^d with C^1 boundary ∂U . For $u \in W^{1,p}(U)$ with $u|_{\partial U} = 0$,

$$||u||_{L^p} \leq C_U ||Du||_{L^p}.$$

We can prove this in the same way using compactness. On the other hand, we can also prove this just from the Sobolev inequality for $W_0^{1,p}(U)$.

Theorem 1.6 (Hardy's inequality).

(i) If $u \in W^{1,p}(U)$ and $u|_{\partial U} = 0$, then

$$\left\| \frac{1}{d(x,\partial U)} u \right\|_{L^p(U)} \le C \|Du\|_{L^p(U)}.$$

(ii) If $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with p < d, then

$$\left\| \frac{1}{|x|} u \right\|_{L^p} \le C \|Du\|_{L^p}.$$

We can view Hardy's inequality as a refinement of Friedrich's inequality. We will discuss the proof next time.