Math 247A Lecture 3 Notes

Daniel Raban

January 10, 2019

1 The Littlewood Principle and Lorentz Spaces

1.1 The Littlewood principle and optimality of the Hausdorff-Young inequality

Last time we were proving the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. If $\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^q} \leq \|f\|_{L^p}$ for some $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then necessarily, q = p' and $1 \leq p \leq 2$.

We have already proven the first statement. To prove the second we will use the **Littlewood principle**: "A translation invariant operator does not improve decay." So if $T: L^p \to L^q$, then $q \ge p$. This is not a theorem but a general principle.

Say we have a bump function at 0 and we translate it far away. Keep doing this (N times), and let f be the superposition of all the bump functions. If we apply T to f, since T is translation invariant, we will get N translated copies of the modified bump. Then $||f||_{L^p} \sim N^{1/p}$, while $||Tf||_{L^q} \sim N^{1/q}$. Then we need $N^{1/q} \lesssim N^{1/p}$. Letting $N \to \infty$, we get $1/q \le 1/p$, so $p \le q$.

The Fourier transform is not translation invariant, however. And the Fourier transform of a compactly supported function no longer has compact support. However, we can use the fast decay of the Gaussian to achieve the same effect.

Proof. Let
$$\varphi(x) = e^{-\pi|x|^2}$$
. For $1 \le k \le N$ and $\alpha \gg 1$, define

$$\varphi_k(x) = e^{2\pi i x \cdot \alpha k e_1} \varphi(x - \alpha k e_1).$$

Then

$$\widehat{\varphi}_k(\xi) = e^{-2\pi i \alpha k \xi_1} \widehat{\varphi}(\xi - \alpha k e_1).$$

Let
$$f = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \varphi_k$$
 and $S = \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} \{x : |x - \alpha_j e_1| \le \alpha/10\}$. Then

$$||f||_{L^p} = ||f||_{L^p(S)} + ||f||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus S)}.$$

We can bound each of these by

$$||f||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d\setminus S)} \le \sum_{k=1}^N ||\varphi_k||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d\setminus S)} \lesssim N\alpha^{-100},$$

$$||f||_{L^p(S)}^p \sim \sum_{j=1}^N \left| \left| \sum_{k=1}^N \varphi_k \right| \right|_{L^p(|x-\alpha je_1| \le \alpha/10)} \sim N(1 + O(\alpha^{-100}))$$

because $|x - \alpha k e_1| \ge |\alpha(j - k)e_1| - |x - \alpha j e_1| \ge \alpha |j - k| - \alpha/10 \ge (\alpha/2)|j - k|$. Taking $\alpha \gg 1$, we get $||f||_{L^p} \sim N$. Similarly,

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^{p'}} \sim N^{1/p'}$$

We need $N^{1/p'} \leq N^{1/p}$ for all $N \geq 1$. This means that $1/p' \leq 1/p$, so $p \leq p'$. So $1 \leq p \leq 2$.

1.2 Weak L^p and Lorentz spaces

Definition 1.1. For $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$, define

$$||f||_{L_{\text{weak}}}^* = \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda |\{x : |g(x)| > \lambda\}|^{1/p}.$$

The **weak** L^p **space** is the set of measurable functions $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ for which $||f||_{L^p_{\text{weak}}}^* < \infty$. We denote it by $L^p_{\text{weak}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Example 1.1. $f(x) = |x|^{d/p}$ is in $L^p_{\text{weak}} \setminus L^p$. We have

$$||f||_{L^{\text{weak}}}^* = \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda |\{x : |x|^{-d/p} > \lambda\}|^{1/p} \sim \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda (\lambda^{-p})^{1/p} \sim 1.$$

Remark 1.1. We will show that the weak L^p "norm" is a quasinorm (not a norm) and that is why we append * to the usual norm notation.

By comparison, for $1 \le p < \infty$,

$$||f||_{L^p}^p = \int |f(x)|^p dx$$

$$= \int \int_0^{|f(x)|} p\lambda^{p-1} d\lambda dx$$

$$= \int_0^\infty p\lambda^{p-1} |\{x : |f(x)| > \lambda\}| d\lambda$$

$$= p \int_0^\infty \lambda^p |\{x : |f(x)| > \lambda\}| \frac{1}{\lambda} d\lambda.$$

So we can write

$$||f||_{L^p} = p^{1/p} ||\lambda| \{x : |f(x)| > \lambda\}|^{1/p} ||_{L^p((0,\infty),\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda})}.$$

With the convention that $p^{1/\infty} = 1$, we also have

$$||f||_{L_{\text{weak}}}^* = p^{1/\infty} ||\lambda| \{x : |f(x)| > \lambda\}|^{1/p} ||_{L^{\infty}((0,\infty), \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda})}.$$

Can we do this to L^p spaces for other exponents?

Definition 1.2. For $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, the **Lorentz space** $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the set of measurable functions $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ for which

$$||f||_{L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^* = p^{1/q} ||\lambda| \{x : |f(x)| > \lambda\}|^{1/p} ||_{L^q((0,\infty),\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda})} < \infty.$$

Note that $L^{p,p} = L^p$ and $L^{p,\infty} = L^p_{\text{weak}}$.

Lemma 1.1. $||f||_{L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^*$ is a quasinorm.

Proof. If $||f||_{L^{p,q}}^* = 0$, then f = 0 a.e. For $a \neq 0$,

$$\begin{split} \|af\|_{L^{p,q}}^* &= p^{1/q} \|\lambda| \{x: |af(x)| > \lambda\}|^{1/p} \|_{L^q(\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda})} \\ &= p^{1/q} |a| \left\| \frac{\lambda}{|a|} |\{x: |f(x)| > \lambda/|a|\}|^{1/p} \right\|_{L^q(\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda})} \\ &= |a| \|f\|_{L^{p,q}}^*. \end{split}$$

For the "triangle inequality," we have

$$\begin{split} \|f+g\|_{L^{p,q}}^* &= p^{1/q} \|\lambda|\{x: |f(x)+g(x)| > \lambda\}|^{1/p}\|_{L^q(\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda})} \\ &\leq p^{1/q} \|\lambda[|\{x: |f(x)| > \lambda/2\}| + |\{x: |f(x)| > \lambda/2\}|]^{1/p}\|_{L^q(\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda})} \end{split}$$

By the concavity of fractional powers, we get

$$\leq p^{1/q} \left[\left\| \frac{\lambda}{2} |\{x : |f(x)| > \lambda/2\}|^{1/p} \right\|_{L^q(\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda})} + \left\| \frac{\lambda}{2} |\{x : |f(x)| > \lambda/2\}|^{1/p} \right\|_{L^q(\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda})} \right]$$

$$\leq 2 \left[\|f\|_{L^{p,q}}^* + \|g\|_{L^{p,q}}^* \right].$$

Remark 1.2. We will show that for $1 and <math>1 \le q \le \infty$, there exists a norm equivalent to this quasinorm. For p = 1 and $q \ne 1$, no such norm exists. Nonetheless, in this latter case, there is a metric that generates the same topology. In all cases, $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is complete.

Proposition 1.1. For $f \in L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, decompose $f = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f_m$ by defining $f_m(x) = f(x) \mathbb{1}_{\{2^m \leq |f(x)| < 2^{m+1}\}}(x)$. Then

$$||f||_{L^{p,q}}^* \sim |||f_m||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}||_{\ell_m^q(\mathbb{Z})}.$$

In particular, $L^{p,q_1} \subseteq L^{p,q_2}$ whenever $q_1 \leq q_2$.