### Math 254A Lecture 2 Notes

Daniel Raban

March 30, 2021

## 1 Counting Empirical Distributions Close to a Given Distribution

#### 1.1 Easier upper bound for the size of a type class

Recall our setting: A is a finite alphabet, and for  $x \in A^n$ ,  $p_x(a) = \frac{|\{i \le n: x_i = a\}|}{n}$  is the empirical distribution. The type class is

$$T_n(p) = \{x \in A^n : p_x = p\}.$$

Last time, we used Stirling's approximation to show that  $|T_n(p)| = e^{H(p)n + o(n)}$ , where  $H(p) = -\sum_a p(a) \log p(a)$ .

Today we will focus on a variant of the question: counting how many empirical distributions are close to p. We will prove an alternative proof that  $|T_n(p)| \leq e^{H(p)n}$ , the arguments for which will help us in the later analytic case when there is no exact answer.

Proposition 1.1.  $|T_n(p)| \leq e^{H(p)n}$ .

*Proof.* Choose  $X \in A^n$  at random with iid p coordinates, i.e. the law of x is  $p^{\times n}$ . Given  $x \in T_n(p)$ , then

$$\mathbb{P}(X = x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(x_i)$$

$$= \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p(x_i)\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(\sum_{a} p_x(a) \cdot n \cdot \log p(a)\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(n \sum_{a} p(a) \log p(a)\right)$$

$$=e^{-H(p)n}$$
.

So

$$1 \ge \mathbb{P}(x \in T_n(p)) = \sum_{x \in T_n(p)} \mathbb{P}(X = x) = |T_n(p)| e^{-H(p)n}.$$

**Remark 1.1.** It's also true that  $|T_n(p)| \ge e^{H(p)n - o(n)}$  if  $p(a) \in \mathbb{N}/n$  for all a.

## 1.2 Asymptotic analysis of number of empirical distributions close to p

Next, we estimate the size of

$$T_{n,\delta}(p) = \{x \in A^n : ||p_x - p|| < \delta\}.$$

**Proposition 1.2.** For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $p \in P(A)$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ , we have

$$e^{H(p)n-o(n)} \le |T_{n,\delta}(p)| \le e^{H(p)n+\varepsilon n+o(n)}.$$

*Proof.* (Upper bound):

$$T_{n,\delta}(p) = \bigcup_{\substack{\|q-p\|<\delta\\nq(a)\in\mathbb{N}\ \forall a}} T_n(q),$$

so

$$|T_{n,\delta}| \le \sum_{q} |T_n(q)| = \sum_{q} e^{H(q)n}.$$

H is continuous on  $\mathbb{P}(A)$ , so there exists a  $\delta_0$  such that  $||q-p|| < \delta_0 \implies H(q) < H(p) + \varepsilon$ , and then

$$|T_{n,\delta}(p)| \le e^{H(p)n+\varepsilon n} |\{q \in P(A) : ||q-p|| < \delta, nq(a) \in \mathbb{N} \,\forall a\}|$$

$$\le (n+1)^{|A|} e^{H(p)n+\varepsilon n}$$

$$= e^{H(p)n+\varepsilon n+o(n)}$$

(Lower bound): If  $X \sim p^{\times n}$ , so

$$\mathbb{P}(X \in T_{n,\delta}(p)) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{a} |p_x(a) - p(a)| < \delta\right)$$
$$= \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{a} \left| \frac{|\{i : x_i = a\}|}{n} - p(a) \right| < \delta\right)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{a} \left| \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}_{X_i = a}}{n} - p(a) \right| < \delta \right).$$

The  $\mathbb{1}_{\{X_i=a\}}$  are iid Bernoulli random variables with mean p(a), so by the Weak Law of Large Numbers, this stays  $<\delta/|A|$  with high probability as  $n\to\infty$ . So this probability equals 1-o(1). So we must have

$$\sum_{x \in T_{n,\delta}(p)} \underbrace{\mathbb{P}(X=x)}_{=e^{-n\sum_{a} p_{x}(a)\log p(a)}} = 1 - o(1).$$

Observe that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta_0$  such that  $||p_x - p|| < \delta \implies \sum_a p_x(a) \log p(a) \le \sum_a p(a) \log p(a) + \varepsilon$ . So for this  $\delta$ , we get

$$|T_{n,\delta}(p)|e^{-H(p)n+\varepsilon n} \ge \mathbb{P}(X \in T_{n,\delta}(p)) = 1 - o(1),$$

and so 
$$|T_{n,\delta}(p)| \ge e^{H(p)n-\varepsilon n-o(n)}$$
.

# 1.3 Superadditivity and convexity arguments for counting type classes of sets

What we've done is specify a ball in the space of empirical distributions and calculated how many distributions end up in the ball. Here is an approach that does not rely on an exact answer. Given  $U \subseteq P(A)$ , let  $T_n(U) = \{x \in A^n : p_x \in U\}$  and  $S_n(U) := \log |T_n(U)|$ . Here is a key fact.

**Proposition 1.3.** If U is convex, then  $S_{n+m}(U) \geq S_n(U) + S_m(U)$  for all n, m; i.e.  $S_n(U)$  is superadditive.

*Proof.* Suppose  $x \in T_n(U)$  and  $y \in T_m(U)$ . Then

$$p_{(x,y)}(a) = \frac{n}{n+m} p_x(a) + \frac{m}{n+m} p_y(a),$$

so  $p_{(x,y)} \in U$  by convexity of U. So  $T_n(U) \times T_m(U) \subseteq T_{n+m}(U)$ . This gives  $|T_n(U)| \times |T_m(U)| \le |T_{n+m}(U)|$ . Now take log.

**Lemma 1.1** (Fekete). Suppose  $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$  for all n is superadditive:  $a_{n+m} \geq a_n + a_m$ . Then

$$\lim_{n} \frac{a_n}{n} = \sup_{n} \frac{a_n}{n} \in (-\infty, \infty].$$

*Proof.* By iterating this condition,  $a_n \ge na_1$  for all n. Rearrange this to  $a_n/n \ge a_1$  for all n. Now suppose that  $c < \sup_n a_n/n$ . We will show that  $a_n/n > c$  for all sufficiently large

n. Choose m such that  $a_m/m > c$ . Now consider  $n \gg m$  such that n = km + p, where  $k \ge 1$  and  $0 \le p < m$ . Then  $a_n \ge ka_m + a_p$ , so

$$\frac{a_n}{n} \ge \frac{k}{km+p} a_m + \frac{p}{km+p} a_1 = \underbrace{\frac{km}{km+p}}_{\underbrace{n\to\infty}_{>c}} \underbrace{\frac{a_m}{m}}_{>c} + \underbrace{\frac{p}{km+p}}_{\underbrace{n\to\infty}_{>0}} a_1.$$

Corollary 1.1. If  $U \subseteq P(A)$  is convex, then  $s(U) := \lim_n \frac{1}{n} S_n(U)$  exists; i.e.  $|T_n(U)| = e^{S(U)n + o(n)}$ .

Next, we will derive properties of S.

**Lemma 1.2.** If  $U \subseteq V$ , then  $S(U) \leq S(V)$ .

Here is somewhat of an improvement:

**Lemma 1.3.** If  $U \subseteq U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_k$ , then  $s(U) \leq \max_i s(U_i)$ .

Proof.

$$|T_n(U)| \le \sum_i |T_n(U_i)| \le k \cdot \max_i |T_n(U_i)|,$$

SO

$$\frac{1}{n}S_n(U) \le \frac{\log k}{n} + \max_i \frac{1}{m}S_n(U_i).$$

Now let  $n \to \infty$ .

How can a function of convex sets U be like this?

**Example 1.1.** Let  $\widetilde{S}: P(A) \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous, and let  $S(U) = \sup{\{\widetilde{S}(p) : p \in U\}}$ . This example will have the property in the above lemma.

Next time, we will give conditions on S for it to have this form. When we come to the analytic case, we will be able to repeat this analysis without needing to know the exact value of S.