

# Math 255A Lecture 1 Notes

Daniel Raban

September 28, 2018

## 1 The Hahn-Banach Theorem

### 1.1 The real Hahn-Banach theorem

**Theorem 1.1** (Hahn-Banach, analytic form). *Let  $V$  be a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $p : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a map which satisfies*

1. *positive homogeneity:  $p(\lambda x) = \lambda p(x)$  for all  $x \in V$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,*
2. *subadditivity:  $p(x + y) \leq p(x) + p(y)$  for all  $x, y \in V$ .*

*Let  $W \subseteq V$  be a linear subspace and let  $g : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a linear form such that  $g(x) \leq p(x)$  for all  $x \in W$ . Then there exists a linear form  $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which agrees with  $g$  on  $W$  such that  $f(x) \leq p(x)$  for all  $x \in V$ .*

*Proof.* We will use Zorn's lemma to obtain  $f$ . For notation, we write  $D(f)$  as the domain of  $f$ . Let us consider the set

$$P = \{h \mid h : D(h) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, D(h) \subseteq V \text{ is a linear subspace s.t. } W \subseteq D(h), \\ h|_W = g, h(x) \leq p(x), x \in D(h)\}.$$

$P \neq \emptyset$  because  $g \in P$ .  $P$  is equipped with the partial order relation  $\leq$ :

$$h_1 \leq h_2 \iff D(h_1) \subseteq D(h_2) \text{ and } h_2 \text{ extends } h_1.$$

Claim: The set  $P$  is inductive, in the sense that any totally ordered subset  $Q \subseteq P$  has an upper bound; i.e. there exists  $x \in P$  such that  $a \leq x$  for all  $a \in Q$ . Write  $Q = (h_j)_{j \in I}$ . Let  $D(h) = \bigcup_{j \in I} D(h_j)$ , and define  $h$  by saying  $x \in D(h_j) \implies h(x) = h_j(x)$ . The function  $h$  is well defined,  $h \in P$ , and  $h_j \leq h$  for all  $j \in I$ .

By Zorn's lemma, we conclude that  $P$  has a maximal element  $f$ , in the sense that if  $f \leq h \in P$ , then  $h = f$ . We have to check that  $D(f) = V$ ; proceed by contradiction. If  $D(f) \neq V$ , let  $x_0 \in V \setminus D(f)$ , and define  $h$  by  $D(h) = D(f) + \mathbb{R}x_0$  and for  $x \in D(f)$ ,  $h(x + tx_0) = f(x) + t\alpha$ , where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  is to be chosen such that  $h \in P$  ( $h(x) \leq p(x)$  for  $x \in D(h)$ ).

We have to arrange:  $f(x) + t\alpha \leq p(x + tx_0)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in D(f)$ . By the positive homogeneity of  $p$ , we need only check when  $t = \pm 1$ . So we need to satisfy:

$$f(x) + \alpha \leq p(x + x_0) \quad f(x) - \alpha \leq p(x - x_0).$$

In other words, we have to choose  $\alpha$  so that

$$\sup_{y \in D(f)} f(y) - p(y - x_0) \leq \alpha \leq \inf_{x \in D(f)} p(x + x_0) - f(x).$$

This is possible as  $f(y) - p(y - x_0) \leq p(x + x_0) - f(x)$  for all  $x, y \in D(f)$ , which follows from  $f(x + y) \leq p(y - x_0) + p(x + x_0)$  (by  $p(x + y) \geq f(x + y)$ ). We conclude that  $f \leq h$ ,  $h \neq f$ , which contradicts the maximality of  $f$ .  $\square$

## 1.2 The complex Hahn-Banach theorem

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $V$  be a vector space over  $K = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . A function  $p : V \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a **seminorm** if

1.  $p(\lambda x) = |\lambda|p(x)$  for all  $x \in V$ ,  $\lambda \in K$
2.  $p(x + y) \leq p(x) + p(y)$  for all  $x, y \in V$ .

**Theorem 1.2** (Hahn-Banach, complex version). *Let  $V$  be a vector space  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $W \subseteq V$  a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear subspace, and  $p : V \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  a seminorm. Let  $g : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear such that  $|g(x)| \leq p(x)$  for all  $x \in W$ . Then  $g$  can be extended to a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear form  $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq p(x)$  for all  $x \in V$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $g = g_1 + ig_2$ , where  $g_1(x) = \operatorname{Re}(g(x))$  and  $g_2(x) = \operatorname{Im}(g(x))$ ;  $g_1, g_2$  are  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear. and defined on  $W$ . Note that  $g_1(iy) = \operatorname{Re}(g(iy)) = \operatorname{Re}(ig(y)) = -g_2(y)$ , so we can recover  $g_2$  from  $g_1$ . Now  $g_1(y) \leq p(y)$  for all  $y \in W$ , so by the real version of the Hahn-Banach theorem, there exists an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear  $f_1 : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f_1|_W = g_1$  and  $f_1(x) \leq p(x)$  for all  $x \in V$ . Let  $f(x) = f_1(x) - i(f_1(ix))$ . Then, by our previous observation,  $f|_W = g$ . Note that  $f$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear and  $f(ix) = f_1(ix) - i f_1(-x) = i(f_1(x) - f_1(ix)) = if(x)$ , so  $f$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear. Finally, we check that  $|f(x)| \leq p(x)$  for all  $x \in V$ . If  $f(x) \neq 0$ , write  $f(x) = |f(x)|e^{i\varphi}$  with  $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$|f(x)| = e^{-i\varphi} f(x) = f(e^{-i\varphi} x) = f_1(e^{-i\varphi} x) \leq p(e^{-i\varphi} x) = p(x). \quad \square$$

## 1.3 Introduction to dual spaces

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $B$  be a complex Banach space. The **dual space**  $B^*$  is the space of linear continuous maps  $\xi : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

The form on  $B \times B^*$  given by  $(x, \xi) \mapsto \xi(x) = \langle x, \xi \rangle$  is bilinear. There may exist linear forms  $B^*$  which are not of the form  $\xi \mapsto \langle x, \xi \rangle$ .