




Part of speech:




Named entity recognition:



Co-reference:



Basic dependencies:



Text Preprocessing

Pilsung Kang

School of Industrial Management Engineering

Korea University

AGENDA

01 **Introduction to NLP**


02 **Lexical Analysis**

03 **Syntax Analysis**

04 **Other Topics in NLP**

Natural Language Processing

- Natural language processing sequence



Natural Language Processing

Witte (2006)

- Classical categorization of NLP

Classical Categorization

To deal with the complexity of natural language, it is typically regarded on several levels (cf. Jurafsky & Martin):

Phonology the study of linguistic sounds

Morphology the study of meaningful components of words

Syntax the study of structural relationships between words

Semantics the study of meaning

Pragmatics the study of how language is used to accomplish goals

Discourse the study of larger linguistic units

Importance for Text Mining

- Phonology only concerns spoken language
- Discourse, Pragmatics, and even Semantics is still rarely used

Natural Language Processing

- Phonology is the first gate of AI solutions

음성 인식 기술 비교		애플	구글	마이크로소프트	아마존
명칭	시리(Siri)	구글 나우(Now)	코타나(Cortana)	알렉사(Alexa)	
출시일	2011년 10월	2012년 7월	2014년 4월	2014년 11월	
사용 가능 언어	영어·중국어·한국어 등 13개 언어	영어·일본어·스페인어·한국어 등 9개 언어	영어·중국어·스페인어 등 7개 언어(※한국어 사용 불가)	영어(※한국어 사용 불가)	
사용처	아이폰·아이패드에 탑재	구글 안드로이드 스마트폰에 탑재	윈도10 운영체제(OS)에 탑재	음성 인식용 스피커 에코에 탑재	
주요 기능	-음성으로 스마트폰 조작 -자주 사용하는 앱 추천	-음성으로 스마트폰 조작 -구글의 검색·지도 등 다른 서비스와 연동	-평온·당황 등의 감정을 이모티콘으로 표현 -윈도10 OS를 쓰는 기기에 모두 기본 탑재	-가정용 제품으로 설계 -생활 소음 속에서도 목소리 잘 인식 -아마존 서비스와 연동해 물건 주문 가능	

Natural Language Processing

- Speech to Text (STT)



Kaldi Speech Recognition Toolkit

To build the toolkit: see `./INSTALL`. These instructions are valid for UNIX systems including various flavors of Linux; Darwin; and Cygwin (has not been tested on more "exotic" varieties of UNIX). For Windows installation instructions (excluding Cygwin), see `windows/INSTALL`.

To run the example system builds, see `egs/README.txt`

If you encounter problems (and you probably will), please do not hesitate to contact the developers (see below). In addition to specific questions, please let us know if there are specific aspects of the project that you feel could be improved, that you find confusing, etc., and which missing features you most wish it had.

Kaldi information channels

For HOT news about Kaldi see [the project site](#).

[Documentation of Kaldi:](#)

- Info about the project, description of techniques, tutorial for C++ coding.
- Doxygen reference of the C++ code.

[Kaldi forums and mailing lists:](#)

We have two different lists

- User list `kaldi-help`
- Developer list `kaldi-developers`:

To sign up to any of those mailing lists, go to <http://kaldi-asr.org/forums.html>:

<https://github.com/kaldi-asr/kaldi>



Natural Language Processing

• Top 16 Speech Recognition Startups (2020.02.06)

1 Mobvoi



Country: **China** | Funding: **\$252.7M**
Mobvoi is an AI company that developed Chinese voice recognition, natural language processing, and vertical search technology in-house.

2 SoundHound



Country: **USA** | Funding: **\$115M**
SoundHound develops voice-enabled AI and conversational intelligence technologies. It provides Speech-to-Meaning engine and Deep Meaning Understanding technology that can be built in other services and devices. It also develops app for music recognition and voice assistant for search.

3 Liulishuo



Country: **China** | Funding: **\$100M**
Through cutting-edge AI technology & innovative product design, Liulishuo helps users learn English more efficiently and communicate with the world.

4 Invoca




Country: **USA** | Funding: **\$60.8M**
Invoca provides complete call intelligence for business. Its machine learning algorithms analyze live phone conversations to understand caller intent and outcomes. Marketers can utilize these insights to make smarter decisions on everything from PPC bidding strategy to digital retargeting audiences.

5 Dialogflow



Country: **USA** | Funding: **\$8.6M**
Dialogflow is a conversational user experience platform enabling natural language interactions for devices, applications and services. Developers can use Dialogflow services for speech recognition, natural language processing (intent recognition and context awareness), and conversation management to quickly and easily differentiate their business, increase customer satisfaction and improve business processes.

6 Verbit



Country: **Israel** | Funding: **\$34M**
Verbit is using smart AI technology to disrupt transcription and captioning with automation and speed.

7 Speechmatics



Country: **UK**
Speechmatics provides automatic speech recognition technologies that can be used anywhere, by anyone, in any language.

8 Notable



Country: **USA** | Funding: **\$19.2M**
Notable uses AI to automate and digitize every physician-patient interaction. It automates recording of doctor's visits and updating of electronic health records. The company has developed a technology that uses natural language processing and voice recognition to automatically record doctor-patient interactions and structure the data for inclusion in a patient's medical records.



Natural Language Processing


- Text to Speech (TTS) Example



Natural Language Processing

Witte (2006)

- An example of NLP




Natural Language Processing

- Is Pragmatic Analysis Possible?



“여섯 단어로 우리를 울릴만한
소설을 써 보시지?”



Is NLP Easy? No!

Witte (2006)

- Why is NLP hard?

Difference to other areas in Computer Science

Computer scientist are used to dealing with precise, closed, artificial structures

- e.g., we build a “mini-world” for a database rather than attempting to model every aspect of the real world
- programming languages have a simple syntax (around 100 words) and a precise semantic

This approach does not work for natural language:

- tens of thousands of languages, with more than 100 000 words each
- complex syntax, many ambiguities, constantly changing and evolving

A corollary is that a TM system will never get it “100% right”

Is NLP Easy? No!

- Programming Language

```
17  from __future__ import absolute_import
18  from __future__ import division
19  from __future__ import print_function
20
21  import re
22  import tensorflow as tf
23
24
25  def create_optimizer(loss, init_lr, num_train_steps, num_warmup_steps, use_tpu):
26      """Creates an optimizer training op."""
27      global_step = tf.train.get_or_create_global_step()
28
29      learning_rate = tf.constant(value=init_lr, shape=[], dtype=tf.float32)
30
31      # Implements linear decay of the learning rate.
32      learning_rate = tf.train.polynomial_decay(
33          learning_rate,
34          global_step,
35          num_train_steps,
36          end_learning_rate=0.0,
37          power=1.0,
38          cycle=False)
```

<https://github.com/google-research/bert/blob/master/optimization.py>

Is NLP Easy? No!

- How to annoy graduate students with four lines of Python code

```
import tensorflow as plt  
import pandas as np  
import numpy as tf  
import matplotlib.pyplot as pd
```

Is NLP Easy? No!

Witte (2006)

- Ambiguity of a natural language

Ambiguity appears on every analysis level

The classical examples:

- *He saw the man with the telescope.*
- *Time flies like an arrow. Fruit flies like a banana.*

And those are simple...

This does not get better with real-world sentences:

- *The board approved [its acquisition] [by Royal Trustco. Ltd.] [of Toronto] [for \$27 a share] [at its monthly meeting].*

(cf. Manning & Schütze)

Is NLP Easy? No!

Witte (2006)

- Complex and subtle relationship between concepts in texts
 - ✓ “AOL merges with Time-Warner”
 - ✓ “Time-Warner is bought by AOL”
- Ambiguity and context sensitivity
 - ✓ automobile = car = vehicle = Hyundai



vs.



Research Trends in NLP

Witte (2006)

- From rule-based approaches to statistical approaches

The classical way: until late 1980's

Rule-based approaches:

- are too rigid for natural language
- suffer from the *knowledge acquisition bottleneck*
- cannot keep up with changing/evolving language
ex. “*to google*”

The statistical way: since early 1990's

“Statistical NLP” refers to all quantitative approaches, including Bayes' models, Hidden Markov Models (HMMs), Support Vector Machines (SVMs), Clustering, ...




- more robust & more flexible
- need a *Corpus* for (supervised or unsupervised) learning

But real-world systems typically combine both.

Research Trends in NLP

Collobert et al. (2011)

- From statistical approaches to machine-learning (deep-learning) approaches





Research Trends in NLP

Socher et al. (2013)

- From statistical approaches to machine-learning (deep-learning) approaches

Sentiment Trees

You can double-click on each tree figure to see its expanded version with greater details. There are 5 classes of sentiment classification: very negative, negative, neutral, positive, and very positive.



<http://nlp.stanford.edu:8080/sentiment/rntnDemo.html>

Research Trends in NLP

Wu et al. (2016)

- From statistical approaches to machine-learning (deep-learning) approaches





Figure 1: The model architecture of GNMT, Google's Neural Machine Translation system. On the left


Research Trends in NLP

- End-to-End Multi-Task Learning



Research Trends in NLP

- Performance Improvements



Browse > Natural Language Processing

Natural Language Processing

434 leaderboards • 232 tasks • 100 datasets • 3563 papers with code


Representation Learning



Representation Learning

3 leaderboards

439 papers with code



Word Embeddings

427 papers with code



Graph Embedding

90 papers with code



Network Embedding

54 papers with code



Sentence Embeddings

1 leaderboard

51 papers with code

Machine Translation



Machine Translation

44 leaderboards

562 papers with code



Transliteration

16 papers with code



Unsupervised Machine Translation

9 leaderboards

11 papers with code



Multimodal Machine Translation

7 papers with code



Low-Resource Neural Machine Translation

6 papers with code

Question Answering



Question Answering

46 leaderboards

476 papers with code



Open-Domain Question Answering

3 leaderboards

22 papers with code



Answer Selection

2 leaderboards

19 papers with code



Community Question Answering

14 papers with code



Knowledge Base Question Answering

1 leaderboard

8 papers with code


Language Modelling



Language Modelling

8 leaderboards

504 papers with code




Sentence Pair Modeling

4 papers with code


Research Trends in NLP

- Performance Improvements with a huge model



Research Trends in NLP

- An Era of optimization???




수학적 최적화(MO)와 인공지능(AI) 비교

수학적 최적화	구분	인공지능
제조·물류·에너지·교통 등 최적의 해결책이 필요한 분야	적용 분야	얼굴·이미지·음성 분석 등 빅데이터 분석 필요한 분야
수학 방정식으로 최적의 답 계산 (연역 추론)	방식	과거 데이터 분석해 유사성 또는 향후 추세 분석(귀납 추론)
-시간·비용이 상대적으로 적게 들 -새 변수에 바로 대응 가능	장점	-데이터 양 많을수록 정교해짐 -과거에 못 풀던 문제 해결 가능
수학 공식화 어려운 분야에 적용 힘들어	단점	분석 결과가 100% 맞지 않음

수학적 최적화 적용 사례

OLED(유기 발광 다이오드) 생산 라인

글라스(유리) 위 수백만 개 소자에 유기물을 붙이는 증착 과정이 중요. 글라스 투입 위치를 수학으로 계산해 수율 높임



MO는 고성능 컴퓨터에 기반해 복잡한 연산을 한다는 점에서 AI와 비슷하다. 하지만 과거의 빅데이터를 분석해 최선의 답을 도출하는 AI와 달리 MO는 수학 공식으로 현재 주어진 한정된 조건에서 가장 이상적인 해결책을 찾아준다. AI가 경험을 바탕으로 가장 나은 방안을 제시한다면, MO는 수학 이론을 동원해 최적 답을 알려주는 것이다. MO는 AI처럼 빅데이터를 분석하는 과정이 필요 없기 때문에 시간·비용이 상대적으로 적게 든다.

최신순 찬성순 반대순

김병인 (betteren****)

좋은 기사 감사합니다. 하지만 업공학에서 경영과학(Operat 어 왔고, 산업체에 효과도 많았고, 같은 기업에서도 많이 활용되는 기법입니다. 특히 MO는 산업 분야에서 매우 넓은 영역에서 적용되며, 특히 디지털 트랜스포메이션(DT) 시대에는 생산 효율화와 혁신을 위한 핵심 기술로 자리 잡았습니다.

댓글



가

신고

동의하기 힘듭니다. MO는 산업 분야에서 매우 넓은 영역에서 적용되며, 특히 디지털 트랜스포메이션(DT) 시대에는 생산 효율화와 혁신을 위한 핵심 기술로 자리 잡았습니다.

15

0

김병인

박사학위 : Rensselaer Polytechnic Inst.
전공분야 : 물류최적화, OR 응용
연구실 : 물류 연구실

Research Trends in NLP

- 10 Exciting ideas of 2018 in NLP (<http://ruder.io/10-exciting-ideas-of-2018-in-nlp/>)
 - ✓ Unsupervised Machine Translation
 - ✓ Pretrained language models
 - ✓ Common sense inference datasets
 - ✓ Meta-learning
 - ✓ Robust unsupervised methods
 - ✓ Understanding representations
 - ✓ Clever auxiliary tasks
 - ✓ Combining semi-supervised learning with transfer learning
 - ✓ QA and reasoning with large documents
 - ✓ Inductive bias

Research Trends in NLP

- Major NLP Achievements & Papers from 2019
 - ✓ Language Models Are Unsupervised Multitask Learners
 - ✓ XLNet: Generalized Autoregressive Pretraining for Language Understanding
 - ✓ RoBERTa: A Robustly Optimized BERT Pretraining Approach
 - ✓ Emotion-Cause Pair Extraction: A New Task to Emotion Analysis in Texts
 - ✓ Transferable Multi-Domain State Generator for Task-Oriented Dialogue Systems
 - ✓ Ordered Neurons: Integrating Tree Structures into Recurrent Neural Networks
 - ✓ Probing the Need for Visual Context in Multimodal Machine Translation
 - ✓ Bridging the Gap between Training and Inference for Neural Machine Translation
 - ✓ On Extractive and Abstractive Neural Document Summarization with Transformer Language Models
 - ✓ CTRL: A Conditional Transformer Language Model For Controllable Generation
 - ✓ ALBERT: A Lite BERT for Self-supervised Learning of Language Representations

Research Trends in NLP

- 14 NLP research breakthrough you can apply to your business
 - ✓ BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding
 - ✓ Sequence Classification with Human Attention
 - ✓ Phrase-Based & Neural Unsupervised Machine Translation
 - ✓ What you can cram into a single vector: Probing sentence embeddings for linguistic properties
 - ✓ SWAG: A Large-Scale Adversarial Dataset for Grounded Commonsense Inference
 - ✓ Deep contextualized word representations
 - ✓ Meta-Learning for Low-Resource Neural Machine Translation
 - ✓ Linguistically-Informed Self-Attention for Semantic Role Labeling
 - ✓ A Hierarchical Multi-task Approach for Learning Embeddings from Semantic Tasks
 - ✓ Know What You Don't Know: Unanswerable Questions for SQuAD
 - ✓ An Empirical Evaluation of Generic Convolutional and Recurrent Networks for Sequence Modeling
 - ✓ Universal Language Model Fine-tuning for Text Classification
 - ✓ Improving Language Understanding by Generative Pre-Training
 - ✓ Dissecting Contextual Word Embeddings: Architecture and Representation

(https://www.topbots.com/most-important-ai-nlp-research/?utm_campaign=meetedgar&utm_medium=social&utm_source=meetedgar.com)

Research Trends in NLP

- Statistical translation vs. deep learning-based translation

Translate Text

Input

Enter or paste text from a passage.

▼

Text Rest API

흔히 기업·가계 등 경제 주체와 금융시장이 가장 싫어하는 건 '불확실성'이라고 한다. 주요국 주식시장은 트럼프 미국 대통령 당선에 따른 경제회복 기대감으로 아직 상승하고 있지만, 정책당국과 경제연구기관·학계 모두 우리 경제 안팎에 '불확실성 충격'이 염습하고 있다고 한목소리를 낸다. 트럼프의 정책 불확실성과 혼선, 미·중 통상마찰 심화 등 정치경제적 혼돈과 급변이 '불확실성 시대'의 실체로 지목된다. 전문가들은 "지금의 불확실성은 통제하기 어려운 요인에서 비롯되고 있다"며 "사전에 결과를 예측하기도 어렵고, 시장의 예측과 상반되는 결과가 나타날 가능성이 크므로 다양한 관점에서의 정보 획득이 필요한 때"라고 말한다.

Output

Copy output from this field to clipboard.

▼

Text JSON

Often, companies and households as the economic and financial market hates the most is uncertainty it is called " X-inneciency ". Brazilian stocks fell as hopes for an economic recovery with Trump in the US presidential election is still rising, but both government policy-makers and economic research institutes and universities have shelters the 'uncertainty shock' and our economy. With TeuReomPeuBal, the China-US trade policy uncertainty and confusion entity of class variation " era of uncertainty with a deep political and economic chaos . Experts said the current uncertainty is difficult to control factors arising from competing, and

Research Trends in NLP

- Statistical translation vs. deep learning-based translation

The screenshot shows the Google Translate interface. At the top, there's a navigation bar with the Google logo, a grid icon, and a '로그인' (Login) button. Below the bar, the word '번역' (Translation) is displayed in red. On the right side of the interface, there are icons for a star and a link. The main area has language selection dropdowns for '한국어', '영어', '독일어', and '한국어 - 감지됨'. Between these dropdowns are two double-headed arrow icons. To the right of the dropdowns are more language options: '영어', '한국어', '일본어', and a dropdown menu. A blue '번역하기' (Translate) button is positioned next to the Japanese language option. The main content area contains a large block of Korean text on the left and its English translation on the right. The Korean text discusses economic entities like corporations and households hating uncertainty, mentioning the US stock market rise after Bush's election and various policy makers calling for uncertainty both inside and outside the economy. The English translation follows this narrative, explaining the 'uncertainty age' and experts' views on its causes and outcomes. At the bottom of the content area, there are several small icons: a star, a square, a double arrow, and a left arrow. The page number '348/5000' is located at the bottom center.


흔히 기업·가계 등 경제 주체와 금융시장이 가장 싫어하는 건 '불확실성'이라고 한다. 주요국 주식시장은 트럼프 미국 대통령 당선에 따른 경제회복 기대감으로 아직 상승하고 있지만, 정책당국과 경제연구기관·학계 모두 우리 경제 안팎에 '불확실성 충격'이 엄습하고 있다고 한목소리를 낸다. 트럼프발 정책 불확실성과 혼선, 미-중 통상마찰 심화 등 정치경제적 혼돈과 급변이 '불확실성 시대'의 실체로 지목된다. 전문가들은 "지금의 불확실성은 통제하기 어려운 요인에서 비롯되고 있다"며 "사전에 결과를 예측하기도 어렵고, 시장의 예측과 상반되는 결과가 나타날 가능성이 크므로 다양한 관점에서의 정보 획득이 필요한 때"라고 말한다.

It is often said that economic entities such as corporations and households and financial markets hate the 'uncertainty'. Although the stock market of major countries is still rising due to the expectation of economic recovery following the election of US President George W. Bush, policy makers, economic research institutes and academics both call for a "shock of uncertainty" inside and outside of our economy. Uncertainty, confusion, deepening frictions between the US and China, and political and economic chaos and sudden changes are pointing to the reality of the 'uncertainty age'. Experts say that "uncertainty now comes from factors that are difficult to control", "it is difficult to predict the outcome in advance, and there is a high possibility that the results will be in conflict with the market forecast. It says.

348/5000

Research Trends in NLP


- Provide your inputs to improve the machine translator!




- 2018.03.06 & 2019.03.02 & 2020.03.02

Research Trends in NLP


- Provide your inputs to improve the machine translator!




- 2018.03.06



- 2019.03.02



- 2020.03.02



Data Quality in NLP

2부
노동의 미래

MBC



FUTURE AI HUMAN

Data Quality in NLP

학습 모델 및 데이터 목록

• ExoBrain Project

한국어 BERT 언어모델 언어처리 학습데이터 음성 학습데이터 객체검출 학습데이터

언어처리 학습데이터

한국어 분석 및 질의응답 기술을 개발하기 위한 과학기술정보통신부 소프트웨어 분야 R&D인 엑소브레인 과제에서는 다양한 지식산업 환경에서 전문가 수준의 질의응답 서비스의 제공을 위하여 ETRI, 울산대, KAIST, 충북대, 강원대 등 국내 여러 연구기관이 힘을 합쳐 연구하고 있습니다.

엑소브레인 과제를 수행하면서 ETRI와 함께 각 연구기관에서 구축한 언어처리 학습데이터(엑소브레인 말뭉치 v4.0)를 공개하여 유사 분야 연구에 도움이 되고자 합니다. 공개하는 엑소브레인 말뭉치 v4.0은 아래와 같이 구성되어 있습니다.

엑소브레인 QA Datasets (ETRI)	퀴즈 QA Datasets	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ 퀴즈 분야 질의응답을 위한 4개 유형 (객관식/주관식/가부형/연상형)의 퀴즈 QA datasets (569개)
	SQuAD 한국어 QA Dataset	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ SQuAD 질문의 위키피디아 한국어 번역 QA datasets (표준태깅, 339개)
	MRC 한국어 QA Dataset	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ 한국어 위키피디아를 대상으로 구축한 MRC(Machine Reading Comprehension) QA datasets(10,000개)
엑소브레인 언어분석 말뭉치 (ETRI/강원대)	위키피디아 단문질문 QA Datasets	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ 상/중/하 난이도 별 패러프레이즈 QA datasets(표준태깅, 300개)■ 일반상식 분야 QA dataset(기본 태깅, 1,776개)
	언어분석 통합 말뭉치	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ 언어분석 6개 기술(형태소분석, 다이어 어휘의미분석, 세분류 개체명인식, 의존구문분석, 의미역인식, 상호참조해결)의 태깅 가이드라인과 자연어 질의응답을 위한 질문/정답 포맷의 뉴스기사 대상 태깅 말뭉치 (2,593문장, 33,131어절)
	세부기술 별 말뭉치	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ 개체명 인식 태깅 가이드라인 및 말뭉치 (인명/장소/조직/날짜/시간 5개 태그, 10,000 문장)■ 의미역 인식 태깅 가이드라인 및 말뭉치 (625문장, 7,436어절)■ 의존구문분석 태깅 가이드라인 및 말뭉치 (2,225문장, 27,317어절)
UCorpus-HG 말뭉치 (울산대학교)	TTA 공인인증 말뭉치 (추후제공예정)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ 엑소브레인 과제 결과물의 객관적인 성능 측정을 위해 2018년 한국정보통신기술협회(TTA)에서 시행한 공인인증 평가에 사용된 평가셋(개체명 인식: 459문장, 의미역 인식(필수여 대상): 450문장)
	울산대학교 형태/의미 말뭉치(UCorpus-HG)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ 표준국어대사전 기반 모든 동형이의어 대상으로 어깨번호를 부착한 말뭉치■ 원문: 세종 형태의미 말뭉치, 신문, 초등학교 국어교과서, 법률, 사전뜻풀이/용례■ 전체 1,909,840 문장, 18,869,517 어절 (학습 말뭉치 90%, 평가 말뭉치 10%로 분리 제공)
엑소브레인 Korean TimeBank 및 SpaceBank (KAIST/충북대)	한국어 시간 정보 주석 말뭉치: Korean TimeBank (KAIST)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ 한국어 시간 정보 자동 추출을 위한 한국어 시간 정보 주석 가이드라인 및 말뭉치■ 말뭉치 구성: 812 문서, 5,467 문장 태깅 (시간개체 4,509개, 시간관계 5,182개)
	한국어 공간 정보 주석 말뭉치: Korean SpaceBank (충북대학교)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ 한국어 공간 정보 자동 추출을 위한 한국어 공간 정보 주석 가이드라인 및 말뭉치■ 말뭉치 구성: 개체 태그 7종류, 관계 태그 4종류, 2,264 문장 태깅
엑소브레인 패러프레이즈 말뭉치 (KAIST)	한국어 패러프레이즈 말뭉치: Korean Paraphrase Corpus(KAIST)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ 한국어 패러프레이즈 인식 및 평가를 위한 주석 가이드라인 및 말뭉치■ 말뭉치 구성: 패러프레이즈 관계 2,000문장 쌍과 출처, 유사도(0-5)/난이도(상/중/하) 표준 태깅, 의미(실질) 형태소 정보 태깅


Data Quality in NLP

- Data Annotation as a Business Model


- ✓ Scale AI: <https://scale.com/>

- ✓ Basic AI: <https://www.basic.ai/>

Data Labeling Services




Sensor Fusion Cuboids



Video Tracking




Segmentation



2D Bounding Box



Polygons




3D Bounding Boxes




Lane Line



Key Points




Optical Character
Recognition



Classification



Text To Speech



Voice Annotation

Data Quality in NLP


- Data Annotation as a Business Model

- ✓ Amazon SageMaker Ground Truth: <https://aws.amazon.com/ko/sagemaker/groundtruth/>

- Data labeling Platform

처음에는 사람에 의해 labeling 작업 수행

이 과정에서 Amazon Mechanical Turk를 사용해서 작업자를 매칭시켜주거나 공급 업체를 추천해줌



I차 레이블링된 데이터를 이용해서 AI 모델을 학습시킨 후,

모델의 신뢰도가 낮을 경우에 사람에게 확인 요청을 하는 feedback loop를 거침

Data Quality in NLP

- Data Annotation as a Business Model (Social Enterprise)

✓ DataMaker: <https://www.rdproject.kr/#section-service>

✓ 테스트웍스: <http://www.testworks.co.kr/>



Audio Slicing은 음원 파일을 조건에 따라 분리하는 작업입니다.


음성 AI 서비스 개발 시, 화자에 따른 음원 분리, 노이즈 구간 제거 등을 할 수 있어 이를 토대로 AI를 학습시키는 데 활용될 수 있습니다.

데이터 가공

인공지능 알고리즘 개발을 위해 학습/검증용 데이터셋 구축 서비스를 제공

인공지능 데이터셋 구축 전문 인력

인공지능 전문가의 리드 하에, 데이터 가공 작업에 풍부한 경험과 특장점을 가진 인원들이 프로젝트에 투입됩니다.




플랫폼 특장점 3

이중 전수 검수를 통한
고품질의 데이터 보장

저희는 아프리카 기나 데이터 랩에서 1차 작업 및 전수 검수,
대한민국 데이터 랩에서 전달 검수자들이 2차 전수 검수를 시행합니다.

모든 작업자들은 보상금을 지급받기 위해서 데이터 메이커의 엄격한
과 기준을

준수해야 합니다. 저희는 2가지 방식의 검수 시스템을 보유하고 있으며,
의뢰자님이 검수 옵션을 선택하실 수 있습니다.



- 우수한 커뮤니케이션 역량 보유
- 섬세하고 꼼꼼한 분석력 보유
- 뛰어난 고객 중심 사고

- 반복작업에 대한 높은 집중력
- 정확함에 대한 애착
- 정직한 업무스타일

네비게이션 맵을 [이동](#) 버튼

AGENDA

01 Introduction to NLP

02 Lexical Analysis

03 Syntax Analysis

04 Other Topics in NLP

Lexical Analysis


- Goals of lexical analysis
 - ✓ Convert a sequence of characters into a sequence of **tokens**, i.e., meaningful character strings.
 - In natural language processing, **morpheme** is a basic unit
 - In text mining, **word** is commonly used as a basic unit for analysis
- Process of lexical analysis
 - ✓ Tokenizing
 - ✓ Part-of-Speech (POS) tagging
 - ✓ Additional analysis: named entity recognition (NER), noun phrase recognition, sentence split, chunking, etc.

Lexical Analysis


Hirschberg and Manning (2015)

- Examples of Linguistic Structure Analysis


Part of speech:



Named entity recognition:



Co-reference:



Basic dependencies:




Fig. 1. Many language technology tools start by doing linguistic structure analysis. Here we show output from Stanford CoreNLP. As shown from top to bottom, this tool determines the parts of speech of each word, tags various words or phrases as semantic named entities of various sorts, determines which entity mentions co-refer to the same person or organization, and then works out the syntactic structure of each sentence, using a dependency grammar analysis.

Lexical Analysis I: Sentence Splitting

Witte (2016)

- Sentence is very important in NLP, but it is **not critical** for some Text Mining tasks

Mark Sentence Boundaries

Detects sentence units. Easy case:

- often, sentences end with “.”, “!”, or “?”

Hard (or annoying) cases:

- difficult when a “.” do not indicate an EOS:
“*MR. X*”, “*3.14*”, “*Y Corp.*”, ...
- we can detect common abbreviations (“U.S.”), but what if a sentence ends with one?
“...announced today by the U.S. The...”
- Sentences can be *nested* (e.g., within quotes)

Correct sentence boundary is important

for many downstream analysis tasks:

- POS-Taggers maximize probabilities of tags within a sentence
- Summarization systems rely on correct detection of sentence

Lexical Analysis 2: Tokenization

- Text is split into basic units called Tokens

- ✓ word tokens, number tokens, space tokens, ...

Diagram illustrating the tokenization process:

```

> crude[[1]]
<<PlainTextDocument (metadata: 15)>>
Diamond Shamrock Corp said that
effective today it had cut its contract prices for crude oil by
1.50 dlr$ a barrel.
The reduction brings its posted price for West Texas
Intermediate to 16.00 dlr$ a barrel, the copany said.
"The price reduction today was made in the light of falling
oil product prices and a weak crude oil market," a company
spokeswoman said.
Diamond is the latest in a line of u.s. oil companies that
have cut its contract, or posted, prices over the last two days
citing weak oil markets.
Reuter
  
```

The text is shown in three columns: MC_tokenizer output, scan_tokenizer output, and the original text.

	MC	Scan
Space	Not removed	Removed
Punctuation	Removed	Not removed
Numbers	Removed	Not removed
Special characters	Removed	Not removed

Page: 40/93

Lexical Analysis 2: Tokenization

- Even tokenization can be difficult

- ✓ Is John's sick one token or two?

- If one → problems in parsing (where is the verb?)
 - If two → what do we do with John's house?

- ✓ What to do with hyphens?

- database vs. data-base vs. data base

- ✓ What to do with “C++”, “A/C”, “:-)”, “...”, “ㅋㅋㅋㅋㅋㅋㅋㅋ”?

- ✓ Some languages do not use whitespace (e.g., Chinese)

2013年5月，习主席在视察成都战区时，郑重提出在适当时候召开全军政治工作会议，并明确提出到古田召开这次会议，以更好弘扬我党我军的光荣传统和优良作风。6月，总政治部向中央军委提交《关于筹备召开全军政治工作会议的请示》，提出要通过召开会议形成一个指导性文件。习主席随即批示同意，明确要求这个文件要充分体现深厚的历史积淀和政治意蕴，能够管一个时期，起到历史性作用。

- Consistent tokenization is important for all later processing steps.

Lexical Analysis 3: Morphological Analysis

Witte (2016)

- Morphological Variants: Stemming and Lemmatization

Morphological Variants

Words are changed through a morphological process called *inflection*:

- typically indicates changes in case, gender, number, tense, etc.
- example *car* → *cars*, *give* → *gives*, *gave*, *given*

Goal: “normalize” words

Stemming and Lemmatization

Two main approaches to normalization:

Stemming reduce words to a *base form*

Lemmatization reduce words to their *lemma*

Main difference: stemming just finds **any** base form, which doesn't even need to be a word in the language! Lemmatization find the actual *root* of a word, but requires morphological analysis.

Lexical Analysis 3: Morphological Analysis

Witte (2016)

- Stemming

Stemming

Commonly used in Information Retrieval:

- Can be achieved with rule-based algorithms, usually based on suffix-stripping
- Standard algorithm for English: the *Porter* stemmer
- Advantages: simple & fast
- Disadvantages:
 - Rules are language-dependent
 - Can create words that do not exist in the language, e.g., *computers* → *comput*
 - Often reduces different words to the same stem, e.g., *army, arm* → *arm*
 - *stocks, stockings* → *stock*
- Stemming for German: German stemmer in the full-text search engine *Lucene*, *Snowball* stemmer with German rule file

Lexical Analysis 3: Morphological Analysis

Witte (2016)

- Lemmatization

Lemmatization

Lemmatization is the process of deriving the base form, or *lemma*, of a word from one of its inflected forms. This requires a morphological analysis, which in turn typically requires a *lexicon*.

- Advantages:
 - identifies the *lemma* (root form), which is an actual word
 - less errors than in stemming
- Disadvantages:
 - more complex than stemming, slower
 - requires additional language-dependent resources
- While stemming is good enough for Information Retrieval, Text Mining often requires lemmatization
 - Semantics is more important (we need to distinguish an *army* and an *arm!*)
 - Errors in low-level components can multiply when running downstream

Lexical Analysis 3: Morphological Analysis

- Stemming vs. Lemmatization

Word	Stemming	Lemmatization
Love	Lov	Love
Loves	Lov	Love
Loved	Lov	Love
Loving	Lov	Love
Innovation	Innovat	Innovation
Innovations	Innovat	Innovation
Innovate	Innovat	Innovate
Innovates	Innovat	Innovate
Innovative	Innovat	Innovative

Lexical Analysis 3: Morphological Analysis

- Stemming vs. Lemmatization with crude example

```
> crude[[1]]  
<<PlainTextDocument (metadata: 15)>>  
Diamond Shamrock Corp said that  
effective today it had cut its contract prices for crude oil by  
1.50 dtrs a barrel.  
The reduction brings its posted price for West Texas  
Intermediate to 16.00 dtrs a barrel, the company said.  
"The price reduction today was made in the light of falling  
oil product prices and a weak crude oil market," a company  
spokeswoman said.  
Diamond is the latest in a line of U.S. oil companies that  
have cut its contract, or posted, prices over the last two days  
citing weak oil markets.  
Reuter
```

Stemming

```
> stemCorpus[[1]]  
<<PlainTextDocument (metadata: 7)>>  
diamond shamrock corp said that  
effect today it had cut it contract price for crude oil by  
dlrs a barrel  
the reduct bring it post price for west texas  
intermedi to dtrs a barrel the copani said  
the price reduct today was made in the light of falling  
oil product price and a weak crude oil market a company  
spokeswoman said  
diamond is the latest in a line of us oil compani that  
hav cut it contract or post price over the last two days  
cit weak oil markets  
reuter
```


Lemmatization

```
> LemmaCorpus1  
[1] "diamond shamrock corp say that effective today it have  
cut it contract price for crude oil by dlr a barrel the redu  
ction bring it post price for w texa intermediate to dlr a b  
arrel the copany say the price reduction today be make in th  
e light have fall oil product price and a weak crude oil mar  
ket a company spokeswoman say diamond be the late in a line  
have us oil company that have cut it contract or post price  
ov the last two day cite weak oil market reut"
```

Lexical Analysis 4: Part-of-Speech (POS) Tagging

Witte (2016)

- Part of speech (POS) tagging
 - ✓ Given a sentence X, predict its part of speech sequence Y
 - Input: tokens that sentence may have ambiguity
 - Output: most appropriate tag by considering its definition and contexts (relationship with adjacent and related words in phrases, sentence, or paragraph)
 - ✓ A type of “structured” prediction



- Different POS tags for the same token
 - ✓ I love you. → “love” is a verb
 - ✓ All you need is love. → “love” is noun

Lexical Analysis 4: Part-of-Speech (POS) Tagging

- POS Tagging

POS-Tagging

A statistical POS Tagger scans tokens and assigns **POS Tags**.

A black cat plays. . . . → *A/DT black/JJ cat/NN plays/VB* . . .

- relies on different word order probabilities
- needs a manually tagged corpus for machine learning

Note: *this is not parsing!*

Lexical Analysis 4: Part-of-Speech (POS) Tagging

- Tagsets: English

Penn Treebank

TAG	DESCRIPTION	EXAMPLE
CC	conjunction, coordinating	<i>and, or, but</i>
CD	cardinal number	<i>five, three, 13%</i>
DT	determiner	<i>the, a, these</i>
EX	existential there	<i><u>there</u> were six boys</i>
FW	foreign word	<i>mais</i>
IN	conjunction, subordinating or preposition	<i>of, on, before, unless</i>
JJ	adjective	<i>nice, easy</i>
JJR	adjective, comparative	<i>nicer, easier</i>
JJS	adjective, superlative	<i>nicest, easiest</i>
LS	list item marker	
MD	verb, modal auxiliary	<i>may, should</i>
NN	noun, singular or mass	<i>tiger, chair, laughter</i>
NNS	noun, plural	<i>tigers, chairs, insects</i>
NNP	noun, proper singular	<i>Germany, God, Alice</i>
NNPS	noun, proper plural	<i>we met two <u>Christmases</u> ago</i>
PDT	predeterminer	<i>both his children</i>
POS	possessive ending	<i>'s</i>
PRP	pronoun, personal	<i>me, you, it</i>
PRP\$	pronoun, possessive	<i>my, your, our</i>
RB	adverb	<i>extremely, loudly, hard</i>
RBR	adverb, comparative	<i>better</i>
RBS	adverb, superlative	<i>best</i>
RP	adverb, particle	<i>about, off, up</i>
SYM	symbol	<i>%</i>
TO	infinitival to	<i>what <u>to</u> do?</i>
UH	interjection	<i>oh, oops, gosh</i>
VB	verb, base form	<i>think</i>
VBD	verb, 3rd person singular present	<i>she <u>thinks</u></i>
VBP	verb, non-3rd person singular present	<i>I <u>think</u></i>
VBN	verb, past tense	<i>they <u>thought</u></i>
VBN	verb, past participle	<i>a <u>sunken</u> ship</i>
VBG	verb, gerund or present participle	<i><u>thinking</u> is fun</i>
WDT	wh-determiner	<i>which, whatever, whichever</i>
WP	wh-pronoun, personal	<i>what, who, whom</i>
WP\$	wh-pronoun, possessive	<i>whose, whomever</i>
WRB	wh-adverb	<i>where, when</i>
.	punctuation mark, sentence closer	<i>.?*</i>
,	punctuation mark, comma	<i>,</i>
:	punctuation mark, colon	<i>:</i>
(contextual separator, left paren	<i>(</i>
)	contextual separator, right paren	<i>)</i>

UCREL CLAWS7 Tagset

APPG	possessive pronoun, pre-nominal (e.g. my, your, our)
AT	article (e.g. the, a)
AT1	singular article (e.g. a, an, every)
BCL	before-clause marker (e.g. in order (that),in order (to))
CC	coordinating conjunction (e.g. and, or)
CCB	adversative coordinating conjunction (but)
CS	subordinating conjunction (e.g. if, because, unless, so, for)
CSA	as (conjunction)
CSN	than (as conjunction)
CST	that (as conjunction)
CSW	whether (as conjunction)
DA	after-determiner or post-determiner capable of pronominal function (e.g. such, former, same)
DAT	singular after-determiner (e.g. little, much)
DA2	plural after-determiner (e.g. few, several, many)
DAR	comparative after-determiner (e.g. more, less, fewer)
DAT	superlative after-determiner (e.g. most, least, fewest)
DB	before determiner or pre-determiner capable of pronominal function (all, half)
DB2	plural before-determiner (both)
DD	determiner (capable of pronominal function) (e.g any, some)
DD1	singular determiner (e.g. this, that, another)
DD2	plural determiner (these, those)
DDQ	wh-determiner (which, what)
DDQE	wh-determiner, genitive (whose)
DDQV	wh-ever determiner, (whichever, whatever)
EX	existential there
FO	formula
FU	unclassified word
FW	foreign word
GE	germanic genitive marker - (' or's)
IF	for (as preposition)
II	general preposition
IO	of (as preposition)
IW	with, without (as prepositions)
JJ	general adjective
JJR	general comparative adjective (e.g. older, better, stronger)
JJT	general superlative adjective (e.g. oldest, best, strongest)
JK	catenative adjective (able in be able to, willing in be willing to)
MC	cardinal number,neutral for number (two, three..)
MC1	singular cardinal number (one)
MC2	plural cardinal number (e.g. sixes, sevens)
MCGE	genitive cardinal number, neutral for number (two's, 100's)
MCMC	hyphenated number (40-50, 1770-1827)
MD	ordinal number (e.g. first, second, next, last)
MF	fraction,neutral for number (e.g. quarters, two-thirds)
NI	singular noun of direction (e.g. north, southeast)
NN	common noun, neutral for number (e.g. sheep, cod, headquarters)
NNI	singular common noun (e.g. book, girl)
NN2	plural common noun (e.g. books, girls)
NNA	following noun of title (e.g. M.A.)
NNB	preceding noun of title (e.g. Mr., Prof.)
NNL1	singular locative noun (e.g. Island, Street)
NNL2	plural locative noun (e.g. Islands, Streets)
NNO	numerical noun, neutral for number (e.g. dozen, hundred)
NNO2	numerical noun, plural (e.g. hundreds, thousands)
NTT1	temporal noun, singular (e.g. day, week, year)
NTT2	temporal noun, plural (e.g. days, weeks, years)
NNU	unit of measurement, neutral for number (e.g. in, cc)
NNU1	singular unit of measurement (e.g. inch, centimetre)
NNU2	plural unit of measurement (e.g. ins., feet)
NP	proper noun, neutral for number (e.g. IBM, Andes)
NP1	singular proper noun (e.g. London, Jane, Frederick)
NP2	plural proper noun (e.g. Browns, Reagans, Koreas)
NPD1	singular weekday noun (e.g. Sunday)
NPD2	plural weekday noun (e.g. Sundays)
NPM1	singular month noun (e.g. October)
NPM2	plural month noun (e.g. Octobers)
PN	indefinite pronoun, neutral for number (none)
PN1	indefinite pronoun, singular (e.g. anyone, everything, nobody, one)
PNQO	objective wh-pronoun (whom)
PNQS	subjective wh-pronoun (who)
PNQV	wh-ever pronoun (whoever)
PNX1	reflexive indefinite pronoun (oneself)
PPQE	nominal possessive personal pronoun (e.g. mine, yours)

Lexical Analysis 4: Part-of-Speech (POS) Tagging

- Tagsets: Korean

한글 형태소 품사 (Part Of Speech, POS) 태그표

한글 형태소의 품사를 체언, 용언, 관형사, 부사, 감탄사, 조사, 어미, 접사, 어근, 부호, 한글 이외'와 같이 나누고 각 세부 품사를 구분한다.

대분류	세종 품사 태그		심광섭 품사 태그		KKMA 단일 태그 V 1.0							
	태그	설명	Class	설명	묶음 1	묶음 2	태그	설명	학점태그	저장사전		
체언	NNG	일반 명사	N	NN	명사	NN	NNG	보통 명사	noun.dic	어말 어미	E	
	NNP	고유 명사		NNP	고유 명사		NNP	고유 명사		종결 어미		
	NNB	의존 명사		NX	의존 명사		NNB	일반 의존 명사		EM		
	NR	수사		UM	단위 명사		NNM	단위 의존 명사				
	NP	대명사		NU	수사		NR	수사		연결 어미		
용언	VV	동사	V	VV	동사	verb.dic	VV	동사	raw.dic	접두사	XP	
	VA	형용사		AJ	형용사		VA	형용사		체언 접두사		
	VX	보조 동언		VX	보조 동사		VX	보조 동사		파생 접미사		
	VCP	긍정 지정사		CP	서술격 조사 '이다'		VCP	긍정 지정사, 서술격 조사 '이다'		명사화 접미사		
	VCN	부정 지정사					VCN	부정 지정사, 형용사 '아니 다'		동사화 접미사		
관형사	MM	관형사	MD	DT	일반 관형사	MD	MDT	일반 관형사	sim ple.dic	접미사	XS	
		수 관형사		DN	수 관형사		MDN	수 관형사		형용사화 접미 사		
부사	MAG	일반 부사	MA	AD	부사	MA	MAG	일반 부사	sim ple.dic	부사화 접미사	SA	
	MAJ	접속 부사					MAC	접속 부사		기타 접미사	SF	
감탄사	IC	감탄사	I	EX	감탄사	IC	IC	감탄사	IC	어근	XR	
조사	JKS	주격 조사	JO	조사	J	JKS	주격 조사	JKS	raw.dic	부호 외래어	SY	
	JKC	보격 조사				JKC	보격 조사	JKC		마침표, 느낌표		
	JKG	관형격 조사				JKG	관형격 조사	JKG		쉼표, 가운뎃점, 콜론, 빛금		
	JKO	목적격 조사				JKO	목적격 조사	JKO		따옴표, 괄호표, 줄표		
	JKB	부사격 조사				JKM	부사격 조사	JKM		줄임표		
	JKV	호격 조사				JKI	호격 조사	JKI		불임표(물결, 숨김, 빠짐)		
	JKQ	인용격 조사				JKQ	인용격 조사	JKQ		기타기호 (논리수학기호, 화 폐기호)		
	JX	보조사				JX	보조사	JX		분석 불능	U	
	JC	접속 조사				JC	접속 조사	JC		영사추정법주		
선어말 어미	EP	선어말 어미	EP	선어말 어미	EP	EPH	준청 선어말 어미	NR		미등록어		
	EPT	시제 선어말 어미				EPT	시제 선어말 어미			용언추정법주	UV	
	EPP	공손 선어말 어미				EPP	공손 선어말 어미			분석불능법주	UE	
한글 이외	SL	외국어	O	한글 이외	O	SH	한자		N/A	한국어	OL	
	SN	숫자				SN	숫자			한자	OH	
								숫자		ON		
										NR		

Lexical Analysis 4: Part-of-Speech (POS) Tagging

Witte (2016)

- POS Tagging Algorithms

Fundamentals

POS-Tagging generally requires:

Training phase where a manually annotated corpus is processed by a machine learning algorithm; and a

Tagging algorithm that processes texts using learned parameters.

Performance is generally good (around 96%) when staying in the same domain.

Algorithms used in POS-Tagging


There is a multitude of approaches, commonly used are:

- Decision Trees
- Hidden Markov Models (HMMs)
- Support Vector Machines (SVM)
- Transformation-based Taggers (e.g., the Brill tagger)

Lexical Analysis 4: Part-of-Speech (POS) Tagging

- POS Tagging Algorithms

- ✓ Pointwise prediction: predict each word individually with a classifier (e.g. Maximum Entropy Model, SVM)



- ✓ Probabilistic models

- Generative sequence models: Find the most probable tag sequence given the sentence (Hidden Markov Model; HMM)
- Discriminative sequence models: Predict whole sequence with a classifier (Conditional Random Field; CRF)

- ✓ Neural network-based models

Lexical Analysis 4: Part-of-Speech (POS) Tagging

- Pointwise Prediction: Maximum Entropy Model

- ✓ Encode features for tag prediction

- Information about word/context: suffix, prefix, neighborhood word information
 - eg: $f_i(w_j, t_j) = 1$ if suffix(w_j) = “ing” & t_j = VBG, 0 otherwise

- ✓ Tagging Model

$$p(t|C) = \frac{1}{Z(C)} \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f_i(C, t)\right) \quad p(t_1, \dots, t_n | w_1, \dots, w_n) \approx \prod_{i=1}^n p(t_i | w_i)$$

- f_i is a feature
 - λ_i is a weight (large value implies informative features)
 - $Z(C)$ is a normalization constant ensuring a proper probability distribution
 - Makes no independence assumption about the features

Lexical Analysis 4: Part-of-Speech (POS) Tagging

- Pointwise Prediction: Maximum Entropy Model

- ✓ An example

```
48 # POS Tagging with MaxEnt
49 install.packages("openNLP")
50 library(openNLP)
51
52 s1 <- paste(c("Pierre Vinken, 61 years old, will join the board as a ",
53             "nonexecutive director Nov. 29.\n",
54             "Mr. Vinken is chairman of Elsevier N.V., ",
55             "the Dutch publishing group."),
56             collapse = ""))
57 s1 <- as.string(s1)

> s1
Pierre Vinken, 61 years old, will join the board as a nonexecutive director Nov. 29.
Mr. Vinken is chairman of Elsevier N.V., the Dutch publishing group.
```

Lexical Analysis 4: Part-of-Speech (POS) Tagging


- Pointwise Prediction: Maximum Entropy Model

- ✓ An example

```
59  ## Need sentence and word token annotations.  
60 s2 <- annotate(s1, list(Maxent_Sent_Token_Annotator(), Maxent_Word_Token_Annotator()))  
61  
62 ## POS tag probabilities as (additional) features.  
63 s3 <- annotate(s1, Maxent_POS_Tag_Annotator(probs = TRUE), s2)  
  
> s3  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>  
#> #> #> #> #>
```

Lexical Analysis 4: Part-of-Speech (POS) Tagging

- Probabilistic Model for POS Tagging
 - ✓ Find the **most probable tag sequence** given the sentence



$$\underset{Y}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(Y | X)$$

Lexical Analysis 4: Part-of-Speech (POS) Tagging

- Generative Sequence Model
 - ✓ Decompose probability using Baye's Rule

$$\operatorname{argmax}_Y P(Y|X) = \operatorname{argmax}_Y \frac{P(X|Y)P(Y)}{P(X)}$$

$$= \operatorname{argmax}_Y P(X|Y)P(Y)$$

Model of word/POS interactions
“natural” is probably a JJ

Model of POS/POS interactions
NN comes after DET



Lexical Analysis 4: Part-of-Speech (POS) Tagging


- Generative Sequence Model: Hidden Markov Model

- ✓ POS → POS **transition** probabilities

$$P(Y) \approx \prod_{i=1}^{I+1} P_T(y_i|y_{i-1})$$


- ✓ POS → Word **emission** probabilities

$$P(X|Y) \approx \prod_1^I P_E(x_i|y_i)$$



Lexical Analysis 4: Part-of-Speech (POS) Tagging

- Discriminative Sequence Model: Conditional Random Field (CRF)
 - ✓ Relieve that constraint that a tag is generated by the previous tag sequence
 - ✓ Predict the whole tag set at the same time, not sequentially





<http://people.cs.umass.edu/~mccallum/papers/crf-tutorial.pdf>

Lexical Analysis 4: Part-of-Speech (POS) Tagging


Collobert et al. (2011)

- Neural Network-based Models
- ✓ Window-based vs. sentence-based




Lexical Analysis 4: Part-of-Speech (POS) Tagging

- Neural network-based models
 - ✓ Recurrent neural networks: have a feedback loop within the hidden layer




- ✓ Input-Output mapping of RNNs



Lexical Analysis 4: Part-of-Speech (POS) Tagging



- Neural network-based models: Recurrent neural networks



Lexical Analysis 4: Part-of-Speech (POS) Tagging

Ma and Hovy (2016)

- Hybrid model: LSTM(RNN) + ConvNet + CRF



Lexical Analysis 5: Named Entity Recognition

- Named Entity Recognition: NER

- ✓ a subtask of information extraction that seeks to locate and classify elements in text into pre-defined categories such as the names of persons, organizations, locations, expressions of times, quantities, monetary values, percentages, etc.



Lexical Analysis 5: Named Entity Recognition

Approaches for NER: Dictionary/Rule-based

- List lookup: systems that recognizes only entities stored in its lists
 - ✓ **Advantages:** simple, fast, language independent, easy to retarget.
 - ✓ **Disadvantages:** collection and maintenance of list cannot deal with name variants and cannot resolve ambiguity
- Shallow Parsing Approach
 - ✓ Internal evidence – names often have internal structure. These components can be either stored or guessed.
 - Location: Cap Word + {Street, Boulevard, Avenue, Crescent, Road}
 - e.g.: Wall Street

Lexical Analysis 5: Named Entity Recognition

Approaches for NER: Model-based


- MITIE
 - ✓ An open sourced information extraction tool developed by MIT NLP lab.
 - ✓ Available for English and Spanish
 - ✓ Available for C++, Java, R, and Python
- CRF++
 - ✓ NER based on conditional random fields
 - ✓ Supports multi-language models
- Convolutional neural networks
 - ✓ I-of-M coding, Word2Vec, N-Grams can be used as encoding methods

BERT for Multi NLP Tasks

- Google Transformer

- ✓ Vaswani, A., Shazeer, N., Parmar, N., Uszkoreit, J., Jones, L., Gomez, A. N., ... & Polosukhin, I. (2017). Attention is all you need. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*(pp. 5998-6008).



- ✓ Excellent blog post explaining Transformer
 - <http://jalammar.github.io/illustrated-transformer/>



BERT for Multi NLP Tasks

- BERT


- ✓ Devlin, J., Chang, M.W., Lee, K., & Toutanova, K. (2018). Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*.




BERT for Multi NLP Tasks

- BERT


- ✓ Devlin, J., Chang, M.W., Lee, K., & Toutanova, K. (2018). Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*.




(a) Sentence Pair Classification Tasks:
MNLI, QQP, QNLI, STS-B, MRPC,
RTE, SWAG



(b) Single Sentence Classification Tasks:
SST-2, CoLA



(c) Question Answering Tasks:
SQuAD v1.1



(d) Single Sentence Tagging Tasks:
CoNLL-2003 NER

AGENDA

01 Introduction to NLP


02 Lexical Analysis

03 Syntax Analysis

04 Other Topics in NLP

Syntax Analysis

- Syntax Analysis
 - ✓ Process of analyzing a string of symbols conforming to the rules of a formal grammar




- Parser
 - ✓ An algorithm that computes a structure for an input string given a grammar
 - ✓ All parsers have two fundamental properties
 - **Directionality**: the sequence in which the structures are constructed (e.g., top-down or bottom-up)
 - **Search strategy**: the order in which the search space of possible analysis explored (e.g., depth-first, breadth-first)

Syntax Analysis

- Parsing Representation

- ✓ Tree vs List




- ✓ Meaning

- S (Sentence) consists of NP (Noun Phrase) and VP (Verb Phrase)
 - NP consists of Name (John)
 - VP consists of VERB (ate) and the other NP
 - NP consists of ART (the) and Noun (apple)


Syntax Analysis

- Not a single parsing tree due to language ambiguity
- Lexical ambiguity
 - ✓ One word can be used for multiple parts of speech
 - ✓ Lexical ambiguity causes structural ambiguity

$G : \begin{array}{l} S \rightarrow NP\ VP \\ NP \rightarrow D\ N \mid A\ N \mid N \\ VP \rightarrow V \mid VP\ NP \mid VP\ PP \\ PP \rightarrow P\ NP \end{array}$



Input Sentence :
Time flies like an arrow




Syntax Analysis




- Structural Ambiguity

- ✓ One sentence can be understood in different ways

G : S \rightarrow NP VP
 NP \rightarrow N | D N | NP PP
 VP \rightarrow V NP | VP PP
 PP \rightarrow P NP



Input Sentence :
John saw Mary in the park.



AGENDA

01 Introduction to NLP

02 Lexical Analysis

03 Syntax Analysis

04 Other Topics in NLP

Language Modeling

Jurafsky, Language Modeling

- Probabilistic Language Model
 - ✓ Assign a probability to a sentence (not POS tags, but the sentence itself)
- Applications
 - ✓ Machine Translation
 - $P(\text{high wind tonight}) > P(\text{large wind tonight})$
 - ✓ Spell correction
 - The office is about fifteen **minuets** from my house
 - $P(\text{about fifteen minutes from}) > P(\text{about fifteen minuets from})$
 - ✓ Speech recognition
 - $P(\text{I saw a van}) \gg P(\text{eyes awe of an})$
 - ✓ Summarization, question-answering, etc.

Language Modeling

Jurafsky, Language Modeling

- Probabilistic Language Modeling

- ✓ Compute the probability of a sentence or sequence of words

$$P(W) = P(w_1, w_2, w_3, \dots, w_n)$$

- ✓ Related task: probability of an upcoming word

$$P(w_5 | w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4)$$

- ex) I love you more than I can _____. (swim? say?)

- How to compute $P(W)$

- ✓ What is $P(\text{its, water, is, so, transparent, that})$?

- ✓ Chain Rules of Probability:

$$P(w_1, w_2, w_3, \dots, w_n) = P(w_1)P(w_2|w_1)P(w_3|w_1, w_2)\dots P(w_n|w_1, \dots, w_{n-1})$$

$$P(\text{its water is so trasparent"}) = P(\text{its}) \times P(\text{water}|\text{its}) \times P(\text{is}|\text{its water})$$

$$\times P(\text{so}|\text{its water is}) \times P(\text{transparent}|\text{its water is so})$$

Language Modeling

Jurafsky, Language Modeling

- Markov Assumption

- ✓ Consider only k previous words when estimating the conditional probability

$$P(w_1 w_2 \dots w_n) \approx \prod_i P(w_i | w_{i-k} \dots w_{i-1}) \quad P(w_i | w_1 w_2 \dots w_{i-1}) \approx \prod_i P(w_i | w_{i-k} \dots w_{i-1})$$

- ✓ Simplest case: Unigram model

$$P(w_1 w_2 \dots w_n) \approx \prod_i P(w_i)$$

- ✓ An example of automatically generated sentences from a unigram model

```
fifth, an, of, futures, the, an, incorporated, a,  
a, the, inflation, most, dollars, quarter, in, is,  
mass
```

```
thrift, did, eighty, said, hard, 'm, july, bullish  
that, or, limited, the
```

Language Modeling

Jurafsky, Language Modeling

- Bigram model

- ✓ Condition on the previous word

$$P(w_i | w_1 w_2 \dots w_{i-1}) \approx P(w_i | w_{i-1})$$

texaco, rose, one, in, this, issue, is, pursuing, growth, in,
a, boiler, house, said, mr., gurria, mexico, 's, motion,
control, proposal, without, permission, from, five, hundred,
fifty, five, yen

outside, new, car, parking, lot, of, the, agreement, reached

this, would, be, a, record, november

- N-gram models


- ✓ Can extend to trigrams, 4-grams, 5-grams

- In sufficient model of language because language has long-distance dependencies
- “The computer when I had just put into the machine room on the fifth floor crashed.”

- ✓ We can often get away with N-gram models

Language Modeling

Jurafsky, Language Modeling




Language Modeling

- Google Books N-Gram

- ✓ 1,024 billion words & 1.1 billion 5-grams that appeared at least 40 times (2006)

Google Books Ngram Viewer




Search in Google Books:

1950 - 1987	1988 - 2003	2004 - 2005	2006	2007 - 2008	machine learning	English
1950 - 1979	1980 - 1990	1991 - 1992	1993 - 2003	2004 - 2008	artificial intelligence	English
1950 - 2000	2001 - 2005	2006	2007	2008	text mining	English
1950 - 1983	1984 - 1992	1993 - 1994	1995 - 2003	2004 - 2008	natural language processing	English

Language Modeling

Bengio et al. (2003)


- Neural Network-based Language Model



Language Modeling

Mikolov et al. (2010)

- Recurrent Neural Network (RNN)-based Language Model
 - ✓ A simplified RNN structure for character-level language model



Language Modeling

- Recurrent Neural Network (RNN)-based Language Model
 - ✓ Character-level RNN vs Word-level RNN

Char-RNN

ESCALUS:
What is our honours, such a Richard story
Which you mark with bloody been Thillld we'll adverses:
That thou, Aurtructs a greques' great
Jmander may to save it not shif theseen my news
Clisters it take us?
Say the dulterout apy showd. They hance!

AnBESS OF GUCESTER:
Now, glarding far it prick me with this queen.
And if thou met were with revil, sir?

KATHW:
I must not my naturation disery,
And six nor's mighty wind, I fairs, if?

Messenger:
My lank, nobles arms;

<https://github.com/hunkim/word-rnn-tensorflow>

Word-RNN

LEONTES:
Why, my Irish time?
And argue in the lord; the man mad, must be deserved a spirit as drown the warlike Pray him, how seven in.
KING would be made that, methoughts I may married a Lord dishonour
Than thou that be mine kites and sinew for his honour
In reason prettily the sudden night upon all shalt bid him thus again. times than one from mine unaccustom'd sir.

LARTIUS:
O,'tis aediles, fight!
Farewell, it himself have saw.

SLY:
Now gods have their VINCENTIO:
Whipt fearing but first I know you you, hinder truths.

ANGELO:
This are entitle up my dearest state but deliver'd.

DUKE look dissolved: seemeth brands
That He being and
full of toad, they knew me to joy.

Language Modeling

Lee (2017)

- Recurrent Neural Network (RNN)-based Language Model

- ✓ Character-level RNN (Korean)

- 이광수 장편소설 「무정」(총 323,660 음절, 1,680개 단어)
 - 특징: 1917년 작품이라 한자어가 많이 쓰였음, 큰따옴표와 줄바꿈을 포함한 대화체 문장이 많으며, 중고교생 대상으로 읽히는 작품이라 중간중간 괄호 속에 편집자 주석이 끼어 있음

형식은, 아뿔싸! 내가 어찌하여 이러한 생각을 하는가, 내 마음이 이렇게 약하던가 하면서 두 주먹을 불끈 쥐고 전신에 힘을 주어 이러한 약한 생각을 떼어 버리려 하나, 가슴속에는 이상하게 불길이 확확 일어난다. 이때에,

“미스터 리, 어디로 가는가” 하는 소리에 깜짝 놀라 고개를 들었다. (중략) 형식은 얼마큼 마음에 수치한 생각이 나서 고개를 돌리며, “아직 그런 말에 익숙지를 못해서……” 하고 말끝을 못 맺는다. “대관절 어디로 가는 길인가? 급지 않거든 점심이나 하세그려.”

“점심은 먹었는걸.”

“그러면 맥주나 한잔 먹지.”

“내가 술을 먹는가.”

(중략)

“요— 오메데토오(아— 축하하네). 이이나즈케(약혼한 사람)가 있나 보네그려. 음 나루호도(그려려니). 그러구도 내게는 아무 말도 없단 말이야. 예, 여보게” 하고 손을 후려친다.

Language Modeling

Lee (2017)

Iter 0 :

랫萬게좁뉘뿔름끈玄른작밭裸觀갈나맡文풀조바늠형伍下잇별홀톱툇혈調記운피悲렙司狼독벗칼둡걷착날完잣老
엇낫業4改'촉수를낮뱅잇쯤죽道년友련친씩았융타雲채發造거크휘亨律與命텐암면형평琵해落유 리벤產이馨텐

Iter 1300 : 를 웃 사가 려만다밤 말어변 대니 심로 려이, 순 과 이을 죄사글를 . 사람을 영채와 이니아베을 니러,
다가 달고 면 를 아잘 하 기 성구을 을 실틈으로 아잠 고 이 그와 매못 더 (띄어쓰기)

Iter 4900 : 를 왔다내 루방덩이종 은 얼에는 집어흔영채는 아무 우선을 에서가며 건들하아버전는 애양을 자에
운 모양이 랐다. 은 한다선과 '마는 .식세식가들어 ,

형식다

"내었다.있이 문 (줄바꿈)

Iter 100000 : 면서 치현분들더 중 한통 선교잤다.

"처럼 우셨다시가..... 것이 말사도? 여자려겠습니다" 하는 마음(裸生)은 이런 적드렸다. 그 말이 얼굴이 딸로
나고 얼굴이 마음불 하고 따라 선

Iter 300000 : 씻었다. 선형은 형식의 형식은 빛이 가슴을 오고 걸현감에는 일이 는 눈과 의고 아이얗어 알으로
자기의 구원을 내어려가 여러 짓을 쾌처게 안아 말고였는 악한 순간에 속으로 두 학교에

Iter 500000 : 본다. 성학과 평양으로 새로도 처음의 타던 공격하였다. '영채의 꽁인의 생각을 하면 때에 기생의
이는 것 보더니 나는 듯이 제인 소세건과 영채의 모양이를 대하였다. 형식을 생각하여

Iter 750000 : 으로 유안하였다. 더할까 하는 세상이 솔이요, 알고 게식도 들어울는 듯하였다. 태에그려 깔깔고
웃는 듯이 흔반다. 우선형은 사람을 어려보낸다.

"그려가?" (간접 인용)

한다. 영채는 손을 기쁘

Iter 1000000 : 에 돌내면서,

"여러 넣어오습데다. 그 말대 아무도 좀 집림과 시오 백매, 저는 열녀더러, 기린 소년이가 아니라."

"어리지요."


노파도 놀라며,

"저희마다가 말없습니까."

"아니 (대화체)

Language Modeling

- Sequence to Sequence (Seq2Seq) Learning



(Sutskever et al., 2014)

Language Modeling

- Performance Improvements

- ✓ GPT-2 (Open AI): **Too Good to open the source code??**

Our model, called GPT-2 (a successor to [GPT](#)), was trained simply to predict the next word in 40GB of Internet text. Due to our concerns about malicious applications of the technology, we are not releasing the trained model. As an experiment in responsible disclosure, we are instead releasing a much [smaller model](#) for researchers to experiment with, as well as a [technical paper](#).

GPT2-Pytorch with Text-Generator



Better Language Models and Their Implications

Our model, called GPT-2 (a successor to [GPT](#)), was trained simply to predict the next word in 40GB of Internet text. Due to our concerns about malicious applications of the technology, we are not releasing the trained model. As an experiment in responsible disclosure, we are instead releasing a much [smaller model](#) for researchers to experiment with, as well as a [technical paper](#). from [openAI Blog](#)

This repository is simple implementation GPT-2 about text-generator in Pytorch with compress code

- The original repertoire is [openai/gpt-2](#). Also You can Read Paper about gpt-2, "Language Models are Unsupervised Multitask Learners". To Understand more detail concept, I recommend papers about Transformer Model.
- Good implementation GPT-2 in Pytorch which I referred to, [huggingface/pytorch-pretrained-BERT](#), You can see more detail implementation in huggingface repository.
- Transformer(Self-Attention) Paper : [Attention Is All You Need\(2017\)](#)
- First OpenAI-GPT Paper : [Improving Language Understanding by Generative Pre-Training\(2018\)](#)
- See [OpenAI Blog](#) about GPT-2 and Paper

Language Modeling

- Performance Improvements

- ✓ GPT-2 (Open AI): **Too Good to open the source code??**

System prompt (human-written)

In a shocking finding, scientist discovered a herd of unicorns living in a remote, previously unexplored valley, in the Andes Mountains. Even more surprising to the researchers was the fact that the unicorns spoke perfect English.

Model completion (machine-written, 10 tries)

The scientist named the population, after their distinctive horn, Ovid's Unicorn. These four-horned, silver-white unicorns were previously unknown to science.

Now, after almost two centuries, the mystery of what sparked this odd phenomenon is finally solved.

Dr. Jorge Pérez, an evolutionary biologist from the University of La Paz, and several companions, were exploring the Andes Mountains when they found a small valley, with no other animals or humans. Pérez noticed that the valley had what appeared to be a natural fountain, surrounded by two peaks of rock and silver snow.

Pérez and the others then ventured further into the valley. "By the time we reached the top of one peak, the water looked blue, with some crystals on top," said Pérez.

Pérez and his friends were astonished to see the unicorn herd. These creatures could be seen from the air without having to move too much to see them – they were so close they could touch their horns.

While examining these bizarre creatures the scientists discovered that the creatures also spoke some fairly regular English. Pérez stated, "We can see, for example, that they have a common 'language,' something like a dialect or dialectic."



References

Research Papers

- Bengio, Y., Ducharme, R., Vincent, P., & Jauvin, C. (2003). A neural probabilistic language model. *Journal of machine learning research*, 3(Feb), 1137-1155.
- Bollen, J., Mao, H., Zeng, X. (2011). Twitter Mood Predicts the Stock Market, *Journal of Computational Science*, 2(1): 1-8.
- Collobert, R., Weston, J., Bottou, L., Karlen, M., Kavukcuoglu, K., & Kuksa, P. (2011). Natural language processing (almost) from scratch. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 12, 2493-2537.
- Hirschberg, J., & Manning, C. D. (2015). Advances in natural language processing. *Science*, 349(6245), 261-266.
- Jang, M., Seo, S., & Kang, P. (2018). Recurrent Neural Network-Based Semantic Variational Autoencoder for Sequence-to-Sequence Learning. *arXiv:1802.03238*.
- Johnson, M., Schuster, M., Le, Q.V., Krikun, M., Wu, Y., Chen, Z., ... & Hughes, M. (2016). Google's Multilingual Neural Machine Translation System: Enabling Zero-Shot Translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.04558*.
- Kim, T., Hong, J., & Kang, P. (2015). Box office forecasting using machine learning algorithms based on SNS data. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 31(2), 364-390.
- Ma, X., & Hovy, E. (2016). End-to-end Sequence Labeling via Bi-directional LSTM-CNNs-CRF. *arXiv:1603.01354*.
- Mikolov, T., Karafiat, M., Burget, L., Cernocky, J., & Khudanpur, S. (2010, September). Recurrent neural network based language model. In *Interspeech* (Vol. 2, p. 3).
- Perez-Ortiz, J.A., & Forcada, M. L. (2001). Part-of-speech tagging with recurrent neural networks. Universitat d'Alacant, Spain.

References

Research Papers

- Devlin, J., Chang, M. W., Lee, K., & Toutanova, K. (2018). Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*.
- Peters, M. E., Neumann, M., Iyyer, M., Gardner, M., Clark, C., Lee, K., & Zettlemoyer, L. (2018). Deep contextualized word representations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.05365*.
- Radford,A., Narasimhan, K., Salimans,T., & Sutskever, I. (2018). Improving language understanding by generative pre-training. URL <https://s3-us-west-2.amazonaws.com/openai-assets/research-covers/languageunsupervised/language-understanding-paper.pdf>.
- Radford,A., Wu, J., Child, R., Luan, D., Amodei, D., and Sutskever, I. (2019). Language Models are Unsupervised Multitask Learners.
- Socher, R., Perelygin, A., Wu, J. Y., Chuang, J., Manning, C. D., Ng, A. Y., & Potts, C. (2013, October). Recursive deep models for semantic compositionality over a sentiment treebank. In *Proceedings of the conference on empirical methods in natural language processing (EMNLP)* (Vol. 1631, p. 1642).
- Strubell, E., Verga, P., Andor, D., Weiss, D., & McCallum, A. (2018). Linguistically-informed self-attention for semantic role labeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.08199*.
- Vaswani, A., Shazeer, N., Parmar, N., Uszkoreit, J., Jones, L., Gomez, A. N., ... & Polosukhin, I. (2017). Attention is all you need. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*(pp. 5998-6008).
- Wu, Yonghui, et al. "Google's Neural Machine Translation System: Bridging the Gap between Human and Machine Translation." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.08144* (2016).

References

Other materials

- Jurafsky, D. Language Modeling. Lecture Slides from the Stanford Coursera course. <http://spark-public.s3.amazonaws.com/nlp/slides/languagemodeling.pdf>
- Jurafsky, D. Sentiment Analysis. Lecture Slides from the Stanford Coursera course. <http://spark-public.s3.amazonaws.com/nlp/slides/sentiment.pdf>
- Koehn, P. (2013). Advanced Natural Language Processing Lecture 12: Parsing. School of Informatics. <http://www.inf.ed.ac.uk/teaching/courses/anlp/slides/anlp12.pdf>
- Koehn, P. (2013). Advanced Natural Language Processing Lecture 13: Parsing (Continued). School of Informatics. <http://www.inf.ed.ac.uk/teaching/courses/anlp/slides/anlp13.pdf>
- Lee, G. (2017). Recurrent neural network & long short-term memory models. DSBA Lab seminar (cs231n).
- Neubig, G. Part of Speech Tagging with Hidden Markov Models. <http://www.phontron.com/slides/nlp-programming-en-05-hmm.pdf>
- Witte, R. (2006). Introduction to Text Mining. Tutorial at EDBT'06. <http://rene-witte.net/text-mining-tutorial-edbt2006>
- <http://web.stanford.edu/class/archive/cs/cs143/cs143.1128/lectures/01/Slides01.pdf>