

A Formally Verified Checker for PDDL

This is the proof document generated by Isabelle/HOL while processing the theories. It contains a latex rendering of the Isabelle sources. Isabelle only generates this document after all the proofs have succeeded.

Contents

1	PDDL and STRIPS Semantics	3
1.1	Utility Functions	3
1.2	Abstract Syntax	3
1.2.1	Generic Entities	3
1.2.2	Domains	4
1.2.3	Problems	4
1.2.4	Plans	5
1.2.5	Ground Actions	5
1.3	STRIPS Semantics	5
1.3.1	Soundness theorem for the STRIPS semantics	6
1.4	Well-Formedness of PDDL	9
1.5	PDDL Semantics	13
1.6	Preservation of Well-Formedness	15
1.6.1	Well-Formed Action Instances	15
1.6.2	Preservation	17
1.7	Soundness Theorem for PDDL	19
1.8	Closed-World Assumption and Negation	23
2	Executable PDDL Checker	24
2.1	Implementation Refinements	24
2.1.1	Of-Type	24
2.1.2	Application of Effects	25
2.1.3	Well-Foundedness	26
2.1.4	Execution of Plan Actions	28
2.1.5	Checking of Plan	30
2.2	Executable Plan Checker	32
2.3	Code Setup	32
2.3.1	Code Equations	32
2.3.2	Setup for Containers Framework	33

2.3.3	More Efficient Distinctness Check for Linorders	33
2.3.4	Code Generation	34
3	Reasoning about Invariants	34

1 PDDL and STRIPS Semantics

```
theory PDDL-STRIPS-Semantics
imports
  Propositional-Proof-Systems.Formulas
  Propositional-Proof-Systems.Sema
begin
```

1.1 Utility Functions

```
definition index-by f l  $\equiv$  map-of (map ( $\lambda x. (f\ x, x)$ ) l)
```

```
lemma index-by-eq-Some-eq[simp]:
  assumes distinct (map f l)
  shows index-by f l n = Some x  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $x \in \text{set } l \wedge f\ x = n$ )
  unfolding index-by-def
  using assms
  by (auto simp: o-def)
```

```
lemma index-by-eq-SomeD:
  shows index-by f l n = Some x  $\implies$  ( $x \in \text{set } l \wedge f\ x = n$ )
  unfolding index-by-def
  by (auto dest: map-of-SomeD)
```

```
lemma lookup-zip-idx-eq:
  assumes length params = length args
  assumes i < length args
  assumes distinct params
  assumes k = params ! i
  shows map-of (zip params args) k = Some (args ! i)
  using assms
  by (auto simp: in-set-conv-nth)
```

```
lemma rtrancl-image-idem[simp]:  $R^* \text{ `` } R^* \text{ `` } s = R^* \text{ `` } s$ 
  by (metis relcomp-Image rtrancl-idemp-self-comp)
```

1.2 Abstract Syntax

1.2.1 Generic Entities

```
type-synonym name = string
```

```
datatype predicate = Pred (name: name)
```

Some of the AST entities are defined over a polymorphic *'val* type, which gets either instantiated by variables (for domains) or objects (for problems).

An atom is either a predicate with arguments, or an equality statement.

```
datatype 'val atom = predAtm (predicate: predicate) (arguments: 'val list)
```

| *Eq* (*lhs*: 'val) (*rhs*: 'val)

A type is a list of primitive type names. To model a primitive type, we use a singleton list.

datatype *type* = *Either* (*primitives*: name list)

An effect contains a list of values to be added, and a list of values to be removed.

datatype 'val *ast-effect* = *Effect* (*Adds*: ('val) list) (*Dels*: ('val) list)

Variables are identified by their names.

datatype *variable* = *varname*: Var name

1.2.2 Domains

An action schema has a name, a typed parameter list, a precondition, and an effect.

datatype *ast-action-schema* = *Action-Schema*
 (*name*: name)
 (*parameters*: (variable \times type) list)
 (*precondition*: variable atom formula)
 (*effect*: variable atom formula *ast-effect*)

A predicate declaration contains the predicate's name and its argument types.

datatype *predicate-decl* = *PredDecl*
 (*pred*: predicate)
 (*argTs*: type list)

A domain contains the declarations of primitive types, predicates, and action schemas.

datatype *ast-domain* = *Domain*
 (*types*: name list) — Only supports flat type hierarchy
 (*predicates*: predicate-decl list)
 (*actions*: *ast-action-schema* list)

1.2.3 Problems

Objects are identified by their names

datatype *object* = *name*: Obj name

A fact is an atom over objects.

type-synonym *fact* = *object atom*

A problem consists of a domain, a list of objects, a description of the initial state, and a description of the goal state.

```

datatype ast-problem = Problem
  (domain: ast-domain)
  (objects: (object  $\times$  type) list)
  (init: fact formula list)
  (goal: fact formula)

```

1.2.4 Plans

```

datatype plan-action = PAction
  (name: name)
  (arguments: object list)

```

```

type-synonym plan = plan-action list

```

1.2.5 Ground Actions

The following datatype represents an action scheme that has been instantiated by replacing the arguments with concrete objects, also called ground action.

```

datatype ast-action-inst = Action-Inst
  (precondition: (object atom) formula)
  (effect: (object atom) formula ast-effect)

```

1.3 STRIPS Semantics

Discriminator for atomic formulas.

```

fun is-Atom where
  is-Atom (Atom -) = True | is-Atom - = False

```

The world model is a set of ground formulas

```

type-synonym world-model = fact formula set

```

For this section, we fix a domain D , using Isabelle's locale mechanism.

```

locale ast-domain =
  fixes  $D :: \text{ast-domain}$ 
begin

```

It seems to be agreed upon that, in case of a contradictory effect, addition overrides deletion. We model this behaviour by first executing the deletions, and then the additions.

```

fun apply-effect
  :: object atom formula ast-effect  $\Rightarrow$  world-model  $\Rightarrow$  world-model
where
  apply-effect (Effect (adds) (dels))  $s$  = ( $s - (\text{set } \text{dels})$ )  $\cup$  ((set adds))

```

Execute an action instance

```

definition execute-ast-action-inst

```

```

:: ast-action-inst  $\Rightarrow$  world-model  $\Rightarrow$  world-model
where
  execute-ast-action-inst act-inst  $M = \text{apply-effect } (\text{effect act-inst}) M$ 

```

Predicate to model that the given list of action instances is executable, and transforms an initial world model M into a final model M' .

Note that this definition over the list structure is more convenient in HOL than to explicitly define an indexed sequence $M_0 \dots M_N$ of intermediate world models, as done in [Lif87].

```

fun ast-action-inst-path
  :: world-model  $\Rightarrow$  (ast-action-inst list)  $\Rightarrow$  world-model  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  ast-action-inst-path  $M \sqcap M' \longleftrightarrow (M = M')$ 
  | ast-action-inst-path  $M (\alpha \# \alpha s) M' \longleftrightarrow M \models \text{precondition } \alpha$ 
     $\wedge (\text{ast-action-inst-path } (\text{execute-ast-action-inst } \alpha M) \alpha s M')$ 

```

Function equations as presented in paper, with inlined *execute-ast-action-inst*.

```

lemma ast-action-inst-path-in-paper:
  ast-action-inst-path  $M \sqcap M' \longleftrightarrow (M = M')$ 
  ast-action-inst-path  $M (\alpha \# \alpha s) M' \longleftrightarrow M \models \text{precondition } \alpha$ 
     $\wedge (\text{ast-action-inst-path } (\text{apply-effect } (\text{effect } \alpha) M) \alpha s M')$ 
by (auto simp: execute-ast-action-inst-def)

```

end — Context of *ast-domain*

1.3.1 Soundness theorem for the STRIPS semantics

We prove the soundness theorem according to [Lif87].

States are modeled as valuations of our underlying predicate logic.

type-synonym *state* = *object atom valuation*

context *ast-domain* **begin**

An action is a partial function from states to states.

type-synonym *action* = *state \rightarrow state*

The Isabelle/HOL formula $f s = \text{Some } s'$ means that f is applicable in state s , and the result is s' .

Definition B (i)–(iv) in [Lif87]

```

fun sound-opr :: ast-action-inst  $\Rightarrow$  action  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  sound-opr (Action-Inst pre (Effect add del))  $f \longleftrightarrow$ 
    ( $\forall s. s \models \text{pre} \longrightarrow$ 
      ( $\exists s'. f s = \text{Some } s' \wedge$ 
        ( $\forall \text{atm}. \text{is-Atom atm} \wedge \text{atm} \notin \text{set del} \wedge s \models \text{atm} \longrightarrow s' \models \text{atm}$ )
         $\wedge (\forall \text{fmla}. \text{fmla} \in \text{set add} \longrightarrow s' \models \text{fmla})$ 
      )
    )

```

$$\wedge (\forall fmla \in set \text{ add. } \neg is\text{-}Atom \text{ fmla} \longrightarrow (\forall s. s \models fmla))$$

$$)$$

$$)$$

Definition B (v)–(vii) in [Lif87]

definition *sound-system*

:: ast-action-inst set
 \Rightarrow *world-model*
 \Rightarrow *object atom valuation*
 \Rightarrow (*ast-action-inst* \Rightarrow *action*)
 \Rightarrow *bool*

where

sound-system $\Sigma \ M_0 \ s_0 \ f \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall fmla \in M_0. s_0 \models fmla)$
 $\wedge (\forall fmla \in M_0. \neg is\text{-}Atom \text{ fmla} \longrightarrow (\forall s. s \models fmla))$
 $\wedge (\forall \alpha \in \Sigma. \text{sound-opr } \alpha \ (f \ \alpha))$

Composing two actions

definition *compose-action* *:: action* \Rightarrow *action* \Rightarrow *action* **where**

compose-action *f1 f2 x* = (*case f2 x of Some y* \Rightarrow *f1 y* | *None* \Rightarrow *None*)

Composing a list of actions

definition *compose-actions* *:: action list* \Rightarrow *action* **where**

compose-actions fs \equiv *fold compose-action fs Some*

Composing a list of actions satisfies some natural lemmas:

lemma *compose-actions-Nil[simp]*:

compose-actions [] = *Some* **unfolding** *compose-actions-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *compose-actions-Cons[simp]*:

f s = *Some s'* \implies *compose-actions (f # fs) s* = *compose-actions fs s'*

proof –

interpret *monoid-add compose-action Some*

apply *unfold-locales*

unfolding *compose-action-def*

by (*auto split: option.split*)

assume *f s* = *Some s'*

then show *?thesis*

unfolding *compose-actions-def*

by (*simp add: compose-action-def fold-plus-sum-list-rev*)

qed

lemma *sound-opr-alt*:

sound-opr opr f =

$(\forall s. s \models (\text{precondition } opr) \longrightarrow (\exists s'. f \ s = (\text{Some } s') \wedge$

$(\forall atm. is\text{-}Atom \ atm \wedge atm \notin \text{set}(\text{Dels } (\text{effect } opr)) \wedge s \models atm \longrightarrow s' \models atm)$

$\wedge (\forall atm. atm \in \text{set}(\text{Adds } (\text{effect } opr)) \longrightarrow s' \models atm)$

$\wedge (\forall s. \forall fmla \in \text{set}(\text{Adds } (\text{effect } opr)). \neg is\text{-}Atom \text{ fmla} \longrightarrow s \models fmla)$

))
by (*cases* (*opr*,*f*) *rule*: *sound-opr.cases*) *auto*

Soundness Theorem of [Lif87].

theorem *STRIPS-sema-sound*:

assumes *sound-system* Σ M_0 s_0 f

— For a sound system Σ

assumes *set* $\alpha s \subseteq \Sigma$

— And a plan αs

assumes *ast-action-inst-path* M_0 αs M'

— Which is accepted by the system, yielding result M' (called $R(\alpha s)$ in [Lif87])

obtains s'

— We have that $f(\alpha s)$ is applicable in initial state, yielding state s' (called $f_{\alpha s}(s_0)$ in [Lif87])

where *compose-actions* (*map* f αs) $s_0 = \text{Some } s'$

— The result world model M' is satisfied in state s'

and $\forall fmla \in M'. s' \models fmla$

proof —

have $\exists s'. \text{compose-actions } (\text{map } f \alpha s) s_0 = \text{Some } s' \wedge (\forall fmla \in M'. s' \models fmla)$

using *assms*

proof(*induction* αs *arbitrary*: s_0 M_0)

case *Nil*

then show ?*case* **by** (*auto simp add: compose-action-def sound-system-def*)

next

case *ass*: (*Cons* α αs)

then obtain *pre add del* **where** $a: \alpha = \text{Action-Inst } \text{pre } (\text{Effect } \text{add } \text{del})$

using *ast-action-inst.exhaust ast-effect.exhaust* **by** *metis*

let ? $M_1 = \text{execute-ast-action-inst } \alpha M_0$

obtain s_1 **where** $s1: (f \alpha) s_0 = \text{Some } s_1$

$(\forall \text{atm}. \text{is-Atom } \text{atm} \longrightarrow \text{atm} \notin \text{set}(\text{Dels } (\text{effect } \alpha)))$

$\longrightarrow s_0 \models \text{atm}$

$\longrightarrow s_1 \models \text{atm}) \wedge$

$(\forall fmla. fmla \in \text{set}(\text{Adds } (\text{effect } \alpha)))$

$\longrightarrow s_1 \models fmla)$

using *ass(2-4)*

unfolding *sound-system-def sound-opr-alt*

by (*force simp: entailment-def*)

have $(\forall fmla \in ?M_1. s_1 \models fmla)$

apply(*rule ballI*)

using *ass(2) s1 formula.exhaust*

unfolding *sound-system-def execute-ast-action-inst-def*

by (*fastforce simp add: a image-def*)

moreover have $(\forall s. \forall fmla \in ?M_1. \neg \text{is-Atom } fmla \longrightarrow s \models fmla)$

using *ass(2)*

unfolding *sound-system-def execute-ast-action-inst-def*

using *a ass.premis(2) ass.premis(3) entailment-def list.set-intros(1)*


```

    by fastforce
  moreover have ( $\forall \text{opr} \in \Sigma. \text{sound-opr } \text{opr } (f \text{ opr})$ )
    using  $\text{ass}(2)$  unfolding  $\text{sound-system-def}$ 
    by ( $\text{auto simp add:}$ )
  ultimately have  $\text{sound-system } \Sigma \text{ ?}M_1 \text{ } s_1 \text{ } f$ 
    unfolding  $\text{sound-system-def}$ 
    by auto
  from  $\text{ass.IH}[OF \text{ this}] \text{ ass.premis}$  obtain  $s'$  where
     $\text{compose-actions } (\text{map } f \text{ } \alpha s) \text{ } s_1 = \text{Some } s' \wedge (\forall a \in M'. s' \models a)$ 
    by auto
  thus ?case by ( $\text{auto simp: } s1(1)$ )
qed
with that show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

More compact notation of the soundness theorem.

```

theorem STRIPS-sema-sound-compact-version:
   $\text{sound-system } \Sigma \text{ } M_0 \text{ } s_0 \text{ } f \implies \text{set } \alpha s \subseteq \Sigma$ 
 $\implies \text{ast-action-inst-path } M_0 \text{ } \alpha s \text{ } M'$ 
 $\implies \exists s'. \text{compose-actions } (\text{map } f \text{ } \alpha s) \text{ } s_0 = \text{Some } s'$ 
 $\wedge (\forall \text{fmla} \in M'. s' \models \text{fmla})$ 
using STRIPS-sema-sound by metis

```

end — Context of *ast-domain*

1.4 Well-Formedness of PDDL

context *ast-domain* **begin**

The signature is a partial function that maps the predicates of the domain to lists of argument types.

```

definition sig ::  $\text{predicate} \rightarrow \text{type list}$  where
   $\text{sig} \equiv \text{map-of } (\text{map } (\lambda \text{PredDecl } p \text{ } n \Rightarrow (p, n)) \text{ } (\text{predicates } D))$ 

```

We use a flat subtype hierarchy, where every type is a subtype of object, and there are no other subtype relations.

Note that we do not need to restrict this relation to declared types, as we will explicitly ensure that all types used in the problem are declared.

```

definition subtype-rel  $\equiv \{\text{"object"}\} \times \text{UNIV}$ 

```

```

definition of-type ::  $\text{type} \Rightarrow \text{type} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
   $\text{of-type } oT \text{ } T \equiv \text{set } (\text{primitives } oT) \subseteq \text{subtype-rel}^* \text{ " set } (\text{primitives } T)$ 

```

This checks that every primitive on the LHS is contained in or a subtype of a primitive on the RHS

For the next few definitions, we fix a partial function that maps a polymorphic entity type $'e$ to types. An entity can be instantiated by variables or objects later.

```

context
  fixes ty-ent :: 'ent  $\rightarrow$  type — Entity's type, None if invalid
begin

```

Checks whether an entity has a given type

```

definition is-of-type :: 'ent  $\Rightarrow$  type  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-of-type v T  $\longleftrightarrow$  (
    case ty-ent v of
      Some vT  $\Rightarrow$  of-type vT T
    | None  $\Rightarrow$  False)

```

Predicate-atoms are well-formed if their arguments match the signature, equalities are well-formed if the arguments are valid objects (have a type).

TODO: We could check that types may actually overlap

```

fun wf-atom :: 'ent atom  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  wf-atom (predAtm p vs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (
    case sig p of
      None  $\Rightarrow$  False
    | Some Ts  $\Rightarrow$  list-all2 is-of-type vs Ts)
  | wf-atom (Eq -) = False

```

A formula is well-formed if it consists of valid atoms, and does not contain negations, except for the encoding $\neg\perp$ of true.

```

fun wf-fmla :: ('ent atom) formula  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  wf-fmla (Atom a)  $\longleftrightarrow$  wf-atom a
  | wf-fmla ( $\varphi1 \wedge \varphi2$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  (wf-fmla  $\varphi1 \wedge$  wf-fmla  $\varphi2$ )
  | wf-fmla ( $\varphi1 \vee \varphi2$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  (wf-fmla  $\varphi1 \wedge$  wf-fmla  $\varphi2$ )
  | wf-fmla ( $\neg\perp$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  True
  | wf-fmla -  $\longleftrightarrow$  False

```

```

lemma wf-fmla-add-simps[simp]: wf-fmla ( $\neg\varphi$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\varphi=\perp$ 
by (cases  $\varphi$ ) auto

```

Special case for a well-formed atomic formula

```

fun wf-fmla-atom where
  wf-fmla-atom (Atom a)  $\longleftrightarrow$  wf-atom a
  | wf-fmla-atom -  $\longleftrightarrow$  False

```

```

lemma wf-fmla-atom-alt: wf-fmla-atom  $\varphi \longleftrightarrow$  is-Atom  $\varphi \wedge$  wf-fmla  $\varphi$ 
by (cases  $\varphi$ ) auto

```

An effect is well-formed if the added and removed formulas are atomic

```

fun wf-effect where
  wf-effect (Effect adds dels)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    ( $\forall ae \in \text{set adds. } wf-fmla-atom\ ae$ )
     $\wedge$  ( $\forall de \in \text{set dels. } wf-fmla-atom\ de$ )

```

end — Context fixing *ty-ent*

An action schema is well-formed if the parameter names are distinct, and the precondition and effect is well-formed wrt. the parameters.

```
fun wf-action-schema :: ast-action-schema  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  wf-action-schema (Action-Schema n params pre eff)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (
    let
      tyv = map-of params
    in
      distinct (map fst params)
       $\wedge$  wf-fmla tyv pre
       $\wedge$  wf-effect tyv eff)
```

A type is well-formed if it consists only of declared primitive types, and the type object.

```
fun wf-type where
  wf-type (Either Ts)  $\longleftrightarrow$  set Ts  $\subseteq$  insert "object" (set (types D))
```

A predicate is well-formed if its argument types are well-formed.

```
fun wf-predicate-decl where
  wf-predicate-decl (PredDecl p Ts)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall T \in \text{set } Ts. \text{wf-type } T$ )
```

A domain is well-formed if

- there are no duplicate declared primitive types,
- there are no duplicate declared predicate names,
- all declared predicates are well-formed,
- there are no duplicate action names,
- and all declared actions are well-formed

```
definition wf-domain :: bool where
  wf-domain  $\equiv$ 
    distinct (types D)
     $\wedge$  distinct (map (predicate-decl.pred) (predicates D))
     $\wedge$  ( $\forall p \in \text{set } (\text{predicates } D). \text{wf-predicate-decl } p$ )
     $\wedge$  distinct (map ast-action-schema.name (actions D))
     $\wedge$  ( $\forall a \in \text{set } (\text{actions } D). \text{wf-action-schema } a$ )
```

end — locale *ast-domain*

We fix a problem, and also include the definitions for the domain of this problem.

locale *ast-problem* = *ast-domain* domain *P*

for $P :: \text{ast-problem}$
begin

We refer to the problem domain as D

abbreviation $D \equiv \text{ast-problem.domain } P$

definition $\text{objT} :: \text{object} \rightarrow \text{type}$ **where**
 $\text{objT} \equiv \text{map-of } (\text{objects } P)$

definition $\text{wf-fact} :: \text{fact} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{wf-fact} = \text{wf-atom objT}$

This definition is needed for well-formedness of the initial model, and forward-references to the concept of world model.

definition wf-world-model **where**
 $\text{wf-world-model } M = (\forall f \in M. \text{wf-fmla-atom objT } f)$

definition wf-problem **where**
 $\text{wf-problem} \equiv$
 wf-domain
 $\wedge \text{distinct } (\text{map fst } (\text{objects } P))$
 $\wedge (\forall (n, T) \in \text{set } (\text{objects } P). \text{wf-type } T)$
 $\wedge \text{distinct } (\text{init } P)$
 $\wedge \text{wf-world-model } (\text{set } (\text{init } P))$
 $\wedge \text{wf-fmla objT } (\text{goal } P)$

fun $\text{wf-effect-inst} :: \text{object atom formula ast-effect} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{wf-effect-inst } (\text{Effect } (\text{adds}) (\text{dels}))$
 $\longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in \text{set adds} \cup \text{set dels}. \text{wf-fmla-atom objT } a)$

lemma $\text{wf-effect-inst-alt}$: $\text{wf-effect-inst eff} = \text{wf-effect objT eff}$
by $(\text{cases eff}) \text{ auto}$

end — locale ast-problem

Locale to express a well-formed domain

locale $\text{wf-ast-domain} = \text{ast-domain} +$
assumes $\text{wf-domain}: \text{wf-domain}$

Locale to express a well-formed problem

locale $\text{wf-ast-problem} = \text{ast-problem } P$ **for** $P +$
assumes $\text{wf-problem}: \text{wf-problem}$

begin

sublocale $\text{wf-ast-domain domain } P$
apply unfold-locales
using wf-problem
unfolding wf-problem-def **by** simp

end — locale *wf-ast-problem*

1.5 PDDL Semantics

context *ast-domain* **begin**

definition *resolve-action-schema* :: *name* \rightarrow *ast-action-schema* **where**
resolve-action-schema *n* = *index-by ast-action-schema.name (actions D) n*

To instantiate an action schema, we first compute a substitution from parameters to objects, and then apply this substitution to the precondition and effect. The substitution is applied via the *map-xxx* functions generated by the datatype package.

fun *instantiate-action-schema*
 :: *ast-action-schema* \Rightarrow *object list* \Rightarrow *ast-action-inst*
where
instantiate-action-schema (*Action-Schema* *n* *params* *pre* *eff*) *args* = (let
 psubst = (*the o (map-of (zip (map fst params) args))*);
 pre-inst = (*map-formula o map-atom*) *psubst* *pre*;
 eff-inst = (*map-ast-effect o map-formula o map-atom*) *psubst* *eff*
 in
 Action-Inst *pre-inst* *eff-inst*
)

end — Context of *ast-domain*

context *ast-problem* **begin**

Initial model

definition *I* :: *world-model* **where**
I \equiv *set (init P)*

Resolve a plan action and instantiate the referenced action schema.

fun *resolve-instantiate* :: *plan-action* \Rightarrow *ast-action-inst* **where**
resolve-instantiate (*PAction* *n* *args*) =
 instantiate-action-schema
 (*the (resolve-action-schema n)*)
 args

Check whether object has specified type

definition *is-obj-of-type* *n* *T* \equiv *case objT n of*
 None \Rightarrow *False*
 | *Some oT* \Rightarrow *of-type oT T*

We can also use the generic *is-of-type* function.

lemma *is-obj-of-type-alt*: *is-obj-of-type* = *is-of-type objT*

```

apply (intro ext)
unfolding is-obj-of-type-def is-of-type-def by auto

```

HOL encoding of matching an action's formal parameters against an argument list. The parameters of the action are encoded as a list of *name* × *type* pairs, such that we map it to a list of types first. Then, the list relator *list-all2* checks that arguments and types have the same length, and each matching pair of argument and type satisfies the predicate *is-obj-of-type*.

definition *action-params-match* *a args*
 $\equiv \text{list-all2 } \text{is-obj-of-type-def } \text{is-of-type-def } \text{by } \text{auto}$

At this point, we can define well-formedness of a plan action: The action must refer to a declared action schema, the arguments must be compatible with the formal parameters' types.

```

fun wf-plan-action :: plan-action  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  wf-plan-action (PAction n args) = (
    case resolve-action-schema n of
      None  $\Rightarrow$  False
    | Some a  $\Rightarrow$ 
      (* Objects are valid and match parameter types *)
      action-params-match a args
      (* Effect is valid *)
       $\wedge$  wf-effect-inst (effect (instantiate-action-schema a args))
  )

```

TODO: The second conjunct is redundant, as instantiating a well formed action with valid objects yield a valid effect.

A sequence of plan actions form a path, if they are well-formed and their instantiations form a path.

definition *plan-action-path*
 $:: \text{world-model} \Rightarrow (\text{plan-action list}) \Rightarrow \text{world-model} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where
plan-action-path *M* π *s* *M'* =
 ($\forall \pi \in \text{set } \pi$ *s*. *wf-plan-action* π)
 \wedge *ast-action-inst-path* *M* (*map* *resolve-instantiate* π *s*) *M'*)

A plan is valid wrt. a given initial model, if it forms a path to a goal model

definition *valid-plan-from* :: *world-model* \Rightarrow *plan* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
valid-plan-from *M* π *s* = ($\exists M'$. *plan-action-path* *M* π *s* *M'* \wedge *M'* \models (*goal* *P*))

Finally, a plan is valid if it is valid wrt. the initial world model *I*

definition *valid-plan* :: *plan* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *valid-plan* \equiv *valid-plan-from* *I*

end — Context of *ast-problem*

1.6 Preservation of Well-Formedness

1.6.1 Well-Formed Action Instances

The goal of this section is to establish that well-formedness of world models is preserved by execution of well-formed plan actions.

context *ast-problem* **begin**

As plan actions are executed by first instantiating them, and then executing the action instance, it is natural to define a well-formedness concept for action instances.

```
fun wf-action-inst :: ast-action-inst  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  wf-action-inst (Action-Inst pre eff)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (
    wf-fmla objT pre
     $\wedge$  wf-effect objT eff
  )
```

We first prove that instantiating a well-formed action schema will yield a well-formed action instance.

We begin with some auxiliary lemmas before the actual theorem.

```
lemma (in ast-domain) of-type-refl[simp, intro!]: of-type T T
  unfolding of-type-def by auto
```

```
lemma (in ast-domain) of-type-trans[trans]:
  of-type T1 T2  $\Longrightarrow$  of-type T2 T3  $\Longrightarrow$  of-type T1 T3
  unfolding of-type-def
  by clarsimp (metis (no-types, hide-lams)
    Image-mono contra-subsetD order-refl rtrancl-image-idem)
```

```
lemma is-of-type-map-ofE:
  assumes is-of-type (map-of params) x T
  obtains i xT where i < length params params!i = (x, xT) of-type xT T
  using assms
  unfolding is-of-type-def
  by (auto split: option.splits dest!: map-of-SomeD simp: in-set-conv-nth)
```

```
context
  fixes Q f
  assumes INST: is-of-type Q x T  $\Longrightarrow$  is-of-type objT (f x) T
begin
```

```
lemma wf-inst-eq-aux: Q x = Some T  $\Longrightarrow$  objT (f x)  $\neq$  None
  using INST[of x T] unfolding is-of-type-def
  by (auto split: option.splits)
```

```
lemma wf-inst-atom:
  assumes wf-atom Q a
```

```

  shows wf-atom objT (map-atom f a)
proof -
  have X1: list-all2 (is-of-type objT) (map f xs) Ts if
    list-all2 (is-of-type Q) xs Ts for xs Ts
  using that
  apply induction
  using INST
  by auto
then show ?thesis
  using assms wf-inst-eq-aux
  by (cases a; auto split: option.splits)
qed

```

```

lemma wf-inst-formula-atom:
  assumes wf-fmla-atom Q a
  shows wf-fmla-atom objT ((map-formula o map-atom) f a)
  using assms wf-inst-atom
  by (cases a; auto)

```

```

lemma wf-inst-effect:
  assumes wf-effect Q  $\varphi$ 
  shows wf-effect objT ((map-ast-effect o map-formula o map-atom) f  $\varphi$ )
  using assms
  proof (induction  $\varphi$ )
    case (Effect x1a x2a)
    then show ?case using wf-inst-formula-atom by auto
  qed

```

```

lemma wf-inst-formula:
  assumes wf-fmla Q  $\varphi$ 
  shows wf-fmla objT ((map-formula o map-atom) f  $\varphi$ )
  using assms
  by (induction  $\varphi$ ) (auto simp: wf-inst-atom dest: wf-inst-eq-aux)

```

end

Instantiating a well-formed action schema with compatible arguments will yield a well-formed action instance.

```

theorem wf-instantiate-action-schema:
  assumes action-params-match a args
  assumes wf-action-schema a
  shows wf-action-inst (instantiate-action-schema a args)
proof (cases a)
  case [simp]: (Action-Schema name params pre eff)
  have INST:
    is-of-type objT ((the o map-of (zip (map fst params) args)) x) T
  if is-of-type (map-of params) x T for x T
  using that
  apply (rule is-of-type-map-ofE)

```



```

using assms
apply (clarsimp simp: Let-def)
subgoal for i xT
  unfolding action-params-match-def
  apply (subst lookup-idx-eq[where i=i];
    (clarsimp simp: list-all2-lengthD)?)
  apply (frule list-all2-nthD2[where p=i]; simp?)
  apply (auto
    simp: is-obj-of-type-alt is-of-type-def
    intro: of-type-trans
    split: option.splits)
  done
done
show ?thesis
  using assms(2) wf-inst-formula wf-inst-effect INST
  by (simp add: Let-def; metis comp-apply)
qed
end — Context of ast-problem

```

1.6.2 Preservation

context *ast-problem* **begin**

We start by defining two shorthands for enabledness and execution of a plan action.

Shorthand for enabled plan action: It is well-formed, and the precondition holds for its instance.

definition *plan-action-enabled* :: *plan-action* \Rightarrow *world-model* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
plan-action-enabled π *M*
 \longleftrightarrow *wf-plan-action* $\pi \wedge M \models$ *precondition* (*resolve-instantiate* π)

Shorthand for executing a plan action: Resolve, instantiate, and apply effect

definition *execute-plan-action* :: *plan-action* \Rightarrow *world-model* \Rightarrow *world-model*
where *execute-plan-action* π *M*
 $=$ (*apply-effect* (*effect* (*resolve-instantiate* π)) *M*)

The *plan-action-path* predicate can be decomposed naturally using these shorthands:

lemma *plan-action-path-Nil[simp]*: *plan-action-path* *M* [] *M'* \longleftrightarrow *M'=M*
by (*auto simp: plan-action-path-def*)

lemma *plan-action-path-Cons[simp]*:
plan-action-path *M* ($\pi \# \pi s$) *M'* \longleftrightarrow
plan-action-enabled π *M*
 \wedge *plan-action-path* (*execute-plan-action* π *M*) πs *M'*
by (*auto*
simp: plan-action-path-def execute-plan-action-def
execute-ast-action-inst-def plan-action-enabled-def)

end — Context of *ast-problem*

context *wf-ast-problem* **begin**

The initial world model is well-formed

lemma *wf-I: wf-world-model I*
using *wf-problem*
unfolding *I-def wf-world-model-def wf-problem-def*
apply(*safe*) **subgoal for** *f* **by** (*induction f*) *auto*
done

Application of a well-formed effect preserves well-formedness of the model

lemma *wf-apply-effect:*
assumes *wf-effect objT e*
assumes *wf-world-model s*
shows *wf-world-model (apply-effect e s)*
using *assms wf-problem*
unfolding *wf-world-model-def wf-problem-def wf-domain-def*
by (*cases e*) (*auto split: formula.splits prod.splits*)

Execution of plan actions preserves well-formedness

theorem *wf-execute:*
assumes *plan-action-enabled π s*
assumes *wf-world-model s*
shows *wf-world-model (execute-plan-action π s)*
using *assms*
proof (*cases π*)
case [*simp*]: (*PAction name args*)

from \langle *plan-action-enabled π s* \rangle **have** *wf-plan-action π*
unfolding *plan-action-enabled-def* **by** *auto*
then obtain *a* **where**
resolve-action-schema name = Some a **and**
T: action-params-match a args
by (*auto split: option.splits*)

from *wf-domain* **have**
[*simp*]: *distinct (map ast-action-schema.name (actions D))*
unfolding *wf-domain-def* **by** *auto*

from \langle *resolve-action-schema name = Some a* \rangle **have**
a \in set (actions D)
unfolding *resolve-action-schema-def* **by** *auto*
with *wf-domain* **have** *wf-action-schema a*
unfolding *wf-domain-def* **by** *auto*
hence *wf-action-inst (resolve-instantiate π)*

```

using ⟨resolve-action-schema name = Some a⟩ T
  wf-instantiate-action-schema
by auto
thus ?thesis
  apply (simp add: execute-plan-action-def execute-ast-action-inst-def)
  apply (rule wf-apply-effect)
  apply (cases resolve-instantiate π; simp)
  by (rule ⟨wf-world-model s⟩)
qed

```

```

theorem wf-execute-compact-notation:
  plan-action-enabled π s  $\implies$  wf-world-model s
 $\implies$  wf-world-model (execute-plan-action π s)
by (rule wf-execute)

```

Execution of a plan preserves well-formedness

```

corollary wf-plan-action-path:
   $\llbracket \text{wf-world-model } M; \text{plan-action-path } M \ \pi s \ M' \rrbracket \implies \text{wf-world-model } M'$ 
by (induction π s arbitrary: M) (auto intro: wf-execute)

```

end — Context of *wf-ast-problem*

1.7 Soundness Theorem for PDDL

context *wf-ast-problem* **begin**

Mapping world models to states

```

definition state-to-wm s = (formula.Atom ‘ {atm. s atm} )
definition wm-to-state M = (%atm. (formula.Atom atm) ∈ M)

```

Mapping AST action instances to actions

```

definition pddl-opr-to-act g-opr s = (
  let M = state-to-wm s in
  if (s ⊨ (precondition g-opr)) then
    Some (wm-to-state (apply-effect (effect g-opr) M))
  else
    None)

```

```

lemma wm-to-state-to-wm:
  s ⊨ f = wm-to-state (state-to-wm s) ⊨ f
by (auto simp: wm-to-state-def
  state-to-wm-def image-def)

```

```

lemma atom-in-wm:
  s ⊨ (formula.Atom atm)
 $\iff ((\text{formula.Atom } atm) \in (\text{state-to-wm } s))$ 
by (auto simp: wm-to-state-def
  state-to-wm-def image-def)

```

```

lemma atom-in-wm-2:
  (wm-to-state M)  $\models$  (formula.Atom atm)
   $\longleftrightarrow$  ((formula.Atom atm)  $\in$  M)
  by (auto simp: wm-to-state-def
    state-to-wm-def image-def)

lemma not-dels-preserved:
  assumes f  $\notin$  (set dels) f  $\in$  M
  shows f  $\in$  apply-effect (Effect adds dels) M
  using assms
  by auto

lemma adds-satisfied:
  assumes f  $\in$  (set adds)
  shows f  $\in$  apply-effect (Effect adds dels) M
  using assms
  by auto

lemma wf-fmla-atm-is-atom: wf-fmla-atom objT f  $\implies$  is-Atom f
  by (cases f) auto

lemma wf-act-adds-are-atoms:
  assumes wf-effect-inst effs ae  $\in$  set (Adds effs)
  shows is-Atom ae
  using assms
  by (cases effs) (auto simp: wf-fmla-atom-alt)

lemma wf-eff-pddl-ground-act-is-sound-opr:
  assumes wf-effect-inst (effect g-opr)
  shows sound-opr g-opr (pddl-opr-to-act g-opr)
  using assms
proof(induction g-opr)
  case ass1: (Action-Inst x1a x2a)
  then show ?case
    unfolding sound-opr-alt
    apply safe
  proof—
    fix s
    assume ass2:
      wf-effect-inst (effect (Action-Inst x1a x2a))
      s  $\models$  precondition (Action-Inst x1a x2a)
    let ?s =
      wm-to-state(apply-effect x2a (state-to-wm s))
    have pddl-opr-to-act (Action-Inst x1a x2a) s = Some ?s
    using ass1 ass2
    apply (cases x2a; simp)
    apply (cases x1a; simp)
    by (auto)

```

```

      simp: pddl-opr-to-act-def image-def Let-def
      simp: state-to-wm-def entailment-def wf-fmla-atom-alt)
moreover have
  del-atm  $\notin$  set (Dels (effect (Action-Inst x1a x2a)))
   $\longrightarrow$  is-Atom del-atm  $\longrightarrow$  s  $\models$  del-atm  $\longrightarrow$  ?s  $\models$  del-atm
for del-atm
using atom-in-wm-2 not-dels-preserved atom-in-wm
by (metis ast-action-inst.sel(2) is-Atom.elims(2)
      ast-effect.collapse)
moreover have
  add-atm  $\in$  set (Adds (effect (Action-Inst x1a x2a)))
   $\longrightarrow$  ?s  $\models$  add-atm for add-atm
using wf-act-adds-are-atoms atom-in-wm-2
and adds-satisfied ass2(1)
by (metis ast-action-inst.sel(2) ast-effect.collapse
      is-Atom.elims(2))
moreover have
  ( $\forall$  s.  $\forall$  fmla  $\in$  set (Adds (effect (Action-Inst x1a x2a)))).
   $\neg$ is-Atom fmla  $\longrightarrow$  s  $\models$  fmla
using wf-act-adds-are-atoms ass2(1)
by fastforce
ultimately show  $\exists$  s'. pddl-opr-to-act (Action-Inst x1a x2a) s = Some s'
   $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  del-atm.
    is-Atom del-atm
     $\wedge$  del-atm  $\notin$  set (Dels (effect (Action-Inst x1a x2a)))
     $\wedge$  s  $\models$  del-atm
     $\longrightarrow$  s'  $\models$  del-atm)
   $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  add-atm.
    add-atm  $\in$  set (Adds (effect (Action-Inst x1a x2a)))
     $\longrightarrow$  s'  $\models$  add-atm)
   $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  s.  $\forall$  fmla  $\in$  set (Adds (effect (Action-Inst x1a x2a)))).
   $\neg$ is-Atom fmla  $\longrightarrow$  s  $\models$  fmla
by blast
qed
qed

```

lemma wf-eff-impt-wf-eff-inst: wf-effect objT eff \implies wf-effect-inst eff
by (cases eff; auto simp add: wf-fmla-atom-alt)

lemma wf-pddl-ground-act-is-sound-opr:
assumes wf-action-inst g-opr
shows sound-opr g-opr (pddl-opr-to-act g-opr)
using wf-eff-impt-wf-eff-inst wf-eff-pddl-ground-act-is-sound-opr assms
by (cases g-opr; auto)

lemma wf-action-schema-sound-inst:
assumes action-params-match act args wf-action-schema act
shows sound-opr
 (instantiate-action-schema act args)

```

  (pddl-opr-to-act (instantiate-action-schema act args))
using
  wf-pddl-ground-act-is-sound-opr[
    OF wf-instantiate-action-schema[OF assms]]
by blast

```

```

lemma wf-plan-act-is-sound:
assumes wf-plan-action (PAction n args)
shows sound-opr
  (instantiate-action-schema (the (resolve-action-schema n)) args)
  (pddl-opr-to-act
    (instantiate-action-schema (the (resolve-action-schema n)) args))
using assms
using wf-action-schema-sound-inst wf-eff-pddl-ground-act-is-sound-opr
by (auto split: option.splits)

```

```

lemma wf-plan-act-is-sound':
assumes wf-plan-action  $\pi$ 
shows sound-opr
  (resolve-instantiate  $\pi$ )
  (pddl-opr-to-act (resolve-instantiate  $\pi$ ))
using assms wf-plan-act-is-sound
by (cases  $\pi$ ; auto)

```

```

lemma wf-world-model-has-atoms:  $f \in M \implies wf\text{-world-model } M \implies is\text{-Atom } f$ 
using wf-fmla-atm-is-atom
unfolding wf-world-model-def
by auto

```

```

lemma wm-to-state-works-for-I:
assumes  $x \in I$ 
shows  $wm\text{-to-state } I \models x$ 
using wf-world-model-has-atoms assms wf-problem
unfolding wf-problem-def I-def
apply (cases  $x$ ; auto simp add: wf-problem-def)
using assms atom-in-wm-2 apply (auto simp: wm-to-state-def)
by force+

```

```

theorem wf-plan-sound-system:
assumes  $\forall \pi \in set\ \pi s. wf\text{-plan-action } \pi$ 
shows sound-system
  (set (map resolve-instantiate  $\pi s$ ))
  I
  (wm-to-state I)
  pddl-opr-to-act
using wm-to-state-works-for-I wf-problem wf-world-model-has-atoms
unfolding sound-system-def wf-problem-def I-def
apply auto
using wf-plan-act-is-sound' assms by blast

```

theorem *wf-plan-soundness-theorem*:
assumes *plan-action-path* $I \pi s M$
defines $\alpha s \equiv \text{map } (\text{pddl-opr-to-act} \circ \text{resolve-instantiate}) \pi s$
defines $s_0 \equiv \text{wm-to-state } I$
shows $\exists s'. \text{compose-actions } \alpha s s_0 = \text{Some } s' \wedge (\forall \varphi \in M. s' \models \varphi)$
apply (*rule STRIPS-sema-sound*)
apply (*rule wf-plan-sound-system*)
using *assms*
unfolding *plan-action-path-def*
by (*auto simp add: image-def*)

end — Context of *wf-ast-problem*

1.8 Closed-World Assumption and Negation

A valuation extracted from the atoms of the world model

definition *valuation* :: *world-model* \Rightarrow *object atom* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *valuation* $M \equiv \lambda x. (\text{Atom } x \in M)$

Augment a world model by adding negated versions of all atoms not contained in it.

definition *close-world* $M = M \cup \{\neg(\text{Atom } atm) \mid atm. \text{Atom } atm \notin M\}$

lemma

close-world-extensive: $M \subseteq \text{close-world } M$ **and**
close-world-idem[*simp*]: $\text{close-world } (\text{close-world } M) = \text{close-world } M$
by (*auto simp: close-world-def*)

lemma *in-close-world-conv*:

$\varphi \in \text{close-world } M \iff (\varphi \in M \vee (\exists atm. \varphi = \neg(\text{Atom } atm) \wedge \text{Atom } atm \notin M))$
by (*auto simp: close-world-def*)

lemma *valuation-aux-1*:

fixes $M :: \text{world-model}$ **and** $\varphi :: \text{object atom formula}$
defines $C \equiv \text{close-world } M$
assumes $A: \forall \varphi \in C. \mathcal{A} \models \varphi$
shows $\mathcal{A} = \text{valuation } M$
using A **unfolding** $C\text{-def}$
by (*auto simp: in-close-world-conv valuation-def Ball-def intro!: ext*)

lemma *valuation-aux-2*:

assumes $\forall \varphi \in M. \text{is-Atom } \varphi$
shows $(\forall G \in \text{close-world } M. \text{valuation } M \models G)$
using *assms*
by (*force simp: in-close-world-conv valuation-def elim: is-Atom.elims*)

lemma *val-imp-close-world*: $\text{valuation } M \models \varphi \implies \text{close-world } M \models \varphi$
unfolding *entailment-def*
using *valuation-aux-1*
by *blast*

lemma *close-world-imp-val*:
 $\forall \varphi \in M. \text{is-Atom } \varphi \implies \text{close-world } M \models \varphi \implies \text{valuation } M \models \varphi$
unfolding *entailment-def* **using** *valuation-aux-2* **by** *blast*

Main theorem of this section: If a world model M contains only atoms, its induced valuation satisfies a formula φ if and only if the closure of M entails φ .

Note that there are no syntactic restrictions on φ , in particular, φ may contain negation.

theorem *valuation-iff-close-world*:
assumes $\forall \varphi \in M. \text{is-Atom } \varphi$
shows $\text{valuation } M \models \varphi \longleftrightarrow \text{close-world } M \models \varphi$
using *assms val-imp-close-world close-world-imp-val* **by** *blast*

end — Theory

2 Executable PDDL Checker

theory *PDDL-STRIPS-Checker*
imports
PDDL-STRIPS-Semantics

Error-Monad-Add

~~/src/HOL/Library/Char-ord
~~/src/HOL/Library/Code-Char
~~/src/HOL/Library/Code-Target-Nat

Containers.Containers

begin

2.1 Implementation Refinements

2.1.1 Of-Type

We exploit the flat type hierarchy to efficiently implement the subtype-check

context *ast-domain* **begin**

lemma *rtrancl-subtype-rel-alt*: $\text{subtype-rel}^* = (\{\text{"object"}\} \times \text{UNIV}) =$
unfolding *subtype-rel-def*
apply (*auto*)
apply (*meson SigmaD1 converse-rtranclE singleton-iff*)

done

lemma *of-type-code*:
of-type *oT* *T* \longleftrightarrow (
 "object" \in *set* (*primitives* *T*))
 \vee *set* (*primitives* *oT*) \subseteq *set* (*primitives* *T*)
unfolding *of-type-def* *rtrancl-subtype-rel-alt*
by *auto*

end — Context of *ast-domain*

2.1.2 Application of Effects

context *ast-domain* **begin**

We implement the application of an effect by explicit iteration over the additions and deletions

fun *apply-effect-exec*
 :: *object atom formula ast-effect* \Rightarrow *world-model* \Rightarrow *world-model*
where
apply-effect-exec (*Effect adds dels*) *s*
 = *fold* (λ *add s. Set.insert add s*) *adds*
 (*fold* (λ *del s. Set.remove del s*) *dels s*)

lemma *apply-effect-exec-refine[simp]*:
apply-effect-exec (*Effect* (*adds*) (*dels*)) *s*
 = *apply-effect* (*Effect* (*adds*) (*dels*)) *s*
proof(*induction adds arbitrary: s*)
case *Nil*
then show ?*case*
proof(*induction dels arbitrary: s*)
case *Nil*
then show ?*case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Cons a dels*)
then show ?*case*
by (*auto simp add: image-def*)
qed
next
case (*Cons a adds*)
then show ?*case*
proof(*induction dels arbitrary: s*)
case *Nil*
then show ?*case* **by** (*auto; metis Set.insert-def sup-assoc insert-iff*)
next
case (*Cons a dels*)
then show ?*case*
by (*auto simp: Un-commute minus-set-fold union-set-fold*)
qed

qed

lemmas *apply-effect-eq-impl-eq*
 = *apply-effect-exec-refine*[*symmetric*, *unfolded apply-effect-exec.simps*]

end — Context of *ast-domain*

2.1.3 Well-Foundedness

context *ast-problem* **begin**

We start by defining a mapping from objects to types. The container framework will generate efficient, red-black tree based code for that later.

type-synonym *objT* = (*object*, *type*) *mapping*

definition *mp-objT* :: (*object*, *type*) *mapping* **where**
mp-objT = *Mapping.of-alist* (*objects P*)

lemma *mp-objT-correct*[*simp*]: *Mapping.lookup mp-objT* = *objT*
unfolding *mp-objT-def objT-def*
by *transfer* (*simp add: Map-To-Mapping.map-apply-def*)

We refine the typecheck to use the mapping

definition *is-obj-of-type-impl mp n T* = (
case Mapping.lookup mp n of None \Rightarrow False | Some oT \Rightarrow of-type oT T
)

lemma *is-obj-of-type-impl-correct*[*simp*]:
is-obj-of-type-impl mp-objT = *is-obj-of-type*
apply (*intro ext*)
apply (*auto simp: is-obj-of-type-impl-def is-obj-of-type-def*)
done

We refine the well-formedness checks to use the mapping

definition *wf-fact'* :: *objT* \Rightarrow *fact* \Rightarrow *bool*
where
wf-fact' ot \equiv *wf-atom* (*Mapping.lookup ot*)

lemma *wf-fact'-correct*[*simp*]: *wf-fact' mp-objT* = *wf-fact*
by (*auto simp: wf-fact'-def wf-fact-def*)

definition *wf-fmla-atom' mp f*
 = (*case f of formula.Atom atm \Rightarrow (wf-fact' mp atm) | - \Rightarrow False*)

lemma *wf-problem-impl-eq*:
wf-problem \longleftrightarrow (*let mp* = *mp-objT* *in*
wf-domain
 \wedge *distinct* (*map fst* (*objects P*))
 \wedge ($\forall (n, T) \in \text{set } (\text{objects } P). \text{wf-type } T$)

```

 $\wedge$  distinct (init P)
 $\wedge$  ( $\forall f \in \text{set}$  (init P). wf-fmla-atom' mp f)
 $\wedge$  wf-fmla (Mapping.lookup mp) (goal P)

unfolding wf-problem-def wf-fmla-atom'-def wf-world-model-def
and wf-fmla-atom-alt
apply (simp; safe)
subgoal by (auto simp: wf-fact-def split: formula.split)
subgoal for  $\varphi$  by (cases  $\varphi$ ) auto
subgoal for  $\varphi$ 
  apply (clarsimp simp: wf-fact-def split: formula.splits)
  by (cases  $\varphi$ ) auto
done

```

Instantiating actions will yield well-founded effects. Corollary of $\llbracket \text{action-params-match } ?a \text{ ?args}; \text{wf-action-schema } ?a \rrbracket \implies \text{wf-action-inst } (\text{instantiate-action-schema } ?a \text{ ?args})$.

```

lemma wf-effect-inst-weak:
  fixes a args
  defines ai  $\equiv$  instantiate-action-schema a args
  assumes A: action-params-match a args
    wf-action-schema a
  shows wf-effect-inst (effect ai)
  using wf-instantiate-action-schema[OF A] unfolding ai-def[symmetric]
  by (cases ai) (auto simp: wf-effect-inst-alt)

```

end — Context of *ast-problem*

context *wf-ast-domain* **begin**

Resolving an action yields a well-founded action schema.

```

lemma resolve-action-wf:
  assumes resolve-action-schema n = Some a
  shows wf-action-schema a
proof —
  from wf-domain have
    X1: distinct (map ast-action-schema.name (actions D))
    and X2:  $\forall a \in \text{set } (\text{actions } D). \text{wf-action-schema } a$ 
    unfolding wf-domain-def by auto

  show ?thesis
    using assms unfolding resolve-action-schema-def
    by (auto simp add: index-by-eq-Some-eq[OF X1] X2)
qed

```

end — Context of *ast-domain*

2.1.4 Execution of Plan Actions

We will perform two refinement steps, to summarize redundant operations

We first lift action schema lookup into the error monad.

```

context ast-domain begin
  definition resolve-action-schemaE  $n \equiv$ 
    lift-opt
    (resolve-action-schema  $n$ )
    (ERRS (shows "No such action schema "  $o$  shows  $n$ ))
end — Context of ast-domain

```

```

context ast-problem begin

```

We define a function to determine whether a formula holds in a world model

```

definition holds  $M F \equiv (valuation\ M) \models F$ 

```

Justification of this function

```

lemma holds-for-wf-fmlas:
  assumes  $\forall x \in s. is-Atom\ x\ wf-fmla\ Q\ F$ 
  shows holds  $s\ F \longleftrightarrow s \models F$ 
  unfolding holds-def entailment-def valuation-def
  using assms
proof (induction  $F$ )
  case (Atom  $x$ )
  then show ?case
    apply auto
    by (metis formula-semantics.simps(1) is-Atom.elims(2) valuation-def)
next
  case (Or  $F1\ F2$ )
  then show ?case
    apply simp apply (safe; clarsimp?)
    by (metis (mono-tags) is-Atom.elims(2) formula-semantics.simps(1))
qed auto

```

The first refinement summarizes the enabledness check and the execution of the action. Moreover, we implement the precondition evaluation by our *holds* function. This way, we can eliminate redundant resolving and instantiation of the action.

```

definition en-exE :: plan-action  $\Rightarrow$  world-model  $\Rightarrow$   $-+world-model$  where
  en-exE  $\equiv \lambda(PAction\ n\ args) \Rightarrow \lambda s. do \{$ 
     $a \leftarrow resolve-action-schemaE\ n;$ 
    check (action-params-match  $a\ args$ ) (ERRS "Parameter mismatch");
    let  $ai = instantiate-action-schema\ a\ args;$ 
    check (wf-effect-inst (effect  $ai$ )) (ERRS "Effect not well-formed");
    check (holds  $s$  (precondition  $ai$ )) (ERRS "Precondition not satisfied");
    Error-Monad.return (apply-effect (effect  $ai$ )  $s$ )
   $\}$ 

```

Justification of implementation.

```

lemma (in wf-ast-problem) en-exE-return-iff:
  assumes  $\forall x \in s. \text{is-Atom } x$ 
  shows  $\text{en-exE } a \ s = \text{Inr } s'$ 
     $\longleftrightarrow \text{plan-action-enabled } a \ s \wedge s' = \text{execute-plan-action } a \ s$ 
  apply (cases a)
  using assms holds-for-wf-fmlas wf-domain
  unfolding plan-action-enabled-def execute-plan-action-def
    and execute-ast-action-inst-def en-exE-def wf-domain-def
  apply (clarsimp
    split: option.splits
    simp: resolve-action-schemaE-def return-iff)
  by (metis ast-action-inst.collapse holds-for-wf-fmlas resolve-action-wf
    wf-action-inst.simps wf-instantiate-action-schema)

```

Next, we use the efficient implementation *is-obj-of-type-impl* for the type check, and omit the well-formedness check, as effects obtained from instantiating well-formed action schemas are always well-formed (*wf-effect-inst-weak*).

```

abbreviation action-params-match2 mp a args
   $\equiv \text{list-all2 } (\text{is-obj-of-type-impl } mp)$ 
     $\text{args } (\text{map } \text{snd } (\text{ast-action-schema.parameters } a))$ 

```

```

definition en-exE2
   $:: (\text{object}, \text{type}) \text{ mapping} \Rightarrow \text{plan-action} \Rightarrow \text{world-model} \Rightarrow \text{-} + \text{world-model}$ 
where
   $\text{en-exE2 } mp \equiv \lambda(P\text{Action } n \text{ args}) \Rightarrow \lambda s. \text{do } \{$ 
     $a \leftarrow \text{resolve-action-schemaE } n;$ 
     $\text{check } (\text{action-params-match2 } mp \ a \ \text{args}) \ (\text{ERRS } \text{"Parameter mismatch"});$ 
     $\text{let } ai = \text{instantiate-action-schema } a \ \text{args};$ 
     $\text{check } (\text{holds } s \ (\text{precondition } ai)) \ (\text{ERRS } \text{"Precondition not satisfied"});$ 
     $\text{Error-Monad.return } (\text{apply-effect } (\text{effect } ai) \ s)$ 
   $\}$ 

```

Justification of refinement

```

lemma (in wf-ast-problem) wf-en-exE2-eq:
  shows  $\text{en-exE2 } mp\text{-objT } pa \ s = \text{en-exE } pa \ s$ 
  apply (cases pa; simp add: en-exE2-def en-exE-def Let-def)
  apply (auto
    simp: return-iff resolve-action-schemaE-def resolve-action-wf
    simp: wf-effect-inst-weak action-params-match-def
    split: error-monad-bind-split)
  done

```

Combination of the two refinement lemmas

```

lemma (in wf-ast-problem) en-exE2-return-iff:
  assumes  $\forall x \in s. \text{is-Atom } x$ 
  shows  $\text{en-exE2 } mp\text{-objT } a \ s = \text{Inr } s'$ 
     $\longleftrightarrow \text{plan-action-enabled } a \ s \wedge s' = \text{execute-plan-action } a \ s$ 

```

```

unfolding wf-en-exE2-eq
apply (subst en-exE-return-iff)
using assms
by (auto)

```

```

lemma (in wf-ast-problem) en-exE2-return-iff-compact-notation:
   $\llbracket \forall x \in s. \text{is-Atom } x \rrbracket \implies$ 
   $\text{en-exE2 mp-objT } a \ s = \text{Inr } s'$ 
   $\iff \text{plan-action-enabled } a \ s \wedge s' = \text{execute-plan-action } a \ s$ 
using en-exE2-return-iff .

```

end — Context of *ast-problem*

2.1.5 Checking of Plan

context *ast-problem* **begin**

First, we combine the well-formedness check of the plan actions and their execution into a single iteration.

```

fun valid-plan-from1 :: world-model  $\Rightarrow$  plan  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  valid-plan-from1 s []  $\iff s \models (\text{goal } P)$ 
| valid-plan-from1 s ( $\pi \# \pi s$ )
   $\iff \text{plan-action-enabled } \pi \ s$ 
   $\wedge (\text{valid-plan-from1 } (\text{execute-plan-action } \pi \ s) \ \pi s)$ 

```

lemma valid-plan-from1-refine: $\text{valid-plan-from } s \ \pi s = \text{valid-plan-from1 } s \ \pi s$

proof(induction πs arbitrary: s)

case Nil

then show ?case **by** (auto simp add: plan-action-path-def valid-plan-from-def)

next

case (Cons a πs)

then show ?case

by (auto

simp: valid-plan-from-def plan-action-path-def plan-action-enabled-def

simp: execute-ast-action-inst-def execute-plan-action-def)

qed

Next, we use our efficient combined enabledness check and execution function, and transfer the implementation to use the error monad:

```

fun valid-plan-fromE
  :: (object, type) mapping  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  world-model  $\Rightarrow$  plan  $\Rightarrow$  -+unit
where
  valid-plan-fromE mp si s []
    = check (holds s (goal P)) (ERRS "Postcondition does not hold")
| valid-plan-fromE mp si s ( $\pi \# \pi s$ ) = do {
  s  $\leftarrow$  en-exE2 mp  $\pi \ s$ 
  <+? ( $\lambda e \ . \ \text{shows "at step " } o \ \text{shows } si \ o \ \text{shows "': " } o \ e \ ()$ );
  valid-plan-fromE mp (si+1) s  $\pi s$ 
}

```

For the refinement, we need to show that the world models only contain atoms, i.e., containing only atoms is an invariant under execution of well-formed plan actions.

```

lemma (in wf-ast-problem) wf-actions-only-add-atoms:
   $\llbracket \forall x \in s. \text{is-Atom } x; \text{wf-plan-action } a \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \forall x \in \text{execute-plan-action } a \text{ } s. \text{is-Atom } x$ 
using wf-problem wf-domain
unfolding wf-problem-def wf-domain-def
apply (cases a)
apply (clarsimp
  split: option.splits
  simp: wf-fmla-atom-alt execute-plan-action-def
  simp: execute-ast-action-inst-def)
subgoal for n args schema fmla
apply (cases effect (instantiate-action-schema schema args); simp)
by (metis ast-action-inst.sel(2) ast-domain.wf-effect.simps
  ast-domain.wf-fmla-atom-alt resolve-action-wf
  wf-action-inst.elims(2) wf-instantiate-action-schema)
done

```

Refinement lemma for our plan checking algorithm

```

lemma (in wf-ast-problem) valid-plan-fromE-return-iff[return-iff]:
assumes  $\forall x \in s. \text{is-Atom } x$ 
shows  $\text{valid-plan-fromE } mp\text{-objT } k \text{ } s \text{ } \pi s = \text{Inr } () \iff \text{valid-plan-from } s \text{ } \pi s$ 
using assms unfolding valid-plan-from1-refine
proof (induction  $mp \equiv mp\text{-objT } k \text{ } s \text{ } \pi s$  rule: valid-plan-fromE.induct)
case (1 si s)
then show ?case
using wf-problem holds-for-wf-fmlas
by (auto
  simp: return-iff Let-def wf-en-exE2-eq wf-problem-def
  split: plan-action.split)
next
case (2 si s  $\pi \pi s$ )
then show ?case
apply (clarsimp
  simp: return-iff en-exE2-return-iff
  split: plan-action.split)
by (meson ast-problem.plan-action-enabled-def wf-actions-only-add-atoms)
qed

```

```

lemmas valid-plan-fromE-return-iff'[return-iff]
  = wf-ast-problem.valid-plan-fromE-return-iff[of P, OF wf-ast-problem.intro]

```

end — Context of *ast-problem*

2.2 Executable Plan Checker

We obtain the main plan checker by combining the well-formedness check and executability check.

definition *check-plan* $P \pi s \equiv \text{do } \{$
 $\text{check } (\text{ast-problem.wf-problem } P) (\text{ERRS } "Domain/Problem \text{ not well-formed}");$
 $\text{ast-problem.valid-plan-fromE } P (\text{ast-problem.mp-objT } P) 1 (\text{ast-problem.I } P) \pi s$
 $\}$

Correctness theorem of the plan checker: It returns *Inr* () if and only if the problem is well-formed and the plan is valid.

theorem *check-plan-return-iff*[*return-iff*]: *check-plan* $P \pi s = \text{Inr } ()$
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{ast-problem.wf-problem } P \wedge \text{ast-problem.valid-plan } P \pi s$

proof –

interpret *ast-problem* P .

show *?thesis*

unfolding *check-plan-def*

apply (*auto*

simp: *return-iff* *wf-world-model-def* *wf-fmla-atom-alt* *I-def* *wf-problem-def*)

apply (*metis* *ast-domain.wf-fmla-atom-alt* *ast-problem.I-def*

ast-problem.valid-plan-def *valid-plan-fromE-return-iff'*

wf-fmla-atom.elims(2) *wf-problem-def* *wf-world-model-def*)

by (*metis* (*full-types*) *ast-domain.wf-fmla-atom-alt* *ast-problem.I-def*

ast-problem.valid-plan-def *ast-problem.valid-plan-fromE-return-iff'*

wf-fmla-atom.elims(2) *wf-problem-def* *wf-world-model-def*)

qed

2.3 Code Setup

In this section, we set up the code generator to generate verified code for our plan checker.

2.3.1 Code Equations

We first register the code equations for the functions of the checker. Note that we not necessarily register the original code equations, but also optimized ones.

lemmas *wf-domain-code* =

ast-domain.sig-def

ast-domain.wf-type.simps

ast-domain.wf-predicate-decl.simps

ast-domain.wf-domain-def

ast-domain.wf-action-schema.simps

ast-domain.wf-effect.simps

ast-domain.wf-fmla.simps

ast-domain.wf-atom.simps

ast-domain.is-of-type-def


```

    ast-domain.of-type-code

declare wf-domain-code[code]

lemmas wf-problem-code =
    ast-problem.wf-problem-impl-eq
    ast-problem.wf-fact'-def

    ast-problem.is-obj-of-type-alt

    ast-problem.wf-fact-def
    ast-problem.wf-plan-action.simps

declare wf-problem-code[code]

lemmas check-code =
    ast-problem.valid-plan-def
    ast-problem.valid-plan-fromE.simps
    ast-problem.en-exE2-def
    ast-problem.resolve-instantiate.simps
    ast-domain.resolve-action-schema-def
    ast-domain.resolve-action-schemaE-def
    ast-problem.I-def
    ast-domain.instantiate-action-schema.simps
    ast-domain.apply-effect-exec.simps

    ast-domain.apply-effect-eq-impl-eq

    ast-problem.holds-def
    ast-problem.mp-objT-def
    ast-problem.is-obj-of-type-impl-def
    ast-domain.wf-fmla-atom.simps
    ast-problem.wf-fmla-atom'-def
    valuation-def
declare check-code[code]

```

2.3.2 Setup for Containers Framework

```

derive ceq predicate atom object formula
derive compare predicate atom object formula
derive (rbt) set-impl atom formula

derive (rbt) mapping-impl object

derive linorder predicate object atom object atom formula

```

2.3.3 More Efficient Distinctness Check for Linorders

```

fun no-stutter :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where

```

```

  no-stutter [] = True
| no-stutter [-] = True
| no-stutter (a#b#l) = (a≠b ∧ no-stutter (b#l))

```

```

lemma sorted-no-stutter-eq-distinct: sorted l  $\implies$  no-stutter l  $\longleftrightarrow$  distinct l
  apply (induction l rule: no-stutter.induct)
  apply (auto simp: sorted-Cons)
done

```

```

definition distinct-ds :: 'a::linorder list  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where distinct-ds l  $\equiv$  no-stutter (quicksort l)

```

```

lemma [code-unfold]: distinct = distinct-ds
  apply (intro ext)
  unfolding distinct-ds-def
  apply (auto simp: sorted-no-stutter-eq-distinct)
done

```

2.3.4 Code Generation

```

export-code
  check-plan
  nat-of-integer integer-of-nat Inl Inr
  predAtm Eq predicate Pred Either Var Obj PredDecl BigAnd BigOr
  formula.Not formula.Bot Effect ast-action-schema.Action-Schema
  map-atom Domain Problem PAction
  in SML
  module-name PDDL-Checker-Exported
  file code/PDDL-STRIPS-Checker-Exported.sml

```

end — Theory

3 Reasoning about Invariants

```

theory invariant-verification
  imports PDDL-STRIPS-Semantics
begin

  context ast-domain begin
    definition is-invariant-inst Q  $\alpha \longleftrightarrow$ 
      ( $\forall M. Q M \wedge M \models \text{precondition } \alpha$ 
        $\longrightarrow Q (\text{execute-ast-action-inst } \alpha M)$ )
  end

  context ast-problem begin

```

An invariant is a predicate preserved under execution of plan actions

definition *is-invariant-P* $Q \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall M \pi. Q M \wedge \text{plan-action-enabled } \pi M$
 $\longrightarrow Q (\text{execute-plan-action } \pi M))$

This also implies invariance under plans.

lemma *invarP-imp-plan-invar*:
assumes I : *is-invariant-P* Q
assumes $Q M$ *plan-action-path* $M \pi s M'$
shows $Q M'$
using *assms*(2,3)
apply (*induction* πs *arbitrary*: M)
using I **unfolding** *is-invariant-P-def* **by** *auto*

To prove that Q is invariant, we can show that it is preserved by every possible instantiation of the action schemas declared by the domain.

lemma *is-invariant-PI*:
assumes $\bigwedge a \text{ args.}$
 $\llbracket a \in \text{set } (\text{actions } D); \text{action-params-match } a \text{ args} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{is-invariant-inst } Q (\text{instantiate-action-schema } a \text{ args})$
shows *is-invariant-P* Q
unfolding *is-invariant-P-def*
proof *safe*
fix $M \pi$
assume $I0$: $Q M$ **and** EN : *plan-action-enabled* πM

obtain $n \text{ args}$ **where** $[simp]$: $\pi = (PAction \ n \ \text{args})$
by (*cases* π)

from EN **obtain** a **where**
 $X1$: $a \in \text{set } (\text{actions } D)$ *action-params-match* $a \text{ args}$
and $X2$: $M \models \text{precondition } (\text{instantiate-action-schema } a \text{ args})$
and $[simp]$: *resolve-action-schema* $n = \text{Some } a$
by (*auto*)
 $\text{simp: plan-action-enabled-def resolve-action-schema-def}$
 $\text{split: option.splits}$
 $\text{dest: index-by-eq-SomeD}$

show $Q (\text{execute-plan-action } \pi M)$
using $I0 \ X1 \ X2$ *assms* *execute-ast-action-inst-def*
 $\text{execute-plan-action-def is-invariant-inst-def}$
by *auto*

qed

end

context *ast-domain* **begin**

In the context of a domain, an invariant must be preserved by any action of any well-formed problem in this domain.

definition *is-invariant* $Q \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall P. \text{ast-problem.wf-problem } P$
 $\longrightarrow \text{ast-problem.is-invariant-}P \text{ } P \text{ } Q)$

An invariant can be introduced by showing that it preserves all possible action instances of all possible problems.

lemma *is-invariant-I*:
assumes $\bigwedge a \text{ args } P.$
 $\llbracket \text{ast-problem.wf-problem } P; a \in \text{set } (\text{actions } (\text{domain } P));$
 $\text{ast-problem.action-params-match } P \text{ } a \text{ args } \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{is-invariant-inst } Q \text{ } (\text{instantiate-action-schema } a \text{ args})$
shows *is-invariant* Q
unfolding *is-invariant-def*
apply *safe*
apply $(\text{rule } \text{ast-problem.is-invariant-PI})$
by $(\text{rule } \text{assms})$
end

An invariant is preserved by any path in any well-formed problem

lemma **(in** *wf-ast-problem*) *invar-imp-plan-invar*:
assumes *is-invariant* Q
assumes $Q \text{ } M \text{ plan-action-path } M \text{ } \pi s \text{ } M'$
shows $Q \text{ } M'$
by $(\text{metis } \text{assms } \text{ast-domain.is-invariant-def } \text{invarP-imp-plan-invar } \text{wf-problem})$

end