The distribution function is given by

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(x-2)^2}{4}, & 2 \le x \le 3\\ -\frac{x^2}{12} + x - 2, & 3 \le x \le 6 \end{cases}$$

The inverse to which is given by

$$F^{-1}(y) = \begin{cases} 2(\sqrt{y}+1), & 0 \le y \le \frac{1}{4} \\ 6 - 2\sqrt{3(1-y)}, & \frac{1}{4} \le y \le 1 \end{cases}$$

1000 samples generated with inverse transform algorithm yield the following result.




Figure 1: distribution comparison

$$F^{-1}(y) = \left[-\frac{\log(1-y)}{\alpha} \right]^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$$

The pdf is given by

$$f(x) = \alpha \beta x^{\beta - 1} \exp(-\alpha x^{\beta})$$

The mean is $\frac{1}{\sqrt[\beta]{\alpha}}\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{\beta}\right)$ and the variance is $\frac{1}{\sqrt[\beta]{\alpha^2}}\left[\Gamma\left(2+\frac{1}{\beta}\right)-\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^2\right]$. 1000 samples generated with inverse transform algorithm yield the following result.




Figure 2: distribution comparison for $\alpha = 1, \beta = 5$

The inverse of the cdf is given by

$$F^{-1}(y) = -\log(1 - cy), \ c = 1 - e^{-0.05}$$

Mean of the target distribution is given by,

$$\frac{1 - 1.05e^{-0.05}}{1 - e^{-0.05}}$$

 $1000~\mathrm{samples}$ generated with inverse transform algorithm yield the following result.




Figure 3: distribution comparison

Problem 8(a)

The inverse of $F_i(x)$ is given by

$$F_i^{-1}(y) = y^{\frac{1}{2i-1}}, \ i = 1, 2, 3$$

1000 samples generated with composition method yield the following result.




Figure 4: distribution comparison

Problem 8(b)

Our setup is as follows.

$$F_1(x) = 1 - e^{-2x}, \quad 0 < x < \infty$$

$$F_2(x) = \begin{cases} x, & 0 < x < 1 \\ 1, & 1 \le x < \infty \end{cases}$$

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{3}F_1(x) + \frac{2}{3}F_2(x)$$

1000 samples generated with composition method yield the following result.




Figure 5: distribution comparison

Problem 8(c)

Our setup is as follows. The probability weights have been generated randomly.

```
\bar{\alpha} = (0.02195764, 0.06790598, 0.14786533, 0.15823267, 0.15668765, \\ 0.05942056, 0.04305776, 0.02730875, 0.21558822, 0.10197544)
```

1500 samples generated with composition method yield the following result.




Figure 6: distribution comparison

Our notation is as follows.

n = 1000 = number of policyholders

 $s_i = \text{indicator variable for } i\text{-th policyholder presenting a claim}$

 $p = E[s_i] = 0.05 \ \forall i$

 $X_i = \text{amount of claim presented by } i\text{-th policyholder}$

 $\mu=E[X_i] \; \forall i$ $Y=\sum_{i=1}^n s_i X_i=$ amount of total claim presented by all policy holders

 $F(x) = \operatorname{cdf} \operatorname{of} Y$

A =a certain amount of money, for our purposes A = \$50,000

M = set of all binary n-tuples

 $M_k = \text{set of binary } n\text{-tuple with exactly } k\text{-entries being 1}$

 $P(Y > A|m) = \text{probabilty conditioned on } s_i = m_i \ \forall i \text{ where } m \in M.$

 $P(m) = \text{probability that } s_i = m_i \ \forall i \text{ where } m \in M.$

 $\Gamma(x,\mu,k) = \text{gamma distribution that is the sum of } k \text{ identical exponential dis-}$ tributions with mean μ With our notations we need to compute the following.

$$\begin{split} F(A) = & P(Y \leq A) = \sum_{m \in M} P(Y \leq A|m) P(m) \\ = & \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{m \in M_{k}} P(Y \leq A|m) P(m) \\ = & \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{m \in M_{k}} P(Y \leq A|m) p^{k} (1-p)^{n-k} \\ = & \sum_{k=1}^{n} |M_{k}| p^{k} (1-p)^{n-k} P(Y \leq A|m_{k}), \qquad m_{k} \in M_{k} \\ = & \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} p^{k} (1-p)^{n-k} P(Y \leq A|m_{k}) \\ = & \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} p^{k} (1-p)^{n-k} \Gamma(\mu, k) \\ = & : \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{n,k} \Gamma(A, \mu, k) \end{split}$$

 $\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{n,k} = 1$ and $p_{n,k} > 0$ which implies we can sample Y using composition technique because we can already sample gamma distributions.