

# **FACTOM COMMUNITY**

## **MEETING MINUTES**

**Factom Guides**

**Meeting #19**

**2018-08-27**

VERSION	DATE	CHANGED BY	CHANGES
0.1	2018-04-07	Tor Hogne Paulsen	First draft for guide review.
1.0	2018-04-09	Tor Hogne Paulsen	Version for general use in the Factom community.
1.1	2018-04-12	Tor Hogne Paulsen	Added more fields for metadata.
1.2	2018-04-15	David Chapman	Updated, “Chairman” and, “Secretary” fields.

Note: This version control is for the Template, not the individual meeting minutes.

<b>Date and time of meeting</b>	2018-08-27
<b>Date minutes drafted</b>	2018-08-27
<b>Date minutes approved</b>	
<b>Organization/Team</b>	Factom Guides
<b>Attendees</b>	Factom inc (Brian Deery), Centis BV (Niels Klomp), DBGrow Inc (Julian), Canonical ledgers (Sam), The 42ND Factoid LTD (Tor).
<b>Members not in attendance</b>	
<b>Other attendees</b>	
<b>Meeting Leader</b>	Factom, Inc (Brian Deery)
<b>Meeting Secretary</b>	The 42ND Factoid (Tor)

<b>Subject 0</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>Roll Call</b></li> <li>- <b>Approval of minutes from previous meeting on 2018-08-19.</b></li> </ul>
<b>Discussion</b>	
<b>Conclusion</b>	Everyone present for roll call. Meeting minutes from previous meeting ratified.
<b>Follow up</b>	Sam will Factomize previous meeting minutes.

<b>Subject 1</b>	<b>Onboarding of final batch of ANOs from Application round #1 Update (Brian).</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Blockrock Mining AS</li> <li>- Syncroblock</li> <li>- Factomatic LLC</li> <li>- Stamp-IT</li> </ul>
<b>Discussion</b>	Brian: This has kind of been happening the last week, but with the guide selection and everything else going on it has been delayed a bit. We are passed all that now; at this point we are just about ready to start the audit process right now. Three of them have submitted the required form (contact info, node info), and one is still pending. The goal

	is to get this done this week. I want to thank the ANOs for the patience in this tumultuous time.
<b>Conclusion</b>	Onboarding this current week.
<b>Follow up</b>	

<b>Subject 2</b>	<b>Conclusion of ANO Selection Round, including recusals</b>
<b>Discussion</b>	<p>Niels: We had I think a rather nice application round. 16 candidates of rather good quality; some more than we suspected we would get this round. It was a bit harder for us as well, and first of all I want to thank on behalf of the guides all applicants for participating. I do get that with only 6 candidates being selected is a bit hard for the ones who did not make it, but I do hope everyone will stay and remain in the system as there will be new application rounds in the future.</p> <p>Also congratulations to the ones who made it as an ANO. I hope we are going to see a lot of all of you and see you participate in governance and the whole community as well.</p> <p><b>Recusals:</b>          Brian:          The recusals were Multicoin Capital Management. I have a relationship with that team being another local Austin group. And it seemed wrong to judge those based on that relationship. The other one was Federated Reserve &amp; Prestige IT. Some of the members work for the same person that I work for, and so that felt like a conflict of interest and I should recuse myself from. The Factom bridge team as well; I am co-worker with one of the members of that team.</p> <p>Tor: De Facto (previous working relationship with them through TFA), and</p> <p>Julian: Block Conex: Jamie Smith, I am working with him with the legal and governance review.</p> <p>Niels: The next round of applications... We are still working on the timeline for that. There is an ongoing discussion in the Factomize forum, but due to the limited time we had with the elections we haven't come around to that yet. We hope to come to a conclusion regarding that very soon, so the ones who did not make know what to expect from us.</p>
<b>Conclusion</b>	
<b>Follow up</b>	

<b>Subject 3</b>	<b>Poloniex update (Brian)</b>
------------------	--------------------------------

<b>Discussion</b>	Brian: Things are moving forward with them. They have delved into the wallet and factomd and came back with some questions. It sounds like they are moving forward, and there was a request that they had made to some of the factom software - to the wallet specifically... We found the bug they were talking about and that should go out in the next release. My understanding is that that is not holding back forward progress. If that understanding is not correct we can expedite that.
<b>Conclusion</b>	
<b>Follow up</b>	

<b>Subject 4</b>	<b>Open floor</b>
<b>Discussion</b>	N/A
<b>Conclusion</b>	Call for meeting to be adjourned. Seconded.
<b>Follow up</b>	

Meeting adjourned at 18:12 UTC.