

There are multiple ways of tackling committee setup, below are agenda items that can be structured in suggested chronological order:

1. Introduce committees and volunteers
  - a. Core
  - b. Exchange
  - c. Governance
  - d. Legal
  - e. Marketing
  - f. Strategy
  - g. Technical
  - h. Code Deployment and Factom Network
  - i. Factom Use Case Research
2. Motion to combine legal and governance into one committee as suggested by @thinlylicedmeat
3. Motion to open floor for nomination of any individuals to committees
4. Motion to approve creation of committees
5. Motion to agree on a general groundwork for how committees should function
  - a. @DChapman suggested creation of private forum for each committee.
  - b. @xavierwjc suggested a self-governing structure for each committee until a more formal structure is set up. Each committee should determine (examples):
    - i. Membership criteria
    - ii. Number of committee members
    - iii. Quorum
    - iv. Meeting frequencies
    - v. Sub-groups and responsibilities
    - vi. Etc.
  - c. @xavierwjc suggested each committee select a Chair and a Deputy to be point persons
  - d. @xavierwjc suggested each committee share progress during monthly operator meetings
6. Motion to assign tasks to committees
  - a. @SamuelVanderwaal suggested monthly update for community from Marketing Committee
  - b. Community member suggested a "suggestion box" and/or some kind of monthly Q&A, didn't specify which committee
  - c. @Julianft suggested Marketing Committee to run an Operator AMA as one of its first acts
  - d. @BobbyEK suggested looking into licensing clarification about Factom Logo. Suggest this be one of the first tasks for the Legal Committee
  - e. @Flying\_Viking suggested Code Deployment and Factom Network Committee includes releasing and testing on Testnet before code being deployed on Mainnet

- f. [@xavierwjc](#) suggested governance committee to maintain our community resources/connections
- g. [@xavierwjc](#) suggested governance committee to create recommended policies for our group
- 7. Motion to resolve old business (assign to a committee, create a new committee, or table):
  - a. [@BennyJ](#) suggested an elevator pitch for each ASOs so we can help pitch each others' products/services
  - b. [@BobbyEK](#) suggested regular hackathons organized and funded by ASOs. [@xavierwjc](#) suggested organizing one with big prize money to coincide with our annual summit
  - c. [@Luap](#) suggested Factom Application Architecture Review Group to help the people who are starting ambitious projects on Factom
  - d. [@xavierwjc](#) suggested someone spearhead the Summit (marketing or a new group?)
- 8. Motion to discuss new business (suggestions for committees)

Source: <https://factomize.com/forums/threads/authority-node-operator-committees.200/post-1267>