Project number:
2024-1-IT02-KA220-ADU-000247623

MYCo
Meet Your Colleague

How to bridge digital inequality

Poland

CWEP

Co-funded by
the European Union

Partners

Introduction

Digital inequality represents one of the most pressing challenges in modern workplaces, encompassing disparities in **technology access**, **digital skills**, and **effective utilization** of digital tools among employees. As organizations increasingly embrace digital transformation, these divides can significantly impact **workplace equality**, **employee productivity**, and **organizational competitiveness**. The COVID-19 pandemic starkly revealed how digital disparities can exclude employees from full participation in work life, particularly as remote work arrangements became necessary. Today, with artificial intelligence and advanced technologies reshaping business operations, addressing digital inequality has transitioned from a peripheral concern to a **strategic imperative** for organizations committed to inclusive growth.

This module examines the **multifaceted nature** of digital inequality in workplace contexts, exploring not only technological access but also the skills, support systems, and cultural factors that determine how employees engage with digital tools. We will explore how digital inequality **mirrors and amplifies** existing socioeconomic disparities and examine strategies for creating more digitally inclusive workplaces where all employees can thrive. By understanding and addressing these challenges, organizations can unlock significant **productivity gains**, **innovation potential**, and **competitive advantages** while advancing broader equity goals.

The relevance of this topic extends beyond mere technical competence. Digital inequality intersects with **generational differences**, **socioeconomic backgrounds**, **geographic disparities**, and **educational opportunities**, creating complex challenges that require multifaceted solutions. Research shows that companies ignoring workforce technology risk higher turnover, slower growth, and **significant skill gaps** that undermine competitiveness . By contrast, organizations that proactively address digital inequality can build more **robust talent pipelines**, reduce hiring costs, and enhance employee retention while fostering a more inclusive workplace culture.

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

Understanding Digital Inequality in Workplace Contexts

Introduction

Digital inequality in the workplace extends far beyond simple access to technology. It encompasses a complex ecosystem of factors including device quality, connectivity reliability, digital literacy, and the ability to effectively utilize technology for professional advancement. Understanding these multifaceted dimensions is essential for developing effective strategies to bridge digital divides within organizations.

Defining the Multidimensional Nature of Digital Inequality

The **evolution of digital inequality** has transformed from primarily concerning access to devices and connectivity to encompassing more nuanced dimensions of usage and effectiveness. Where earlier divides focused on who had computers or internet access, contemporary digital inequality includes disparities in **digital skills**, **confidence with emerging technologies**, and **opportunities to apply** digital capabilities in professionally advantageous ways. This shift reflects both the increasing penetration of digital technologies and their growing importance for professional success.

Several **key dimensions** characterize digital inequality in workplace contexts. The first is **access inequality**, which refers to disparities in reliable high-speed internet, modern hardware, and up-to-date software. This is particularly pronounced in rural areas, as seen in Poland, where a lack of digital infrastructure disproportionately affects older and low-income populations. An employee struggling with a slow, unreliable home internet connection cannot participate equally in video conferences or access cloud-based tools, effectively creating a two-tier workforce in hybrid models.

The second dimension is **skills inequality**. This is where generational differences, as detailed in the MYCo research, become most evident. Younger generations (Millennials and Gen Z) often enter the workforce with high digital fluency, having been immersed in technology from a young age. In contrast, older generations (Gen X and Baby Boomers) may face steeper learning curves with new platforms and tools. The Portuguese research notes that the country's growing tech sector in Lisbon attracts young professionals, while traditional sectors like manufacturing and agriculture rely on an older workforce with lower levels of tech integration. This skills gap is not insurmountable but requires targeted, compassionate upskilling initiatives.

The third dimension is **usage inequality**. This concerns how different employees utilize the technology available to them. Some may use digital tools for basic consumption of information (reading emails, downloading documents), while others leverage them for creation, innovation, and networking (creating digital content, analyzing data, building an online professional presence). This divide often correlates with confidence levels and is

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

influenced by workplace culture; a hierarchical structure may discourage experimentation, while a flexible, innovative culture may encourage it.

These dimensions are compounded by transversal diversities. For instance, the Polish research highlights that older women face compounded discrimination due to ageism and gender, often resulting in limited access to training and managerial roles. Bridging digital inequality, therefore, is not just about technology; it is about addressing overlapping barriers that prevent full participation in the digital workplace.

Primary Drivers and Contributing Factors

The causes of digital inequality in the workplace are multifaceted and often interconnected, stemming from broader societal and economic structures.

- **Socio-Economic Factors:** Income inequality directly impacts an employee's ability to afford high-quality devices and premium broadband services outside of work. The cost of devices and data plans remains a significant barrier. In Thailand, for example, only 3% of low-income households had internet-connected computers, compared to 19% of higher-income households. This economic barrier extends to the ability to invest in continuous learning and upskilling.
- **Geographical Disparities:** A persistent urban-rural divide exists in digital infrastructure. In 2024, 82.9% of the world's urban population used the Internet, compared to only 47.5% of the rural population. This gap widens considerably when considering network quality: 67.1% of the urban population was covered by at least a 5G network compared to only 29.2% of the rural population. Employees in remote locations are disproportionately affected.
- **Generational Differences:** Generational gaps in technology adoption and comfort levels are a significant source of friction. Studies show that 90% of teams report conflicts over digital tools, and 65% of Gen Z claim older colleagues struggle with technology. Younger generations often enter the workforce as "digital natives," while older generations may face steeper learning curves, leading to tensions and misconceptions - e.g., labeling millennials as "lazy" or boomers as "technophobic".
- **Educational and Training Gaps:** Unequal access to quality education and lifelong learning opportunities creates significant skill gaps. Older workers may have had limited exposure to digital technology during their formative educational years. Furthermore, corporate training programs are often designed for those already comfortable with technology, leaving behind those who need the most support.
- **Cultural and Institutional Barriers:** Organizational culture can either mitigate or amplify digital inequality. A culture that lacks psychological safety prevents employees from admitting they need help for fear of being judged incompetent. Furthermore, a lack of clear digital communication guidelines can lead to

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

misinterpretations of tone, context, and response time expectations across generations.

Key Drivers of Digital Inequality in the Workplace

Driver	Manifestation in the Workplace	Impact on Employees
Socio-Economic	Inability to afford reliable home office setup	Reduced productivity, exclusion from remote work opportunities
Geographical	Poor connectivity in rural areas	Inability to participate in real-time collaboration, slower task completion
Generational	Conflicts over tool preferences and communication styles	Misunderstandings, friction, and hindered collaboration in teams
Educational	Lack of foundational digital literacy skills	Inability to adapt to new software, career stagnation
Organizational Culture	Lack of psychological safety and inclusive training	Fear of asking for help, perpetuation of skill gaps

The Profound Impact on Organizations and Employees

The consequences of unaddressed digital inequality are severe and multifaceted, affecting both individual employees and the organization as a whole.

- **For Employees**: Digital inequality leads to exclusion and disadvantage. It can result in social and professional isolation, particularly in remote settings where digital presence is paramount. It creates career stagnation, as those lacking digital skills are often overlooked for promotions and high-profile projects. Perhaps most critically, it exacerbates economic vulnerability; research shows that households with broadband are on average 8.1% more likely to be employed and earn $2,202 more in annual household income. Furthermore, it can become a matter of wellbeing and access; during the pandemic, lack of internet access was linked to a higher risk of mortality from COVID-19, and the digitization of essential services like healthcare can marginalize those who cannot access them.

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

- **For Organizations**: Companies face significant productivity rains and inefficiencies when employees lack adequate tools or skills, creating bottlenecks and errors. It stifles innovation by limiting the pool of employees who can contribute ideas through digital channels. Perhaps most damagingly, it leads to higher employee turnover and difficulty in attracting talent, as digitally fluent workers, particularly younger generations, will seek out employers with modern, inclusive digital environments. It also poses a major risk to operational resilience, as demonstrated during the pandemic when organizations with digitally inclusive workforces adapted more seamlessly.

Digital inequality is increasingly recognized as a human rights issue because it impacts the fulfillment of other basic rights, such as the right to work, to education, and to social security. When access to essential workplace tools and opportunities is governed by an individual's digital proficiency, it creates a new axis of discrimination that organizations have an ethical duty to address.

Generational differences provide a powerful lens for examining digital inequality, though it is crucial to avoid stereotyping. Research indicates that 82% of workers oppose generational categorizations, believing workplaces should stop reinforcing these stereotypes. However, patterns in experience and comfort with technology are evident and can lead to friction:

- Gen Z & Millennials: Often enter with high digital fluency and a preference for tools like instant messaging and AI platforms (32% of Gen Z lead AI adoption). However, they may lack experience with specialized business software and can sometimes overlook the value of traditional interpersonal communication methods.
- Generation X: Often caught between analog and digital worlds, they possess valuable institutional knowledge but may require support in adapting to new digital workflows and collaboration platforms.
- Baby Boomers: May prefer formal communication (email, face-to-face meetings) and can face the steepest learning curves with new technology. They are often unfairly stereotyped, yet many successfully adapt when given tailored, practical training.

These differences are not inherent but are shaped by the technological context of each generation's formative years. The key for organizations is not to pigeonhole employees but to create environments of intergenerational solidarity, where younger digital natives can mentor older colleagues on technology, while older workers share invaluable institutional knowledge and experience. This reciprocal approach breaks down stereotypes and builds a more cohesive and skilled workforce.

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

Strategies for Bridging Digital Inequality in the Workplace

Introduction

Digital inequality in the workplace is not an inevitability; it is a challenge that organizations can and must address through deliberate strategies. While the roots of inequality are complex - spanning access, skills, and culture - there is substantial evidence that targeted interventions can reduce these disparities and foster more inclusive and productive environments. The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated many companies' investments in digital access and training, but it also revealed that piecemeal solutions are insufficient. To truly bridge digital inequality, organizations must embed equity into their digital transformation efforts, ensuring that employees are not only connected but also empowered to thrive in increasingly digitalized environments.

Enhancing Access Through Infrastructure and Resources

The first and most visible dimension of digital inequality is access. Employees who lack reliable devices or internet connections cannot fully participate in remote work, online collaboration, or digital training programs. While some organizations continue to assume that personal devices and household broadband are sufficient, this assumption reinforces socio-economic divides. Low-income employees may be unable to afford high-quality laptops or secure Wi-Fi, creating barriers to participation that are invisible to better-resourced colleagues.

Organizations have responded to this challenge in several ways. Some provide standardized devices to ensure that all employees have access to the same tools, reducing compatibility and performance issues. Others subsidize broadband or provide mobile hotspots to employees in areas with unreliable infrastructure. These measures, while costly, are not mere perks. They directly improve productivity, reduce downtime, and enhance employee engagement. For example, when Fujitsu in Japan offered stipends for home internet connectivity during the pandemic, it not only maintained operational continuity but also demonstrated an institutional commitment to equity, fostering higher employee morale.

Access must also account for inclusivity. Software platforms should be designed and implemented with accessibility features that support employees with disabilities. Captions in video meetings, compatibility with screen readers, and clear navigation structures can determine whether an employee is fully included in workplace processes. In this way, bridging digital inequality is inseparable from building inclusive design practices.

Skill Development and Lifelong Learning

Providing devices and internet connectivity addresses only part of the challenge. Without the necessary skills to use digital tools effectively, employees may continue to feel excluded.

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

Digital literacy in the workplace must be understood as a spectrum, ranging from basic competencies (such as using email or video conferencing platforms) to advanced skills like data analytics or artificial intelligence applications.

Forward-thinking organizations recognize that upskilling is not a one-time training module but an ongoing process. Microsoft's Global Skills Initiative, which has provided millions of free digital courses worldwide, demonstrates the demand for accessible and flexible learning opportunities. Within organizations, this often translates into tiered training programs that meet employees at their current level of proficiency. Beginners may need basic tutorials on navigating workplace software, while more advanced employees may require training on emerging technologies like generative AI.

One of the most effective approaches has been the integration of peer learning and reverse mentorship. At Unilever, younger employees coach senior managers on digital tools, not only improving skills but also breaking down hierarchical barriers and fostering intergenerational collaboration. Such initiatives highlight that digital inequality is not just a technical problem but also a cultural one. When employees see their peers and leaders openly learning, experimenting, and sometimes failing, it reduces the stigma of not being digitally fluent.

Building Inclusive Digital Cultures

Bridging digital inequality requires more than devices and training; it requires cultural transformation. Many employees hesitate to seek help with digital tools for fear of being perceived as incompetent. This silence perpetuates inequality, as skill gaps widen when they are hidden rather than addressed. Organizations that cultivate psychological safety - where employees can openly admit struggles without fear of judgment - create conditions for genuine inclusion.

Leadership plays a central role here. Executives who model learning behaviour, acknowledging their own gaps and showing a willingness to be coached, normalize continuous digital development. Policies also matter. Establishing clear communication guidelines around response times and platform preferences helps reduce friction between employees who may be more comfortable with different tools. For example, setting expectations around when to use email versus instant messaging can mitigate generational misunderstandings and ensure smoother collaboration.

Recognition systems also influence culture. Rewarding employees who experiment with digital tools - even when outcomes are imperfect - encourages innovation. In contrast, punishing mistakes reinforces risk-aversion and entrenches inequality. Organizations that approach digital inclusion as a process of collective learning, rather than individual compliance, see higher engagement and resilience.

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

Partnerships and Ecosystem Support

No organization can bridge digital inequality in isolation. Collaborations with governments, educational institutions, and nonprofits can extend the reach of workplace initiatives. National programs like Singapore's SkillsFuture offer lifelong learning credits for digital courses, which companies can integrate into employee development plans. Partnerships with universities can align curricula with workplace needs, ensuring a steady pipeline of digitally literate talent.

Nonprofits also play an important role. Organizations such as Digital Promise or Code.org focus on equipping underserved populations with foundational digital skills, creating pathways into employment. When companies partner with these initiatives, they not only expand access to underrepresented groups but also diversify their talent pipelines.

Conclusion

Bridging digital inequality is a multifaceted challenge requiring structural, cultural, and educational solutions. Organizations that take this challenge seriously - by investing in access, fostering inclusive learning, reshaping culture, and building external partnerships - position themselves not only as fair employers but as leaders in innovation and resilience. The costs of inaction are steep: exclusion, stagnation, and high turnover. By contrast, the rewards of digital inclusion are transformative, unlocking productivity, talent, and equity in the workplace.

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

Case Studies and Best Practices: Lessons from Leading Organizations

Introduction

While strategies to bridge digital inequality are widely discussed, their true value is demonstrated in practice. Several organizations across industries have taken innovative steps to reduce disparities in digital access and skills. Their experiences reveal not only what is possible but also what is required for success: leadership commitment, sustained investment, and an inclusive mindset. Examining these cases provides both inspiration and practical guidance for organizations seeking to address digital inequality in their own contexts.

Accenture: Reskilling at Scale

Accenture has made reskilling one of its central business priorities through its “New Skilling” initiative. Recognizing that digital fluency was becoming a prerequisite for nearly every role, the company created personalized learning pathways for its employees, ranging from entry-level staff to senior consultants. Training focused on areas such as artificial intelligence, cloud computing, and cybersecurity.

By 2022, more than 90% of Accenture’s global workforce had completed reskilling programs, with adaptive learning technologies ensuring that courses were relevant to each employee’s background. The results were tangible: project efficiency increased significantly, client satisfaction improved, and employee surveys reflected higher confidence in digital tools. Accenture’s case demonstrates that large-scale interventions are not only possible but also yield measurable returns in performance and engagement.

Microsoft: Embedding Inclusion into Design

Microsoft has reframed digital inequality as an issue of accessibility and human rights. Its Inclusive Design Toolkit is a prominent example of how companies can integrate accessibility into both product development and workplace practices. Employees are trained in accessibility principles and the company provides assistive technologies to those who need them.

Internally, these practices have led to higher employee satisfaction, particularly among those with disabilities. Externally, Microsoft’s reputation as a leader in accessibility has also strengthened its market position. The company illustrates how digital inclusion can be both ethically imperative and commercially strategic, showing that inclusive design benefits everyone by producing more usable and adaptable technologies.

Telefónica: Overcoming Geographical Barriers

For multinational companies, digital inequality often intersects with geography. Telefónica, a telecommunications leader in Europe and Latin America, addressed this challenge through

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

its “Internet para Todos” initiative, which connected rural communities in Peru and other regions. For employees, this meant more reliable access to digital tools, enabling fuller participation in hybrid work models.

The outcomes were striking. Productivity in rural offices improved markedly, turnover rates decreased, and employees reported greater job satisfaction. Telefónica’s experience demonstrates how addressing external digital infrastructure gaps benefits not only communities but also the company’s own workforce and operations.

Unilever: Reverse Mentorship and Cultural Transformation

Unilever tackled generational divides through a reverse mentorship program in which younger employees coached senior leaders on emerging digital platforms. This approach not only improved executives’ technical skills but also reshaped organizational culture, reducing hierarchical barriers and fostering openness to experimentation.

The initiative improved collaboration across age groups, with surveys showing greater mutual respect and trust. More importantly, it reframed digital inequality as a shared organizational challenge rather than an individual deficit, highlighting the role of culture in shaping digital inclusion.

Lessons Learned

Taken together, these cases highlight several common themes. Leadership commitment is essential; digital inclusion cannot be relegated to HR departments or training teams alone. Personalization matters, as employees bring diverse starting points and learning preferences. Partnerships - whether with governments, nonprofits, or communities - extend the reach of internal programs. And finally, success depends on continuous measurement and refinement, ensuring that initiatives remain responsive to employee needs and organizational goals.

Conclusion

Bridging digital inequality is not a theoretical exercise but a practical reality for many organizations. Companies like Accenture, Microsoft, Telefónica, and Unilever demonstrate that sustained efforts can close divides in access, skills, and culture. Their experiences provide a roadmap for others: start with leadership, invest in both people and infrastructure, and embed inclusion into every aspect of digital transformation. The rewards are clear - more engaged employees, stronger performance, and workplaces that embody equity in the digital age.

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

Key Takeaways

- **Digital inequality is multifaceted** – It extends beyond access to devices and internet connectivity to include disparities in skills, confidence, and effective usage. These dimensions interact to create unequal opportunities and can exacerbate existing socio-economic, geographic, and generational divides.
- **Generational differences matter—but should not define individuals.** While Gen Z and Millennials often enter the workplace with high digital fluency, Gen X and Baby Boomers may require more support in adapting to new tools. However, stereotypes are misleading; all generations can thrive with tailored training, intergenerational collaboration, and inclusive workplace cultures.
- **The drivers of digital inequality are structural as well as cultural.** Income inequality limits access to reliable technology; rural and remote areas face weaker infrastructure; education and lifelong learning gaps persist; and workplace cultures that lack psychological safety or inclusivity reinforce these divides.
- **The consequences of ignoring digital inequality are profound.** For employees, it leads to exclusion, stalled career progression, and increased vulnerability. For organizations, it results in lost productivity, higher turnover, reduced innovation, and weaker resilience in times of crisis.
- **Addressing digital inequality is both an ethical responsibility and a strategic advantage.** Beyond productivity, organizations have a duty to ensure equitable access to digital tools as digital inequality increasingly intersects with human rights, such as the right to work, education, and social participation.
- **Effective strategies must be holistic.** Solutions include providing equitable access to devices and connectivity, investing in continuous upskilling, embedding inclusivity into workplace culture, and forming partnerships with governments, educational institutions, and nonprofits to extend reach.
- **Culture is as important as infrastructure.** A psychologically safe environment, where employees can ask for help without stigma, encourages digital experimentation, learning, and collaboration across all levels of the organization.
- **Case studies provide proven models.** Accenture shows that large-scale reskilling is achievable; Microsoft demonstrates how inclusive design benefits both employees and customers; Telefónica highlights the importance of tackling geographical inequalities; and Unilever exemplifies the power of reverse mentorship in bridging generational divides.
- **Leadership commitment is crucial.** Digital inclusion cannot be delegated solely to HR or IT departments. Executives must model learning, invest in equitable access, and embed digital inclusion into the organization's long-term strategy.
- **The rewards of inclusion are transformative.** Companies that proactively address digital inequality unlock stronger talent pipelines, greater employee retention, higher innovation, and enhanced competitiveness, while contributing to broader societal equity goals.

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

Sources

Accenture. (2022). *New Skilling for a Digital Future*. Retrieved from https://www.accenture.com

Adaptavist Group. (2024). *Digital Etiquette: Mind the Generational Gap*. Retrieved from https://www.theadaptavistgroup.com/company/press/digital-etiquette-generational-gap-press-release

Beacon VC. (2023). *What is Digital Inequality and Why Does It Matter?* Retrieved from https://www.beaconvc.fund/knowledge/what-is-digital-inequality-and-why-does-it-matter

FSC-CCF. (2023). *Bridging Generational Divides: Advancing Digital Skills in Canada's Apprenticeships*. Retrieved from https://fsc-ccf.ca/research/bridging-generational-divides/

Harvard Business School. (2023). *America's Digital Divide: Where Workers Are Falling Behind*. Retrieved from https://www.library.hbs.edu/working-knowledge/americas-digital-divide-where-workers-are-falling-behind

International Labour Organization. (2024). *Digital Progress Without Inclusion Leaves Workers Behind*. Retrieved from https://ilostat.ilo.org/blog/digital-progress-without-inclusion-leaves-workers-behind/

Meet Your Colleague Project. (2023). *Transnational Report on Generational Diversity*. Retrieved from https://meetyourcolleague.eu/transnational-report-on-generational-diversity/

Microsoft. (n.d.). *Inclusive Design Toolkit*. Retrieved from https://inclusive.microsoft.design/

National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). (2023). *Understanding Digital Inequality: A Theoretical Kaleidoscope*. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10034872/

Pressbooks (Open Educational Alberta). (2023). *In the Workplace: An Intermediate Integrated Skills Textbook*. Retrieved from https://pressbooks.openeducationalberta.ca/in-the-workplace/chapter/writing/

Pressbooks Ontario. (2023). *Writing Workplace Documents*. Retrieved from https://ecampusontario.pressbooks.pub/profcommsontario/chapter/writing-workplace-documents/

Telefónica. (n.d.). *Internet para Todos*. Retrieved from https://internetparatodos.pe/

Training Magazine. (2023). *The Digital Divide in Workforce Development*. Retrieved from https://trainingmag.com/the-digital-divide-in-workforce-development/

THRE. (2024). *Blog: On Digital Inequality*. Retrieved from https://thre.org.uk/digital-inequality/

Unilever. (n.d.). *Reverse Mentoring Program*. Retrieved from https://www.unilever.com

Visionflex. (2023). *What is Digital Inequality and the Digital Divide?* Retrieved from https://www.visionflex.com/what-is-digital-inequality-and-the-digital-divide/

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.

Whatfix. (2024). *Digital Divide: How It Impacts Workforce Development*. Retrieved from https://whatfix.com/blog/digital-divide/

Write for Business. (n.d.). *Chapter-by-Chapter Suggestions*. Retrieved from https://writeforbusiness.com/content/chapter-chapter-suggestions

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.