Inteligencia Artificial

Evaluation

Rafael Ramirez

rafael.ramirez@upf.edu

Classifier Evaluation Metrics: Confusion Matrix

Confusion Matrix:

Actual class\Predicted class	C ₁	¬ C ₁
C_1	True Positives (TP)	False Negatives (FN)
¬ C ₁	False Positives (FP)	True Negatives (TN)

Example of Confusion Matrix:

Actual class\Predicted class	buy_computer = yes	buy_computer = no	Total
buy_computer = yes	6954	46	7000
buy_computer = no	412	2588	3000
Total	7366	2634	10000

- Given m classes, an entry, $CM_{i,j}$ in a confusion matrix indicates # of tuples in class i that were labeled by the classifier as class j
- May have extra rows/columns to provide totals

Classifier Evaluation Metrics: Accuracy, Error Rate, Sensitivity and Specificity

A∖P	С	¬C	
С	TP	FN	P
¬C	FP	TN	Z
	Ρ'	N'	All

 Classifier Accuracy, or recognition rate: percentage of test set tuples that are correctly classified

Accuracy = (TP + TN)/AII

Error rate: 1 – accuracy, or
Error rate = (FP + FN)/All

Class Imbalance Problem:

- One class may be rare, e.g. fraud, or HIV-positive
- Significant majority of the negative class and minority of the positive class
- Sensitivity: True Positive recognition rate
 - Sensitivity = TP/P
- Specificity: True Negative recognition rate
 - Specificity = TN/N

Classifier Evaluation Metrics: Precision and Recall, and F-measures

 Precision: exactness – what % of tuples that the classifier labeled as positive are actually positive

$$precision = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$

- Recall: completeness what % of positive tuples did the classifier label as positive?
- Perfect score is 1.0
- Inverse relationship between precision & recall
- F measure (F₁ or F-score): harmonic mean of precision and recall,

$$F = \frac{2 \times precision \times recall}{precision + recall}$$

Classifier Evaluation Metrics: Example

Actual Class\Predicted class	cancer = yes	cancer = no	Total
cancer = yes	90	210	300
cancer = no	140	9560	9700
Total	230	9770	10000

Precision = ? *Recall* = ?

Evaluating Classifier Accuracy: Holdout & Cross-Validation Methods

Holdout method

- Given data is randomly partitioned into two independent sets
 - Training set (e.g., 2/3) for model construction
 - Test set (e.g., 1/3) for accuracy estimation
- Random sampling: a variation of holdout
 - Repeat holdout k times, accuracy = avg. of the accuracies obtained
- Cross-validation (k-fold, where k = 10 is most popular)
 - Randomly partition the data into k mutually exclusive subsets, each approximately equal size
 - At i-th iteration, use D_i as test set and others as training set
 - Leave-one-out: k folds where k = # of tuples, for small sized data
 - *Stratified cross-validation*: folds are stratified so that class dist. in each fold is approx. the same as that in the initial data

Evaluating Classifier Accuracy: Bootstrap

Bootstrap

- Works well with small data sets
- Samples the given training tuples uniformly with replacement
 - i.e., each time a tuple is selected, it is equally likely to be selected again and re-added to the training set
- Several bootstrap methods, and a common one is .632 boostrap
 - A data set with d tuples is sampled d times, with replacement, resulting in a training set of d samples. The data tuples that did not make it into the training set end up forming the test set. About 63.2% of the original data end up in the bootstrap, and the remaining 36.8% form the test set (since $(1-1/d)^d \approx e^{-1} = 0.368$)
 - Repeat the sampling procedure k times, overall accuracy of the model:

$$Acc(M) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} (0.632 \times Acc(M_i)_{test_set} + 0.368 \times Acc(M_i)_{train_set})$$