

2D gravity inversion with isostatic constraint applied to passive rifted margins

B. Marcela S. Bastos* and Vanderlei C. Oliveira Jr*

**Observatório Nacional,*

Department of Geophysics,

Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

(August 2, 2018)

GEO-XXXX

Running head: **2D gravity inversion for passive rifted margins**

ABSTRACT

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

INTRODUCTION

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

Nulla malesuada porttitor diam. Donec felis erat, congue non, volutpat at, tincidunt tristique, libero. Vivamus viverra fermentum felis. Donec nonummy pellentesque ante. Phasellus adipiscing semper elit. Proin fermentum massa ac quam. Sed diam turpis, molestie vitae, placerat a, molestie nec, leo. Maecenas lacinia. Nam ipsum ligula, eleifend at, accumsan nec, suscipit a, ipsum. Morbi blandit ligula feugiat magna. Nunc eleifend conse-

quat lorem. Sed lacinia nulla vitae enim. Pellentesque tincidunt purus vel magna. Integer non enim. Praesent euismod nunc eu purus. Donec bibendum quam in tellus. Nullam cursus pulvinar lectus. Donec et mi. Nam vulputate metus eu enim. Vestibulum pellentesque felis eu massa.

Quisque ullamcorper placerat ipsum. Cras nibh. Morbi vel justo vitae lacus tincidunt ultrices. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Integer tempus convallis augue. Etiam facilisis. Nunc elementum fermentum wisi. Aenean placerat. Ut imperdiet, enim sed gravida sollicitudin, felis odio placerat quam, ac pulvinar elit purus eget enim. Nunc vitae tortor. Proin tempus nibh sit amet nisl. Vivamus quis tortor vitae risus porta vehicula.

Fusce mauris. Vestibulum luctus nibh at lectus. Sed bibendum, nulla a faucibus semper, leo velit ultricies tellus, ac venenatis arcu wisi vel nisl. Vestibulum diam. Aliquam pellentesque, augue quis sagittis posuere, turpis lacus congue quam, in hendrerit risus eros eget felis. Maecenas eget erat in sapien mattis porttitor. Vestibulum porttitor. Nulla facilisi. Sed a turpis eu lacus commodo facilisis. Morbi fringilla, wisi in dignissim interdum, justo lectus sagittis dui, et vehicula libero dui cursus dui. Mauris tempor ligula sed lacus. Duis cursus enim ut augue. Cras ac magna. Cras nulla. Nulla egestas. Curabitur a leo. Quisque egestas wisi eget nunc. Nam feugiat lacus vel est. Curabitur consectetur.

METHODOLOGY

Forward problem

Let \mathbf{d}^o be the observed data vector, whose i -th element d_i^o , $i = 1, \dots, N$, represent the observed gravity disturbance at the point (x_i, y_i, z_i) , on a profile located over a rifted passive

margin. The coordinates are referred to a topocentric Cartesian system, with z axis pointing down, y -axis along the profile and x -axis perpendicular to the profile. We assume that the observed gravity disturbance is produced by an anomalous mass distribution defined as the difference between the actual mass distribution in the subsurface, which is schematically represented in Figure ??, and a reference mass distribution (Figure ??). In doing it, we implicitly assume that Figure ?? represents the outer layers of a global mass distribution producing the normal gravity field.

The anomalous mass distribution producing the observed data is approximated by an interpretation model (Figure ??) formed by N adjacent columns. For convenience, we presume that the observed data are regularly spaced, so that there is one observation at the centre of the top of each column forming the interpretation model. The i -th column is formed by four vertically adjacent layers, which in turn are composed of vertically adjacent prisms having infinite length along the x -axis. The first and shallowest layer represents the water layer, is formed by a single prism, has thickness t_i^w and a constant density contrast $\Delta\rho^w = \rho^w - \rho^r$, where ρ^w and ρ^r represents, respectively, the densities of water and the reference mass distribution (Figure ??) at the same point. The third layer represents the crust, it is also formed by a single prism, has thickness t_i^c and density contrast $\Delta\rho_i^c = \rho^c - \rho^r$, with ρ^c being the crust density. For simplicity, we presume that the crust density ρ_i^c may be equal to ρ^{cc} , for $y_i \leq y_{COT}$, which represents continental crust, or equal to ρ^{oc} , for $y_i > y_{COT}$, which represents oceanic crust. The crust density depends on the position of the i -th column with respect to y_{COT} , which defines an abrupt Crust-Ocean Transition (COT). Consequently, the crust may have two possible density contrasts: $\Delta\rho_i^c = \rho^{cc} - \rho^r$ or $\Delta\rho_i^c = \rho^{oc} - \rho^r$. The top of this layer defines the basement relief and its bottom the relief of the Moho. The fourth layer represents the mantle, it is divided into two parts, each one

formed by a single prism having the same density ρ^m and, consequently, the same density contrast $\Delta\rho^m = \rho^m - \rho^r$. The shallowest portion of this layer has thickness t_i^m . Its top and bottom define, respectively, the depths of Moho and the planar isostatic compensation layer S_0 . The deepest portion of the fourth layer has thickness ΔS_0 , top at the surface S_0 and bottom at the planar surface $S_0 + \Delta S_0$, which defines the Moho in the reference mass distribution model (Figure ??). Finally, the second layer forming the t -th column of the interpretation model is defined by the interpreter, according to the geological environment to be studied and the a priori information availability. As a general rule, this layer can be defined by a set of Q vertically adjacent prisms, each one with thickness t_i^q , density ρ^q and density contrast $\Delta\rho^q = \rho^q - \rho^r$, $q = 1, \dots, Q$.

Given the density contrasts, the COT position y_{COT} , the isostatic compensation surface S_0 , the thickness of the water layer and of the $Q - 1$ prisms forming the shallowest portion of the second layer, it is possible to describe the interpretation model in terms of an $M \times 1$ parameter vector \mathbf{p} , $M = 2N + 1$, defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{p} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{t}^Q \\ \mathbf{t}^m \\ \Delta S_0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{t}^Q and \mathbf{t}^m are $N \times 1$ vectors whose i -th elements t_i^Q and t_i^m represent, respectively, the thickness of the prism forming the deepest portion of the second layer and the thickness of the prism forming the shallowest portion of the fourth layer of the interpretation model. In this case, the gravity disturbance produced by the interpretation model (the predicted gravity disturbance) at the position (x_i, y_i, z_i) can be written as the sum of the vertical component of the gravitational attraction exerted by the L prisms forming the interpretation

model as follows:

$$d_i(\mathbf{p}) = k_g G \sum_{j=1}^L f_{ij}(\mathbf{p}) , \quad (2)$$

where $f_{ij}(\mathbf{p})$ represents an integral over the volume of the j -th prism. Here, these volume integrals are computed with the expressions proposed by Nagy et al. (2000), by using the open-source Python package *Fatiando a Terra* (Uieda et al., 2013).

Inverse problem

Let $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{p})$ be the predicted data vector, whose i -th element $d_i(\mathbf{p})$ is defined by Equation 2. Estimating the particular parameter vector $\mathbf{p} = \hat{\mathbf{p}}$ producing a predicted data vector $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{p})$ as close as possible to the observed data vector \mathbf{d}^o can be formulated as the problem of minimizing the goal function

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{p}) = \Phi(\mathbf{p}) + \mu \sum_{k=0}^3 \alpha_k \Psi_k(\mathbf{p}) , \quad (3)$$

subject to all elements of $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ be positive. In Equation 3, μ represents the regularizing parameter, $\Phi(\mathbf{p})$ represents the misfit function given by

$$\Phi(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{N} \|\mathbf{d}^o - \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{p})\|_2^2 , \quad (4)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_2^2$ represents the squared Euclidean norm, α_k represent the weights assigned to the regularizing functions $\Psi_k(\mathbf{p})$, with define the constraints on the parameters to be estimated, $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

Airy constraint

Consider that the interpretation model is in isostatic equilibrium according to the Airy model (Turcotte and Schubert, 2002; Hofmann-Wellenhof and Moritz, 2005; Lowrie, 2007).

In this case, the pressure (or lithostatic stress) exerted by the model is constant on the isostatic compensation surface S_0 . The pressure per unit area exerted by the i -th column of the model on S_0 , divided by gravity, is given by:

$$t_i^w \rho^w + t_i^1 \rho_i^1 + \dots + t_i^Q \rho_i^Q + t_i^c \rho_i^c + t_i^m \rho^m = \sigma_0 , \quad (5)$$

where σ_0 is an arbitrary positive constant. Rearranging terms in Equation 5 and using the relation

$$S_0 = t_i^w + t_i^1 + \dots + t_i^Q + t_i^c + t_i^m , \quad (6)$$

it is possible to show that:

$$(\rho_i^Q - \rho_i^c) t_i^Q + (\rho^m - \rho_i^c) t_i^m + (\rho^w - \rho_i^c) t_i^w + (\rho_i^1 - \rho_i^c) t_i^1 + \dots + (\rho_i^{Q-1} - \rho_i^c) t_i^{Q-1} + \rho_i^c S_0 = \sigma_0. \quad (7)$$

In order to describe the pressure exerted by all columns forming the interpretation model on the surface S_0 , Equation 7 can be written, in matrix notation, as follows:

$$\mathbf{M}^Q \mathbf{t}^Q + \mathbf{M}^m \mathbf{t}^m + \mathbf{M}^w \mathbf{t}^w + \mathbf{M}^1 \mathbf{t}^1 + \dots + \mathbf{M}^{Q-1} \mathbf{t}^{Q-1} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^c S_0 = \sigma_0 \mathbf{1} , \quad (8)$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is an $N \times 1$ vector with all elements equal to one, \mathbf{t}^α are $N \times 1$ vectors with i -th element defined by the thickness t_i^α of a prism forming the i -th column, $\alpha = w, 1, \dots, Q-1, Q, m$, and $\mathbf{M}^Q, \mathbf{M}^m, \mathbf{M}^w, \mathbf{M}^1, \dots, \mathbf{M}^{Q-1}$ are $N \times N$ diagonal matrices with elements ii of main diagonal are given by density contrasts $(\rho_i^Q - \rho_i^c), (\rho^m - \rho_i^c), (\rho^w - \rho_i^c), (\rho_i^1 - \rho_i^c)$ and $\dots, (\rho_i^{Q-1} - \rho_i^c)$, respectively, and $\boldsymbol{\rho}^c$ is an $N \times 1$ vector containing the densities of the prisms representing the crust. By applying the first-order Tikhonov regularization (Aster et al., 2005) to the constant vector $\sigma_0 \mathbf{1}$, we obtain the following expression:

$$\mathbf{R} (\mathbf{C} \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{D} \mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{0} , \quad (9)$$

where $\mathbf{0}$ is a vector with null elements and the remaining terms are given by:

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}^Q & \mathbf{M}^m & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}_{N \times M} , \quad (10)$$

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}^w & \mathbf{M}^1 & \dots & \mathbf{M}^{Q-1} & \boldsymbol{\rho}^c \end{bmatrix}_{N \times (QN+1)}, \quad (11)$$

$$\mathbf{t} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{t}^w \\ \mathbf{t}^1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{t}^{Q-1} \\ S_0 \end{bmatrix}_{(QN+1) \times 1}, \quad (12)$$

\mathbf{p} is the parameter vector (Equation 1) and \mathbf{R} is an $(N-1) \times N$ matrix, whose element ij is defined as follows:

$$[\mathbf{R}]_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & , \quad j = i \\ -1 & , \quad j = i + 1 \\ 0 & , \quad \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. \quad (13)$$

Finally, from Equation 9, it is possible to define the regularizing function $\Psi_0(\mathbf{p})$ (Equation 3):

$$\Psi_0(\mathbf{p}) = \|\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{D}\mathbf{t})\|_2^2. \quad (14)$$

We call this function as *Airy constraint*. Notice that minimizing this function imposes smoothness on the pressure exerted by the interpretation model on the isostatic compensation surface S_0 .

Smoothness constraint

This constraint imposes smoothness on the adjacent thickness of the prisms forming the deepest portion of the second layer and the shallowest part of the fourth layer of the interpretation model by applying the first-order Tikhonov regularization (Aster et al., 2005) to the vectors \mathbf{t}^Q and \mathbf{t}^m (Equation 1). Mathematically, this constraint is represented by the

regularizing function $\Psi_1(\mathbf{p})$ (Equation 3):

$$\Psi_1(\mathbf{p}) = \|\mathbf{S}\mathbf{p}\|_2^2, \quad (15)$$

where \mathbf{S} is an $(N - 1) \times M$ matrix given by:

$$\mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

where \mathbf{R} is defined by Equation 13 and $\mathbf{0}$ is a vector with all elements equal to zero.

Equality constraint

Equality constraint on basement depths

Let \mathbf{a} be a vector whose k -th element a_k , $k = 1, \dots, A$, is the known basement depth at the horizontal coordinate y_k^A of the profile. These known basement depth values are used to define the regularizing function $\Psi_2(\mathbf{p})$ (Equation 3):

$$\Psi_2(\mathbf{p}) = \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{a}\|_2^2, \quad (17)$$

where \mathbf{A} is an $A \times M$ matrix whose k -th line has one element equal to one and all the remaining elements equal to zero. The location of the single non-null element in the k -th line of \mathbf{A} depends on the coordinate y_k^A of the known basement depth a_k . Let us consider, for example, an interpretation model formed by $N = 10$ columns. Consider also that the basement depth at the coordinates $y_1^A = y_4$ and $y_2^A = y_9$ of the profile are equal to 25 and 35.7 km, respectively. In this case, $A = 2$, \mathbf{a} is a 2×1 vector with elements $a_1 = 25$ and $a_2 = 35.7$ and \mathbf{A} is a $2 \times M$ matrix ($M = 2N + 1 = 21$). The element 4 of the first line and the element 9 of the second line of \mathbf{A} are equal to 1 and all its remaining elements are equal to zero.

Equality constraint on Moho depths

Let \mathbf{b} be a vector whose k -th element b_k , $k = 1, \dots, B$, is the difference between the isostatic compensation depth S_0 and the known Moho depth at the horizontal coordinate y_k^B of the profile. These differences, which must be positive, are used to define the regularizing function $\Psi_3(\mathbf{p})$ (Equation 3):

$$\Psi_3(\mathbf{p}) = \|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2, \quad (18)$$

where \mathbf{B} is a $B \times M$ matrix whose k -th line has one element equal to one and all the remaining elements equal to zero. This matrix is defined in the same way as matrix \mathbf{A} (Equation 17).

CONCLUSIONS

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet,

tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

Nulla malesuada porttitor diam. Donec felis erat, congue non, volutpat at, tincidunt tristique, libero. Vivamus viverra fermentum felis. Donec nonummy pellentesque ante. Phasellus adipiscing semper elit. Proin fermentum massa ac quam. Sed diam turpis, molestie vitae, placerat a, molestie nec, leo. Maecenas lacinia. Nam ipsum ligula, eleifend at, accumsan nec, suscipit a, ipsum. Morbi blandit ligula feugiat magna. Nunc eleifend consequat lorem. Sed lacinia nulla vitae enim. Pellentesque tincidunt purus vel magna. Integer non enim. Praesent euismod nunc eu purus. Donec bibendum quam in tellus. Nullam cursus pulvinar lectus. Donec et mi. Nam vulputate metus eu enim. Vestibulum pellentesque felis eu massa.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor

semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend,
sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

REFERENCES

- Aster, R. C., B. Borchers, and C. H. Thurber, 2005, Parameter estimation and inverse problems (international geophysics): Academic Press.
- Hofmann-Wellenhof, B., and H. Moritz, 2005, Physical geodesy: Springer.
- Lowrie, W., 2007, Fundamentals of geophysics: Cambridge University Press. (A second edition of this classic textbook on fundamental principles of geophysics for geoscience undergraduates.).
- Nagy, D., G. Papp, and J. Benedek, 2000, The gravitational potential and its derivatives for the prism: *Journal of Geodesy*, **74**, 311–326.
- Turcotte, D. L., and G. Schubert, 2002, *Geodynamics*, 2. ed. ed.: Cambridge Univ. Press.
- Uieda, L., V. C. Oliveira Jr, and V. C. F. Barbosa, 2013, Modeling the Earth with Fatiando a Terra: *Proceedings of the 12th Python in Science Conference*, 96 – 103.