

# My Solutions for “Mathematical Methods of Physics (Second Edition)” by J. Mathews, R. L. Walker

Heewon Lee

March 24, 2025

## 0 Introduction

This document is an archive of my solutions to J. Mathews and R. L. Walker’s “Mathematical Methods of Physics” textbook. None of the solutions have been verified by anyone other than myself, whom I do not consider a reliable source. Hence, it is strongly advised against to use this solution for any application where accuracy matters, especially for assignments and any academic work, not to mention the ethical implications of such actions where it could be considered as cheating. However, I have not yet been able to find any other solutions. Please use this manual as just a suggestion. If you spot any mistakes, please report them to the Github repository.<sup>1</sup>

## 1 Chapter 1

1. We first acknowledge that  $y = 0$  is a solution, so we seek solutions that are not identically zero.


Let  $y = xv$ .

$$\begin{aligned}y' &= v + xv' \Rightarrow x^2(v + xv') + x^2v^2 = x \cdot xv \cdot (v + xv') \\ \Rightarrow v + xv' &= xvv' \Rightarrow \left(1 - \frac{1}{v}\right)dv = \frac{1}{x}dx \Rightarrow v - \ln v = \ln x + C \\ \therefore \frac{y}{x} - \ln y &= C\end{aligned}$$

2.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{y}{\sqrt{1+y^2}}dy &= \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+x^2}}dx \\ \therefore \sqrt{1+y^2} &= \sqrt{1+x^2} + C\end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://github.com/pingpingy1/Mathews-MathPhys-Sol>

3. Let  $v = x + y$ .

$$\begin{aligned} v' = 1 + y' \Rightarrow v' - 1 &= \frac{a^2}{v^2} \Rightarrow \frac{v^2}{a^2 + v^2} dv = dx \\ x + C &= \int dv \left( 1 - \frac{a^2}{a^2 + v^2} \right) = v - a \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{v}{a} \right) = x + y - a \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{x + y}{a} \right) \\ \therefore y - a \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{x + y}{a} \right) &= C \end{aligned}$$

4. We first seek the complementary solutions.

$$y'_c + y_c \cos x = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{dy_c}{y_c} + \cos x dx = 0 \Rightarrow y_c = C e^{-\sin x}$$

For the particular solution, we first observe that  $\frac{1}{2} \sin 2x = \sin x \cos x$ . Thus, we shall try the ansatz  $y_p = \sin x + A$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \cos x + (\sin x + A) \cos x &= \sin x \cos x \Rightarrow A = -1 \\ \therefore y &= C e^{-\sin x} + \cos x - 1 \end{aligned}$$

5.

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + x^2) y' &= xy(y + 1) \Rightarrow \frac{dy}{y(y + 1)} = \frac{x}{1 - x^2} dx \\ \Rightarrow \ln \left( \frac{y}{y + 1} \right) &= -\frac{1}{2} \ln(1 - x^2) + C_1 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{y + 1}{y} &= e^{-C_1} \sqrt{1 - x^2} = C \sqrt{1 - x^2} \\ \therefore y &= \frac{1}{C \sqrt{1 - x^2} - 1} \end{aligned}$$

6. We first take note that the equation is dimension-consistent with  $[y] = [x^{-2}]$ . Thus, we define  $v = x^2 y$  or  $y = \frac{v}{x^2}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} y' &= \frac{v'}{x^2} - \frac{2v}{x^3} \Rightarrow 2xv' - 4v = 1 + \sqrt{1 + 4v} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{v'}{1 + 4v + \sqrt{1 + 4v}} &= \frac{1}{2x} \\ \Rightarrow \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 4v}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 4v} + 1} \right) dv &= \frac{dx}{2x} \end{aligned}$$

Basic calculus yields  $\int \frac{dv}{\sqrt{1 + 4v} + 1} = \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{1 + 4v} - \ln(1 + \sqrt{1 + 4v})) + C$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + 4v} - \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{1 + 4v} - \ln(1 + \sqrt{1 + 4v})) &= \frac{1}{2} \ln x + C_1 \\ \Rightarrow 1 + \sqrt{1 + 4v} &= e^{2C_1} x = Cx \\ \therefore \sqrt{1 + 4x^2 y} &= Cx - 1 \end{aligned}$$

7. Let  $v' := y'$ .

$$v' + v^2 + 1 = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{dv}{v^2 + 1} = -1 \Rightarrow v = \tan(C_1 - x)$$

$$\therefore y = \int dxv = \ln(\cos(C_1 - x)) + C_2$$

8.

$$\begin{aligned} y'y'' = e^y y' &\Rightarrow \int dy' y' = \int dy e^y \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} y'^2 = e^y + C_1 \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{dy}{\sqrt{e^y + C_1}} = \sqrt{2} dx \end{aligned}$$

Basic calculus yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{dy}{\sqrt{e^y + C_1}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{C_1}} \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{e^y + C_1} - \sqrt{A}}{\sqrt{e^y + C_1} + \sqrt{C_1}} \right) + A. \\ \therefore \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{e^y + C_1} - \sqrt{C_1}}{\sqrt{e^y + C_1} + \sqrt{C_1}} \right) &= \sqrt{2C_1} x + C_2 \end{aligned}$$

9. Notice how  $(x(1-x))' = 1 - 2x$ .

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= x(1-x)y'' + 4y' + 2y \\ &= x(1-x)y'' + (x(1-x))'y' + (2x+3)y' + 2y \\ &= (x(1-x)y')' + ((2x+3)y)' \\ &\Rightarrow x(1-x)y' + (2x+3)y = A \end{aligned}$$

Let us define the integrating factor  $\lambda$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= \exp \left( \int dx \frac{2x+3}{x(1-x)} \right) \\ &= \exp \left( \int dx \left( \frac{3}{x} - \frac{5}{x-1} \right) \right) \\ &= \exp(3 \ln x - 5 \ln(x-1)) \\ &= \frac{x^3}{(x-1)^5} \\ &\Rightarrow (\lambda y)' = \frac{A}{x(1-x)} \cdot \lambda = \frac{Ax^2}{(x-1)^6} \\ &\Rightarrow \lambda y = \int dx \frac{Ax^2}{(x-1)^6} \\ &= A \int dx \left( \frac{1}{(x-1)^4} + \frac{2}{(x-1)^5} + \frac{1}{(x-1)^6} \right) \\ &= -A \frac{10x^2 - 5x + 1}{30(x-1)^5} + C_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore y = C_1 \frac{10x^2 - 5x + 1}{x^3} + C_2 \frac{(1-x)^5}{x^3}$$

10.

$$\frac{dy}{y^2} = \frac{1-x}{x^3} dx \Rightarrow -\frac{1}{y} = -\frac{1}{2x^2} + \frac{1}{x} + A$$

$$\therefore y = \frac{2x^2}{Cx^2 + 2x - 1}$$

11. Recall that the Bernoulli equation takes the form  $\frac{dy}{dx} + p(x)y = q(x)y^n$ . We recognize that this equation is a Bernoulli equation with  $p(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ ,  $q(x) = -x^3e^x$ , and  $n = 4$ . Thus, we make the substitution  $v := y^{-3}$ .

$$v' = -3y^{-4}y' \Rightarrow -\frac{v'}{3} + \frac{v}{x} = -x^3e^x \Rightarrow \frac{v'}{x^3} - \frac{3}{x^4}v = 3e^x$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{v}{x^3}\right)' = 3e^x \Rightarrow v = x^3(3e^x + C)$$

$$\therefore y = (x^3(3e^x + C))^{-\frac{1}{3}}$$

12. We define the integrating factor  $\lambda$ :

$$\lambda = \exp\left(\int \frac{dx}{1+x^2}\right) = \exp(\tan^{-1}x)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\exp(\tan^{-1}x)y)' = \frac{1}{1+x^2} \cdot \tan^{-1}x \cdot \exp(\tan^{-1}x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \exp(\tan^{-1}x)y = (\tan^{-1}x - 1)\exp(\tan^{-1}x) + C$$

$$\therefore y = C\exp(-\tan^{-1}x) + \tan^{-1}x - 1$$

13. This equation is dimension-consistent with  $[y] = [x^{-1}]$ . Thus, let us define  $v := xy$ .

$$y = \frac{v}{x} \Rightarrow y' = \frac{xv' - v}{x^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow 0 &= (xv' - v)^2 - 2(v-4)(xv' - v) + v^2 \\ &= x^2v'^2 - 4(v-2)xv' + 4v(v-2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow v' = \frac{2}{s}(v-2 \pm \sqrt{4-2v})$$

If  $v = 2$ , then we get  $y = \frac{2}{x}$ , which is the particular solution for this equation. To obtain the general solutions, let  $u = 2 - v$ .

$$u' = \frac{2}{x}(u \pm \sqrt{2u})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Rightarrow \frac{du}{u \pm \sqrt{2u}} = \frac{2}{x} dx \\
&\Rightarrow 2 \ln(\sqrt{u} \pm \sqrt{2}) = 2 \ln x + A \\
&\Rightarrow u = (Cx^2 \pm \sqrt{2})^2 = 2 - xy \\
&\therefore y = \frac{2 - (Cx^2 \pm \sqrt{2})^2}{x}
\end{aligned}$$

14.

$$\begin{aligned}
6x &= \frac{y''}{y} - \frac{y'}{y^2} \\
&= \left( \frac{y'}{y} \right)' \\
&\Rightarrow \frac{y'}{y} = 3x^2 + C_1 \\
&\Rightarrow \ln y = x^3 + C_1 x + A \\
&\therefore y = C_2 e^{x^3 + C_1 x}
\end{aligned}$$

15.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{x} &= x^3 (yy'' + y'^2) + (x^3)' yy' = (x^3 yy')' \\
&\Rightarrow x^3 yy' = \ln x + A \Rightarrow y dy = \frac{\ln x + A}{x^3}
\end{aligned}$$

Basic calculus yields  $\int dx \frac{\ln x}{x^3} = -\frac{2 \ln x + 1}{4x^2}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Rightarrow \frac{y^2}{2} = -\frac{2 \ln x + 1}{4x^2} - \frac{A}{2x^2} + B \\
&\therefore y = \pm \sqrt{C_1 - \frac{\ln x + C_2}{x^2}}
\end{aligned}$$

16. This equation is dimension-consistent with  $[y] = [x]$ . Thus, we define  $v := \frac{y}{x}$ .

$$y = xv \Rightarrow y' = xv' + v \Rightarrow y'' = xv'' + 2v' \Rightarrow v'' + \frac{2}{x}v' - \frac{2}{x^2}v = \frac{1}{x^2}$$

First, observe that  $v = -\frac{1}{2}$  is a solution of the equation. As this equation is linear in  $v$ , we thus seek the complementary solutions  $v_c$ . We shall take the ansatz of  $v_c = x^m$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
m(m-1)x^{m-2} + 2mx^{m-2} - 2x^{m-2} &= 0 \Rightarrow m^2 + m - 2 = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow m = 1, -2 \Rightarrow v_c = C_1 x + \frac{C_2}{x^2} \Rightarrow v = C_1 x + \frac{C_2}{x^2} - \frac{1}{2} \\
&\therefore y = C_1 x^2 + \frac{C_2}{x} - \frac{x}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

17. Notice that this equation is linear in  $y$ .

(i) Complementary solutions:

Take  $y_c = e^{mx}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} m^3 - 2m^2 - m + 2 &= 0 \Rightarrow m = \pm 1, 2 \\ \Rightarrow y_c &= C_1 e^{2x} + C_2 e^x + C_3 e^{-x} \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Particular solution:

Suppose  $y_p = A \sin x + B \cos x$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \sin x &= (-A \cos x + B \sin x) - 2(-A \sin x - B \cos x) \\ &\quad - (A \cos x - B \cos x) + 2(A \sin x + B \cos x) \\ &= (4A + 2B) \sin x + (-2A + 4B) \cos x \\ \Rightarrow A &= \frac{1}{5}, B = \frac{1}{10} \\ \therefore y &= \frac{1}{5} \sin x + \frac{1}{10} \cos x + C_1 e^{2x} + C_2 e^x + C_3 e^{-x} \end{aligned}$$

18. Again, this equation is linear in  $y$ .

(i) Complementary solutions:

Take  $y_c = e^{mx}$ .

$$m^3 + 2m^2 + 1 = 0 \Rightarrow m = m_1, m_2, m_3$$

(I refuse to write down the exact solutions of this cubic equation.)

$$\Rightarrow y_c = C_1 e^{m_1 x} + C_2 e^{m_2 x} + C_3 e^{m_3 x}$$

(ii) Particular solution:

Suppose  $y_p = A \sin x + B \cos x$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \sin x &= (-A \cos x + B \sin x) + 2(-A \sin x - B \cos x) + (A \sin x + B \cos x) \\ &= (-A + B) \sin x + (-A - B) \cos x \\ \Rightarrow A &= B = -\frac{1}{2} \\ \therefore y &= -\frac{1}{2} \sin x - \frac{1}{2} \cos x + C_1 e^{m_1 x} + C_2 e^{m_2 x} + C_3 e^{m_3 x} \end{aligned}$$

19. Following the same steps as before, one can easily find the complementary solutions of

$$y_c = C_1 e^{-x} + C_2 e^{-2x}.$$

Thus, we wish to find one particular solution  $y_p$ . Let us try  $y_p = f(x)e^{e^x}$ .

$$y'_p = \left( \frac{f'}{f} + e^x \right) y_p$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow y_p'' &= \left( \frac{f''}{f} - \left( \frac{f'}{f} \right)^2 + e^x \right) y_p + \left( \frac{f'}{f} + e^x \right)^2 y_p \\
&= \left( \frac{f''}{f} + 2e^x \frac{f'}{f} + e^{2x} + e^x \right) y_p \\
\Rightarrow \left( \frac{f''}{f} + (2e^x + 3) \frac{f'}{f} + e^{2x} + 5e^x + 2 \right) f e^{e^x} &= e^{e^x} \\
\Rightarrow f'' + (2e^x + 3)f' + (e^{2x} + 5e^x + 2)f &= 1
\end{aligned}$$

One may use an ansatz of  $f = ae^{-2x} + be^{-x} + c$  to find that  $f = e^{-2x}$  is a possible solution. Thus, we have found a particular solution  $y_p = e^{e^x - 2x}$ .

$$\therefore y = e^{e^x - 2x} + C_1 e^{-x} + C_2 e^{-2x}$$

20.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{y''}{(1 + y'^2)^{3/2}} &= \pm \frac{1}{a} \Rightarrow \frac{y'}{\sqrt{1 + y'^2}} = \pm \frac{x + C_1}{a} \\
\Rightarrow y'^2 &= \left( \frac{x + C_1}{a} \right)^2 (1 + y'^2) \Rightarrow y' = \pm \frac{\left( \frac{x + C_1}{a} \right)^2}{\sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{x + C_1}{a} \right)^2}} \\
\therefore y &= \pm \frac{1}{2} \left( a \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{x + C_1}{a} \right) - (x + C_1) \sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{x + C_1}{a} \right)^2} \right) + C_2
\end{aligned}$$

21. The complementary solutions are given by

$$\frac{dq_p}{dt} = -\frac{q_p}{RC} \Rightarrow q_p = A e^{-\frac{t}{RC}}.$$

Suppose a particular solution takes the form  $y_p = (at^3 + bt^2 + ct + d)e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dq_p}{dt} &= \left( -\frac{a}{\tau} t^3 + \left( 3a - \frac{b}{\tau} \right) t^2 + \left( 2b - \frac{c}{\tau} \right) t + \left( c - \frac{d}{\tau} \right) \right) e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}} \\
\Rightarrow \frac{V_0}{RC\tau^2} t^2 e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}} &= \left( \left( \frac{1}{RC} - \frac{1}{\tau} \right) at^3 \right. \\
&\quad + \left( 3a + \left( \frac{1}{RC} - \frac{1}{\tau} \right) b \right) t^2 \\
&\quad + \left( 2b + \left( \frac{1}{RC} - \frac{1}{\tau} \right) c \right) t \\
&\quad \left. + \left( c + \left( \frac{1}{RC} - \frac{1}{\tau} \right) d \right) \right) e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow q_p = \begin{cases} CV_0 \cdot \left( \frac{t^2}{\tau(\tau-RC)} - \frac{RCt}{(\tau-RC)^2} + \frac{\tau(RC)^2}{(\tau-RC)^3} \right) & (\text{if } \tau \neq RC) \\ \frac{1}{3}CV_0 \cdot \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right)^3 e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}} & (\text{if } \tau = RC) \end{cases}$$

We can then add an appropriate complementary solution so as to satisfy the boundary condition  $q(0) = 0$ .

$$\therefore q = \begin{cases} CV_0 \cdot \frac{t}{\tau-RC} \left( \frac{t}{\tau} - \frac{RC}{\tau-RC} \right) e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}} & (\text{if } \tau \neq RC) \\ \frac{1}{3}CV_0 \cdot \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right)^3 e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}} & (\text{if } \tau = RC) \end{cases}$$

22.

$$\frac{dN}{N_s - N} = \lambda dt \Rightarrow \int_0^N \frac{dN}{N_s - N} = \lambda \int_0^t dt \Rightarrow -\ln \left| \frac{N_s - N}{N_s} \right| = \lambda t$$

$$\therefore N = N_s (1 - e^{-\lambda t})$$

23. The clever idea here is that we could make a variable change from  $x$  to  $u$ , where we could choose  $u$  so as to make the equation easier to solve.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= A \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} + \frac{dA}{dx} \frac{dy}{dx} + \frac{y}{A} \\ &= A \frac{du}{dx} \frac{d}{du} \left( \frac{du}{dx} \frac{dy}{du} \right) + \frac{dA}{dx} \frac{du}{dx} \frac{dy}{du} + \frac{y}{A} \\ &= A \left( \frac{du}{dx} \right)^2 \frac{d^2 y}{du^2} + \frac{dy}{du} \frac{d}{dx} \left( A \frac{dy}{dx} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we could define  $\frac{du}{dx} = \frac{1}{A(x)}$  or  $u = \int \frac{dx}{A(x)}$  to obtain

$$\frac{d^2 y}{du^2} = -y \Rightarrow y(u) = C_1 \cos(u + C_2)$$

$$\therefore y(x) = C_1 \cos \left( \int \frac{dx}{A(x)} + C_2 \right)$$

24. Suppose we may write  $y = \lambda v$  where  $\lambda$  and  $v$  are to be determined later.

$$\begin{aligned} y' &= \lambda v' + \lambda' v \Rightarrow y'' = \lambda v'' + 2\lambda' v' + \lambda'' v \\ &\Rightarrow \lambda v'' + 2 \left( \lambda' + \frac{\lambda}{x} \right) v' + \left( \lambda'' + \frac{2\lambda'}{x} + n^2 \lambda \right) v = \frac{\sin \omega x}{x} \end{aligned}$$

We may choose  $\lambda$  so as to make the coefficient of  $v'$  vanish, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda' &= -\frac{\lambda}{x} \Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{1}{x}. \\ &\Rightarrow v'' + n^2 v = \sin \omega x \end{aligned}$$



(i)  $n \neq \omega$ :

The complementary solutions are

$$v_c = C_1 \cos(nx + C_2).$$

Using the ansatz  $v_p = A \sin \omega x$  for a particular solution, one can easily find  $A = \frac{1}{n^2 - \omega^2}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow v &= \frac{\sin \omega x}{n^2 - \omega^2} + C_1 \sin(nx + C_2) \\ \therefore y &= \frac{1}{n^2 - \omega^2} \frac{\sin \omega x}{x} + C_1 \frac{\sin(nx + C_2)}{x} \end{aligned}$$

(ii)  $n = \omega$ :

The complementary solutions are

$$v_c = C_1 \cos(\omega x + C_2).$$

Using the ansatz  $v_p = Ax \sin \omega x + Bx \cos \omega x$  for a particular solution, one can easily find  $A = -\frac{1}{2\omega}$  and  $B = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow v &= -\frac{1}{2\omega} x \cos \omega x + C_1 \cos(\omega x + C_2) \\ \therefore y &= -\frac{1}{2\omega} \cos \omega x + C_1 \frac{\sin(\omega x + C_2)}{x} \end{aligned}$$

25. From the hint, we may consider  $y = xv$ , where  $v = 1$  is a solution if the right hand side were zero.

$$\begin{aligned} y' &= xv' + v \Rightarrow y'' = xv'' + 2v' \\ \Rightarrow x(1-x)v'' + (1 + (1-x)^2)v' &= (1-x)^2 \\ \Rightarrow v'' + \left(\frac{2}{x} + \frac{1}{1-x} - 1\right)v' &= \frac{1}{x} - 1 \end{aligned}$$

We can thus define the integration factor as

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &:= \exp\left(\int dx \left(\frac{2}{x} + \frac{1}{1-x} - 1\right)\right) = \frac{x^2 e^{-x}}{1-x}. \\ \Rightarrow (\lambda v')' &= \lambda \left(\frac{1}{x} - 1\right) = x e^{-x} \\ \Rightarrow \lambda v' &= -(x+1)e^{-x} + A \\ \Rightarrow v' &= 1 - \frac{1}{x^2} + A \frac{1-x}{x^2} e^x \\ \Rightarrow v &= x + \frac{1}{x} - A \frac{e^x}{x} + B \\ \therefore y &= x^2 + 1 + C_1 e^x + C_2 x \end{aligned}$$

26. While the use of Dirac delta “function” must be dealt with caution, we are physicists so we shall simply accept that they exist.

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x) = y'' + py' + q &= \int_a^b dx' f(x') \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + p(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + q(x) \right) G(x, x') \\
&= \int_a^b dx' f(x') \delta(x - x') \\
&\Rightarrow \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + p(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + q(x) \right) G(x, x') = \delta(x - x')
\end{aligned}$$

From this, we see that  $G(x, x')$  must separately equal zero for both  $x < x'$  and  $x > x'$ . We thus write

$$G(x, x') = \begin{cases} y_1(x)\alpha(x') + y_2(x)\beta(x') & (x < x') \\ y_1(x)\gamma(x') + y_2(x)\zeta(x') & (x > x') \end{cases}.$$

From the definition of delta functions, we find that:

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= \int_{x'-\epsilon}^{x'+\epsilon} dx \delta(x - x') \\
&= \int_{x'-\epsilon}^{x'+\epsilon} dx \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + p(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + q(x) \right) G(x, x') \\
&\approx \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + p(x) \right) G(x, x') \right]_{x'-\epsilon}^{x'+\epsilon} - \int_{x'-\epsilon}^{x'+\epsilon} dx p'(x) G(x, x') \\
&\approx \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} G(x, x') \right]_{x'-\epsilon}^{x'+\epsilon} \\
&\approx \lim_{x \rightarrow x'+} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} G(x, x') - \lim_{x \rightarrow x'-} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} G(x, x')
\end{aligned}$$

where we take infinitesimally small  $\epsilon$ .

The boundary conditions for  $y$  imply

$$\begin{aligned}
y(a) = y(b) &= 0 \\
\Rightarrow G(a, x') &= G(b, x') = 0 \\
\Rightarrow y_1(a)\alpha(x') + y_2(a)\beta(x') &= y_1(b)\alpha(x') + y_2(b)\beta(x') = 0 \\
\Rightarrow \beta(x') &= \gamma(x') = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The derivative condition, in turn, yields

$$y_2'(x')\zeta(x') - y_1'(x')\alpha(x') = 1.$$

As any such choice of  $\zeta(x')$  and  $\alpha(x')$  leads to a valid Green's function, we may arbitrarily choose

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(x') &= y_2'(x'), \quad \zeta(x') = y_1'(x') + \frac{1}{y_2'(x')} \\ \Rightarrow G(x, x') &= \begin{cases} y_1(x)y_2'(x') & (x < x') \\ y_2(x)y_1'(x') + \frac{y_2(x)}{y_2'(x')} & (x > x') \end{cases} \\ \therefore y(x) &= y_1(x) \int_x^b dx' f(x') y_2'(x') + y_2(x) \int_a^x f(x') \left( y_1'(x') + \frac{1}{y_2'(x')} \right)\end{aligned}$$

For the given example  $y'' + k^2 y = f(x)$ , we may take

$$y_1(x) = \sin k(x - a), \quad y_2(x) = \sin k(b - x)$$

provided that  $b - a$  is not an integer multiple of the period  $\frac{2\pi}{k}$ . This leads to

$$G(x, x') = \begin{cases} -k \sin k(x - a) \cos k(b - x) & (x < x') \\ \sin k(b - x) \left( k \cos k(x' - a) - \frac{1}{k \cos k(b - x')} \right) & (x > x') \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow y &= -k \sin k(x - a) \int_x^b dx' f(x') \cos k(b - x') \\ &\quad + \sin k(b - x) \int_a^x dx' f(x') \left( k \cos k(x' - a) - \frac{1}{k \cos k(b - x')} \right).\end{aligned}$$

One may verify that this solution satisfies both the boundary conditions and the given differential equation.

27. The complementary solutions satisfy

$$y_c'' + \frac{3}{x^2} y_c = 0.$$

Suppose  $y_c = x^m$ .

$$\begin{aligned}m^2 - m + 3 &= 0 \Rightarrow \left(m - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 = -\frac{11}{4} \Rightarrow m = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{11}i}{2} \\ \Rightarrow y_c &= Ax^{\frac{1+\sqrt{11}i}{2}} + Bx^{\frac{1-\sqrt{11}i}{2}} \\ &= C_1 \sqrt{x} \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{11}}{2} \ln x\right) + C_2 \sqrt{x} \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{11}}{2} \ln x\right)\end{aligned}$$

We then look for two separate particular solutions:

$$y_{p1}'' + \frac{3}{x^2} y_{p1} = x^2 \quad \text{and} \quad y_{p2}'' + \frac{3}{x^2} y_{p2} = \frac{1}{x}.$$

Using the monomial ansatz separately, that is, assuming  $y_p = Ax^m$ , one easily finds

$$y_{p1} = \frac{x^4}{15} \text{ and } y_{p2} = \frac{x}{3}.$$

$$\therefore y = \frac{x^4}{15} + \frac{x}{3} + C_1 \sqrt{x} \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{11}}{2} \ln x\right) + C_2 \sqrt{x} \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{11}}{2} \ln x\right)$$

28. Let us first look for asymptotic behaviors.

(i)  $x \rightarrow 0+$  Suppose  $y = O(x^m)$ .

$$m(m-1) + 2m - l(l+1) = 0 \Rightarrow m = l \text{ or } -l-1$$

To vanish near the origin, we must have  $y = O(x^l)$ .

(ii)  $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$\frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} \approx -Ky \Rightarrow y \approx e^{\pm \sqrt{-K}x}$$

To vanish at infinity, we must have  $y = x^{-\sqrt{-K}x}$  with  $K < 0$ .

We can thus write  $y = f(x)x^l e^{-\sqrt{-K}x}$  with  $f$  regular everywhere.

$$y' = \left( \frac{f'}{f} + \frac{l}{x} - \sqrt{-K} \right) y$$

$$\Rightarrow y'' = \left( \frac{f''}{f} - \left( \frac{f'}{f} \right)^2 - \frac{l}{x^2} \right) y + \left( \frac{f'}{f} + \frac{l}{x} - \sqrt{-K} \right)^2 y$$

$$= \left( \frac{f''}{f} + 2 \left( \frac{l}{x} - \sqrt{-K} \right) \frac{f'}{f} + \frac{l(l-1)}{x^2} - \frac{2l\sqrt{-K}}{x} - K \right) y$$

Tedious algebra yields

$$x f'' + 2(l+1 - \sqrt{-K}) f' - 2((l+1)\sqrt{-K} - 1) f = 0.$$

Let us write  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ . Substitution and tedious algebra yield

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ((n+1)(n+2l+2)a_{n+1} - (\sqrt{-K}n + 2((l+1)\sqrt{-K} - 1))a_n)x^n = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (\forall n) (n+1)(n+2l+2)a_{n+1} = (\sqrt{-K}n + 2((l+1)\sqrt{-K} - 1))a_n.$$

If  $\{a_n\}$  never terminated, this would mean that  $a_n \approx \frac{(\sqrt{-K})^n}{n!}$  for large values of  $n$ , leading to  $f(x) \approx e^{\sqrt{-K}x}$  and  $y$  diverging to infinity as  $x$  increases.

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{-K}n + 2((l+1)\sqrt{-K} - 1) = 0$$

$$\therefore K_{nl} = -\frac{4}{(n+2l+2)^2} \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

29. For very large  $x$ ,

$$y'' \approx \frac{y}{4} \Rightarrow y \approx e^{\pm \frac{x}{2}}.$$

Thus, for  $y$  to vanish at infinity, we must have  $y \approx e^{-x/2}$ . As  $y$  must also vanish at the origin, let us write  $y = f(x)xe^{-x/2}$  with  $f(x)$  regular everywhere.

$$\begin{aligned} y' &= \left( \frac{f'}{f} + \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{2} \right) y \\ \Rightarrow y'' &= \left( \frac{f''}{f} - \left( \frac{f'}{f} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{x^2} \right) y + \left( \frac{f'}{f} + \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 y \\ &= \left( \frac{f''}{f} + \left( \frac{2}{x} - 1 \right) \frac{f'}{f} - \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{4} \right) y \\ \Rightarrow \frac{f''}{f} + \left( \frac{2}{x} - 1 \right) \frac{f'}{f} - \frac{K+1}{x} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Let us write  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ . Substitution and tedious algebra yield

$$\begin{aligned} 2a_1 - (K+1)a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ((n+1)(n+2)a_{n+1} - (n+K+1)a_n)x^n &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow (\forall n) (n+1)(n+2)a_{n+1} &= (n+K+1)a_n. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\{a_n\}$  never terminated, this would mean that  $a_n \approx \frac{1}{n!}$  for large values of  $n$ , leading to  $f(x) \approx e^x$  and  $y$  diverging to infinity as  $x$  increases.

$$\therefore K_n = -n \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

30. I assume that there must exist a “nontrivial” solution, since  $y = 0$  is clearly a solution of the equation for any value of  $k$ . For large values of  $x$ ,

$$y'' - 2y' - 3y \approx 0 \Rightarrow y \approx Ae^{-x} + Be^{3x}.$$

Thus, to be bounded everywhere, we must have  $y \approx e^{-x}$ . We then write  $y = f(x)e^{-x}$  with  $f$  analytic everywhere, including the origin.

$$y' = \left( \frac{f'}{f} - 1 \right) y \Rightarrow y'' = \left( \frac{f''}{f} - \frac{f'}{f} + \left( \frac{f'}{f} - 1 \right)^2 \right) y = \left( \frac{f''}{f} - 2\frac{f'}{f} + 1 \right) y$$

Substitution yields

$$xf'' - 4xf' + kf = 0.$$

Let us write  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ . Substitution and tedious algebra yield

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n(n+1)a_{n+1} - (4n-k)a_n)x^n = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (\forall n) \ n(n+1)a_{n+1} = (4n-k)a_n.$$

If  $\{a_n\}$  never terminated, this would mean that  $a_n \approx \frac{4^n}{n!}$  for large values of  $n$ , leading to  $f(x) \approx e^{4x}$  and  $y$  diverging to infinity as  $x$  increases.

$$\therefore k_n = 4n \ (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

31. For large values of  $x$ ,

$$y'' \approx y \Rightarrow y \approx e^{\pm x}.$$

Thus, to be bounded everywhere, we must have  $y \approx e^{-x}$ . We then write  $y = f(x)e^{-x}$  with  $f$  analytic everywhere, with  $f(0) = 1$ .

$$y' = \left(\frac{f'}{f} - 1\right)y \Rightarrow y'' = \left(\frac{f''}{f} - \frac{f'}{f} + \left(\frac{f'}{f} - 1\right)^2\right)y = \left(\frac{f''}{f} - 2\frac{f'}{f} + 1\right)y$$

Substitution yields

$$xf'' - 2(x-1)f' + (E-2)f = 0.$$

Let us write  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ , with  $a_0 = 1$ . Substitution and tedious algebra yield

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ((n+1)(n+2)a_{n+1} - (2n+2-E)a_n)x^n &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow (\forall n) \ (n+1)(n+2)a_{n+1} &= (2n+2-E)a_n. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\{a_n\}$  never terminated, this would mean that  $a_n \approx \frac{2^n}{n!}$  for large values of  $n$ , leading to  $f(x) \approx e^{2x}$  and  $y$  diverging to infinity as  $x$  increases.

$$\therefore E_n = 2n \ (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

32. Recall that

$$\frac{c_{r+2}}{c_r} = \frac{(r+m-n)(r+m+n+1)}{(r+1)(r+2)} \text{ and } v(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} c_r x^r$$

where the fraction is well-defined since we are assuming that  $\{c_r\}$  never terminates. Notice how

$$\frac{c_{r+2}}{c_r} \approx \frac{r+2m+1}{r+3}$$

for large values of  $r$ .

On the other hand, the definition of binomial coefficients

$$\binom{-m}{r} := \frac{-m \cdot (-m-1) \cdot \dots \cdot (-m-r+1)}{r!}$$

naturally yields

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\binom{-m}{r+2}}{\binom{-m}{r}} &= \frac{(-m-r)(-m-r-1)}{(r+1)(r+2)} \\ &= \frac{(r+m)(r+m+1)}{(r+1)(r+2)} \\ &\approx \frac{r+2m+1}{r+3}\end{aligned}$$

for large values of  $r$ .

Therefore,  $c_r$  behaves like  $\binom{-m}{r}$  as  $r$  grows without bound, and consequently,

$$v(x) \approx (1-x^2)^{-m}.$$

33. Suppose  $y = J_0(x) \ln x + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$  as prompted by the problem. Substitution and tedious algebra yield

$$2xJ'_0(x) + a_1x + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n^2 a_n + a_{n-2}).$$

Let us write  $J_0(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{2n} x^{2n}$  since we know that  $J_0$  is even.

$$xJ'_0(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2nb_{2n} x^{2n}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow a_1x + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Big( (4nb_{2n} + 4n^2 a_{2n} + a_{2n-2}) x^{2n} \\ + \left( (2n+1)^2 a_{2n+1} + a_{2n-1} \right) x^{2n+1} \Big) = 0\end{aligned}$$

This immediately tells us that all odd coefficients  $a_1 = a_3 = \dots = 0$ .

Bessel's equation is linear, so addition of any multiple of  $J_0$  to  $y$  also yields a valid solution. In other words, any variant  $a'_{2n} := a_{2n} + \lambda b_{2n}$  also satisfies the above equation, for real parameter  $\lambda$ . Thus, we may choose  $a_0 = 0$ .

Using  $b_0 = 1$ ,  $b_2 = -\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $b_4 = \frac{1}{64}$ ,  $b_6 = -\frac{b_4}{36} = -\frac{1}{2304}$ , and the recursion relation  $a_{2n} = -\frac{a_{2n-2}}{4n^2} - \frac{b_{2n}}{n}$  from above, we can iteratively calculate the values of  $a_{2n}$ .

$$\therefore y = J_0(x) \ln x + \frac{x^2}{4} - \frac{3}{128}x^4 + \frac{5}{4608}x^6 - \dots$$

34. (i)  $y(0) = 1$

We may write  $y = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ . Substitution and tedious algebra yields

$$2a_1 - 2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+2)((n+1)a_{n+1} - a_n)x^n = 0.$$

This directly leads to  $a_n = \frac{1}{n!}$ , and thus,

$$y = e^x.$$

(ii)  $y = \frac{1}{x} + A \ln x + B$

Substitution of the above form into the differential equation and patiently performing algebraic manipulations yields

$$-\frac{1}{x} + (xA'' + (2-x)A' - 2A) \ln x + 2A' + \left(\frac{1}{x} - 1\right) A + xB''(2-x)B' - 2B = 0.$$

Read the problem carefully: we only need to “give” two solutions, not find a general form for all solutions. Thus, we could choose the oddly specific solution of  $A = e^x$  to make the coefficient of the  $\ln x$  term vanish. Substituting this into the above equation yields

$$xB'' + (2-x)B' - 2B + e^x + \frac{e^x - 1}{x} = 0.$$

Expanding  $B = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n$ ,  $e^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$ , and  $\frac{e^x - 1}{x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{(n+1)!}$ , one can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( (n+1)(n+2)b_{n+1} - (n+2)b_n + \frac{n+2}{(n+1)!} \right) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow b_{n+1} &= \frac{b_n}{n+1} - \frac{1}{(n+1) \cdot (n+1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

Again,  $B$  may arbitrarily chosen, so let us choose  $b_0 = 0$ .

$$\therefore y = \frac{1}{x} + e^x \ln x - x - \frac{3}{4}x^2 - \frac{11}{36}x^3 - \dots$$

35. Let  $u := \frac{1}{x}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} &= \frac{du}{dx} \frac{d}{du} = -u^2 \frac{d}{du} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{d^2}{dx^2} &= -u^2 \frac{d}{du} \left( -u^2 \frac{d}{du} \right) = u^4 \frac{d^2}{du^2} + 2u^3 \frac{d}{du} \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{d^2 y}{du^2} + \frac{2}{u} \frac{dy}{du} = \frac{1}{(1 + u^2 y^2)^2} \end{aligned}$$

As  $u \rightarrow 0+$ ,  $y \rightarrow 0$ . Thus,

$$\frac{2}{u} \frac{dy}{du} \approx 1 \Rightarrow y \approx u^2$$

Hence, we write  $y = f(u)u^2$  with  $f$  analytic everywhere.

$$\begin{aligned} u^2 f''(u) + 6u f'(u) + 6f(u) &= \frac{1}{(1 + u^6 f(u))^2} \\ &= 1 - 2u^6 f(u)^2 + 3u^{12} f(u)^4 + O(u^{18}) \end{aligned}$$



We write  $f(u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n u^n$ . The left-hand side (after tedious algebra) evaluates to

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n^2 + 5n + 5) a_n u^n.$$

Evaluation of the right-hand side is quite more technical. We make use of the Cauchy multiplication formula:

$$f(u)^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n a_k a_{n-k} \right) u^n.$$

Substituting this into the equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (6a_0 - 1) + \sum_{n=1}^5 (n^2 + 5n + 6) a_n u^n \\ + \sum_{n=6}^{\infty} \left( (n^2 + 5n + 6) a_n + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-6} a_k a_{n-6-k} \right) u^n = 3u^{12} f(u)^4 + O(u^{18}). \end{aligned}$$

Let us compare each power of  $u$  separately.

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{6}, \quad a_1 = \dots = a_5 = 0$$

$$O(u^6) : 72a_6 + 2a_0^2 = 0 \Rightarrow a_6 = -\frac{1}{1296}$$

$$a_7 = \dots = a_{11} = 0$$

$$O(u^{12}) : 210a_{12} + 2(a_0 a_6 + a_6 a_0) = 3a_0^2 \Rightarrow a_{12} = \frac{11}{816480}$$

$$\Rightarrow f(u) = \frac{1}{6} - \frac{u^6}{1296} + \frac{11}{816480} u^{12} - \dots$$

$$\therefore y = \frac{1}{6x^2} - \frac{1}{1296x^8} + \frac{11}{816480} \frac{1}{x^{14}} + \dots$$

36. (a) Assume  $y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n$ . Using

$$y'' = y(x^2 - y^2), \quad x^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta_{n2} x^n,$$

and

$$y^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n c_k c_{n-k} \right) x^n,$$

one can find (after algebraic tedium) that

$$y(x^2 - y^2) = -c_0^2 - 3c_1 c_0^2 x + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( c_{n-2} - \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{l=0}^k c_l c_{k-l} c_{n-k} \right) x^n$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow (2c_2 + c_0^2) + (6c_3 + 3c_1c_0^2)x \\ &+ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( (n+2)(n+1)c_{n+2} - c_{n-2} + \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{l=0}^k c_l c_{k-l} c_{n-k} \right) x^n = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We thus find two degrees of freedom, namely  $c_0 = A$  and  $c_1 = B$ .

$$c_2 = -\frac{A^2}{2}, \quad c_3 = -\frac{A^2B}{2}, \quad c_{n+2} = -\frac{1}{(n+2)(n+1)} \left( c_{n-2} - \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{l=0}^k c_l c_{k-l} c_{n-k} \right)$$

We could calculate a few terms using the recurrence relation:

$$c_4 = \frac{1}{4 \cdot 3} (c_0 - 3c_2c_0^2 - 3c_1^2c_0) = \frac{A}{12} \left( 1 + \frac{3}{2}A^3 - 3B^2 \right),$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_5 &= \frac{1}{5 \cdot 4} (c_1 - 3c_3c_0^2 - 6c_2c_1c_0 - 3c_2c_1) \\ &= \frac{B}{20} \left( 1 + 3A^3 \left( \frac{A}{4} + 1 \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore y &= A + Bx - \frac{A^2}{2}x^2 - \frac{A^2B}{2}x^3 \\ &+ \frac{A}{12} \left( 1 + \frac{3}{2}A^3 - 3B^2 \right) x^4 + \frac{B}{20} \left( 1 + 3A^3 \left( \frac{A}{4} + 1 \right) \right) x^5 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

(b) We iteratively approximate the particular nonoscillating solution, for which the second derivative would stay relatively small. That is, we use the following iterative scheme:

$$-xy^{(0)} + y^{(0)3} = 0, \quad y^{(n+1)} = \sqrt[3]{xy^{(n)} - y^{(n)''}}$$

We thus get

$$\begin{aligned} y^{(0)} &= \sqrt{x} = x^{1/2} \\ y^{(1)} &= \sqrt[3]{x^{3/2} + \frac{1}{4x^{3/2}}} \approx x^{1/2} + \frac{1}{12}x^{-5/2} \\ y^{(2)} &= \sqrt[3]{x^{3/2} + \frac{1}{3}x^{-3/2} - \frac{35}{48}x^{-9/2}} \\ &\approx x^{1/2} + \frac{1}{9}x^{-5/2} - \frac{35}{144}x^{-11/2} \\ y^{(3)} &= \sqrt[3]{x^{3/2} + \frac{13}{36}x^{-3/2} - \frac{175}{144}x^{-9/2} + \frac{5005}{576}x^{-15/2}} \\ &\approx x^{1/2} + \frac{13}{108}x^{-5/2} - \frac{175}{432}x^{-11/2} + \frac{5005}{1728}x^{-17/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore y \approx x^{1/2} + \frac{13}{108}x^{-5/2} - \frac{175}{432}x^{-11/2} + \frac{5005}{1728}x^{-17/2}$$

(Note: One may find more accurate approximations by (i) iterating more times, or (ii) expanding to more terms for each binomial expansion above.)

37. We first take note that  $y = x + \alpha$  is a trivial solution to the equation, as is easily verifiable. Let  $z := y - x$ . We directly have

$$z'' = z^2 - e^{2z}.$$

We multiply each side by  $z'$  and integrate each side to obtain

$$\frac{z'^2}{2} = \frac{1}{3}z^3 - \frac{1}{2}e^{2z} + E.$$

I find it illuminating to consider  $z$  as the position of a particle of mass 1. The above equation could then be interpreted as the energy conservation of this particle as it moves under the influence of the potential

$$V(z) = -\frac{1}{3}z^3 + \frac{1}{2}e^{2z}$$

and retains its total energy  $E$ . Thus, we could consider the infinitesimal oscillation about  $z = \alpha$  as a harmonic oscillator.

$$\left. \frac{d^2V}{dz^2} \right|_{z=\alpha} = -2\alpha + 2e^{2\alpha} = 2\alpha(\alpha - 1) = m\omega^2 = \omega^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega = \sqrt{2\alpha(\alpha - 1)}$$

$$\Rightarrow z \approx C_1 \sin \left( \sqrt{2\alpha(\alpha - 1)}x + C_2 \right)$$

$$\therefore y \approx x + C_1 \sin \left( \sqrt{2\alpha(\alpha - 1)}x + C_2 \right)$$

(Note: More accurate expressions may be obtained using the higher-order terms of the potential energy and perturbation methods.)

38. (a) Let  $y := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n(x-1)^n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} e^{y/x} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left( \frac{y}{x} \right)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^n}{n!} (1 + (x-1))^{-n} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^n}{n!} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \binom{-n}{m} (x-1)^m \right) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{m=0}^2 \binom{-1}{m} (x-1)^m y + \sum_{m=0}^1 \binom{-2}{m} (x-1)^m \frac{y^2}{2} + \frac{y^3}{6} + O((x-1)^4) \\ &= 1 + c_1(x-1) + \left( c_2 - c_1 + \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) (x-1)^2 \\ &\quad + \left( c_3 - c_2 + c_1 - c_1 c_2 - c_1^2 + \frac{c_1^3}{6} \right) (x-1)^3 + O((x-1)^4) \end{aligned}$$

Comparing this with

$$y' = c_1 + 2c_2(x-1) + 3c_3(x-1)^2 + O\left((x-1)^3\right),$$

we can see that

$$c_1 = 1, \quad c_2 = \frac{c_1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad c_3 = \frac{1}{3} \left( c_2 - c_1 + \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) = 0$$

$$\therefore y = (x-1) + \frac{1}{2}(x-1)^2 + O\left((x-1)^4\right)$$

(b) Let  $y = xv$ .

$$xv' + v = e^v \Rightarrow \frac{dv}{e^v - v} = \frac{dx}{x} \Rightarrow \int_1^{y/x} \frac{dv}{e^v - v} = \ln x + C_1$$

$$\ln x_0 + C_1 = \int_1^\infty \frac{dv}{e^v - v} =: \alpha \Rightarrow C_1 = \alpha - \ln x_0$$

$$\int_1^{y/x} \frac{dv}{e^v - v} = \ln \frac{x}{x_0} + \alpha \Rightarrow \int_{y/x}^\infty \frac{dv}{e^v - v} = -\ln \frac{x}{x_0}$$

For values of  $x$  slightly less than  $x_0$ , we have  $\frac{y}{x} \gg 1$ .

$$\Rightarrow -\ln \frac{x}{x_0} \approx \int_{y/x}^\infty dv e^{-v} = e^{-y/x}$$

$$\therefore y \approx -x \ln \ln \frac{x_0}{x}$$

39. From the WKB method followed the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization condition:

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} dx \sqrt{E - V(x)} = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \pi.$$

( $\hbar = 1$  in this unit system.)

If the particle has a total energy of  $E < 0$ , it then has classical turning points at  $\pm a \left(1 + \frac{E}{V_0}\right)$ . Hence,

$$\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \pi = 2 \int_0^{a \left(1 + \frac{E}{V_0}\right)} dx \sqrt{V_0 + E - \frac{V_0 x}{a}} = \frac{4a}{3V_0} (V_0 + E)^{3/2}$$

$$\Rightarrow (V_0 + E_n)^{3/2} = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{3\pi V_0}{4a}$$

$$\therefore E_n = - \left( V_0 - \left( \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{3\pi V_0}{4a} \right)^{2/3} \right)$$

Here,  $n$  takes nonnegative integers as its value such that  $E_n < 0$ .

40. To use the WKB method, we want to make a substitution  $y = up$  for some known function  $p$  such that the first derivative term vanishes. Substituting this into the equation yields

$$pu'' + \left(2p' - \frac{3}{x}p\right)u' + \left(p'' - \frac{3}{x}p' + \left(\frac{15}{4x^2} + x^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right)u = 0.$$

Thus, we choose  $p = x^{\frac{3}{2}}$  to obtain

$$u'' + \left(x^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{15}{4}x^{-2} - \frac{21}{4}x^{-2}\right)u = 0.$$

Let

$$f(x) := x^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{15}{4}x^{-2} - \frac{21}{4}x^{-2} = x^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{15}{4}x^{-\frac{5}{2}} - \frac{21}{4}x^{-\frac{7}{2}}\right).$$

This means that, using Eq. (1-90) from the textbook, one gets

$$u \approx \frac{1}{f^{1/4}} \left( c_+ \exp \left( i \int dx \sqrt{f} \right) + c_- \exp \left( -i \int dx \sqrt{f} \right) \right).$$

Evaluating these can be done using the binomial expansion for large values of  $x$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{f} &= \sqrt{x^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{15}{4}x^{-\frac{5}{2}} - \frac{21}{4}x^{-\frac{7}{2}}\right)} \\ &\approx x^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(1 + \frac{15}{8}x^{-\frac{5}{2}} - \frac{21}{8}x^{-\frac{7}{2}}\right) \\ &= x^{\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{15}{8}x^{-\frac{9}{4}} - \frac{21}{8}x^{-\frac{13}{4}} \\ &\Rightarrow \int dx \sqrt{f} = \frac{4}{5}x^{\frac{5}{4}} - \frac{3}{2}x^{-\frac{5}{4}} + \frac{7}{6}x^{-\frac{9}{4}} \\ f^{-\frac{1}{4}} &= \left(x^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{15}{4}x^{-\frac{5}{2}} - \frac{21}{4}x^{-\frac{7}{2}}\right)\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\approx x^{-\frac{1}{8}} \left(1 - \frac{15}{16}x^{-\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{21}{16}x^{-\frac{7}{2}}\right) \\ \Rightarrow u &\approx x^{-\frac{1}{8}} \left(1 - \frac{15}{16}x^{-\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{21}{16}x^{-\frac{7}{2}}\right) \cdot C_1 \cos \left(\frac{4}{5}x^{\frac{5}{4}} - \frac{3}{2}x^{-\frac{5}{4}} + \frac{7}{6}x^{-\frac{9}{4}} + C_2\right) \\ \therefore y &\approx x^{\frac{11}{8}} \left(1 - \frac{15}{16}x^{-\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{21}{16}x^{-\frac{7}{2}}\right) \cdot C_1 \cos \left(\frac{4}{5}x^{\frac{5}{4}} - \frac{3}{2}x^{-\frac{5}{4}} + \frac{7}{6}x^{-\frac{9}{4}} + C_2\right) \end{aligned}$$

41. We again make the substitution  $y = up$  to eliminate the first derivative term. Substituting this into the Bessel equation

$$x^2y'' + xy' + (x^2 - m^2)y = 0$$

yields

$$x^2 p u'' + (2x^2 p' + x p) u' + (x^2 p'' + x p' + (x^2 - m^2) p) u = 0.$$

Thus, we choose  $p = x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  to obtain

$$u'' + \left(1 - \left(m^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right) x^{-2}\right) u = 0.$$

Let

$$f(x) := 1 - \left(m^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right) x^{-2}.$$

Now, we wish to find the asymptotic form of  $J_m$ , Bessel's function of the first kind.  $J_m$  is distinguished from that of the second kind,  $Y_m$  by its analyticity at the origin. Notice how  $f$  is a monotone increasing function with a single zero at  $\sqrt{m^2 - \frac{1}{4}}$ . Therefore, for  $m \gg \frac{1}{2}$ , one can approximate the analyticity condition as the function being bounded for  $x \ll \sqrt{m^2 - \frac{1}{4}}$ . This leads us to use the connection formula Eq. (1-113).

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{f} &= \sqrt{1 - \left(m^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right) x^{-2}} \approx 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(m^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right) x^{-2} \\ \Rightarrow \int_{\sqrt{m^2 - \frac{1}{4}}}^x dx \sqrt{f} &\approx x - \sqrt{m^2 - \frac{1}{4}} + \frac{1}{2} \left(m^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right) \left(\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{m^2 - \frac{1}{4}}}\right) \\ &= x + \frac{m^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{2x} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{m^2 - \frac{1}{4}} \\ f^{-\frac{1}{4}} &\approx 1 + \frac{1}{4} \left(m^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right) x^{-2} \\ \therefore J_m &\approx 2x^{-\frac{1}{2}} f^{-\frac{1}{4}} \cos \left( \int_{\sqrt{m^2 - \frac{1}{4}}}^x dx \sqrt{f} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \\ &\approx \left( 2x^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \frac{m^2}{2} - \frac{1}{8} \right) x^{-\frac{5}{2}} \right) \cos \left( x + \frac{m^2 - \frac{1}{4}}{2x} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{m^2 - \frac{1}{4}} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \end{aligned}$$

42. This problem is a simple application of the connection formulae Eq. (1-113) and (1-122) with  $f(x) = x$ .

(a) Here, we use Eq. (1-122) with  $\phi = 0$ .

$$\therefore y \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(-x)^{-\frac{1}{4}}} \exp \left( \frac{2}{3} (-x)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right)$$

(b) Here, we use Eq. (1-113).

$$\therefore y \sim \frac{2}{x^{\frac{1}{4}}} \cos \left( \frac{2}{3} x^{\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right)$$

(c) This problem is not defined, as explained after Eq. (1-122). In short, the large exponential alone cannot determine the phase.

43. We again use the WKB approximation with  $f(x) = x^2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\pm} &:= \frac{1}{f^{\frac{1}{4}}} \exp \left( \pm i \int_0^x dx \sqrt{f} \right) = x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left( \pm \frac{i}{2} x^2 \right) \\ \Rightarrow y &\approx x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( A \cos \frac{x^2}{2} + B \sin \frac{x^2}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Solving for  $A$  and  $B$  using the initial conditions  $y(10) = 0$  and  $y'(10) = 1$ , we get

$$y \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{10x}} \sin \left( \frac{x^2}{2} - 50 \right).$$

(a)

$$\frac{x^2}{2} - 50 \approx \pi \Rightarrow x \approx \sqrt{100 + 2\pi} \approx 10.309$$

(b)

$$\frac{x^2}{2} - 50 \approx \frac{\pi}{2} \Rightarrow x \approx \sqrt{100 + \pi} \Rightarrow y \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{10\sqrt{100 + \pi}}} \approx 0.0992$$

44. The error estimation for this solution follows the example following Eq. (1-103). I personally am not confident that this solution is correct, but it is the best I could come up with.

The WKB approximation of  $y_1$  is given by

$$y_1 \approx \frac{C_1}{\sqrt{5x}} \sin \left( \frac{x^2 - 25}{2} \right)$$

which can be obtained in the same way as in Problem 1-43. The 25th zero beyond  $x = 5$  is then given by

$$\frac{x^2 - 25}{2} \approx 25\pi \Rightarrow x \approx 5\sqrt{2\pi + 1} \approx 13.494.$$

We now begin the error analysis. The following notation follows that of the aforementioned example. Let

$$y_1 = \alpha_+ x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left( \frac{i}{2} x^2 \right) + \alpha_- x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left( -\frac{i}{2} x^2 \right).$$

Near  $x = 5$ , we then obtain

$$\alpha_+ \approx \frac{A}{2i} e^{-\frac{25}{2}i}, \quad \alpha_- \approx -\frac{A}{2i} e^{\frac{25}{2}i}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \frac{1}{4} \frac{f''}{f} - \frac{5}{16} \left( \frac{f'}{f} \right)^2 = -\frac{3}{4x^2} \\ \Rightarrow \alpha'_\pm &\approx \mp \frac{i}{2} \frac{g}{\sqrt{f}} \left( \alpha_\pm + \alpha_\mp \exp \left( \mp 2i \int dx \sqrt{f} \right) \right) \\ &= \pm \frac{3i}{8x^3} (\alpha_\pm + \alpha_\mp \exp(\mp ix^2)) \\ &\approx \frac{3iA}{32x^3} e^{\mp \frac{25}{2}i} (1 - e^{\pm 25i \mp ix^2}) \end{aligned}$$

Now, upon integrating this from  $x = 5$  to  $5\sqrt{2\pi+1}$ , we may ignore the  $e^{\pm 25i \mp ix^2}$  term as it rotates rapidly, thus making little contribution to the result.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow |\Delta y_1| &\approx |\Delta \alpha_\pm| \\ &\approx \left| \int_5^{5\sqrt{2\pi+1}} dx \alpha'_\pm \right| \\ &\approx \left| \frac{3iA}{32x^3} e^{\mp \frac{25}{2}i} \int_5^{5\sqrt{2\pi+1}} \frac{dx}{x^3} \right| \\ &= \frac{3\pi A}{800(2\pi+1)} \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} y'_1|_{x=5\sqrt{2\pi+1}} &\approx \frac{A}{\sqrt{5}} \left( -\frac{1}{2} x^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sin \left( \frac{x^2-25}{2} \right) + x^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos \left( \frac{x^2-25}{2} \right) \right) \Big|_{x=5\sqrt{2\pi+1}} \\ &\approx -A(2\pi+1)^{\frac{1}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore |\Delta x| \approx \left| \frac{\Delta y}{y'} \right| \approx \frac{3\pi}{800(2\pi+1)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \approx 3.488 \times 10^{-4}$$

## 2 Chapter 2

1. A useful trick when dealing with complex sign patterns like this is to represent them using an exponential. In this case, we acknowledge that

$$\left\{ \Re \left\{ e^{i\left(\frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\right)\pi} \right\} \right\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \dots$$



and write the series as

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{Given series}) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \cdot \sqrt{2} \Re \left\{ e^{i\left(\frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\right)\pi} \right\} \\
&= \Re \left\{ \sqrt{2} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}}{2} \right)^n \right\} \\
&= \Re \left\{ (1-i) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{i}{4} \right)^n \right\} \\
&= \Re \left\{ (1-i) \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{i}{4}} \right\} \\
&= \frac{16}{17} \Re \left\{ (1-i) \left( 1 + \frac{i}{4} \right) \right\} \\
&= \frac{16}{17} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{4} \right) \\
&= \frac{20}{17}.
\end{aligned}$$

For series problems, it is always a good idea to verify the results numerically with a calculator, provided that the series converges quickly enough. In this case, evaluating up to  $\frac{1}{1024}$  yields about 1.1768 while the correct answer is about 1.1765.

2.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{Given series}) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n+2)} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+2} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \\
&= \frac{3}{4}
\end{aligned}$$

3. To describe the sign pattern, we shall use

$$\left\{ \Re \left\{ e^{i\left(\frac{n}{3} + \frac{1}{6}\right)\pi} \right\} \right\} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \dots$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{Given series}) &= \frac{1}{1 \cdot 3^0} + \frac{0}{3 \cdot 3^1} + \frac{-1}{5 \cdot 3^2} + \frac{-1}{7 \cdot 3^3} + \frac{0}{9 \cdot 3^4} + \frac{1}{11 \cdot 3^5} + \cdots \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)3^n} \cdot \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \Re \left\{ e^{i(\frac{n}{3} + \frac{1}{6})\pi} \right\} \\
&= \Re \left\{ \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n+1} \left( \frac{1}{3} e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}} \right)^n \right\} \\
&= \Re \left\{ 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n+1} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}} \right)^{2n+1} \right\} \\
&= \Re \left\{ 2 \tanh^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}} \right) \right\} \\
&= \Re \left\{ \ln \left( \frac{1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}}{1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}} \right) \right\} \\
&= \ln \left| \frac{1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}}{1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}} \right| \\
&= \ln \left| \frac{5 - \sqrt{3}i}{2} \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \ln 7
\end{aligned}$$

4.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{Given series}) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n+1}{n!} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)x^n}{n!} \Big|_{x=1} \\
&= \frac{d}{dx} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n+1}}{n!} \Big|_{x=1} \\
&= \frac{d}{dx} (xe^x) \Big|_{x=1} \\
&= ((x+1)e^x) \Big|_{x=1} \\
&= 2e
\end{aligned}$$

5.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\text{Given series}) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^2} \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)^2} \\
 &= \frac{3}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \\
 &= \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{\pi^2}{6} \\
 &= \frac{\pi^2}{8}
 \end{aligned}$$

6.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\text{Given series}) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^4} \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)^4} \\
 &= \frac{15}{16} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4} \\
 &= \frac{15}{16} \cdot \frac{\pi^4}{90} \\
 &= \frac{\pi^4}{96}
 \end{aligned}$$

7.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\text{Given series}) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n^2} \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} - 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)^2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\pi^2}{6} \\
 &= \frac{\pi^2}{12}
 \end{aligned}$$

8.

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\theta) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(n+1)\theta}{2n+1} \\
&= \Im \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n+1} e^{i(n+1)\theta} \right\} \\
&= \Im \left\{ e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n+1} \left( e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}} \right)^{2n+1} \right\} \\
&= \Im \left\{ e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}} \tanh^{-1} \left( e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}} \right) \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \Im \left\{ e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}} \ln \left( \frac{1 + e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}}}{1 - e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}}} \right) \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \Im \left\{ e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}} \ln \left( i \cot \frac{\theta}{4} \right) \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \Im \left\{ \left( \cos \frac{\theta}{2} + i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \left( \ln \left( \cot \frac{\theta}{4} \right) + i \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right\} \\
&= \frac{\pi}{4} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \cot \frac{\theta}{4} \right) \sin \frac{\theta}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

9. Half of the challenge of this problem is expressing the  $n$ th term in closed form. Let us denote the first term as  $a_1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
a_n &= \frac{\left( \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{2j-1}{2} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{2n-1}{2} \right)^2}{(2n+7)(2n+5)(2n+3)^2 n!} \\
&= \frac{(2n-1)!!(2n-1)}{2^{n+1}(2n+7)(2n+5)(2n+3)^2 n!} \\
&= \frac{\frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!} \cdot (2n-1)}{2^{n+1}(2n+7)(2n+5)(2n+3)^2 n!} \\
&= \frac{(2n-1) \cdot (2n)!}{2^{2n+1}(2n+7)(2n+5)(2n+3)(n!)^2} \\
&= \frac{2n-1}{2^{2n+1}(2n+7)(2n+5)(2n+3)^2} \binom{2n}{n}
\end{aligned}$$

Unfortunately, the ratio test of this series collapses. We thus try to find an upper/lower bound for this sequence.

$$\begin{aligned}
a_n &= \frac{2n-1}{2^{2n+1}(2n+7)(2n+5)(2n+3)^2} \binom{2n}{n} \\
&< \frac{2n-1}{2^{2n+1}(2n+7)(2n+5)(2n+3)^2} \cdot 2^{2n} \\
&= \frac{2n-1}{2(2n+7)(2n+5)(2n+3)^2} \\
&< \frac{2n}{2(2n)(2n)(2n)^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{16n^4}
\end{aligned}$$

The series of the last sequence converges by the p-test. Therefore, by comparison test, the given series converges.

10. Again, we shall denote the first term as  $a_1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
a_n &= \frac{((2n+1)!!)^2}{4^{n-1} \cdot n \cdot (n!)^2} \\
&= \frac{\left(\frac{(2n+1)!}{2^n n!}\right)^2}{4^{n-1} \cdot n \cdot (n!)^2} \\
&= \frac{((2n+1)!)^2}{4^{2n+1} \cdot n \cdot (n!)^4}
\end{aligned}$$

To deduce the limit of this sequence, we employ Stirling's approximation:

$$\begin{aligned}
n! &\approx \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \quad (\text{for large } n). \\
\Rightarrow a_n &\approx \frac{2\pi(2n+1) \left(\frac{2n+1}{e}\right)^{2n+1}}{4^{2n+1} \cdot n \cdot 4\pi^2 n^2 \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n} \\
&= \frac{(2n+1)^{4n+3}}{\pi e^2 2^{8n+3} n^{4n+3}} \\
&= \frac{4}{\pi e} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2n}\right)^{4n+3} \\
&= \frac{4}{\pi e} \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{2n}\right)^{2n}\right)^{\frac{4n+3}{2n}} \\
&\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4}{\pi} \neq 0
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the given series diverges.

11. We want to evaluate

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (n+1)^2 x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}.$$

To this end, we want to express  $(n+1)^2 = n^2 + 2n + 1$  in terms of  $2n+2$  and  $(2n+1)(2n+3)$  as they can easily be obtained by differentiation. We employ Horner's schema to do so.

$$\begin{array}{r|rr} & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & & -1 & -1 \\ \hline & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{3}{2} & & -\frac{3}{2} & \\ \hline & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow n^2 + 2n + 1 &= \left( \left( n + \frac{3}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \right) (n+1) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (2n+3)(2n+2) - \frac{1}{4} (2n+2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore f(x) &= \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!} (2n+3)(2n+2) x^{2n+1} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!} (2n+2) x^{2n+1} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!} x^{2n+3} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dx} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!} x^{2n+2} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} (x^2 \sin x) - \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dx} (x \sin x) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (2 \sin x + 4x \cos x - x^2 \sin x) - \frac{1}{4} (\sin x + x \cos x) \\ &= \frac{1-x^2}{4} \sin x + \frac{3}{4} x \cos x \end{aligned}$$

12. (a) We should first formally state what the problem is asking of us.

$$\begin{aligned} (E+1)^k + (E-1)^k &= \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} E_i + \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} E_i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^k \left( 1 + (-1)^i \right) \binom{k}{i} E_i \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=0}^{k/2} \binom{k}{2i} E_{2i} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we need to show that

$$\sum_{i=0}^l \binom{2l}{2i} E_{2i} = 0$$

for all integers  $l$ .

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \sec z \cdot \cos z \\ &= \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} E_{2n} z^{2n} \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} z^{2n} \right) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=0}^l \frac{(-1)^i}{(2i)!} E_{2i} \cdot \frac{(-1)^{2l-i}}{(k-2i)!} \right) z^{2l} \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{(2l)!} \sum_{i=0}^l \binom{2l}{2i} E_{2i} \right) z^{2l} \\ &\therefore (\forall l \geq 1) \sum_{i=0}^l \binom{2l}{2i} E_{2i} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

We could use this formula to determine successive terms of this sequence.

$$l = 1 : E_2 + E_0 = 0 \Rightarrow E_2 = -1$$

$$l = 2 : E_4 + 6E_2 + E_0 = 0 \Rightarrow E_4 = 5$$

$$l = 3 : E_6 + 15E_4 + 15E_2 + E_0 = 0 \Rightarrow E_6 = -61$$

$$l = 4 : E_8 + 28E_6 + 70E_4 + 28E_2 + E_0 = 0 \Rightarrow E_8 = 1385$$

(b) It is sometimes a good idea to start with the more general formula then apply it to the more concrete problem, as this could lead to less work.

$$\begin{aligned} \sec \pi x &= \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i (2i+1)}{(2i+1)^2 - 4x^2} \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i}{2i+1} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{2x}{2i+1} \right)^2 \right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i}{2i+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2x}{2i+1} \right)^{2n} \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i \frac{4^n}{2i+1} x^{2n} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{4^{n+1}}{\pi} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i}{(2i+1)^{2n+1}} \right) x^{2n} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{E_{2n}}{(2n)!} (\pi x)^{2n} \end{aligned}$$

We thus compare the last two series term-by-term to obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i}{(2i+1)^{2n+1}} = \frac{\pi^{2n+1}(-1)^n E_{2n}}{4^{n+1}(2n)!}$$

as the answer to part (b).

Substituting  $n = 1$  for part (a), we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i}{(2i+1)^3} = \frac{\pi^3(-1)^1 E_2}{4^2 \cdot 2!} = \frac{\pi^3}{32}.$$

### 3 Chapter 3

1. Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} I(a, b) &= \int_0^{\infty} dy \frac{e^{-ay} - e^{-by}}{y}. \\ \frac{\partial I}{\partial a} &= - \int_0^{\infty} dy e^{-ay} = -\frac{1}{a}, \quad \frac{\partial I}{\partial b} = \int_0^{\infty} dy e^{-by} = \frac{1}{b} \\ &\Rightarrow I(a, b) = \ln\left(\frac{b}{a}\right) + C \end{aligned}$$

Now, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} C &= I(a, a) = \int_0^{\infty} dy 0 = 0. \\ \therefore I(a, b) &= \ln\left(\frac{b}{a}\right) \end{aligned}$$

2. (If your knee-jerk reaction to this problem is any form of skepticism, then you are probably correct; if not, you are a perfect fit for a physicist in my opinion.)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} dx \sin bx &= \lim_{a \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^{\infty} dx e^{-ax} \sin bx \\ &= \lim_{a \rightarrow 0^+} \Im \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} dx e^{-ax} e^{ibx} \right\} \\ &= \lim_{a \rightarrow 0^+} \Im \left\{ \frac{1}{a - ib} \right\} \\ &= \lim_{a \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{b}{a^2 + b^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{b} \end{aligned}$$

3. We shall perform a contour integral of  $f(z) := \frac{e^{iaz}}{1+z^2}$  along Figure 1.

$$\oint_C dz f(z) = 2i\pi \operatorname{Res}_{z=i} f(z) = 2i\pi \cdot \frac{e^{iaz}}{z+i} \Big|_{z=i} = \pi e^{-a}$$






Figure 1: Contour for Problem 3–3, 3–4, and 3–9.

We also have

$$\oint_C dx f(z) = \int_{-R}^R dx \frac{e^{iax}}{1+x^2} + \int_0^\pi d\theta i R e^{i\theta} \frac{e^{ia R e^{i\theta}}}{1+R^2 e^{2i\theta}}$$

$$\xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \frac{e^{iax}}{1+x^2}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \int_0^\infty dx \frac{\cos ax}{1+x^2} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \frac{\cos ax}{1+x^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Re \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \frac{e^{iax}}{1+x^2} \right\} \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2} e^{-a} \end{aligned}$$

4. Let us integrate  $f(z) := \frac{e^{iaz}}{(1+z^2)^2}$ , again along Figure 1.

$$\oint_C dz f(z) = 2i\pi \operatorname{Res}_{z=i} f(z) = 2i\pi \cdot \left. \frac{d}{dz} \frac{e^{iaz}}{(z+i)^2} \right|_{z=i} = \frac{\pi}{2} (a+1) e^{-a}$$

and

$$\oint_C dx f(z) = \int_{-R}^R dx \frac{e^{iax}}{(1+x^2)^2} + \int_0^\pi d\theta i R e^{i\theta} \frac{e^{ia R e^{i\theta}}}{(1+R^2 e^{2i\theta})^2}$$

$$\xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \frac{e^{iax}}{(1+x^2)^2}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\therefore \int_0^\infty dx \frac{\cos ax}{(1+x^2)^2} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx \frac{\cos ax}{(1+x^2)^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Re \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx \frac{e^{iax}}{(1+x^2)^2} \right\} \\
&= \frac{\pi}{4} (a+1) e^{-a}
\end{aligned}$$

5. Let  $I(\mathfrak{a}, b) = \int d^3x e^{i\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{x}} e^{-br^2}$ . Notice that this integral depends on a vector and results in a scalar. Thus, we must conclude that only the magnitude of  $\mathfrak{a}$  matters and not its direction.

Let  $a := \|\mathfrak{a}\|$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
I(\mathfrak{a}, b) &= I(a\hat{\mathbf{z}}, b) \\
&= \int d^3x e^{iaz} e^{-b(x^2+y^2+z^2)} \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx e^{-bx^2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dy e^{-by^2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dz e^{iaz-bz^2} \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{b}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{b}} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^\infty dz e^{-b(z-\frac{ia}{2b})^2 - \frac{a^2}{4b}} \\
&= \left(\frac{\pi}{b}\right)^{3/2} e^{-\frac{a^2}{4b}} \\
\therefore I(\mathfrak{a}, b) &= \left(\frac{\pi}{b}\right)^{3/2} e^{-\frac{a^2}{4b}}
\end{aligned}$$

6. Let  $\mathbb{I}(\mathfrak{a}, b) = \int d^3x \mathfrak{x} e^{i\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{x}} e^{-br^2}$ . Notice that this vector-valued integral depends on only one vector, namely,  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Therefore, this integral must be parallel to this vector.

Let  $a = \|\mathfrak{a}\|$  and  $\mathbb{I}(\mathfrak{a}, b) = F(a, b)\hat{\mathfrak{a}}$ .

$$\mathbb{I}(a\hat{\mathbf{z}}, b) = F(a, b)\hat{\mathbf{z}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow F(a, b) &= \mathbb{I}(a\hat{z}, b) \cdot \hat{z} \\
&= \int d^3x z e^{iaz} e^{-b(x^2+y^2+z^2)} \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-bx^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy e^{-by^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz z e^{iaz-bz^2} \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{b}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{b}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz e^{-b(z-\frac{\alpha}{2b})^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{4b}} \Big|_{\alpha=ia} \\
&= \frac{\pi}{b} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{b}} e^{\frac{\alpha^2}{4b}} \right) \Big|_{\alpha=ia} \\
&= \left( \frac{\pi}{b} \right)^{3/2} \cdot \frac{\alpha}{2b} e^{\frac{\alpha^2}{4b}} \Big|_{\alpha=ia} \\
&= \left( \frac{\pi}{b} \right)^{3/2} \frac{ia}{2b} e^{-\frac{a^2}{4b}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore I(\mathfrak{a}, b) = F(\|\mathfrak{a}\|, b) \hat{\mathfrak{a}} = \left( \frac{\pi}{b} \right)^{3/2} \frac{i\mathfrak{a}}{2b} e^{-\frac{\mathfrak{a}^2}{4b}}$$

7.

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln \left( \frac{1+x}{1-x} \right) &= \ln(1+x) - \ln(1-x) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} x^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} (-x)^n \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} \left( 1 + (-1)^{n-1} \right) x^n \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2}{2n+1} x^{2n+1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\therefore \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x} \ln \left( \frac{1+x}{1-x} \right) &= \int_0^1 dx \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2}{2n+1} x^{2n} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2}{(2n+1)^2} \\
&= 2 \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)^2} \right) \\
&= \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{\pi^2}{6} \\
&= \frac{\pi^2}{4}
\end{aligned}$$

8.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{\cosh x} &= \int_0^\infty dx \frac{2}{e^x + e^{-x}} \\
&= \int_1^\infty du \frac{2}{u^2 + 1} && (u := e^x) \\
&= [2 \tan^{-1} u]_1^\infty \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

9. Let us integrate  $f(z) := \frac{1}{(1+z^2)^2}$ , again along Figure 1.

$$\oint_C dz f(z) = 2i\pi \operatorname{Res}_{z=i} f(z) = 2i\pi \cdot \left. \frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{(z+i)^2} \right|_{z=i} = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\oint_C dx f(z) &= \int_{-R}^R dx \frac{1}{(1+x^2)^2} + \int_0^\pi d\theta \frac{iRe^{i\theta}}{(1+R^2e^{2i\theta})^2} \xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx \frac{e^{iax}}{(1+x^2)^2} \\
&\therefore \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{dx}{(1+x^2)^2} = \frac{\pi}{2}
\end{aligned}$$




Figure 2: Contour for Problem 3–10.

10. We shall perform a contour integral of  $f(z) := \frac{1}{1+z^4}$  along Figure 2.

$$\oint_C dz f(z) = 2i\pi \operatorname{Res}_{z=e^{i\pi/4}} f(z) = 2i\pi \cdot \left. \frac{1}{4x^3} \right|_{z=e^{i\pi/4}} = \frac{\pi}{2} e^{-i\pi/4}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
\oint_C dx f(z) &= \int_0^R \frac{dx}{1+x^4} + \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} d\theta \frac{iRe^{i\theta}}{1+R^4e^{4i\theta}} + \int_R^0 dy \frac{i}{1+(iy)^4} \\
&= (1-i) \int_0^R \frac{dx}{1+x^4} + O(R^{-3}) \\
&\xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} (1-i) \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{1+x^4}. \\
\therefore \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{1+x^4} &= \frac{1}{1-i} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}} = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}
\end{aligned}$$




Figure 3: Contour for Problem 3–11.

11. We shall perform a contour integral of  $f(\omega) := \frac{e^{i\omega t}}{\omega^2 - \omega_0^2}$  along Figure 3.

$$\oint_C d\omega f(\omega) = 2i\pi \left( \text{Res}_{\omega=-\omega_0} f(\omega) + \text{Res}_{\omega=\omega_0} f(\omega) \right) = -\frac{2\pi}{\omega_0} \sin \omega_0 t$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
\oint_C d\omega f(\omega) &= \int_{-R}^R d\omega \frac{e^{i\omega t}}{\omega^2 - \omega_0^2} + \int_0^\pi d\theta iRe^{i\theta} \frac{e^{iRte^{i\theta}}}{R^2e^{2i\theta} - \omega_0^2} \\
&\xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^\infty d\omega \frac{e^{i\omega t}}{\omega^2 - \omega_0^2} \\
\therefore \int_{-\infty}^\infty d\omega \frac{e^{i\omega t}}{\omega^2 - \omega_0^2} &= -\frac{2\pi}{\omega_0} \sin \omega_0 t
\end{aligned}$$

(Note: As there are poles on the real axis, this integral technically diverges without the “slightly above the axis” condition. If this integral were to have physical meaning, then this assumption must be physically explained, for example using causality arguments. Another way around this is to use the “Cauchy principal value” of the integral, whose formula is shown in Eq. (A–17).)




Figure 4: Contour for Problem 3–12, 3–13, 3–21, and 3–22.

12. We shall perform a contour integral of  $f(z) := \frac{z^2}{(a^2+z^2)^2}$  along Figure 4.

$$\oint_C dz f(z) = 2i\pi \operatorname{Res}_{z=ia} f(z) = 2i\pi \cdot \left. \frac{d}{dz} \frac{z^2}{(z+ia)^2} \right|_{z=ia} = \frac{\pi}{2a}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_C dx f(z) &= \int_{-R}^R dx \frac{x^2}{(a^2+x^2)^2} + \int_0^\pi d\theta i R e^{i\theta} \frac{R^2 e^{2i\theta}}{(a^2 + R^2 e^{2i\theta})^2} \\ &\xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \frac{x^2}{(a^2+x^2)^2}. \\ \therefore \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \frac{x^2}{a^2+x^2} &= \frac{\pi}{2a} \end{aligned}$$

13.

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{d^3x}{(a^2+r^2)^3} &= \int_0^\infty dr \int_0^\pi d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \frac{r^2 \sin \theta}{(a^2+r^2)^3} \\ &= 4\pi \int_0^\infty dr \frac{r^2}{(a^2+r^2)^3} \end{aligned}$$

We shall perform a contour integral of  $f(z) := \frac{z^2}{(a^2+z^2)^3}$ , again along Figure 4.

$$\oint_C dz f(z) = 2i\pi \operatorname{Res}_{z=ia} f(z) = \frac{2i\pi}{2!} \cdot \left. \frac{d^2}{dz^2} \frac{z^2}{(z+ia)^3} \right|_{z=ia} = \frac{\pi}{8a^3}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_C dx f(z) &= \int_{-R}^R dr \frac{r^2}{(a^2+r^2)^3} + \int_0^\pi d\theta i R e^{i\theta} \frac{R^2 e^{2i\theta}}{(a^2 + R^2 e^{2i\theta})^3} \\ &\xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \frac{r^2}{(a^2+r^2)^3}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow \int_0^\infty dr \frac{r^2}{(a^2 + r^2)^3} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx \frac{r^2}{(a^2 + r^2)^3} = \frac{\pi}{16a^3} \\ \therefore \int \frac{d^3x}{(a^2 + r^2)^3} &= \frac{\pi^2}{4a^3}\end{aligned}$$

14.

$$\begin{aligned}I &:= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}(a+bx)} \\ &= \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} d\theta \frac{\cos \theta}{\cos \theta (a + b \sin \theta)} \quad (x = \sin \theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{a + b \sin \theta} \\ &= \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{dz/iz}{a + \frac{b}{2i} \left(z - \frac{1}{z}\right)} \quad (z = e^{i\theta}) \\ &= \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{dz}{bz^2 + 2iaz - b}\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the last integrand has simple poles at  $z_\pm^* := \frac{-a \pm \sqrt{a^2 - b^2}}{b}i$ , of which only  $z_+^*$  lies inside the unit circle.

$$\therefore I = 2i\pi \operatorname{Res}_{z=z_+^*} \frac{1}{bz^2 + 2iaz - b} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{a^2 - b^2}}$$




Figure 5: Contour for Problem 3–15.

15. We shall perform a contour integral of  $f(z) := \frac{z}{1+z^5}$  along Figure 5.

$$\oint_C dz f(z) = 2i\pi \operatorname{Res}_{z=e^{i\frac{\pi}{5}}} f(z) = 2i\pi \cdot \frac{z}{5z^4} \Big|_{z=e^{i\frac{\pi}{5}}} = \frac{2\pi}{5} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{10}}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}\oint_C dx f(z) &= \int_0^R dx \frac{x}{1+x^5} + \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{5}} d\theta i R e^{i\theta} \frac{R e^{i\theta}}{1+R^5 e^{5i\theta}} + \int_R^0 dx e^{i\frac{2\pi}{5}} \frac{x e^{i\frac{2\pi}{5}}}{1+x^5} \\ &\xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} (1 + e^{-i\frac{\pi}{5}}) \int_0^\infty dx \frac{x}{1+x^5}. \\ \therefore \int_0^\infty dx \frac{x}{1+x^5} &= \frac{\pi}{5 \cos \frac{\pi}{10}}\end{aligned}$$

16. Let  $z := e^{i\theta}$ .

$$dz = ie^{i\theta} d\theta, \quad \cos \theta = \frac{1}{2} \left( z + \frac{1}{z} \right), \quad \sin^2 \theta = \frac{1 - \cos 2\theta}{2} = \frac{1}{4} \left( 2 - z^2 - \frac{1}{z^2} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}I &:= \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{a + b \cos \theta} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{-(z^2 - 1)^2}{z(bz^2 + 2az + b)}\end{aligned}$$

Let  $f(z)$  be the integrand here.  $f(z)$  has simple poles at

$$0, \quad z_\pm^* := \frac{-a \pm \sqrt{a^2 - b^2}}{b},$$

among which 0 and  $z_+^*$  lie within the unit circle (which is our contour).

$$\begin{aligned}\therefore I &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2i\pi \left( \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} f(z) + \operatorname{Res}_{z=z_+^*} f(z) \right) \\ &= i\pi \left( \left. \frac{-(z^2 - 1)^2}{bz^2 + 2az + b} \right|_{z=0} + \left. \frac{-(z^2 - 1)^2}{z(bz + a + \sqrt{a^2 - b^2})} \right|_{z=z_+^*} \right) \\ &= \frac{i\pi}{b} \left( -1 + 4 \frac{a^4 + b^4 - 2ab((a-b)\sqrt{a^2 - b^2} + b^2)}{-a + \sqrt{a^2 - b^2}} \right)\end{aligned}$$

17. We must first determine whether, or more precisely, for which values of  $a$ , this integral converges. As  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\sinh ax \sim e^{|ax|}$ . Thus, this integral converges whenever  $|a| < \pi$ .

Let  $f(z) := \frac{\sinh az}{\sinh \pi z}$ . The singularity at the origin is removable, as

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sinh az}{\sinh \pi z} = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{a \cosh az}{\pi \cosh \pi z} = \frac{a}{\pi}$$

via L'Hôpital's rule. (Note the sloppy math here: the first equality hold only because the second expression does indeed converge to a finite number. If this






Figure 6: Contour for Problem 3–17.

were a calculus class, this equation is prone to points lost; since this is physics, we can proceed as is.) Thus,  $f(z)$  has poles at  $z = im$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . We ignore cases where  $a$  is a rational multiple of  $\pi$  for convinience; these cases are left as exercise for the reader.<sup>2</sup>

Consider the contour shown in Figure 6.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \oint_C dz f(z) &\xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} 2i\pi \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \text{Res}_{z=im} f(z) \\
 &= 2i \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh iam}{\cosh i\pi m} \\
 &= 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{m-1} \sin am \\
 &= 2\Im \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{i(m-1)\pi} e^{iam} \right\} \\
 &= \tan \frac{a}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \oint_C dz f(z) &= \int_{-R}^R dx \frac{\sinh ax}{\sinh \pi x} + \int_0^\pi d\theta i R e^{i\theta} \frac{\sinh(a R e^{i\theta})}{\sinh(\pi R e^{i\theta})} \\
 &= \int_{-R}^R dx \frac{\sinh ax}{\sinh \pi x} + O\left(\frac{R}{e^{(\pi-|a|)R}}\right) \\
 &\xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \frac{\sinh ax}{\sinh \pi x} \\
 &\therefore \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \frac{\sinh ax}{\sinh \pi x} = \tan \frac{a}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

<sup>2</sup>Just kidding! I will come back for this later, but if you'd like to help, please consider discussing on <https://github.com/pingpingy1/Mathews-MathPhys-Sol/issues/1>.




Figure 7: Contour for Problem 3–18.

18. Recalling the exercise in the main text regarding Eq. (3–37), we could infer that a direct contour integration of the integrand along some contour analogous to Figure 3–3 in the textbook would lead to a loss in the exponent of the logarithm (or one could just do it and observe).

Thus, let  $f(z) := \frac{(\ln z)^3}{1+z^2}$ , where we place the branch cut on the real axis and  $\arg z = 0$  just above the real axis. As such, the poles of  $f(z)$  have arguments  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $\frac{3\pi}{2}$ . Consider the contour shown in Figure 7.

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_C dz f(z) &= 2i\pi \left( \operatorname{Res}_{z=i} f(z) + \operatorname{Res}_{z=-i} f(z) \right) \\ &= 2i\pi \left( \left. \frac{(\ln z)^3}{2z} \right|_{z=i} + \left. \frac{(\ln z)^3}{2z} \right|_{z=-i} \right) \\ &= \frac{13}{4} i\pi \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_C dz f(z) &= \int_{\epsilon}^R dx \frac{(\ln x)^3}{1+x^2} + \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta i R e^{i\theta} \frac{(\ln R + i\theta)^3}{R^2 e^{2i\theta} + 1} \\ &\quad + \int_R^{\epsilon} dx \frac{(\ln x + 2i\pi)^3}{1+x^2} + \int_{2\pi}^0 d\theta i \epsilon e^{i\theta} \frac{(\ln \epsilon + i\theta)^3}{\epsilon^2 e^{2i\theta} + 1} \\ &\xrightarrow[\epsilon \rightarrow 0]{R \rightarrow \infty} -6i\pi \int_0^{\infty} dx \frac{(\ln x)^2}{1+x^2} + 12\pi^2 \int_0^{\infty} dx \frac{\ln x}{1+x^2} + 4i\pi^4. \end{aligned}$$

This same procedure can be used to show that

$$\int_0^{\infty} dx \frac{\ln x}{1+x^2} = 0,$$

which is left as an exercise to the reader<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>3</sup>Just kidding! I will get back to this, but if you could, please consider discussing on <https://github.com/pingpingy1/Mathews-MathPhys-Sol/issues/2>.

$$\therefore \int_0^\infty dx \frac{\ln x^2}{1+x^2} = \frac{\pi^3}{8}$$




Figure 8: Contour for Problem 3–19.

19. Let  $f(z) := \frac{1}{1+z^2+z^4}$ . Notice that  $z^6 - 1 = (z^2 - 1)(z^4 + z^2 + 1)$ , so the poles of  $f(z)$  lie at  $e^{i\pi/3}$ ,  $e^{i2\pi/3}$ ,  $e^{i4\pi/3}$ , and  $e^{i5\pi/3}$ .

Consider the contour shown in Figure 8.

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_C dz f(z) &= 2i\pi \left( \operatorname{Res}_{z=e^{i\pi/3}} f(z) + \operatorname{Res}_{z=e^{i2\pi/3}} f(z) \right) \\ &= 2i\pi \left( \frac{1}{4z^3 + 2z} \Big|_{z=e^{i\pi/3}} + \frac{1}{4z^3 + 2z} \Big|_{z=e^{i2\pi/3}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_C dz f(z) &= \int_{-R}^R \frac{dx}{1+x^2+x^4} + \int_0^\pi d\theta \frac{iRe^{i\theta}}{1+R^2e^{2i\theta}+R^4e^{4i\theta}} \xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{1+x^2+x^4} \\ &\therefore \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{1+x^2+x^4} = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{3}} \end{aligned}$$

20. We shall perform a contour integral of  $f(z) := \frac{1}{(a+bz^2)^3}$  along Figure 9.

$$\oint_C dz f(z) = 2i\pi \operatorname{Res}_{z=i\sqrt{\frac{a}{b}}} f(z) = \frac{2i\pi}{2!} \cdot \frac{d^2}{dz^2} \frac{1}{b^{3/2}(\sqrt{b}z + i\sqrt{a})^3} \Big|_{z=i\sqrt{\frac{a}{b}}} = \frac{3\pi}{8a^{5/2}b^{1/2}}$$

We also have

$$\oint_C dz f(z) = \int_{-R}^R \frac{dx}{(a+bx^2)^3} + \int_0^\pi d\theta \frac{iRe^{i\theta}}{(a+bR^2e^{2i\theta})^3} \xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{(a+bx^2)^3}.$$




Figure 9: Contour for Problem 3–20.

$$\therefore \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{(a + bx^3)^3} = \frac{3\pi}{16a^{5/2}b^{1/2}}$$

21. We shall perform a contour integral of  $f(z) := \frac{z^2}{(a^2 + z^2)^3}$  along Figure 4.

$$\oint_C dz f(z) = 2i\pi \operatorname{Res}_{z=ia} f(z) = \frac{2i\pi}{2!} \cdot \frac{d^2}{dz^2} \frac{z^2}{(z + ia)^3} \Big|_{z=ia} = \frac{\pi}{8a^3}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_C dx f(z) &= \int_{-R}^R dx \frac{x^2}{(a^2 + x^2)^3} + \int_0^\pi d\theta i R e^{i\theta} \frac{R^2 e^{2i\theta}}{(a^2 + R^2 e^{2i\theta})^3} \\ &\xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} 2 \int_0^\infty dx \frac{x^2}{(a^2 + x^2)^3} \\ &\therefore \int_0^\infty dx \frac{x^2}{a^2 + x^2^3} = \frac{\pi}{16a^3} \end{aligned}$$

22. We shall perform a contour integral of  $f(z) := \frac{\sin z}{z(a^2 + z^2)}$  along Figure 4. Note that the singularity at the origin is removable, as we may define  $f(0) := \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} f(z) = \frac{1}{a^2}$ .

$$\oint_C dz f(z) = 2i\pi \operatorname{Res}_{z=ia} f(z) = 2i\pi \cdot \frac{\sin z}{z(z + ia)} \Big|_{z=ia} = \frac{\pi \sin a}{a^2}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_C dx f(z) &= \int_{-R}^R dx \frac{\sin x}{x(a^2 + x^2)} + \int_0^\pi d\theta i R e^{i\theta} \frac{\sin(Re^{i\theta})}{Re^{i\theta}(a^2 + R^2 e^{2i\theta})} \\ &\xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} 2 \int_0^\infty dx \frac{\sin x}{x(a^2 + x^2)} \end{aligned}$$

(Note: One may object that it is nontrivial that the second integral vanishes in the limit, as  $\sin z$  grows without bound along the imaginary axis. A physicist like myself would sweep this fact under the rug, but if any mathematician would like to give a more rigorous proof of this fact, I'm all ears!)

$$\therefore \int_0^\infty dx \frac{x^2}{a^2 + x^2} = \frac{\pi \sin a}{2a^2}$$

23. Let us perform the change of variable  $z := e^{i\theta}$ , such that the contour of integration is around the unit circle.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{(a + b \cos \theta)^2} &= \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{dz/iz}{\left(a + \frac{b}{2} \left(z + \frac{1}{z}\right)\right)^2} \\ &= -4i \oint_{|z|=1} dz \frac{z}{(bz^2 + 2az + b)^2} \end{aligned}$$

The integrand has poles of second order at

$$z_{\pm}^* := \frac{-a \pm \sqrt{a^2 - b^2}}{b},$$

but only  $z_+^*$  lies inside the unit circle.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{(a + b \cos \theta)^2} &= -4i \cdot 2i\pi \operatorname{Res}_{z=z_+^*} f(z) \\ &= 8\pi \cdot \frac{d}{dz} \frac{z}{(bz + a + \sqrt{a^2 - b^2})^2} \Big|_{z=z_+^*} \\ &= \frac{2\pi a}{(a^2 - b^2)^{3/2}} \end{aligned}$$




Figure 10: Contour for Problem 3-24.

24. Let  $f(z) := \frac{(\ln z)^2}{(z+1)^2}$ , where we place the branch cut on the real axis and  $\arg z = 0$  just above the real axis. (Recall the exercise in the main text regarding Eq. (3-37); we need an extra  $\ln z$  for this procedure!) As such, the pole of  $f(z)$  has argument  $\pi$ . Consider the contour shown in Figure 10.

$$\begin{aligned}\oint_C dz f(z) &= 2i\pi \operatorname{Res}_{z=-1} f(z) \\ &= 2i\pi \cdot \left. \frac{d^2}{dz^2} (\ln z)^2 \right|_{z=e^{i\pi}} \\ &= 4\pi^2\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}\oint_C dz f(z) &= \int_{\epsilon}^R dx \frac{(\ln x)^2}{(x+1)^2} + \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta i R e^{i\theta} \frac{(\ln R + i\theta)^2}{(R e^{i\theta} + 1)^2} \\ &\quad + \int_R^{\epsilon} dx \frac{(\ln x + 2i\pi)^2}{(x+1)^2} + \int_{2\pi}^0 d\theta i \epsilon e^{i\theta} \frac{(\ln \epsilon + i\theta)^2}{(\epsilon e^{i\theta} + 1)^2} \\ &\xrightarrow[\epsilon \rightarrow 0]{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\infty} dx \frac{(\ln x)^2}{(x+1)^2} + 0 \\ &\quad + \left( - \int_0^{\infty} dx \frac{(\ln x)^2}{(x+1)^2} - 4i\pi \int_0^{\infty} dx \frac{\ln x}{(x+1)^2} + 4\pi^2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dx}{(x+1)^2} \right) \\ &\quad + 0 \\ &= -4i\pi \int_0^{\infty} dx \frac{\ln x}{(x+1)^2} + 4\pi^2.\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \int_0^{\infty} dx \frac{\ln x}{(x+1)^2} = 0$$

25. Let us define

$$I(a) := \int_0^{\infty} dx e^{-x^2} \operatorname{Ci}(ax).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I'(a) &= \int_0^\infty dx \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left( e^{-x^2} \text{Ci}(ax) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{a} \int_0^\infty dx e^{-x^2} \cos ax \\
&= \frac{1}{2a} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx e^{-x^2} \cos ax \\
&= \frac{1}{2a} \Re \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx e^{-x^2 + iax} \right\} \\
&= \frac{e^{-a^2/4}}{2a} \Re \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx e^{-(x - \frac{ia}{2})^2} \right\} \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{\pi} e^{-a^2/4}}{2a}
\end{aligned}$$

Also,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ci}(x) = 0$ , so  $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} I(a) = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\therefore I(a) &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \int_\infty^a dt \frac{e^{-t^2/4}}{t} \\
&= -\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{-a^2/4} dv \frac{e^v}{v} \quad (v := -\frac{t^2}{4}) \\
&= -\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4} \text{Ei} \left( -\frac{a^2}{4} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

(Note: What if we used Si instead of Ci inside the integrand? This is a harder problem since the integral

$$\int_0^\infty dx e^{-x^2} \sin ax$$

is rather tricky. The reader is encouraged to explore this, possibly with the help of the Dawson function<sup>4</sup>.)

26.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty dx e^{-ax} \text{erf } x &= \left[ -\frac{1}{a} e^{-ax} \text{erf } x \right]_0^\infty + \frac{1}{a} \int_0^\infty dx e^{-ax} \cdot \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-x^2} \\
&= 0 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi} a} \int_0^\infty dx e^{-x^2 - ax} \\
&= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi} a} \int_0^\infty dx e^{-(x + \frac{a}{2})^2 + \frac{a^2}{4}} \\
&= \frac{2e^{a^2/4}}{\sqrt{\pi} a} \int_{a/2}^\infty dx e^{-x^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{a} e^{a^2/4} \left( 1 - \text{erf} \frac{a}{2} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>4</sup>[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dawson\\_function](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dawson_function)

27. (a)

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\sin \frac{\pi}{2}}} = \sqrt{\pi}$$

(b)

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right) = \frac{3}{2}\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) = \frac{3}{4}\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{4}$$

(c)

$$B(1, 3) = \frac{\Gamma(1)\Gamma(3)}{\Gamma(4)} = \frac{0!2!}{3!} = \frac{1}{3}$$

(d)

$$B\left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(0)} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{-1/2}}{\infty} = 0$$

(e)

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right) = -3\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = -3 \cdot \frac{\pi}{\sin \frac{\pi}{3}} = -2\sqrt{3}\pi$$

28.

$$-\text{Ei}(-x) = \int_{-\infty}^{-x} dy \frac{e^y}{y} = \int_{\infty}^x dt \frac{e^{-t}}{t}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \int_0^{\infty} dx e^{-ax} (-\text{Ei}(-x)) &= \int_0^{\infty} dx \int_{\infty}^x dt \frac{e^{-ax-t}}{t} \\ &= - \int_0^{\infty} dx \int_{-(a+1)x}^{\infty} du \frac{e^{-u}}{u - ax} \quad (u := ax + t) \\ &= - \int_0^{\infty} du \int_0^{u/(a+1)} dx \frac{e^{-u}}{u - ax} \\ &= - \int_0^{\infty} du \int_0^{1/a(a+1)} dv \frac{u}{a} \frac{e^{-u}}{u(1-v)} \quad (v := \frac{ax}{u}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{a} \int_0^{\infty} du e^{-u} \cdot \int_0^{1/a(a+1)} \frac{dv}{1-v} \\ &= \frac{1}{a} \ln \left( 1 - \frac{1}{a(a+1)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

29. We suspect that changing the range of integration to  $[0, 1]$  might be beneficial in expressing this integral as a Beta function. Thus, let  $u := \frac{x+2}{4}$ .



$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-2}^2 dx (4-x^2)^{1/6} &= \int_0^1 4du \cdot \left(4 - (4u-2)^2\right)^{1/6} \\
&= 2^{8/3} \int_0^1 du u^{1/6} (1-u)^{1/6} \\
&= 2^{8/3} B\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}\right) = 2^{8/3} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{6})^2}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})}
\end{aligned}$$




Figure 11: Contour for Problem 3–30.

30. The contour described in the problem is shown in Figure 11. The choice of branch is such that  $\Im \{\ln(-t)\}$  equals  $\pi$  just below the branch cut and  $-\pi$  just above it.

$$\begin{aligned}
F(z) &= \int_C dt (-t)^{z-1} e^{-t} \\
&= \int_{\infty}^{\epsilon} dx e^{(z-1)(\ln x + i\pi) - x} \\
&\quad + \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta i\epsilon e^{i\theta} e^{(z-1)(\ln \epsilon + i(\pi-\theta)) - \epsilon e^{i\theta}} \\
&\quad + \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} dx e^{(z-1)(\ln x - i\pi) - x} \\
&\xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} - \int_0^{\infty} dx e^{(z-1)(\ln x + i\pi) - x} + \int_0^{\infty} dx e^{(z-1)(\ln x - i\pi) - x} \\
&= 2i \sin \pi z \Gamma(z)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore (\text{something}) = 2i \sin \pi z$$

31. (a) For simplicity, we shall assume that  $f(z)$  has no poles exactly on any of the real integers. (In fact, I am not sure if this procedure could even be




Figure 12: Contour for Problem 3–31.

done with them!) Let us denote the poles of  $f(z)$  off of the real axis as  $z_{\text{off}}^{(i)}$  and those on the real axis as  $z_{\text{on}}^{(i)}$ . (Of course, we are also assuming that  $f(z)$  only has isolated singularities on the entire plane. Note that this is a tiny bit more general than meromorphic functions<sup>5</sup>, since we allow the singularities to be essential, not poles. We just need them to be discrete, i.e., no cluster points.)

We first consider the contour  $C_1$  in Figure 12 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{C_1} dz f(z) \cot \pi z &= 2i\pi \sum_n \text{Res}_{z=n} f(z) \cot \pi z + 2i\pi \sum_i \text{Res}_{z=z_{\text{on}}^{(i)}} f(z) \cot \pi z \\ &= 2i\pi \sum_n \left. \frac{f(z) \cos \pi z}{\pi \cos \pi z} \right|_{z=n} + 2i\pi \sum_i \text{Res}_{z=z_{\text{on}}^{(i)}} f(z) \cot \pi z \\ &=: 2i \sum_n f(n) + 2i\pi \sum_i R_{\text{on}}^{(i)} \end{aligned}$$

where  $R_{\text{on}}^{(i)} := \text{Res}_{z=z_{\text{on}}^{(i)}} f(z) \cot \pi z$ . Similarly for  $C_2$ ,

$$\oint_{C_2} dz f(z) \cot \pi z = 2i\pi \sum_i \text{Res}_{z=z_{\text{off}}^{(i)}} f(z) \cot \pi z =: 2i \sum_n f(n) + 2i\pi \sum_i R_{\text{off}}^{(i)}$$

where  $R_{\text{off}}^{(i)} := \text{Res}_{z=z_{\text{off}}^{(i)}} f(z) \cot \pi z$ .

Now, following the exercise regarding Eq. (3–43), we note that if  $|f(z)|$  vanishes sufficiently quickly<sup>6</sup> as  $z$  approaches infinity, then

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{C_1+C_2} dz f(z) \cot \pi z &\xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} 0. \\ \therefore \sum_n f(n) &= -\pi \sum_i R_{\text{on}}^{(i)} - \pi \sum_i R_{\text{off}}^{(i)} = -\pi \sum_i R^{(i)} \end{aligned}$$

<sup>5</sup>[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Meromorphic\\_function](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Meromorphic_function)

<sup>6</sup>But what does this mean??!

where we drop the subscript as the distinction between the two types of residues have disappeared.

(Note: One may be tempted to write

$$R^{(i)} = \cot \pi z^{(i)} \cdot \operatorname{Res}_{z=z^{(i)}} f(z)$$

which may seem so since  $\cot \pi z$  is analytic on a neighborhood of  $z^{(i)}$ ; goodness knows I fell for this. However, if one considers the entire Laurent series, they can show that this is not the case. In particular, if  $z^{(i)}$  is a pole of  $n$ th order, then the residue can be calculated using the first  $n$  Taylor coefficients of  $\cot \pi z$  around  $z^{(i)}$ . This means that this naive equation holds for simple poles, specifically! See also: Convolutions<sup>7</sup>)

(b) Let  $f(z) := \frac{1}{z^2 + a^2}$ . This function has simple poles at  $\pm ia$ . We require that  $ia$  not be an integer, as per the above discussion.

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=\pm ia} f(z) = \left. \frac{\cot \pi z}{z \pm ia} \right|_{z=\pm ia} = \frac{\cot \pm i\pi a}{\pm 2ia} = -\frac{1}{2a} \coth \pi a$$

$$\therefore g(a) = -\pi (R_+ + R_-) = \frac{\pi}{a} \coth \pi a$$

32. Let  $\theta$  denote the angle away from the dotted axis in the figure. We need not consider the azimuthal angle around it as the system is cylindrically symmetric. The intensity of neutrons as emitted by the source into a range  $[\theta, \theta + d\theta]$  is given by

$$dI_{\text{source}} = I_0 \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi} = \frac{I_0}{2} \sin \theta d\theta$$

where  $I_0$  is the total intensity of neutrons. Such neutrons travel a distance of  $T \sec \theta$  through the absorber, so the intensity of neutrons that arrive at the film is given by

$$dI_{\text{film}} = \frac{I_0}{2} e^{-\frac{T}{\lambda} \sec \theta} \sin \theta d\theta.$$

These neutrons travel through the film, again, a distance proportional to  $\sec \theta$ . Thus, one can introduce a proportionality constant  $C$  to obtain

$$dA = C e^{-\frac{T}{\lambda} \sec \theta} \sin \theta d\theta \cdot \sec \theta = C e^{-\frac{T}{\lambda} \sec \theta} \tan \theta d\theta.$$

Therefore, integrating over the film, we obtain the following formula for the

---

<sup>7</sup><https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Convolution>

total activity:

$$\begin{aligned}
A(\lambda) &= \int_0^{\tan^{-1}(\frac{b}{a})} d\theta C e^{-\frac{T}{\lambda} \sec \theta} \tan \theta \\
&= C \int_1^{\frac{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}}{a}} du \frac{\exp(-\frac{T}{\lambda} u)}{u} & (u := \sec \theta) \\
&= C \int_{\frac{T}{\lambda}}^{\frac{T}{\lambda} \frac{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}}{a}} dv \frac{\exp(-v)}{v} & (v := \frac{T}{\lambda} u) \\
&= C \left( \left( -\operatorname{Ei} \left( -\frac{T}{\lambda} \frac{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}}{a} \right) \right) - \left( -\operatorname{Ei} \left( -\frac{T}{\lambda} \right) \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The activity without the absorber is given by

$$A_0 = \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} A(\lambda) = \int_0^{\tan^{-1}(\frac{b}{a})} d\theta C \tan \theta = C \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}}{a} \right).$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\frac{A}{A_0} = \frac{\left( -\operatorname{Ei} \left( -\frac{T}{\lambda} \frac{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}}{a} \right) \right) - \left( -\operatorname{Ei} \left( -\frac{T}{\lambda} \right) \right)}{\ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}}{a} \right)}$$

which, given the numerical constants, is an equation that the almighty WolframAlpha could solve! Plugging in the given constants and assuming  $\lambda$  is in units of centimeters, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0.25 &= \frac{\left( -\operatorname{Ei} \left( -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\lambda} \right) \right) - \left( -\operatorname{Ei} \left( -\frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \right)}{\ln(\sqrt{2})}. \\
\therefore \lambda &\approx 0.856 \text{ cm}
\end{aligned}$$

33. As  $e^{\alpha z}$  is analytic everywhere,  $\Gamma(z)e^{\alpha z}$  has poles precisely at the nonpositive integers.

$$I := \oint_{|z|=\frac{5}{2}} dz \Gamma(z) e^{\alpha z} = 2i\pi \left( \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \Gamma(z) e^{\alpha z} + \operatorname{Res}_{z=-1} \Gamma(z) e^{\alpha z} + \operatorname{Res}_{z=-2} \Gamma(z) e^{\alpha z} \right)$$

To calculate these residues, we wish to transform the equation

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=-n} \Gamma(z) e^{\alpha z} = \lim_{z \rightarrow -n} (z+n) \Gamma(z) e^{\alpha z}$$

in such a way to make the argument of the gamma function positive, where  $n$  is a nonnegative integer.

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Res}_{z=-n} \Gamma(z)e^{\alpha z} &= \lim_{z \rightarrow -n} (z+n)\Gamma(z)e^{\alpha z} \\
&= \lim_{z \rightarrow -n} (z+n) \cdot \frac{z(z+1) \cdots (z+n)}{z(z+1) \cdots (z+n)} \Gamma(z)e^{\alpha z} \\
&= \lim_{z \rightarrow -n} \frac{\Gamma(z+n+1)e^{\alpha z}}{z(z+1) \cdots (z+n-1)} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(0)e^{-n\alpha}}{(-n)(-n+1) \cdots (-1)} \\
&= \frac{(-e^\alpha)^n}{n!} \\
\therefore I &= 2i\pi \left( 1 - e^\alpha + \frac{e^{2\alpha}}{2} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

34. (i)  $|x| \ll 1$ : We use the familiar Taylor expansion of  $\sin t$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^x dt \frac{\sin t}{t} &= \int_0^x dt \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k+1)!} t^{2k} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k+1) \cdot (2k+1)!} x^{2k+1} \\
&= x - \frac{x^3}{18} + \frac{x^5}{600} - \cdots
\end{aligned}$$

Note that this series absolutely converges for all values of  $x$ .

(ii)  $|x| \gg 1$ : Here, following the exercise deriving Eq. (3-72), we perform repeated integration by parts. To deal with the trigonometric functions more

easily, we express them as complex exponentials.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^x dt \frac{\sin t}{t} &= \frac{\pi}{2} - \Im \left\{ \int_x^\infty dt \frac{e^{it}}{t} \right\} \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2} + \Im \left\{ \int_x^\infty dt \frac{e^{i(t-\pi)}}{t} \right\} \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2} + \Im \left\{ \left[ \frac{-ie^{i(t-\pi)}}{t} \right]_x^\infty - \int_x^\infty dt \frac{-ie^{i(t-\pi)}}{-t^2} \right\} \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2} + \Im \left\{ \frac{e^{i(x-\frac{\pi}{2})}}{x} \right\} + \Im \left\{ \int_x^\infty dt \frac{e^{i(t-\frac{3\pi}{2})}}{t^2} \right\} \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2} + \Im \left\{ \frac{e^{i(x-\frac{\pi}{2})}}{x} \right\} + \Im \left\{ \left[ \frac{-ie^{i(t-\frac{3\pi}{2})}}{t} \right]_x^\infty - 2 \int_x^\infty dt \frac{-ie^{i(t-\frac{3\pi}{2})}}{-t^3} \right\} \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2} + \Im \left\{ \frac{e^{i(x-\frac{\pi}{2})}}{x} \right\} + \Im \left\{ \frac{e^{i(x-\pi)}}{x^2} \right\} + 2\Im \left\{ \int_x^\infty dt \frac{e^{i(t-2\pi)}}{t^3} \right\} \\
&= \dots \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2} + \sum_{k=0}^n k! \Im \left\{ \frac{e^{i(x-\frac{k+1}{2}\pi)}}{x^{k+1}} \right\} + (n+1)! \Im \left\{ \int_x^\infty dt \frac{e^{i(t-\frac{n+3}{2}\pi)}}{t^{n+2}} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the sum in this expression diverges for all finite values of  $x$ , since the summand grows like  $k!$ .

35. We make use of the saddle-point approximation for this integral. Let  $f(t) := xt - e^t$  such that  $I(x) = \int_0^\infty dt \exp(f(t))$ .  $f(t)$  has a single stationary point, which is a global maximum at  $(\ln x, x \ln x - x)$ . The second derivative of  $f(t)$  is evaluated to  $-x$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\therefore I &\approx \int_{-\infty}^\infty dt e^{-\frac{x}{2}(t-\ln x)^2 + x \ln x - x} \\
&= e^{x \ln x - x} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dt e^{-\frac{x}{2}t^2} \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{x}} \left(\frac{x}{e}\right)^x
\end{aligned}$$

What might be more important than this calculation is defending that the saddle-point approximation holds in this case. To this end, one should argue that the integral around the “hump” of  $f(x)$  contributes the most to the integral. For this, one notices that the height of the hump grows like  $x \ln x$ , while the curvature at that point grows like  $x$ . Thus, the width of the hump, which can be approximated by the full width at half maximum of the parabola it is approximated as, grows like  $\sqrt{\ln x}$ . While the exact value of the width tends to grow with  $x$ , it is positioned at  $t = \ln x$ , which moves away from the origin

quadratically faster than the growth of the width. Thus, as  $x$  becomes larger, the integral is better approximated via the parabola at the hump, i.e., the saddle-point approximation.

36. The average number of reactions per time is given by the integral

$$I := \int_0^\infty dE N E e^{-E/kT} \cdot M e^{-\alpha/\sqrt{E}} = N M \int_0^\infty dE \exp\left(-\frac{E}{kT} - \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{E}} + \ln E\right).$$

To employ the saddle-point approximation, let

$$f(E) := -\frac{E}{kT} - \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{E}} + \ln E.$$

$$\Rightarrow f'(E) = -\frac{1}{kT} + \frac{\alpha}{2E^{3/2}} + \frac{1}{E}$$

Suppose  $f(E)$  has a stationary point at  $E_0 = \lambda_0 kT$ .

$$f'(E_0) = -\frac{1}{kT} + \frac{\alpha}{2(kT)^{3/2}} \lambda_0^{-3/2} + \frac{1}{\lambda_0 kT} = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_0 \approx 1$$

$$\Rightarrow f(kT) = -1 - \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{kT}} + \ln(kT) \approx \ln(kT) - 1$$

$$f''(kT) = -\frac{3}{4} \frac{\alpha}{(kT)^{5/2}} - \frac{1}{(kT)^2} \approx -\frac{1}{(kT)^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore I &\approx N M \int_{-\infty}^\infty dv \exp\left(\ln(kT) - 1 - \frac{v^2}{2(kT)^2}\right) \\ &= N M \frac{kT}{e} \cdot \sqrt{2\pi(kT)^2} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{e} N M (kT)^2 \end{aligned}$$

37. We must evaluate the integral

$$\psi(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{l}) := \int \frac{d\Omega}{(1 + \mathbb{k} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}})(1 + \mathbb{l} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}})}$$

using Feynman's identity (Eq. (3-28)).

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \psi(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{l}) &= \int d\Omega \int_0^1 du \frac{1}{((1 + \mathbb{k} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}})u + (1 + \mathbb{l} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}})(1 - u))^2} \\ &= \int_0^1 du \int \frac{d\Omega}{(1 + (u\mathbb{k} + (1 - u)\mathbb{l}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}})^2} \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$F(\mathbf{v}) := \int \frac{d\Omega}{(1 + \mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}})^2}.$$

This scalar-valued integral is a function of a vector; thus, it may only depend on the vector's magnitude. Let  $v := \|\mathbf{v}\|$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow F(\mathbf{v}) &= F(v\hat{\mathbf{z}}) \\ &= \int \frac{d\Omega}{(1 + vz)^2} \\ &= \int_0^\pi d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \sin \theta \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + v \cos \theta)^2} \\ &= 2\pi \left[ \frac{1}{v(1 + v \cos \theta)} \right]_0^\pi \\ &= \frac{4\pi}{1 - v^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \psi(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}) &= \int_0^1 du F(u\mathbf{k} + (1 - u)\mathbf{l}) \\ &= 4\pi \int_0^1 \frac{du}{1 - \|u\mathbf{k} + (1 - u)\mathbf{l}\|^2} \\ &= 4\pi \int_0^1 \frac{du}{1 - l^2 - 2(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{l} - l^2)u + (k^2 + l^2 - 2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{l})u^2} \end{aligned}$$

where  $k := \|\mathbf{k}\|$  and  $l := \|\mathbf{l}\|$ . Now, notice that

$$\frac{d}{dx} \tanh^{-1} x = \frac{1}{1 - x^2}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{c - 2bx - ax^2} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{ac + b^2}} \cdot \frac{\frac{a}{\sqrt{ac + b^2}}}{1 - \left( \frac{a}{\sqrt{ac + b^2}} \left( x + \frac{b}{a} \right) \right)^2} \\ &= \frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{ac + b^2}} \tanh^{-1} \left( \frac{ax + b}{\sqrt{ac + b^2}} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \psi(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}) &= \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{(1 - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{l})^2 - (1 - k^2)(1 - l^2)}} \\ &\quad \times \left[ \tanh^{-1} \left( \frac{(k^2 + l^2 - 2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{l})u + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{l} - l^2}{\sqrt{(1 - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{l})^2 - (1 - k^2)(1 - l^2)}} \right) \right]_0^1 \\ &= \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{A^2 - B^2}} \left( \tanh^{-1} \left( \frac{A - 1 + k^2}{\sqrt{A^2 - B^2}} \right) + \tanh^{-1} \left( \frac{A - 1 + l^2}{\sqrt{A^2 - B^2}} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$



where  $A := 1 - \mathbb{k} \cdot \mathbb{l}$  and  $B := \sqrt{(1 - k^2)(1 - l^2)}$ .

Now, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \cosh(\tanh^{-1} x + \tanh^{-1} y) &= \cosh(\tanh^{-1} x) \cosh(\tanh^{-1} y) \\ &\quad + \sinh(\tanh^{-1} x) \sinh(\tanh^{-1} y) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - x^2)} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 - y^2)} + \frac{x}{(1 - x^2)} \cdot \frac{y}{(1 - y^2)} \\ &= \frac{1 + xy}{\sqrt{(1 - x^2)(1 - y^2)}}. \end{aligned}$$

In our case, we have

$$x = \frac{A - 1 + k^2}{\sqrt{A^2 - B^2}} \text{ and } y = \frac{A - 1 + l^2}{\sqrt{A^2 - B^2}}$$

which yields

$$1 + xy = \frac{A}{A^2 - B^2} (2A + k^2 + l^2 - 2)$$

and

$$\sqrt{(1 - x^2)(1 - y^2)} = \frac{B}{A^2 - B^2} (2A + k^2 + l^2 - 2).$$

$$\Rightarrow \tanh^{-1} x + \tanh^{-1} y = \cosh^{-1} \left( \frac{1 + xy}{\sqrt{(1 - x^2)(1 - y^2)}} \right) = \cosh^{-1} \frac{A}{B}$$

$$\therefore \psi(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{l}) = \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{A^2 - B^2}} \cosh^{-1} \frac{A}{B}$$

38. If you have read the textbook thoroughly (unlike myself), then you may recall the “method of stationary phase” explained around Eq. (3–88).

$$f_n(x) = \int_C dt \exp \left( ix \left( \frac{n}{x} t - \sin t \right) \right)$$

Let  $g(t) := \frac{n}{x} t - \sin t$ . For large positive values of  $x$ , nearly all contribution to the integral comes near

$$g'(t_0) = \frac{n}{x} - \cos t_0 = 0 \Rightarrow t_0 = -\cos^{-1} \frac{n}{x}.$$

$$g(t_0) = -\frac{n}{x} \cos^{-1} \frac{n}{x} + \sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{n}{x} \right)^2}$$

$$g''(t_0) = -\sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{n}{x} \right)^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\therefore f_n(x) &\approx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \exp \left( ix \left( g(t_0) + \frac{g''(t_0)}{2} (t - t_0)^2 \right) \right) \\
&= e^{ixg(t_0)} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{ixg''(t_0)}} \\
&= e^{i(\sqrt{x^2 - n^2} - n \cos^{-1} \frac{n}{x} - \frac{\pi}{4})} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{x \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{n}{x} \right)^2 \right)}}
\end{aligned}$$

For large values of  $x$ , we can simplify this expression to only consider leading powers of  $x$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\therefore f_n(x) &\approx e^{i \left( x \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{n}{x} \right)^2 \right) - n \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{n}{x} \right) - \frac{\pi}{4} \right)} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{x}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{n}{x} \right)^2 \right) \\
&\approx \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{x}} e^{i \left( x - \frac{2n+1}{4} \pi \right)} \left( 1 + \frac{in^2}{2x} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

## 4 Chapter 4

1. As  $f(x)$  is an even function, we immediately see that no sine terms will be present in the series. Let

$$f(x) = \frac{A_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \cos \frac{2n\pi}{L} x.$$

$$A_0 = \frac{2}{L} \int_{-\frac{L}{2}}^{\frac{L}{2}} dx f(x) = \frac{4}{L} \int_0^{\frac{L}{2}} dx \left( 1 - \frac{2}{L} x \right) = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_n &= \frac{2}{L} \int_{-\frac{L}{2}}^{\frac{L}{2}} dx f(x) \cos \frac{2n\pi}{L} x \\
&= \frac{4}{L} \int_0^{\frac{L}{2}} dx \left( 1 - \frac{2}{L} x \right) \cos \frac{2n\pi}{L} x \\
&= \frac{2(1 - (-1)^n)}{\pi^2 n^2} \\
&= \begin{cases} \frac{4}{\pi^2 n^2} & (2 \nmid n) \\ 0 & (2 \mid n) \end{cases} \quad (n \neq 0)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\therefore f(x) &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^2} \cos \frac{2(2n+1)\pi}{L} x \\
&= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{\pi^2} \left( \cos \frac{2\pi}{L} x + \frac{1}{9} \cos \frac{6\pi}{L} x + \frac{1}{25} \cos \frac{10\pi}{L} x + \dots \right)
\end{aligned}$$

2. (a) The Fourier series is given by Eq. (4-4):

$$f(\theta) = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2n+1)\theta}{2n+1}.$$

Thus, let us denote the partial sums as

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(\theta) &:= \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\sin(2k+1)\theta}{2k+1}. \\ \Rightarrow f'_n(\theta) &= \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^n \cos(2k+1)\theta \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi} \Re \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n e^{i(2k+1)\theta} \right\} \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi} \Re \left\{ \frac{e^{i\theta} (1 - e^{2in\theta})}{1 - e^{2i\theta}} \right\} \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\sin 2n\theta}{\sin \theta} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the first overshoot, i.e., local maximum occurs at  $\frac{\pi}{2n}$ .

$$\therefore \delta_n = f_n\left(\frac{\pi}{2n}\right) - 1 = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{2k+1} \sin\left(\frac{2k+1}{2n}\pi\right) - 1$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n &= \frac{4}{\pi} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\sin \frac{2k+1}{2n}\pi}{\frac{2k+1}{2n}} \cdot \frac{1}{2n} - 1 \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 dx \frac{\sin \pi x}{x} - 1 \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi du \frac{\sin u}{u} - 1 \quad (u := \pi x) \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \text{Si}(\pi) - 1 \end{aligned}$$

3. (a) We basically express the piecewise function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} -e^x & (-1 < x < 0) \\ e^{-x} & (0 < x < 1) \end{cases}$$

as a Fourier series. Since this function is defined to be odd, we will only obtain the sine terms:

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \sin n\pi x. \\
\Rightarrow B_n &= 2 \int_0^1 dx e^{-x} \sin n\pi x = \frac{2n\pi}{n^2\pi^2 + 1} \left(1 - \frac{(-1)^n}{e}\right) \\
\therefore f(x) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2n\pi}{n^2\pi^2 + 1} \left(1 - \frac{(-1)^n}{e}\right) \sin n\pi x \\
&= \frac{\pi}{\pi^2 + 1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{e}\right) \sin \pi x + \frac{2\pi}{4\pi^2 + 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{e}\right) \sin 2\pi x \\
&\quad + \frac{3\pi}{9\pi^2 + 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{e}\right) \sin 3\pi x + \dots
\end{aligned}$$

(b) Let

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x) = e^{-x} &= \frac{A_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \cos 2n\pi x + B_n \sin 2n\pi x) \quad (0 < x < 1). \\
\Rightarrow A_n &= 2 \int_0^1 dx e^{-x} \cos 2n\pi x = \frac{2}{4n^2\pi^2 + 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{e}\right) \\
B_n &= 2 \int_0^1 dx e^{-x} \sin 2n\pi x = \frac{4n\pi}{4n^2\pi^2 + 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{e}\right) \\
\therefore f(x) &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{e}\right) \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 \cos 2n\pi x + 4n\pi \sin 2n\pi x}{4n^2\pi^2 + 1}\right) \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{1}{e}\right) \left(1 + \frac{2 \cos 2\pi x + 4\pi \sin 2\pi x}{4\pi^2 + 1}\right. \\
&\quad \left.+ \frac{2 \cos 4\pi x + 8\pi \sin 4\pi x}{16\pi^2 + 1} + \frac{2 \cos 6\pi x + 12\pi \sin 6\pi x}{36\pi^2 + 1} + \dots\right)
\end{aligned}$$

4. For sinusoidal input functions, we can rewrite the linear system's outputs as

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt' G(t-t') \sin \frac{2n\pi}{T} t' &= \left(\frac{\omega_0 T}{2n\pi}\right)^2 \sin \frac{2n\pi}{T} t, \\
\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt' G(t-t') \cos \frac{2n\pi}{T} t' &= \left(\frac{\omega_0 T}{2n\pi}\right)^2 \cos \frac{2n\pi}{T} t,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt' G(t-t') = 0.$$

For “sufficiently well-behaved” inputs<sup>8</sup>  $f$ , these conditions are sufficient to ensure the correct behavior of the linear system, as these inputs may be expanded into Fourier series, which the system acts on termwise.

Now, let us consider the Fourier expansion of  $G(t)$  itself as

$$G(-(t - t_0)) := \frac{A_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( A_n \cos \frac{2n\pi}{T} t + B_n \sin \frac{2n\pi}{T} t \right)$$

where the coefficients  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  will, in general, depend on  $t_0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= \frac{2}{T} \int_0^T dt' G(t_0 - t') = 0 \\ \Rightarrow A_n &= \frac{2}{T} \int_0^T dt' G(t_0 - t') \cos \frac{2n\pi}{T} t' = 2 \left( \frac{\omega_0 T}{2n\pi} \right)^2 \cos \frac{2n\pi}{T} t_0 \\ B_n &= \frac{2}{T} \int_0^T dt' G(t_0 - t') \sin \frac{2n\pi}{T} t' = 2 \left( \frac{\omega_0 T}{2n\pi} \right)^2 \sin \frac{2n\pi}{T} t_0 \\ \Rightarrow G(t_0 - t) &= \frac{\omega_0^2 T^2}{2\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \left( \cos \frac{2n\pi}{T} t_0 \cos \frac{2n\pi}{T} t + \cos \frac{2n\pi}{T} t_0 \cos \frac{2n\pi}{T} t_0 \right) \\ &= \frac{\omega_0^2 T^2}{2\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \cos \frac{2n\pi}{T} (t_0 - t) \\ \therefore G(t) &= \frac{\omega_0^2 T^2}{2\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \cos \frac{2n\pi}{T} t \end{aligned}$$

(Note: This series might look like it could be further simplified; however, to do so requires defining something like the polylogarithm function<sup>9</sup>, which is a step I will not take.)

5. Let

$$\begin{aligned} f(\theta) &:= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2n+1)\theta}{(2n+1)^2} \\ \Rightarrow f''(\theta) &= - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \cos(2n+1)\theta = -\Re \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{i(2n+1)\theta} \right\} = 0 \\ \Rightarrow f'(\theta) &= f' \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right) = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{2n+1} = -\frac{\pi}{4} \\ \Rightarrow f(\theta) &= f(0) - \frac{\pi}{4}\theta = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\theta = \frac{\pi^2}{8} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{\pi}\theta \right) \end{aligned}$$

<sup>8</sup>As in, inputs in the domain densely spanned by the sinusoidal functions.

<sup>9</sup><https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Polylogarithm>

Now note that  $f$  must be periodic and even, which this linear function definitely is not. Yet, nowhere in our derivation did we specify the range of  $\theta$ ! What went wrong?

The answer is that our  $f''(\theta)$  is not strictly true; it diverges at integral multiples of  $\pi$ . Hence, we cannot invoke the fundamental theorem of calculus to obtain  $f'(\theta)$  in one go. However, the above derivation works on the interval  $(0, \pi)$ , which we periodically and evenly extend to the entire real line.

$$\therefore f(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi^2}{8} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi}(\theta - 2k\pi)\right) & (2k\pi < \theta < (2k+1)\pi) \\ \frac{\pi^2}{8} \left(1 + \frac{2}{\pi}(\theta - 2k\pi)\right) & ((2k-1)\pi < \theta < 2k\pi) \end{cases}$$

(Note: What about the part where we evaluate  $f(0)$ ? Is that also erroneous? Technically yes, but the diligent mathematicians have proven Abel's theorem<sup>10</sup>, with which we may correctly write

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0+} f(\theta) = \frac{\pi^2}{8}.$$

Of course, we are physicists, so *obviously* it works!)

6. Equalities between delta “function”-like things should be dealt with care. A rigorous-enough definition of equality for physicists is given by

$$A(x) = B(x) \Leftrightarrow (\forall f(x)) \int dx f(x) A(x) = \int dx f(x) B(x).$$

Hence, this problem could be viewed as proving the equality

$$\delta[g(x)] = \frac{\delta(x - x_0)}{|g'(x_0)|}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b dx f(x) \delta[g(x)] &= \int_{g(a)}^{g(b)} \frac{du}{g'(x(u))} f(x(u)) \delta(u) & (u := g(x)) \\ &= \text{sign}(g(b) - g(a)) \frac{f(x(u))}{g'(x(u))} \Big|_{u=0} \\ &= \text{sign}(g'(x_0)) \frac{f(x_0)}{g'(x_0)} \\ &= \frac{f(x_0)}{|g'(x_0)|} \end{aligned}$$

7. It is 0. It is just 0. No doubt.

You need an explanation? The integrand is identically zero on the integration range  $[0, \pi] \times [1, 2]$ . Just look! Never are both of the arguments to the delta functions zero.

---

<sup>10</sup>[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Abel%27s\\_theorem](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Abel%27s_theorem)

Fine, I'll give a formal proof. It's really no need, you see.

$$\begin{aligned}
I &:= \int_0^\pi dx \int_1^2 dy \delta(\sin x) \delta(x^2 - y^2) \\
&= \int_0^\pi dx \delta(\sin x) \left( \mathbb{1}\{x \in [1, 2]\} \cdot \frac{1}{|-2y|} \Big|_{y=x} + \mathbb{1}\{x \in [1, 2]\} \cdot \frac{1}{|-2y|} \Big|_{y=-x} \right) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\mathbb{1}\{p\}$  equals 1 if  $p$  is true and 0 if false.

8.

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{k}) &= \int \frac{d^3x}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \psi(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\
&= \frac{1}{16\pi^2 a_0^{5/2}} \int d^3x z e^{-r/2a_0 - i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\
&= \frac{i}{16\pi^2 a_0^{5/2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_z} \int d^3x e^{-r/2a_0 - i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \\
&= \frac{i}{16\pi^2 a_0^{5/2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_z} \int_0^\infty dr r^2 \int_{-1}^1 d(\cos \theta) \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi e^{-r/2a_0 - ikr \cos \theta} \\
&= \frac{i}{8\pi a_0^{5/2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_z} \int_0^\infty dr r^2 e^{-r/2a_0} \left[ -\frac{i}{kr} e^{-ikr \cos \theta} \right]_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\pi} \\
&= \frac{1}{8\pi a_0^{5/2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_z} \left( \frac{1}{k} \int_0^\infty dr r e^{-r/2a_0} (e^{ikr} - e^{-ikr}) \right) \\
&= \frac{i}{8\pi a_0^{5/2}} \frac{\partial k}{\partial k_z} \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \left( k^2 + \frac{1}{4a_0^2} \right)^{-2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2i\pi a_0^{3/2}} \frac{k_z}{(k^2 + 1/4a_0^2)^3}
\end{aligned}$$

(Note: The original integral is not spherically symmetric, since the integrand is not a coordinate-independent scalar (it depends on  $z$ ). Consequently, the resulting expression depends on more than just the magnitude of  $\mathbf{k}$ . However, on the fourth equality sign, we arbitrarily chose the  $z$  axis to be along  $\mathbf{k}$ . This is because upon applying Feynman's trick, the integrand becomes spherically symmetric again. This exercise is a good training for applying symmetry arguments only when applicable.)

9. (a) The Fourier transform of the input function is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{f}(\omega) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\sqrt{2\pi}} f(t) e^{-i\omega t} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} dt e^{-(\lambda+i\omega)t} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\lambda + i\omega}\end{aligned}$$

while that of the response function is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{F}(\omega) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\sqrt{2\pi}} F(t) e^{-i\omega t} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} dt \left( e^{-(\lambda+i\omega)t} - e^{-(\lambda+\alpha+i\omega)t} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda + i\omega} - \frac{1}{\lambda + \alpha + i\omega} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile, since the system is linear, we know that

$$\tilde{F}(\omega) = G(-\omega) \tilde{f}(\omega)$$

since each oscillatory mode  $e^{i\omega t}$  transforms to  $G(-\omega)e^{-i\omega t}$ .

$$\therefore G(\omega) = 1 + \frac{\lambda - i\omega}{\lambda + \alpha - i\omega}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{f}(\omega) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{2\pi}} A \delta(x) e^{-i\omega t} \\ &= \frac{A}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow \tilde{F}(\omega) &= G(-\omega) \tilde{f}(\omega) \\ &= \frac{A}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda - i\omega}{\lambda + \alpha - i\omega} \right) \\ &= \frac{A}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( 2 - \frac{\alpha}{\lambda + \alpha - i\omega} \right)\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore F(t) = A \left( 2\delta(t) - \alpha e^{-(\lambda+\alpha+i\omega)t} \right)$$



10.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}[f] &= \int_0^\infty dx f(x) e^{-sx} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \int_{2n}^{2n+1} dx e^{-sx} \\
&= \frac{1}{s} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left( e^{-2ns} - e^{-(2n+1)s} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{s} \left( \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2s}} - \frac{e^{-s}}{1 - e^{-2s}} \right) \\
&= \frac{e^{s/2} \sinh s/2}{s \sinh s}
\end{aligned}$$

11. The crucial insight to solve this problem (at least in my case) was the realization that the transformation  $f(x) \mapsto g(y)$  is linear in its arguments. Hence, describing the transformation for only a set of basis functions is enough!

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x) &:= e^{i\omega x} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(i\omega)^n}{n!} x^n \\
\mapsto g(y) &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty (i\omega y)^n = \frac{1}{1 - i\omega y}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\therefore g(y) &= \int \frac{d\omega}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \tilde{f}(\omega) \cdot \frac{1}{1 - i\omega y} \\
&= \int \frac{d\omega}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{1 - i\omega y} \cdot \int \frac{dx}{\sqrt{2\pi}} f(x) e^{-i\omega x} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\omega \int dx f(x) \frac{e^{-i\omega x}}{1 - i\omega y}
\end{aligned}$$

Here, the ranges of the integrals are assumed to be over the entire real axis.

12.

$$\begin{aligned}
J_0(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \frac{e^{i \cos \theta x} + e^{-i \cos \theta x}}{2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta e^{i \cos \theta x} \quad \left( \because \cos \theta \text{ symmetric about } \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dk}{\sqrt{1 - k^2}} e^{ikx} \quad (k := \cos \theta) \\
&= \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{-i}^i \frac{ds}{\sqrt{1 + s^2}} e^{sx} \quad (s := ik) \\
&= \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} ds \frac{\mathbb{1}_{\{-1 < \Im s < 1\}}}{\sqrt{1 + s^2}} e^{sx}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \mathcal{L}[J_0](s) = \frac{\mathbb{1}\{-1 < \Im s < 1\}}{\sqrt{1+s^2}}$$

Here,  $\mathbb{1}\{p\}$  evaluates to 1 if  $p$  is true and 0 if  $p$  is false. This function is used to constrain  $s$  to be inside a horizontal strip, where the Laplace transform stays analytic.

13. (Solution 1) We perform a partial fraction decomposition to obtain formulae whose inverse Laplace transforms are trivial (or at least well documented).

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(s^2+1)(s-1)} &= \frac{-s/2-1/2}{s^2+1} + \frac{1/2}{s-1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{s-1} - \frac{s}{s^2+1} - \frac{1}{s^2+1} \right) \\ \therefore \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{(s^2+1)(s-1)} \right] (x) &= \frac{1}{2} (e^x - \cos x - \sin x) \end{aligned}$$

(Solution 2) We apply properties of Laplace transforms to gradually reduce the problem.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{(s^2+1)(s-1)} \right] (x) &= e^x \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{((s+1)^2+1)s} \right] (x) \\ &= e^x \int_0^x dt \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{(s+1)^2+1} \right] (t) \\ &= e^x \int_0^x dt e^{-t} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{s^2+1} \right] (t) \\ &= e^x \int_0^x dt e^{-t} \sin t \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (e^x - \cos x - \sin x) \end{aligned}$$

14. In matrix form, the coupled differential equations can be written as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} N_1 \\ N_2 \\ N_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_1 & & \\ \lambda_1 & -\lambda_2 & \\ & \lambda_2 & -\lambda_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} N_1 \\ N_2 \\ N_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} F_i &:= \mathcal{L}[N_i](s). \\ \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}[\dot{N}_i](s) &= sF_i - N_i(0) \\ \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} sF_1 - N \\ sF_2 \\ sF_3 - n \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_1 & & \\ \lambda_1 & -\lambda_2 & \\ & \lambda_2 & -\lambda_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \\ F_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} s+\lambda_1 & & \\ -\lambda_1 & s+\lambda_2 & \\ & -\lambda_2 & s+\lambda_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \\ F_3 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} N \\ \\ m \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow F_3 &= \frac{n}{s + \lambda_3} - \frac{N\lambda_1\lambda_2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)} \\ &\quad \cdot \left( \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_3}{s - \lambda_1} + \frac{\lambda_3 - \lambda_1}{s - \lambda_2} + \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{s - \lambda_3} \right) \\ \therefore N_3(t) &= ne^{-\lambda_3 t} - \frac{N\lambda_1\lambda_2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)} \\ &\quad \cdot ((\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)e^{-\lambda_1 t} + (\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)e^{-\lambda_2 t} + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)e^{-\lambda_3 t})\end{aligned}$$

(Note 1: What if two of the  $\lambda_i$ 's are the same? In this case, the inverse Laplace transform becomes more complicated, and the solution would take the form of either  $te^{-t}$  (multiplicity 2) or  $t^2e^{-t}$  (multiplicity 3).)

(Note 2: Solving the linear system of equations, in this case is straightforward since the matrix is already lower triangular. However, since only  $F_3$  is of interest in our case, the Cramer's rule<sup>11</sup> may be more efficient, especially because the determinant calculations are also relatively simple.)

## 5 Chapter 5

**Note of Warning** To be perfectly candid, I kind of hate solutions that use a wholly unmotivated conformal mapping, since they feel more like things to memorize than a skill I can train and apply to other problems. I will try to provided as much motivation as possible, but please keep this mindset of mine in mind.

1. The problem describes a linear array of charged strips, as shown in Figure 13a. We wish to find a conformal map that transforms this into a problem with a known solution. It is helpful to approach this step by step.

The first problem we see is that there are infinitely many charged sheets; we wish to collapse them into a single strip. To do so, we should employ a map that is periodic with period  $2(a + b)$ . Hence, we employ the map

$$\zeta := \exp\left(i \frac{\pi z}{a + b}\right) \Leftrightarrow z = -i(a + b) \ln \zeta.$$

This yields Figure 13b, where the charged strips collapse into a single one shaped as a circular arc (or a cylindrical arc in 3D).

We now want to map this circular arc into a well-known shape. One way to do so is given by the Möbius transformation<sup>12</sup>, which is often introduced as an important class of conformal mappings in other textbooks. With that motivation-via-divine-intervention aside, let us consider the map

$$\eta = \frac{a\zeta + b}{c\zeta + d}.$$

<sup>11</sup>[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cramer%27s\\_rule](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cramer%27s_rule)

<sup>12</sup>[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/M%C3%B6bius\\_transformation](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/M%C3%B6bius_transformation)




Figure 13: Results of conformal mappings used in Problem 5–1.

We will attempt to transform the unit circle in the  $\zeta$  plane into the real axis in the  $\eta$  plane. Also, it would be nice to have  $1$  map to the origin for more symmetry and  $-1$  to infinity because it is not part of the charged strip. These conditions constrain the transformation to

$$\eta = a \frac{1 - \zeta}{1 + \zeta}.$$

Finally, requiring that  $i$  maps to a point on the real axis, we get

$$\eta := i \frac{1 - \zeta}{1 + \zeta} \Leftrightarrow \zeta = \frac{i - \eta}{i + \eta}.$$

This transformation actually maps the point  $e^{i\alpha}$  to  $\tan \frac{\alpha}{2}$ , so it places the charged strip on the real interval from  $-\tan \frac{\pi a}{2(a+b)}$  to  $\tan \frac{\pi a}{2(a+b)}$ . This is shown in Figure 13c.

This final situation in the  $\eta$  was dealt with in the main text and is solved by

$$f(\eta) = -\frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{\eta}{\tan(\pi a/2(a+b))} \right).$$

Composing the two conformal maps yields

$$\begin{aligned}\eta(z) &= \tan\left(\frac{\pi z}{2(a+b)}\right). \\ \therefore F(z) &= f(\eta(z)) \\ &= -\frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{\tan\left(\frac{\pi z}{2(a+b)}\right)}{\tan\left(\frac{\pi a}{2(a+b)}\right)}\right)\end{aligned}$$

The potential is given by the imaginary part of this function.

(Note: It may be possible to directly motivate the conformal map  $\eta(z)$  as follows: The map should be periodic as discussed earlier, but we also require that real values are mapped to real values. This would ensure that the charged strips stay straight, which may be easier to calculate. The tangent function achieves this as shown in this solution, but one may be tempted to use one of the other trigonometric functions. The reader is encouraged to try out other possible mappings.)

2. First, consider a single line charge  $\lambda$  at the origin. We want to express the potential  $V(x, y)$  as the imaginary component of some complex function

$$F(z) = U(x, y) + iV(x, y).$$

Rotational symmetry implies that  $V$  is solely a function of  $r := |z|$ ; consequently, the streamlines formed by  $U = \text{const.}$  must be radial. Moreover,  $U$  must be multivalued since the integral

$$\oint_{r=\text{const.}} dz(\nabla V)_n = \Delta U$$

is nonzero (unless  $V$  itself is zero). In other words, following a circular contour around the origin increments  $U$  by a fixed amount. “Therefore,” the only analytic function that satisfies these conditions is

$$F(z) := iC \ln z = C(-\theta + i \ln r) \quad (z = re^{i\theta}).$$

(Note: I really hoped that I could come up with an air-tight argument for the logarithm to appear here; if you have any ideas other than direct calculation of the potential, please let me know!)

Integration around the origin then should represent the total linear charge density enclosed:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\lambda}{\epsilon_0} &= \oint_{r=\text{const.}} dz(\nabla V)_n = \Delta U = -2\pi C. \\ \Rightarrow C &= -\frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \Rightarrow F(z) = -\frac{i\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \ln z\end{aligned}$$

Confusingly enough, this problem in particular requires that the *real* part be the potential. Thus, we divide by  $i$  and use

$$F(z) = -\frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \ln z.$$

For the 2D-equivalent of the electric dipole as described in the problem, we simply superpose the functions for each of the line charge.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore W(z) &= \lim_{d \rightarrow 0^+} \left( -\frac{p/2d}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \ln(z - id) + \left( -\frac{-p/2d}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \ln(z + id) \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{ip}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \lim_{d \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\ln(z + id) - \ln(z - id)}{2id} \\ &= \frac{ip}{2\pi\epsilon_0 z} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the electric potential is given by

$$\Re\{W(z)\} = \frac{p}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}.$$




Figure 14: Results of conformal mappings used in Problem 5–3. Note that the grounded symbols have not been mapped conformally.

3. The effects of the given transformation is shown in Figure 14. The region between the plates in the  $z$  plane is mapped to the upper half plane in the  $\zeta$  plane. Moreover, the two charged plates are mapped onto the positive and negative halves of the real axis. Therefore, we should find a complex function  $F(\zeta)$  such that its imaginary part vanishes on the real axis while showing a log-like behavior near  $e^{i\frac{a}{b}\pi}$ . Those with some electrostatic problem-solving experience may recall the image charge method<sup>13</sup>. Those without could tinker around, perhaps placing some negative charges trying to cancel out the potential on the

<sup>13</sup>[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Method\\_of\\_image\\_charges](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Method_of_image_charges)

real axis. This may lead you to placing a charged line of charge density  $-\lambda$  at  $\zeta = e^{-i\frac{a}{b}\pi}$ .

$$\Rightarrow F(\zeta) = \frac{i\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0} (\ln(\zeta - e^{-i\frac{a}{b}\pi}) - \ln(\zeta - e^{i\frac{a}{b}\pi}))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow f(z) &= F(\zeta(z)) \\ &= \frac{i\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0} (\ln(e^{i\frac{z}{b}} - e^{-i\frac{a}{b}\pi}) - \ln(e^{i\frac{z}{b}} - e^{i\frac{a}{b}\pi})) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore V(x, y) &= \Im\{f(z)\} \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \Re\left\{\left(\ln\left(e^{i\frac{x+iy}{b}} - e^{-i\frac{a}{b}\pi}\right) - \ln\left(e^{i\frac{x+iy}{b}} - e^{i\frac{a}{b}\pi}\right)\right)\right\} \end{aligned}$$




Figure 15: The surface of a charged conductor, used in Problem 5–4.

4. Suppose we traverse near the surface of a sheet of conductor from  $P$  to  $Q$ , such that the conductor stays on the right of the direction of traversal. Such a contour is shown in Figure 15. The electric field and the gradients of the potential and the streaming function are also shown, where  $\nabla V$  must be counterclockwise to  $\nabla U$ .

For computational ease, let us equate the 2D vector  $(a, b)$  with the complex number  $a + ib$ . This leads to some rather uncomfortable, yet wieldy expressions such as

$$\nabla V = -E_n = i\nabla U.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \frac{\sigma}{\epsilon_0} &= E_n \\ &= -\nabla V \cdot \hat{n} \\ &= -(i\nabla U) \cdot (i\hat{s}) \\ &= \nabla U \cdot \hat{s} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\therefore C(P, Q) &= \int_P^Q ds \sigma \\
&= \epsilon_0 \int_P^Q ds \nabla U \cdot \hat{s} \\
&= \epsilon_0 \int_P^Q d\mathbf{s} \cdot \nabla U \\
&= \epsilon_0 (U(Q) - U(P))
\end{aligned}$$




Figure 16: Results of conformal mappings used in Problem 5–5.

5. (a) Let's think step by step.<sup>14</sup> That is, let us consider the intermediary transformation

$$W := e^{\frac{\pi z}{d}},$$

which is plausible since it is periodic with period  $id$ . Its effect is shown in Figure 16b, with matching regions correspondingly shaded.

Of course, a manual that claims to be useful should explain how this can be drawn by hand. The orange conductor(s) is (are) mapped to the real interval

<sup>14</sup><https://arxiv.org/pdf/2205.11916>



$[1, \infty)$ , while the blue conductors to the interval  $(-\infty, -1]$ , which is straightforward to see. (Note that for the blue conductor,

$$e^{\frac{\pi}{d}(x+(2n+1)id)} = -e^{\frac{\pi x}{d}}$$

would account for the negative sign.) The gray boundary is the image of the imaginary interval  $[-id, id]$  (if such a notation makes sense), which is given by

$$\left\{ e^{\frac{i\pi t}{d}} : t \in [-d, d] \right\}$$

which traces out the unit circle.

We finally apply the arcsine transformation, which we have encountered in both the example regarding Eq. (5–9) in the main text and Problem 5–1. The result of this transformation is given in Figure 16c, where the two conductors are now parallel plates, and we only consider the region between them. At this point, how the regions are transformed doesn't really matter, but they are pretty so they get to stay.<sup>15</sup>

The problem states that now the potential-finding problem is trivial. I don't like the word 'trivial,' but it seems safe to say that this problem is way easier now. We need to find an analytic function  $F(\zeta)$  such that

$$\Im F(\zeta)|_{\Re \zeta = \pm V_0} = \pm V_0.$$

This can be achieved by the function  $F(\zeta) := i\zeta$  (quite simple indeed!).

$$\Rightarrow F(\zeta(z)) = \frac{2iV_0}{\pi} \sin^{-1} e^{\frac{\pi z}{d}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore V(x, y) &= \Im F(\zeta(z)) \\ &= \frac{2V_0}{\pi} \Re \left\{ \sin^{-1} e^{\frac{\pi z}{d}} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

(b) We use the stream function to calculate the charge accumulated on each plate. By symmetry, we only need to calculate the charge density on  $x + i0^+$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Re F(x + i0^+) &= \frac{2V_0}{\pi} \Im \left\{ \sin^{-1} e^{\frac{\pi x}{d} + i0^+} \right\} \\ &= \frac{2V_0}{\pi} \Im \left\{ \frac{\pi}{2} + i \cosh^{-1} \left( e^{\frac{\pi x}{d}} \right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{2V_0}{\pi} \ln \left( e^{\frac{\pi x}{d}} + \sqrt{e^{\frac{2\pi x}{d}} - 1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>15</sup>Note that the boundary is only approximately drawn; the actual boundary is given by (ignoring scales)  $y = \pm \cosh^{-1}(\sqrt{1 + \cos^2 x})$ , while I have drawn two parabolas with the same zeros and peaks. They do look quite similar according to Desmos, but I felt like I should mention it.

Thus, the argument of Problem 5–4 then tells us that

$$\lambda(0 + i0^+, l + i0^+) = \frac{2\epsilon_0 V_0}{\pi} \ln \left( e^{\frac{\pi l}{d}} + \sqrt{e^{\frac{2\pi l}{d}} - 1} \right)$$

where  $\lambda$  denotes the charge per unit length perpendicular to the  $z$  plane. We should note that this is the total charge accumulated, which is equally divided between the top and bottom of each conductor. Thus, the capacitance per unit length is given by

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \frac{\lambda/2}{V_0} \\ &= \frac{\epsilon_0}{\pi} \ln \left( e^{\frac{\pi l}{d}} + \sqrt{e^{\frac{2\pi l}{d}} - 1} \right) \\ &\approx \frac{\epsilon_0}{\pi} \ln \left( 2e^{\frac{\pi l}{d}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\epsilon_0}{d} \left( l + \frac{d}{\pi} \ln 2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the approximation holds for  $l \gg d$  (i.e., semi-infinite plates). Identifying  $\frac{\epsilon_0 l}{d}$  as the capacitance of infinite-plate capacitors, we conclude that the additional term  $\frac{d}{\pi} \ln 2$  must come from edge effects.

6. (a) I'm not quite sure how much rigor one can show this correspondence with. My argument is something that goes like this: Suppose the potential of this situation is the stream function of another. This means that on the real axis, the field lines should be perfectly horizontal, with discontinuities at precisely  $x = \pm a$ . This exact situation is described by two line charges at  $x = \pm a$ . Therefore, by the “boundary conditions pin down the potential” lemma<sup>16</sup>, these two situations (OP and the two line charges) precisely correspond by exchanging the potential and the stream functions.

The above argument allows us to write

$$F(z) := C_1 \ln(z - a) + C_2 \ln(z + a)$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are real constants to be determined and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x, y) &= \Im F(z) \\ &= C_1 \Im \ln(x - a + iy) + C_2 \Im \ln(x + a + iy) \\ &= C_1 \tan^{-1} \frac{y}{x - a} + C_2 \tan^{-1} \frac{y}{x + a}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the boundary conditions imply  $C_1 = -C_2 = \frac{V_0}{\pi}$ , giving

$$\phi(x, y) = \frac{V_0}{\pi} \left( \tan^{-1} \frac{y}{x - a} - \tan^{-1} \frac{y}{x + a} \right).$$

---

<sup>16</sup>[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Laplace%27s\\_equation#Electrostatics\\_2](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Laplace%27s_equation#Electrostatics_2)

(b) Place the origin at the lower left corner of the metal plate and promptly disregard the 2 in the denominator<sup>17</sup>, that is, let

$$\zeta := \cosh \frac{\pi z}{d} = \cosh \frac{\pi x}{d} \cos \frac{\pi y}{d} + i \sinh \frac{\pi x}{d} \sin \frac{\pi y}{d}.$$

The given conformal transformation will then map the plate to the upper half plane, with boundary conditions

$$T(x, 0) = \begin{cases} 0 & (x < -1) \\ T_0 & (-1 < x < 1) \\ 0 & (x > 1) \end{cases}.$$

To convince ourselves of this fact, consider tracing out the boundary of the plate.

- The point  $(x, 0)$  is mapped to  $(\cosh \frac{\pi x}{d}, 0)$ , which traces out the real interval  $(1, \infty)$ .
- The point  $(0, y)$  is mapped to  $(\cos \frac{\pi y}{d}, 0)$ , which traces out the real interval  $(-1, 1)$ .
- The point  $(x, d)$  is mapped to  $(-\cosh \frac{\pi x}{d}, 0)$ , which traces out the real interval  $(-\infty, -1)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore T(x, y) &= \frac{T_0}{\pi} \left( \frac{\Im \zeta}{\Re \zeta - 1} - \tan^{-1} \frac{\Im \zeta}{\Re \zeta + 1} \right) \\ &= \frac{T_0}{\pi} \left( \frac{\sinh \frac{\pi x}{d} \sin \frac{\pi y}{d}}{\cosh \frac{\pi x}{d} \cos \frac{\pi y}{d} - 1} - \frac{\sinh \frac{\pi x}{d} \sin \frac{\pi y}{d}}{\cosh \frac{\pi x}{d} \cos \frac{\pi y}{d} + 1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

7. (a) The proof has basically been outlined in Figure 17b. This transformation, which can be searched for as the Schwarz-Christoffel mapping<sup>18,19</sup>, uses the key insight that the phase of  $z^s$  takes a jump discontinuity on the real axis at the origin. Quantitatively, it is  $\pi s$  for  $x < 0$  and 0 for  $x > 0$  (slightly above the real axis). Therefore, the slope of the mapping, which is basically the phase of  $\frac{d\zeta}{dz}$ , changes discontinuously at  $z = a_1, a_2$ . How discontinuous? Well, it decreases by  $\pi s_1$  at  $z = a_1$  and  $\pi s_2$  at  $z = a_2$ , just as the problem claimed!

(b) For simplicity, suppose the vertices correspond to  $z = \pm 1$ . As shown in Figure 17c, this leads to phase shifts of  $-\frac{\pi}{2}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \zeta(z) &= A \int \frac{dz}{(z+1)^{1/2}(z-1)^{1/2}} \\ &= A \int \frac{dz}{(z^2-1)^{1/2}} \\ &= A \cosh^{-1} z + B \end{aligned}$$

<sup>17</sup>If anyone knows why the 2 appears here, please let me know! I honestly have no clue.

<sup>18</sup>[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Schwarz%E2%80%93Christoffel\\_mapping](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Schwarz%E2%80%93Christoffel_mapping)

<sup>19</sup>The lack of ‘t’ in ‘Schwarz’ here hurts my brain. Which is right — the textbook or Wikipedia? Probably Wikipedia; it claims that this is Schwarz in the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.




Figure 17: Results of conformal mappings used in Problem 5–7.

The constants  $A, B$  are fixed by the conditions

$$\zeta(z = -1) = i\pi A + B = -a, \quad \zeta(z = 1) = B = a.$$

$$\Rightarrow A = \frac{2ia}{\pi}, \quad B = a$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \zeta(z) &= \frac{2ia}{\pi} \cosh^{-1} z + a \\ &= \frac{2a}{\pi} \cos^{-1} z + a \\ &= \frac{2a}{\pi} \left( \cos^{-1} z + \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{2a}{\pi} \sin^{-1} z \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have recovered the arcsine transformation as shown in Figure 5–3 from the main text.

8. Recall that the complex potential is given by

$$f(\zeta) = -\frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \sin^{-1} \frac{\zeta}{a}.$$

Thus, our problem reduces to expressing approximate forms of the arcsine for large arguments. To this end, let  $w := \sin^{-1} z$ .

$$z = \sin w = \frac{e^{iw} - e^{-iw}}{2i}$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{2iw} - 2iz e^{iw} - 1 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{iw} = iz \pm \sqrt{1 - z^2}$$

The arcsine is conventionally defined using the plus sign here (since we want  $w \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$  when  $z \in [0, 1]$ ), which yields

$$w = \sin^{-1} z = -i \ln \left( iz + \sqrt{1 - z^2} \right).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow f(\zeta) &= \frac{i\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \ln \left( i\frac{\zeta}{a} + \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{\zeta}{a}\right)^2} \right) \\ &\approx \frac{i\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \ln \left( 2i\frac{\zeta}{a} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore V(x, y) &= \Im \{f(\zeta)\} \\ &\approx \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \Re \{\ln(\zeta)\} \end{aligned}$$

This is exactly the potential created by a line charge of  $\lambda$  at the origin.




Figure 18: Contour for Problem 5–9.

9. We will integrate  $\frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z}$  along the contour shown in Figure 18, akin to the procedure described in the main text. The integral along the outer circle vanishes by condition (2), while we may use the Schwarz reflection principle<sup>2021</sup> by

<sup>20</sup>[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Schwarz\\_reflection\\_principle](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Schwarz_reflection_principle)

<sup>21</sup>Why the heck is the “Method of image charges” linked to and from this document?

condition (3).

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow f(z) &= \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint_C d\zeta \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \\
&= \frac{2}{z+2} + \frac{1}{2i\pi} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left( \int_0^\infty dx' \frac{f(x' + i\epsilon)}{x' + i\epsilon - z} - \int_0^\infty dx' \frac{f(x' - i\epsilon)}{x' - i\epsilon - z} \right) \\
&= \frac{2}{z+2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left( \int_0^\infty dx' \frac{\Im \{f(x' + i\epsilon)\}}{x' - z} \right) \\
&= \frac{2}{z+2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty dx' \frac{x'}{(x'^2 + 1)(x' - z)} \\
&= \frac{2}{z+2} + \frac{\pi - 2z \ln(-z)}{2\pi(z^2 + 1)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\therefore F(x) &= \Re \{f(x + i\epsilon)\} \\
&= \begin{cases} \frac{2}{x+2} + \frac{\pi - 2x \ln(-x)}{2\pi(x^2 + 1)} & (x < 0) \\ \frac{2}{x+2} + \frac{\pi - 2x \ln x + 2i\pi x}{2\pi(x^2 + 1)} & (x > 0) \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

10. (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
\Re F(x) &= \Re F(x_0) + \frac{x - x_0}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx' \frac{\Im F(x')}{(x' - x_0)(x' - x)} \\
\Rightarrow \frac{\Re F(x) - \Re F(x_0)}{x - x_0} &= \frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx' \frac{\Im F(x')}{(x' - x_0)(x' - x)} \\
\stackrel{x_0 \rightarrow x}{\Longrightarrow} \Re F'(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx' \frac{\Im F(x')}{(x' - x)^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \left[ -\frac{\Im F(x')}{x' - x} \right]_{-\infty}^\infty + \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx' \frac{F'(x')}{x' - x} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx' \frac{F'(x')}{x' - x}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, replacing  $F'$  with  $F$  and substituting  $x = 0$  yields the desired sum rule.

(b) You substitute  $x = 0$ . I really don't understand what the problem is here.

(c) ☹ Whoops! This problem is under construction ( $\because$  author's skill issue). Please wait or consider contributing!<sup>22</sup>

<sup>22</sup>But my beef with this problem lies beyond the simple "What should I do?" confusion. I feel like whatever we derived in part (a) is a large nothing-burger; it is simply the unsubtracted relation evaluated at 0, as stated in part (b). What am I proving? What is the goal? If we want to express some rule such as

$$\Re F(x) = \mathcal{P} \int dx' \Im F(x) \cdot (\text{something}),$$

when what kind of properties are we trying to get for (something)? How close of an analogy are we talking about? Could you tell I'm losing my mind here?

## 6 Chapter 6

1. (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left( (\tilde{A}\tilde{B}) \right)_{ij} &= (BA)_{ji} \\
 &= \sum_k B_{jk} A_{ki} \\
 &= \sum_k \left( \tilde{A} \right)_{ik} \left( \tilde{B} \right)_{kj} \\
 &= \left( \tilde{A}\tilde{B} \right)_{ij} \\
 \therefore (\tilde{A}\tilde{B}) &= \tilde{B}\tilde{A}
 \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left( (AB)^\dagger \right)_{ij} &= \left( (AB)_{ji} \right)^* \\
 &= \left( \sum_k A_{jk} B_{ki} \right)^* \\
 &= \sum_k B_{ki}^* A_{jk}^* \\
 &= \sum_k (B^\dagger)_{ik} (A^\dagger)_{kj} \\
 &= (B^\dagger A^\dagger)_{ij} \\
 \therefore (AB)^\dagger &= B^\dagger A^\dagger
 \end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A(BC))_{ij} &= \sum_k A_{ik} (BC)_{kj} \\
 &= \sum_k \sum_l A_{ik} B_{kl} C_{lj} \\
 &= \sum_l (AB)_{il} C_{lj} \\
 &= ((AB)C)_{ij} \\
 \therefore A(BC) &= (AB)C
 \end{aligned}$$

(d)

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr } ABC &= \sum_i (ABC)_{ii} \\
&= \sum_i \sum_j A_{ij} (BC)_{ji} \\
&= \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k A_{ij} B_{jk} C_{ki} \\
&= \sum_j \sum_k B_{jk} (CA)_{kj} \\
&= \sum_j (BCA)_{jj} \\
&= \text{Tr } BCA
\end{aligned}$$

2. (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
x_1^\dagger x_1 &= x_1^\dagger 1 x_1 \\
&= x_1^\dagger U^\dagger U x_1 \\
&= (U x_1)^\dagger (U x_1) \\
&= (\lambda_1 x_1)^\dagger (\lambda_1 x_1) \\
&= |\lambda_1|^2 x_1^\dagger x_1
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $x_1$  is nonzero, we therefore obtain

$$|\lambda_1|^2 = 1 \Leftrightarrow |\lambda_1| = 1.$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
x_1^\dagger x_2 &= x_1^\dagger 1 x_2 \\
&= x_1^\dagger U^\dagger U x_2 \\
&= (U x_1)^\dagger (U x_2) \\
&= (\lambda_1 x_1)^\dagger (\lambda_2 x_2) \\
&= \lambda_1^* \lambda_2 x_1^\dagger x_2
\end{aligned}$$

By part (a),  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$  implies  $\lambda_1^* \lambda_2 \neq 1$ .

$$\therefore x_1^\dagger x_2 = 0$$

3. (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
(C^{-1}AC)^\dagger &= C^\dagger A^\dagger (C^{-1})^\dagger \\
&= C^{-1}A(C^{-1})^\dagger
\end{aligned}$$



Now, notice that for a general invertible matrix  $M$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}(M^{-1})^\dagger M^\dagger &= (MM^{-1})^\dagger \\ &= 1^\dagger \\ &= 1\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}M^\dagger (M^{-1})^\dagger &= (M^{-1}M)^\dagger \\ &= 1^\dagger \\ &= 1,\end{aligned}$$

hence implying

$$(M^{-1})^\dagger = (M^\dagger)^{-1}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}\therefore (C^{-1}AC)^\dagger &= C^{-1}A(C^{-1})^\dagger \\ &= C^{-1}A(C^\dagger)^{-1} \\ &= C^{-1}AC^{-1-1} \\ &= C^{-1}AC\end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}(C^{-1}DC)^\dagger &= C^\dagger D^\dagger (C^{-1})^\dagger \\ &= C^{-1}D^{-1}(C^\dagger)^{-1} \\ &= C^{-1}D^{-1}(C^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= (C^{-1}DC)^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}(i(AB - BA))^\dagger &= i^* (B^\dagger A^\dagger - A^\dagger B^\dagger) \\ &= -i(BA - AB) \\ &= i(AB - BA)\end{aligned}$$

## A Appendix

6. (This single problem had cost me months of my life, and here's the unsatisfying conclusion of that journey. I am fairly certain that there are a myriad of different possible answers for this problem with varying levels of sophistication, and possibly even more ways to derive said solutions. For my purposes, I have settled on a solution that is semi-straightforward with an answer that I can plug into almighty WolframAlpha.)

We will derive a procedure to (painstakingly) calculate the Laurent series of the given function. First, let us define some notations. Recall that if a function  $f(z)$  is analytic on an annulus around a point  $z_0$ , then it can be expanded into a unique Laurent series

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k (z - z_0)^k \\ &= \cdots + \frac{a_{-2}}{(z - z_0)^2} + \frac{a_{-1}}{z - z_0} + a_0 + a_1(z - z_0) + a_2(z - z_0)^2 + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

For Laurent series specifically centered around the origin, let us denote the Laurent coefficients as

$$[z^k] (f(z)) := a_k.$$

Then, multiplying a monomial corresponds to

$$[z^k] (z^l f(z)) = [z^{k-l}] (f(z)).$$

Also, the residue at the origin can be compactly expressed as

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=0} f(z) = [z^{-1}] (f(z)).$$

The residue asked of us is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Res}_{z=\pi} z^2 e^{\frac{1}{\sin z}} &= \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} (z + \pi)^2 e^{\frac{1}{\sin(z+\pi)}} \\ &= [z^{-1}] \left( (z^2 + 2\pi z + \pi^2) e^{-\frac{1}{\sin z}} \right) \\ &= [z^{-3}] \left( e^{-\frac{1}{\sin z}} \right) + 2\pi [z^{-2}] \left( e^{-\frac{1}{\sin z}} \right) + \pi^2 [z^{-1}] \left( e^{-\frac{1}{\sin z}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, our job is reduced to calculating the Laurent coefficients of  $e^{-\frac{1}{\sin z}}$ . We expand the exponential into its Taylor series and obtain

$$[z^{-n}] \left( e^{-\frac{1}{\sin z}} \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} [z^{-n}] \left( (\sin z)^{-k} \right).$$

Squaring, cubing, and so forth a power series (or even a Laurent series) is something achievable, but inverting a power series is a much more complicated task. We thus investigate a general method of calculating the inverse powers of a general series. Consider the following manipulation:

$$\begin{aligned} [z^{-n}] \left( (f(z))^{-k} \right) &= [z^{-1}] \left( z^{n-1} (f(z))^{-k} \right) \\ &= [z^{-1}] \left( \left( \frac{z^n}{n} \right)' (f(z))^{-k} \right) \\ &= [z^{-1}] \left( \left( \frac{z^n}{n} (f(z))^{-k} \right)' \right) - [z^{-1}] \left( \frac{z^n}{n} \left( (f(z))^{-k} \right)' \right) \end{aligned}$$

which comes mostly from playing around, but perhaps reasonable since the  $-1$ th<sup>23</sup> coefficient seems to be special in certain ways. In fact, it is so special that we can immediately see that the first term must be zero, regardless of whether  $f(z)$  is analytic at the origin! This is because no derivative of a monomial yields the  $-1$ th power term.<sup>24</sup> Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} [z^{-n}] \left( (f(z))^{-k} \right) &= -[z^{-1}] \left( \frac{z^n}{n} \left( (f(z))^{-k} \right)' \right) \\ &= \frac{k}{n} [z^{-1}] \left( z^n (f(z))^{-k-1} f'(z) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $g(z) := z^n (f(z))^{-k-1} f'(z)$  and  $g_{-1} := [z^{-1}] (g(z))$ . The Laurent series of  $g(z) - g_{-1} z^{-1}$  contains no  $z^{-1}$  term, and thus can be integrated to an analytic function; let us call it  $G(z)$ . Hence,  $g(z) = G'(z) + g_{-1} z^{-1}$ .

$$g(f^{-1}(z)) (f^{-1}(z))' = (G(f^{-1}(z)))' + g_{-1} \frac{(f^{-1}(z))'}{f^{-1}(z)}$$

Again,  $(G(f^{-1}(z)))'$  has zero residue. If the residue of  $\frac{(f^{-1}(z))'}{f^{-1}(z)}$  could be easily calculated and is nonzero, then we get an elegant formula for  $g_{-1}$  as

$$\begin{aligned} g_{-1} &= \frac{[z^{-1}] \left( g(f^{-1}(z)) (f^{-1}(z))' \right)}{[z^{-1}] \left( \frac{(f^{-1}(z))'}{f^{-1}(z)} \right)} \\ &= \frac{[z^{-1}] \left( (f^{-1}(z))^n z^{-k-1} f' (f^{-1}(z)) (f^{-1}(z))' \right)}{[z^{-1}] \left( \frac{(f^{-1}(z))'}{f^{-1}(z)} \right)} \\ &= \frac{[z^k] \left( (f^{-1}(z))^n \right)}{[z^{-1}] \left( \frac{(f^{-1}(z))'}{f^{-1}(z)} \right)} \end{aligned}$$

and consequently

$$[z^{-n}] \left( (f(z))^{-k} \right) = \frac{k}{n} \frac{[z^k] \left( (f^{-1}(z))^n \right)}{[z^{-1}] \left( \frac{(f^{-1}(z))'}{f^{-1}(z)} \right)}.$$

Now, if  $f(z)$  is analytic at the origin and  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f'(0) \neq 0$  (which just so happens to hold for  $f(z) = \sin z$ ), then one could show that these same conditions hold for  $f^{-1}(z)$  and consequently

$$[z^{-1}] \left( \frac{(f^{-1}(z))'}{f^{-1}(z)} \right) = 1.$$

<sup>23</sup>I had to search for whether it should be 'st' or 'th'; see <https://english.stackexchange.com/questions/326604/is-it-correct-to-say-1th-or-1st>.

<sup>24</sup>This can also be seen via  $\text{Res}_{z=0} f(z) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint dz f'(z) = 0$  around the origin.

(This could be shown by substituting  $n = -1$  for Problem A-4, since we did not require that  $n$  be positive anyway!) Therefore, we arrive at the pivotal formula

$$[z^{-n}] \left( (f(z))^{-k} \right) = \frac{k}{n} [z^k] \left( (f^{-1}(z))^n \right).$$

This is known in the maths world as the Lagrange inversion formula<sup>25</sup>, and it is what we desperately needed to calculate the residue in question!

Having sufficiently patted ourselves on our respective backs after that long derivation, let us go back to the original question. We have essentially derived the formula

$$[z^{-n}] \left( (\sin z)^{-k} \right) = \frac{k}{n} [z^k] \left( (\arcsin z)^n \right).$$

(Note that I use  $\arcsin$  in lieu of  $\sin^{-1}$  as all these exponents are getting confusing.) Let us substitute this formula into the previous equation:

$$\begin{aligned} [z^{-n}] \left( e^{-\frac{1}{\sin z}} \right) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} [z^{-n}] \left( (\sin z)^{-k} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \cdot \frac{k}{n} [z^k] \left( (\arcsin z)^n \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(k-1)!} [z^k] \left( (\arcsin z)^n \right). \end{aligned}$$

As for the powers of  $\arcsin z$ , let us simply expand out the multiplications using the Taylor series of  $\arcsin z$  only.

$$\begin{aligned} \arcsin z &= \int_0^z \frac{du}{\sqrt{1-u^2}} \\ &= \int_0^z dt \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{t} u^{2t} \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1/2)(-3/2) \cdots (1/2-t)}{2^t t!} \cdot \frac{u^{2t+1}}{2t+1} \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^t (2t)!}{4^t (2t+1)(t!)^2} u^{2t+1} \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>25</sup>[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Formal\\_power\\_series#The\\_Lagrange\\_inversion\\_formula](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Formal_power_series#The_Lagrange_inversion_formula)

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow [z^k] ((\arcsin z)^n) &= \sum_{t_1+\dots+t_k=n} \prod_{j=1}^n [z^{t_j}] (\arcsin z) \\
&= \sum_{(2l_1+1)+\dots+(2l_k+1)=n} \prod_j [z^{2l_j+1}] (\arcsin z) \\
&= \sum_{2 \sum_j l_j+k=n} \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^{\sum_j l_j} \prod_j \left( \frac{(2l_j)!}{(2l_j+1)(l_j!)^2} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow [z^{-n}] \left( e^{-\frac{1}{\sin z}} \right) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(k-1)!} [z^k] ((\arcsin z)^n) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(k-1)!} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{2 \sum_j l_j+k=n} \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^{\sum_j l_j} \prod_j \left( \frac{(2l_j)!}{(2l_j+1)(l_j!)^2} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l_1=0}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{l_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-2 \sum_j l_j}}{(n-1+2 \sum_j l_j)!} \\
&\quad \times \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^{\sum_j l_j} \prod_j \left( \frac{(2l_j)!}{(2l_j+1)(l_j!)^2} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l_1=0}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{l_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\sum_j l_j+n}}{4^{\sum_j l_j} (n-1+2 \sum_j l_j)!} \\
&\quad \times \prod_j \left( \frac{(2l_j)!}{(2l_j+1)(l_j!)^2} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

We evaluate this formula for  $n = 1, 2, 3$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
[z^{-1}] \left( e^{-\frac{1}{\sin z}} \right) &= \sum_{l_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{l_1+1}}{4^{l_1} (2l_1+1)(l_1!)^2} \\
[z^{-2}] \left( e^{-\frac{1}{\sin z}} \right) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{l_1+l_2}}{4^{l_1+l_2} (2l_1+2l_2+1)!} \frac{(2l_1)!}{(2l_1+1)(l_1!)^2} \frac{(2l_2)!}{(2l_2+1)(l_2!)^2} \\
[z^{-3}] \left( e^{-\frac{1}{\sin z}} \right) &= \frac{1}{3} \sum_{l_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l_2=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l_3=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{l_1+l_2+l_3+1}}{4^{l_1+l_2+l_3} (2l_1+2l_2+2l_3+2)!} \\
&\quad \times \frac{(2l_1)!}{(2l_1+1)(l_1!)^2} \frac{(2l_2)!}{(2l_2+1)(l_2!)^2} \frac{(2l_3)!}{(2l_3+1)(l_3!)^2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \operatorname{Res}_{z=\pi} z^2 e^{\frac{1}{\sin z}} &= \sum_{l_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{l_1+1} (2l_1)!}{4^{l_1} (2l_1+1) (l_1!)^2} \left( \frac{\pi^2}{(2l_1)!} \right. \\ &\quad - \sum_{l_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{l_2} (2l_2)!}{4^{l_2} (2l_2+1) (l_2!)^2} \left( \frac{\pi}{(2l_1+2l_2+1)!} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \sum_{l_3=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{l_3} (2l_3)!}{4^{l_3} (2l_3+1) (l_3!)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{3(2l_1+2l_2+2l_3+2)!} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

### Concluding remarks:

(i) This result, while hard achieved on my part, is still pretty shitty; for one, it cannot be calculated via WolframAlpha alone.<sup>26</sup> Some points for improvement include:

- More compact expressions for the Taylor coefficients of  $(\arcsin z)^k$
- A numerical evaluation of the residue
- Even a closed-form solution? (This sounds crazy, but  $[z^{-1}] \left( e^{-\frac{1}{\sin z}} \right)$  *does* have a closed-form expression! It uses a hypergeometric function, somehow.)

And we all know that “We leave so-and-so to future work.” translates to either “I give up.” or “Give me more money.”

(ii) I have been hinted at that this problem could also be solved via a contour integral method, where we try to evaluate

$$\oint_{|z|=1} dz z^2 e^{\frac{1}{\sin z}}$$

using some tabulated-function trickery. I’m too tired to pursue this path, but it is still another viable method.

(iii) The threefold condition given in the middle of this proof ( $f$  analytic and vanishing at the origin, while  $f'(0) \neq 0$ ) may seem arbitrary; however, it would appear that these are the exact conditions such that  $e^{\frac{1}{f(z)}}$  has a nonzero (or more precisely, not necessarily zero) residue. Coincidence?

---

<sup>26</sup>If any Mathematica wizards could numerically calculate this, I’m all ears.