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Hypothesis

Genetic diversity, especially in marine species, could be the result of various factors. From latitude/longitude to body length to reproduction strategies could cause either large or small genetic diversity in thousands of species. Despite the multitude of factors that could affect an outcome, the four traits that I want to focus on are body length, fertilization, reproduction mode, and fecundity of the species.

I am predicting that as body length increases, genetic diversity decreases. There seems to be a negative relationship between the two that studies have acknowledged as well (McCusker & Betzen, 2010). This relationship leads to the overarching idea of reproduction strategies in general. Body sizes are known to affect population size/fecundity as well. The pattern seen is large species have a much lower number of offspring compared to smaller species. One explanation is with survival, one that ties in with the idea of r- vs. k-strategies. Smaller spScecies tend to have much more offspring because of possible mortality rates due to predation or harsh environments (Winemiller & Rose 1993). Many studies also support the claim that a large population size leads to higher diversity, so the hypothesis of He increases w/ population size is valid (Harrang et al. 2013).

Fertilization and reproduction also have a close relationship with each other, as well as body length and fecundity. I predict that the external fertilization method would yield higher genetic diversity. Because fertilization ties in with body length and fecundity, there is a higher fecundity with external fertilization than internal fertilization. External fertilization may yield higher genetic diversity based on the fact that there can be multiple males. By having many offspring fertilized by multiples males, there can be higher genetic diversity. This diversity can lead to a higher survival rate and decreasing competition for resources (McLeod & Marshall 2009). It’s harder to see the significances resulting in diversity from internal fertilization species, but one can assume that the genetic diversity in external fertilization species would be higher.

I mentioned before fecundity and fertilization separately. However, these also have a relationship that can affect genetic diversity, as well. Having a large population that was fertilized by many males can increase genetic diversity. In smaller populations, there may be lower diversity, creating a bottleneck. Lower-diversity populations would also strive just to have smaller populations to keep competition for resources on a lower side, creating a cycle of smaller population 🡪 lower diversity 🡪 smaller population, and on (Johnson, Freiwald, & Bernardi 2016).

I also predict that hermaphroditic species (protogyny, protandry, or true hermaphroditism) would also have offspring with higher genetic diversity. The belief is that, by being hermaphroditic, the chances of reproduction can increase. There is no limitation on the sex of the fish that is around. For example, if the present circumstances require a female fish, then the fish can change into a female and allow for fertilization by present male fish. By adapting to the conditions, the individuals and species as a whole have more opportunities to reproduce. The need for adaption is present in clownfish. If the female dies, a male will change and take over as the female. These environmental factors, as well as genetics, allow for more offspring, leading to higher genetic diversity (Kobayashi et al. 2018).

However, these results may vary due to the molecular markers used in both microsatellites and mitochondrial DNA. Fecundity may not affect genetic diversity (Bazin, Glémin, & Galtier, 2006). Because of these, possible other factors may not also reflect more genetic diversity, such as fertilization strategies. Also, there seem to be differences in results when it comes to comparing nucleotide diversity and microsatellite heterozygosity. Although there are still positive correlations with population and genetic diversity, the variation differs significantly from nucleotide diversity and microsatellites (Väli et al. 2008). Another study also concluded that there could be many reasons that mitochondrial DNA data may not work. Due to parasites that specifically target the mitochondria, the genetic diversity data collected may not reflect the individual's actual genetic diversity. Data collected can say there's less genetic variety, but parasites may have disrupted that. These factors must be acknowledged during the analysis and data collection.
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