Hicksian aggregation season 2

Felipe Del Canto, 1 de Septiembre de 2019

1. Where have I seen this before?

Recall that in this problem, given $u: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}$, one wishes to find $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, $g: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ and $U: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{p}, q, y) := \underset{\mathbf{x}, z}{\operatorname{arg \, max}} \quad u(\mathbf{x}, z)$$

$$s.a. \quad \mathbf{px} + qz = y.$$
(1)

and

$$X(f(\mathbf{p}), q, y) := \underset{X,z}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} \quad U(X, z)$$
s.a.
$$PX + qz = y$$
(2)

satisfy

$$X(f(\mathbf{p}), q, y) = g(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{p}, q, y)).$$

Typically, these functions do not exist except in very restrictive cases. Thus, in the general case the equality will not hold and consequently the approximation error could be directly measured by

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{p}, q, y) := \left| X(f(\mathbf{p}), q, y) - g(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{p}, q, y)) \right|$$

For general functions u and U there is no algebraic expression of the demands X and x. Hence, in our last meeting we discussed a method to compute this difference based on a Taylor approximation of both demand functions. In order to obtain the derivatives one could implicitly differentiate the lagrangians of problems (1) and (2). To put in practice this plan in a particular example one wishes to choose some "nice" utility functions u and U and aggregators f and g to see how these calculations work out. Sadly, the common Cobb-Douglas utility function is of no interest in this setting. Indeed, if $\alpha \in (0,1)^n$ with $|\alpha|_1 < 1$, then

$$\hat{u}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, z) = \underbrace{\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}^{\alpha_{j}}\right)}_{:=v(\mathbf{x})} z^{1-|\alpha|_{1}},$$

and thus

$$\hat{u}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, z) = \tilde{u}(v(\mathbf{x}), z),$$

with

$$\tilde{u}(v,z) = vz^{1-|\alpha|_1}.$$

Since $v: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is also an homothetic function, then functional separability holds and good aggregation is possible by choosing the proper aggregators f and g. Consequently, in order to find an interesting example we must choose some function u that does not allow z to be "independent" of the goos in x. A simple tweak of the function u_{α} does this trick and gives some interesting results. Let α be as above and define

$$u_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j^{\alpha_j} z^{1-\alpha_j}.$$
 (3)

Observe that if all α_j are different, then it is not possible to factor z in the above expression, solving the problem. Indeed, as Varian (1992) points out, functional separability is present only if the preference relation $>_u$ represented by the utility function satisfies:

$$(\mathbf{x}, z) > (\mathbf{x}', z) \Longleftrightarrow (\mathbf{x}, z') > (\mathbf{x}', z') \qquad \forall \ z, z', \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'$$
 (4)

It is straightforward to see that for the preference relation represented by the function u_{α} defined in (3), property (4) does not hold unless all α_i are equal.

Observe that when $\alpha_j = \alpha_0$ for every j, then

$$u_{\alpha_0}(\mathbf{x}, z) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{\alpha_0}\right) z^{1-\alpha_0}.$$

The parenthesis in this last expression suggest a particular form of aggregation

$$g(\mathbf{x}) := \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{\alpha_0}\right)^{1/\alpha_0}.$$

This function g is also present in the context of international trade. In Helpman et al. (2008) the authors develop a model where g is precisely the utility function of a country. They also suggest a certain price index that could also be useful in this setting but I have not investigated

it yet. Given this function g, the aggregate function U should be defined as follows:

$$U_{\alpha_0}(X,z) = X^{\alpha_0} z^{1-\alpha_0}$$

which is the usual Cobb-Douglas utility function. In the general case, when the α_j are not equal, we could define U as follows:

$$U_{\alpha}(X,z) = X^{\overline{\alpha}} z^{1-\overline{\alpha}},$$

where $\overline{\alpha} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j$. This definition has the property that if $\alpha_j = \alpha_0$ for every j, then $\overline{\alpha} = \alpha_0$.

The only problem with the function u_{α} is the following. The first order conditions of problem (1) are

$$\alpha_j x_j^{\alpha_j} z^{1-\alpha_j} = \lambda_d p_j x_j, \qquad j = 1, \dots, n$$
 (5)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j^{\alpha_j} z^{1-\alpha_j} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j x_j^{\alpha_j} z^{1-\alpha_j} = \lambda_d q z$$

$$\tag{6}$$

Summing (5) over j and replacing in (6) we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j^{\alpha_j} z^{1-\alpha_j} - \lambda_d \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j x_j = \lambda_d q z,$$

and thus

$$\lambda_d = \frac{u(x,z)}{u}.$$

This means we do not have an analytic expression for the demand $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{p}, q, y)$ but computing the derivatives should be possible by implicit differentiation of the lagrangian. The derivatives of X can be easily computed since

$$X(f(\mathbf{p}), q, y) = \overline{\alpha} \frac{y}{f(\mathbf{p})}$$

References

E. Helpman, M. Melitz, and Y. Rubinstein. Estimating Trade Flows: Trading Partners and Trading Volumes*. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 123(2):441–487, 05 2008. ISSN 0033-5533. doi: 10.1162/qjec.2008.123.2.441.

H. Varian. Microeconomic Analysis. Norton International edition. Norton, 1992. ISBN 9780393960266. 2