

Optimization Algorithms: HW1

Lo Chun, Chou
R13922136

April 17, 2025

1

2

(1)

Given a twice differentiable function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$, assume that it is logarithmically homogeneous, then by the definition, the following holds:

$$\varphi(\gamma x) = \varphi(x) - \log \gamma, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \gamma > 0 \quad (1)$$

Claim: $\langle \nabla \varphi(x), x \rangle = -1$

To derive the first equation, we first define the following:

$$F(\gamma) = \varphi(\gamma x)$$

Then the original equation (1) would become:

$$F(\gamma) = \varphi(x) - \log \gamma$$

Taking the derivative w.r.t. γ on both sides, we get:

$$\frac{dF}{d\gamma} = \frac{d}{d\gamma} \varphi(\gamma x) = \nabla \varphi(\gamma x) \cdot x = \langle \nabla \varphi(\gamma x), x \rangle \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{dF}{d\gamma} = \frac{d}{d\gamma} (\varphi(x) - \log \gamma) = -\frac{1}{\gamma} \quad (3)$$

Thus by (2) and (3), we have:

$$\langle \nabla \varphi(\gamma x), x \rangle = -\frac{1}{\gamma}$$

Then by plugging in $\gamma = 1$, we have:

$$\langle \nabla \varphi(x), x \rangle = -1 \quad \square$$

Claim: $\nabla \varphi(x) = -\nabla^2 \varphi(x)x$

From the previous part, we have:

$$\nabla \varphi(x)^T x = -1$$

Compute the gradient of both sides, for the left hand side, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla(\nabla \varphi(x)^T x) &= \nabla(\nabla \varphi(x))^T x + \nabla \varphi(x)^T \nabla x \\ &= \nabla^2 \varphi(x)x + \nabla \varphi(x)^T \nabla x \end{aligned}$$

For the right hand side, we have:

$$\nabla(-1) = 0$$

Thus we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 \varphi(x)x + \nabla \varphi(x)^T \nabla x &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \nabla \varphi(x)^T \nabla x &= -\nabla^2 \varphi(x)x \\ \Rightarrow \nabla \varphi(x)^T I_d &= -\nabla^2 \varphi(x)x \\ \Rightarrow \nabla \varphi(x) &= -\nabla^2 \varphi(x)x \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Claim: $\langle x, \nabla^2 \varphi(x)x \rangle = 1$

From the previous part, we have:

$$\nabla \varphi(x) = -\nabla^2 \varphi(x)x$$

Multiply both sides by x^T , we have:

$$x^T \nabla \varphi(x) = -x^T \nabla^2 \varphi(x)x$$

Which is equivalent to the following by using $\langle \nabla \varphi(x), x \rangle = -1$:

$$\langle x, \nabla^2 \varphi(x)x \rangle = -\langle x, \nabla \varphi(x) \rangle = (-1) \times (-1) = 1 \quad \square$$

(2)

Suppose that $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is a twice differentiable function, and is strictly convex and logarithmically homogeneous, then the following holds by the definition:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^2 \varphi(x) &> 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ \varphi(\gamma x) &= \varphi(x) - \log \gamma, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \gamma > 0.\end{aligned}$$

Also, we have the following properties from the previous subsection:

$$\langle \nabla \varphi(x), x \rangle = -1 \tag{1}$$

$$\nabla \varphi(x) = -\nabla^2 \varphi(x) x \tag{2}$$

$$\langle x, \nabla^2 \varphi(x) x \rangle = 1 \tag{3}$$

Claim: $\nabla^2 \varphi(x) \geq \nabla \varphi(x) (\nabla \varphi(x))^T$, $\forall x \in \text{dom } \varphi$

The claim is equivalent to proving that:

$$\nabla^2 \varphi(x) - \nabla \varphi(x) (\nabla \varphi(x))^T \succeq 0$$

where $\succeq 0$ denotes positive semidefinite.

Let z be any vector in \mathbb{R}^d , then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}z^T \left(\nabla^2 \varphi(x) - \nabla \varphi(x) (\nabla \varphi(x))^T \right) z &= z^T \nabla^2 \varphi(x) z - z^T \nabla \varphi(x) (\nabla \varphi(x))^T z \\ &= z^T \nabla^2 \varphi(x) z - (\nabla \varphi(x)^T z)^2\end{aligned} \tag{*}$$

Case 1: $x = z$

If $x = z$, then (*) becomes the following using (1) and (2):

$$\begin{aligned}z^T \left(\nabla^2 \varphi(x) - \nabla \varphi(x) (\nabla \varphi(x))^T \right) z &= z^T \nabla^2 \varphi(x) x - (\langle \nabla \varphi(x), x \rangle)^2 \\ &= x^T (-\nabla \varphi(x)) - (-1)^2 \\ &= x^T (-\nabla \varphi(x)) - 1 \\ &= -\langle \nabla \varphi(x), x \rangle - 1 \\ &= -(-1) - 1 \\ &= 0 \geq 0\end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $x \neq z$

Using (2) to replace $\nabla\varphi(x)$ with $-\nabla^2\varphi(x)x$ in (*), and using the fact that $(\nabla^2\varphi(x))^T = \nabla^2\varphi(x)$ (the Hessian is symmetric):

$$\begin{aligned}
z^T \left(\nabla^2\varphi(x) - \nabla\varphi(x) (\nabla\varphi(x))^T \right) z &= z^T \nabla^2\varphi(x) z - (\nabla\varphi(x)^T z)^2 \\
&= z^T \nabla^2\varphi(x) z - ((-\nabla^2\varphi(x)x)^T z)^2 \\
&= z^T \nabla^2\varphi(x) z - \left(- \underbrace{x^T}_{A^T} \underbrace{(\nabla^2\varphi(x))^T z}_B \right)^2 \\
&= z^T \nabla^2\varphi(x) z - \underbrace{[(\nabla^2\varphi(x))^T z]^T x x^T [(\nabla^2\varphi(x))^T z]}_{B^T A A^T B} \\
&= z^T \nabla^2\varphi(x) z - [x^T (\nabla^2\varphi(x))^T z]^T [x^T (\nabla^2\varphi(x))^T z] \\
&= z^T \nabla^2\varphi(x) z - \|x^T (\nabla^2\varphi(x))^T z\|^2 \\
&= z^T \nabla^2\varphi(x) z - \|x^T (\nabla^2\varphi(x)) z\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

Let $H = \nabla^2\varphi(x)$, then the above expression is equivalent to:

$$z^T H z - (x^T H z)^2$$

Let's first check that we can define the function

$$h : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad h(u, v) = u^T H v$$

as the inner product on \mathbb{R}^d .¹

Using the fact that we assumed that $\nabla^2\varphi(x) > 0$, so H is positive definite, thus by theorem², there exists a one and only one positive definite matrix $H^{1/2}$ (also symmetric) such that $H = H^{1/2} H^{1/2}$.

- **Symmetry:** For any $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
h(u, v) &= u^T H v \\
&= u^T H^{1/2} H^{1/2} v \\
&= (H^{1/2} u)^T (H^{1/2} v) \\
&= (H^{1/2} v)^T (H^{1/2} u) \\
&= v^T H^{1/2} H^{1/2} u \\
&= v^T H u \\
&= h(v, u)
\end{aligned}$$

¹H. Amann and J. Escher, *Analysis I*, 1st ed., Birkhäuser Basel, 2005, p. 153.

²“Square root of a matrix”, Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Square_root_of_a_matrix

- **Linearity:** For any $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t, u, v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
h(t, \lambda u + \mu v) &= t^T H(\lambda u + \mu v) \\
&= t^T H(\lambda u) + t^T H(\mu v) \\
&= \lambda t^T H u + \mu t^T H v \\
&= \lambda h(t, u) + \mu h(t, v)
\end{aligned}$$

- **Positive definiteness:** For any $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have:

$$h(u, u) = u^T H u > 0 \quad \text{since } H \text{ is positive definite}$$

3

Therefore, we have:

$$z^T H z - (x^T H z)^2 = \langle z, z \rangle_H - \langle x, z \rangle_H^2$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality ⁴:

Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

Let $(E, (\cdot | \cdot))$ be an inner product space. Then

$$|(x | y)|^2 \leq (x | x)(y | y), \quad x, y \in E$$

We can derive the later equation using the fact that:

$$\langle x, x \rangle_H = x^T H x = x^T \nabla^2 \varphi(x) x = 1$$

(this is because property (3))

So we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle x, z \rangle_H^2 &\leq \langle x, x \rangle_H \langle z, z \rangle_H \\
&= 1 \times \langle z, z \rangle_H \\
&= \langle z, z \rangle_H
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have:

$$z^T H z - (x^T H z)^2 \geq 0 \quad \square$$

³I later found that we have "A function $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an inner product on \mathbb{R}^n if and only if there exists a symmetric positive-definite matrix \mathbf{M} such that $\langle x, y \rangle = x^T \mathbf{M} y$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$." on "Inner product space", Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Inner_product_space

⁴H. Amann and J. Escher, *Analysis I*, 1st ed., Birkhäuser Basel, 2005, p. 154.