CSIE5410 Optimization Algorithms: HW 2

Yen-Huan Li CSIE, National Taiwan University

Recall that the Kelly criterion, aka the growth-optimal portfolio, yields the optimization problem

$$x_{\star} \in \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{x \in \Delta_d} f(x), \quad f(x) \coloneqq -\sum_{i=1}^n w_i \log \langle a_i, x \rangle,$$

where Δ_d denotes the probability simplex in \mathbb{R}^d , w_i are strictly positive numbers satisfying $\sum_i w_i = 1$, and a_i are entrywise non-negative vectors. Suppose that $a_i \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

1. (10 points) Show that the function f is 1-smooth relative to the log-barrier and explicitly specify the value of L. Recall that the log-barrier is given by

$$h(x) := -\sum_{i=1}^{d} \log x[i],$$

where x[i] denotes the *i*-th entry of the vector x.

Denote the Bregman divergence associated with h as D_h , i.e.,

$$D_h(y,x) := h(y) - [h(x) + \langle \nabla h(x), y - x \rangle].$$

Consider solving the optimization problem (1) by the following algorithm:

- Let $x_1 = (1/d, ..., 1/d) \in \Delta_d$.
- For every $t \in \mathbb{N}$, compute

$$x_{t+1} \in \underset{x \in \Delta_d}{\operatorname{arg \, min}} \langle \nabla f(x_t), x - x_t \rangle + D_h(x, x_t).$$

2. (10 points) Show that for any $x \in \Delta_d$ and $0 \le \alpha < 1$,

$$f(x_{\alpha}) \le f(x) + \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha},$$

where $x_{\alpha} := (1 - \alpha)x + \alpha(1/d, \dots, 1/d)$.

3. (10 points) Suppose that t > d. Show that the algorithm described above satisfies

$$f(x_{t+1}) - f(x_{\star}) = O\left(\frac{d \log(t/d)}{t}\right).$$

4. (10 points) Let us now focus on the implementation of the algorithm. Show that

$$x_{t+1} = e \oslash \left[\nabla f(x_t) + (e \oslash x_t) + \lambda e \right],$$

where e denotes the all-ones vector, \oslash denotes the entrywise division, and λ is given by

$$\lambda \in \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \varphi(u), \quad \varphi(u) \coloneqq u - \sum_{i=1}^{d} \log(u + \nabla f(x_t)[i] + 1/x_t[i]).$$

5. (10 points) Show that the function φ is self-concordant. Therefore, the iterates $(x_t)_{t\in\mathbb{N}}$ can be computed by the algorithms in Chapter 5.2 of Lectures on Convex Optimization by Nesterov.

Consider the problem of learning a linear classifier given data $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \{\pm 1\}$. The ℓ_1 -regularized lasso yields the optimization problem

$$w_{\star} \in \arg\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(w) + \lambda g(w),$$

for some regularization parameter $\lambda > 0$, where

$$f(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left(1 + e^{-y_i \langle x_i, w \rangle} \right),$$
$$g(w) := \|w\|_1.$$

Notice that in HW0, we have proved that the function f is convex and L-smooth for some L > 0. Nevertheless, the ℓ_1 -norm is not differentiable, so gradient descent does not directly apply.

6. (10 points) Show that the function g_{μ} is differentiable and

$$\nabla g_{\mu}(w)[i] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w[i] \ge \mu; \\ \frac{w[i]}{\mu} & \text{if } -\mu \le w[i] \le \mu; \\ -1 & \text{if } w[i] < -\mu. \end{cases}$$

- 7. (10 points) Show that the function g_{μ} is $(1/\mu)$ -smooth.
- 8. (10 points) Show that

$$g_{\mu}(w) \le g(w) \le g_{\mu}(w) + \frac{\mu d}{2}.$$

9. (5 points) Let $F = f + \lambda g$. Show that by gradient descent and appropriately choosing μ , for any pre-specified time horizon $T \in \mathbb{N}$, we can construct a sequence of iterates w_1, \ldots, w_{T+1} such that

$$F(w_{T+1}) - F(w_{\star}) \le \frac{\lambda \sqrt{d}}{2\sqrt{T}} \left(\|w_1 - w_{\star}\|_2^2 + 1 \right) + \frac{L\|w_1 - w_{\star}\|_2^2}{2T}.$$

- 10. Show that the optimization error bound can be improved if we replace gradient descent with an accelerated gradient descent. Write down the value of μ you choose and the corresponding optimization error bound.
- 11. (5 points) Compare the approach above with FISTA and discuss which is more effective.