

1 (1) The lagrangian of the problem is

$$L(\vec{q}, \lambda, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_K) = \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k \ln q_k + \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k q_k + \lambda \left(\sum_{k=1}^K q_k - 1 \right)$$

stationary condition implies. $(\lambda_k \geq 0)$

$$\alpha_k \frac{1}{q_k^*} + \lambda_k + \lambda = 0, \text{ for each } k$$

$$\Rightarrow q_k^* = - \frac{\alpha_k}{\lambda_k + \lambda} \neq 0 \quad (\text{since } \alpha_k > 0)$$

The complementary slackness condition implies.

$$\lambda_k q_k^* = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_k = 0 \quad \text{for each } k$$

feasibility implies

$$\sum_{k=1}^K q_k^* = \sum_{k=1}^K -\frac{\alpha_k}{\lambda} = 1 \Rightarrow \lambda = -\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k$$

$$\text{so } q_k^* = \frac{\alpha_k}{\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k}$$

(2) The lagrangian of the problem is

$$L(\vec{q}, \lambda, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) = \sum_{k=1}^K (q_k b_k - q_k \ln q_k) + \lambda \left(\sum_{k=1}^K q_k - 1 \right) + \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k q_k$$

$(\lambda_k > 0)$

The stationary condition implies

$$b_k - (q_k \cdot \frac{1}{q_k} + \ln q_k) + \lambda + \lambda_k = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow q_k^* = \exp(b_k - 1 + \lambda + \lambda_k) > 0 \quad \text{for each } k$$

complementary condition implies $q_k^* \lambda_k = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_k = 0$ for each k .

feasibility implies

$$\sum_{k=1}^K q_k = \sum_{k=1}^K \exp(b_k + \lambda - 1) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \exp(K\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^K \exp(1 - b_k)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K (1 - b_k)$$

$$q_k^* = \exp(b_k - 1 + \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K (1 - b_k)) = \frac{\exp(b_k)}{\sum_{k=1}^K \exp(b_k)}$$

2.1 To find w^* , just solve

$$\arg \max \sum_n \sum_k y_{nk} \ln w_k.$$

s.t. $w_k > 0$

$$\sum_{k=1}^K w_k = 1$$

from the Problem 1-1. $\alpha_k = \sum_n y_{nk}$

$$\text{we could get } w^* = \frac{\sum_n y_{nk}}{\sum_k \frac{\sum_n y_{nk}}{n}} = \frac{\sum_n y_{nk}}{\sum_n 1} = \frac{\sum_n y_{nk}}{N}$$

To find μ_k and σ_k , we solve ($\Sigma_k = \sigma_k^{-2} I$)

$$\underset{\mu_k, \Sigma_k}{\arg \max} \sum_n y_{nk} \ln \left[\frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_k)^D} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma_k^2} \|x_n - \mu_k\|^2 \right) \right]$$

$$= \underset{\mu_k, \Sigma_k}{\arg \max} \sum_n y_{nk} \left(-D \ln \sigma_k - \frac{\|x_n - \mu_k\|^2}{2\sigma_k^2} \right) = \underset{\mu_k, \Sigma_k}{\arg \max} F$$

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mu_k} = \frac{1}{\sigma_k^2} \sum_n y_{nk} (x_n - \mu_k) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_k^* = \frac{\sum y_{nk} \cdot x_n}{\sum_n y_{nk}}$$

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \sigma_k} = \sum_n y_{nk} \left(-\frac{D}{\sigma_k} + \frac{\|x_n - \mu_k\|^2}{\sigma_k^3} \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (\sigma_k^*)^2 = \frac{\sum_n y_{nk} \|x_n - \mu_k^*\|^2}{D \sum_n y_{nk}}$$

2.2

According to 1.2 with $b_k = \ln P(\vec{x}_n, z_n=k; \theta^{(t)})$.

$$\begin{aligned} q_{nk}^* &= \frac{P(\vec{x}_n, z_n=k; \theta^{(t)})}{\sum_{k=1}^K P(\vec{x}_n, z_n=k; \theta^{(t)})} \\ &= \frac{P(\vec{x}_n, z_n=k; \theta^{(t)})}{P(\vec{x}_n; \theta^{(t)})} = P(z_n=k; \vec{x}_n, \theta^{(t)}) \end{aligned}$$

2.3 when $w_k = \frac{1}{K}$ for each k . and $\Sigma = 0$,

GMM could be reduced to k-mean.

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{nk} &= P(z_n=k | x_n) = \frac{P(z_n=k, x_n)}{P(x_n)} \\ &= \frac{P(z_n=k) \cdot N(x_n | \mu_k, \Sigma_k)}{\sum_{j=1}^K P(z_n=j) N(x_n | \mu_j, \Sigma_j)} \\ &= \frac{w_k \exp(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|x_n - \mu_k\|^2)}{\sum_{j=1}^K w_j \exp(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|x_n - \mu_j\|^2)} \end{aligned}$$

As $\sigma^2 \rightarrow 0$, the denominator will be dominated by the term with the smallest $\|x_n - \mu_j\|^2$.

$$\gamma_{nj} \approx \frac{w_j \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|x_n - \mu_j\|^2\right\}}{w_j \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|x_n - \mu_j\|^2\right\}} = 1$$

for $i \neq j$, $\|x_n - \mu_i\|^2 > \|x_n - \mu_j\|^2$.

$$\gamma_{nj} \approx 0.$$

Thus, for $\Sigma_k = \sigma^2 I$ and $\sigma^2 \rightarrow 0$, GMM reduces to kmm

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 3.1 \quad P(Z_{T+1} = s \mid X_{1:T} = x_{1:T}) \\
 &= \frac{P(Z_{T+1} = s, X_{1:T} = x_{1:T})}{P(X_{1:T} = x_{1:T})} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_s P(Z_{T+1} = s, Z_T = s', X_{1:T} = x_{1:T})}{\sum_s P(Z_T = s, X_{1:T} = x_{1:T})} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{s'} P(Z_T = s', X_{1:T} = x_{1:T}) P(Z_{T+1} = s \mid Z_T = s', X_{1:T} = x_{1:T})}{\sum_s P(Z_T = s, X_{1:T} = x_{1:T})} \quad (\text{Markov Property}) \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{s'} \alpha_{s'}(T) \alpha_{s',s}}{\sum_s \alpha_s(T)}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 3.2 \quad t=1, \quad \alpha_A(1) = 0.7 \times 0.4 = 0.28 \\
 & \quad \alpha_B(1) = 0.3 \times 0.7 = 0.21 \\
 & t=2 \quad \alpha_A(2) = 0.6 \times (0.7 \times 0.28 + 0.2 \times 0.28) = 0.1218 \\
 & \quad \alpha_B(2) = 0.3 \times (0.3 \times 0.21 + 0.7 \times 0.28) = 0.0714 \\
 & P(Z_3 = A \mid X_{1:2} = x_{1:2}) = \frac{\sum_{s'} \alpha_{s'}(2) \alpha_{s',A}}{\sum_s \alpha_s(2)} \\
 & \leq \frac{\alpha_A(2) \alpha_{A,A} + \alpha_B(2) \alpha_{B,A}}{\alpha_A(2) + \alpha_B(2)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $P(Z_3 = B \mid X_{1:2} = x_{1:2}) \approx 0.5152$

$$P(Z_3 = \text{end} \mid X_{1:2} = x_{1:2}) = 0.1$$

Therefore $t=3$, the observed sequence should be B

3.2 (b) According to Viterbi

$$t=1 \quad \delta_A(1) = 0.7 + 0.4 \times 0.28$$

$$\delta_B(1) = 0.3 + 0.7 = 0.2$$

$$t=2 \quad \delta_A(2) = 0.6 \times \max \{ 0.7 \times 0.21, 0.2 \times 0.28 \} = 0.0882$$

$$\Delta_A(2) = B$$

$$\delta_B(2) = 0.3 \times \max \{ 0.2 \times 0.21, 0.7 \times 0.28 \} = 0.0588$$

$$\Delta_B(2) = A$$

$$t=3 \quad \delta_A(3) = 0.6 \times \max \{ 0.7 \times 0.0588, 0.2 \times 0.0882 \}$$
$$= 0.024696$$

$$\Delta_A(3) = B$$

$$\delta_B(3) = 0.3 \times \max \{ 0.2 \times 0.0588, 0.7 \times 0.0882 \}$$
$$= 0.018522$$

$$\Delta_B(3) = A$$

Then back tracking

$$z_3^x = A, \quad z_2^x = B, \quad z_1^x = A$$

3.3

$$P(X_1 = s) \mid O_1 = o_1, O_2 = o_2)$$

$$\geq \frac{\sum_s P(X_1 = s, X_2 = s') \mid O_1 = o_1, O_2 = o_2)}{P(O_1 = o_1, O_2 = o_2)}$$

$$\geq \frac{\sum_s P(O_1 = o_1, O_2 = o_2 \mid X_1 = s, X_2 = s') \cdot P(X_1 = s, X_2 = s')}{P(O_1 = o_1, O_2 = o_2)}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_s P(O_1 = o_1 \mid X_1 = s) \cdot P(O_2 = o_2 \mid X_2 = s') \cdot P(X_2 = s' \mid X_1 = s) \cdot P(X_1 = s)}{P(O_1 = o_1, O_2 = o_2)}$$

We set $P(X_{t+1} \mid X_t) = P(X_{t+1})$.

$$= \frac{\sum_s P(O_1 = o_1 \mid X_1 = s) P(X_1 = s) \cdot P(O_2 = o_2 \mid X_2 = s') P(X_2 = s')}{P(O_1 = o_1, O_2 = o_2)}$$

$$= \frac{P(O_2 = o_2, X_2 = s') \cdot \sum_s P(O_1 = o_1, X_1 = s)}{P(O_1 = o_1, O_2 = o_2)}$$

$$= P(O_2 = o_2, X_2 = s') \cdot \frac{P(O_1 = o_1)}{P(O_1 = o_1, O_2 = o_2)}$$

$$P(O_1 = o_1) = \sum_s \alpha_s(1)$$

$$P(O_1 = o_1, O_2 = o_2) = \sum_{s'} \alpha_{s'}(2)$$

$$\alpha_s(1) = \pi_s \cdot b_{s, o_1}$$

$$\alpha_{s'}(2) = b_{s', o_2} \sum_s \alpha_{s, s'} \alpha_s(1)$$

$$= b_{s', o_2} \cdot P(X_2 = s') \cdot \sum_s \alpha_s(1) = b_{s', o_2} \cdot P(X_2 = s') \cdot P(O_1 = o_1)$$

$$\text{so } P(O_1 = o_1, O_2 = o_2) = \sum_{s'} b_{s', o_2} \cdot P(X_2 = s') \cdot P(O_1 = o_1)$$

$$P(X_2=s^1 | O_1=o_1, O_2=o_2)$$

$$= P(O_2=o_2, X_2=s^1) \cdot \frac{P(O_1=o_1)}{P(O_1=o_1, O_2=o_2)}$$

$$= \frac{P(O_2=o_2, X_2=s^1)}{\sum_{s^1} b_{s^1, o_2} \cdot P(X_2=s^1)}$$

$$\geq \frac{P(O_2=o_2, X_2=s^1)}{\sum_{s^1} P(O_2=o_2 | X_2=s^1) P(X_2=s^1)}$$

$$\geq \frac{P(O_2=o_2, X_2=s^1)}{P(O_2=o_2)} = P(X_2=s^1 | O_2=o_2)$$

from problem 2-2

$$\text{We know } q_{n=2, k=s^1}^* \doteq P(Z_2=s^1 | \vec{x}_2, \theta^{(t)})$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{replace } Z \text{ with } X \\ \hline P(X_2=s^1 | O_2) \\ \text{replace } X \text{ with } O \end{array}$$

Therefore, when $P(X_1, X_2) = P(X_1)P(X_2)$, or each state are independent, then reduce to GMM.

$$P(X_2=s^1 | O_1, O_2) \text{ match } q_{n=2, k=s^1}^*.$$