Performance Measurement

Data structures Fall 2018

Performance Analysis

Can be done with paper and pencil.

Don't need a working computer program or even a computer (correct algorithm is enough).

Some Uses Of Performance Analysis

- > determine practicality of algorithm
- predict run time on large instances
- compare two algorithms that have different asymptotic complexity

 \triangleright e.g., O(n) and O(n²)

Limitations of Analysis

Doesn't account for constant factors.

But constant factor may dominate

E.g. 1000n vs n^2


and we are interested only in

n < 1000

Limitations of Analysis

Modern computers have a hierarchical memory organization with different access time for memory at different levels of the hierarchy.

Memory Hierarchy



Limitations of Analysis

Our analysis doesn't account for this difference in memory access times.

Programs that do more work may take less time than those that do less work.

Performance Measurement

Measure actual time on an actual computer.

What do we need?

Performance Measurement Needs

- programming language
- working program
- > computer
- compiler and options to use javac -o

Performance Measurement Also Needs

 data to use for measurement worst-case data best-case data average-case data

timing mechanism --- clock





Timing In Java



```
long startTime = System.currentTimeMillis();
// gives time in milliseconds since 1/1/1970 GMT
```

// code to be timed comes here

Shortcoming



Clock accuracy assume 100 milliseconds

Repeat work many times to bring total time to be >= 1 second

Accurate Timing



```
long startTime = System.currentTimeMillis();
long counter;
do {
     counter++;
     doSomething(); // code to be timed
   } while (System.currentTimeMillis() -
           startTime < 1000)
long elapsedTime = System.currentTimeMillis()
                    startTime;
float timeForMethod =
           ((float) elapsedTime)/counter;
```

Accuracy



Now accuracy is 90%.

first reading may be just about to change to startTime + 100

second reading may have just changed to finishTime

so finishTime - startTime is off by 100ms

Accuracy



first reading may have just changed to startTime

second reading may be about to change to finishTime + 100

so finishTime - startTime is off by 100ms

Accuracy



Examining remaining cases, we get

trueElapsedTime = finishTime - startTime +- 100ms

To ensure 90% accuracy, require

elapsedTime = finishTime - startTime
>= 1sec



What can go wrong?



```
long startTime = System.currentTimeMillis();
long counter;
do {
     counter++;
     InsertionSort.insertionSort(a);
   } while (System.currentTimeMillis() -
           startTime < 1000)
long elapsedTime = System.currentTimeMillis()
                    startTime;
float timeForMethod =
           ((float) elapsedTime)/counter;
```







```
long startTime = System.currentTimeMillis();
long counter;
do {
     counter++;
     // put code to initialize a[] here
     InsertionSort.insertionSort(a);
   } while (System.currentTimeMillis() -
           startTime < 1000)
```



Bad Way To Time



```
do {
    counter++;
    startTime = System.currentTimeMillis();
    doSomething();
     elapsedTime +=
         System.currentTimeMillis()
           startTime;
   } while (elapsedTime < 1000)
```

Exercise

Arrange the following complexity terms in the nondecreasing order of their asymptotic growth:

```
2^{3\log n}, n^{\log n}, n^2(\log n)^6, (1.1)^n, (\log n)^{(1/2)\log n}, n^{2.5}, (\log n)^{n/3}, 4^{\sqrt{n}}, 6^{\log n}
```