

# Performance Analysis: Asymptotic Complexity

Data Structures  
Spring 2017

# Insertion Sort

```
for (int i = 1; i < a.length; i++)  
{// insert a[i] into a[0:i-1]  
    int t = a[i];  
    int j;  
    for (j = i - 1; j >= 0 && t < a[j]; j--)  
        a[j + 1] = a[j];  
    a[j + 1] = t;  
}
```

# Worst-Case Comparison Count

```
for (int i = 1; i < n; i++)  
    for (j = i - 1; j >= 0 && t < a[j]; j--)  
        a[j + 1] = a[j];
```

$$\begin{aligned}\text{\#comparisons} &= 1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + (n-1) \\ &= (n-1)n/2\end{aligned}$$

# Step Count

A step is an amount of computation that does not depend on the instance characteristic  $n$

For example, 100 adds, 10 subtractions, 100 multiplications can all be counted as a single step

$n$  adds cannot be counted as 1 step

# Step Count

	s/e
for (int i = 1; i < a.length; i++)	1
{// insert a[i] into a[0:i-1]	0
int t = a[i];	1
int j;	0
for (j = i - 1; j >= 0 && t < a[j]; j--)	1
a[j + 1] = a[j];	1
a[j + 1] = t;	1
}	0

s/e – steps per execution

# Step Count

s/e isn't always 0 or 1

```
x = MyMath.sum(a, n);
```

```
// returns the sum of all the elements in a[0,n-1]
```

where n is the instance characteristic  
has a s/e count of n

# Step Count

	s/e	steps
for (int i = 1; i < a.length; i++)		
{// insert a[i] into a[0:i-1]	0	
int t = a[i];	1	1
int j;	0	
for (j = i - 1; j >= 0 && t < a[j]; j--)	1	i+ 1
a[j + 1] = a[j];	1	i
a[j + 1] = t;	1	1
}	0	

Total:  $2i+3$

# Step Count

```
for (int i = 1; i < a.length; i++)  
{ 2i + 3 }
```

Suppose  $a.length = n$

Step count for body of for loop is

$$2(1+2+3+\dots+n-1) + 3(n-1)$$

$$= (n-1)n + 3(n-1)$$

$$= (n-1)(n+3)$$



# Exercise: Prefix sums

- Given an array  $a[0, n-1]$ , write an efficient procedure that constructs a new array  $b[0, n-1]$  such that
$$b[i] = a[0] + a[1] + \dots + a[i].$$

What is the step count of the procedure?

# Asymptotic Complexity

[Finding the exact step count or operation count is cumbersome and time consuming.]

- Describes the behavior of the time (or space) complexity for *large* instance characteristics.
- Useful to compare the growth of different functions (i.e., time/space complexities of different procedures).

# Big Oh Notation

- $f(n) = O(g(n))$  (read as “ $f(n)$  is big oh of  $g(n)$ ”) iff positive constants  $c$  and  $k$  exist such that  $f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$  for all  $n \geq k$ .
- $f(n)$  is  $O(g(n))$  means  $f(n)$  grows asymptotically slower than or at the same rate as  $g(n)$ .
- That is,  $g(n)$  is an upper bound for  $f(n)$ .  
[Note: “ $O(g(n)) = f(n)$ ” is meaningless]

# Asymptotic Complexity of Insertion Sort

- Step count =  $(n-1)(n+3) = n^2 + 2n - 3$
- Asymptotic complexity is  **$O(n^2)$**
- What does this mean?

# Complexity of Insertion Sort

- Time or number of operations does not exceed  $c \cdot n^2$  on any input of size  $n$  ( $n$  suitably large).
- $[n^2 + 2n - 3 \leq 2n^2$  for all positive integers  $n$  (i.e.,  $c = 2$  and  $k = 1$ )]
- So, the worst-case time at most quadruples each time  $n$  is doubled

# Complexity of Insertion Sort

- Is  $O(n^2)$  too much time?
- Is the algorithm practical?

# Practical Complexities

$10^9$  instructions/second

<i><b><math>n</math></b></i>	<i><b><math>n</math></b></i>	<i><b><math>n \log n</math></b></i>	<i><b><math>n^2</math></b></i>	<i><b><math>n^3</math></b></i>
<i><b><math>1000</math></b></i>	1mic	10mic	1milli	1sec
<i><b><math>10000</math></b></i>	10mic	130mic	100milli	17min
<i><b><math>10^6</math></b></i>	1milli	20milli	17min	32years

# Impractical Complexities

$10^9$  instructions/second

$n$	$n^4$	$n^{10}$	$2^n$
<b>1000</b>	17min	$3.2 \times 10^{13}$ years	$3.2 \times 10^{283}$ years
<b>10000</b>	116 days	???	???
<b><math>10^6</math></b>	$3 \times 10^7$ years	??????	??????



# Faster Computer Vs Better Algorithm



Algorithmic improvement more useful  
than hardware improvement.

E.g.  $2^n$  to  $n^3$

# Fibonacci numbers

- $F(0) = F(1) = 1$ ;  $F(n) = F(n-1) + F(n-2)$
- Write a program to compute the n-th Fibonacci number.
- Alg 1: 

```
int fib(n) { if ((n==0) || (n==1)) return 1;  
              else return fib(n-1)+fib(n-2);}
```
- Complexity:  $O(F(n))$

# Fibonacci numbers

- Alg 2: 

```
int fib2(n) { int [] F = new int [n];  
              F[0] = 1; F[1] = 1;  
              for(i=2; i<=n; i++)  
                  F[i] = F[i-1]+F[i-2];  
              return F[n];  
          }
```
- Complexity:  $O(n)$

# More asymptotic notation

- $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$  means  $f(n)$  is asymptotically bigger than or equal to  $g(n)$   
i.e.,  $g(n)$  is a lower bound for  $f(n)$
- $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$  means  $f(n)$  is asymptotically equal to  $g(n)$ , i.e.,  $g(n)$  is both an upper and a lower bound for  $f(n)$
- Also  $o()$  (little-oh) and  $\omega()$  (little omega) for describing *strict* upper and lower bounds.

# Binary search

- Input: A sorted array of  $n$  distinct numbers and another number  $x$
- Output: The index  $i$  such that  $a[i] \leq x < a[i+1]$
- Algorithm: Repeatedly bisect the range  $[0, n-1]$  till the index  $i$  is found.
- What is the complexity of binary search?

$O(\log n)$

# Exercise

- What is the complexity of the number of comparisons (between the elements of the input array) performed by “binary insertion sort”?

# Binary insertion sort

```
for (int i = 1; i < a.length; i++)  
{// insert a[i] into a[0:i-1]  
    int x = a[i];  
    j = BinSearch(x,a[0:i-1]);  
    // insert x into a[0:i-1] at position j  
}
```

# Binary insertion sort

#comparisons

```
for (int i = 1; i < a.length; i++)  
{// insert a[i] into a[0:i-1]  
    int x = a[i];                                0  
    j = BinSearch(x,a[0:i-1]);                  O(log i+1)  
    // insert x into a[0:i-1] at position j      0  
}
```



# Binary insertion sort

- Total number of comparisons =  
 $O(\log 2 + \log 3 + \dots + \log n+1) =$   
 $O(\log (2*3*\dots*n+1)) =$   
 $O(\log ((n+1)!)) \approx O(n \log n).$

Exercise: Show that  $\log (n!) = \Theta(n \log n).$