

SortedList Class with Template Report

This report provides an analysis of the SortedList template class implementation. The program implements a doubly-linked linear list with a dummy header node that maintains elements in sorted order.

Compilation Instructions: Using g++ (Linux/Mac/Windows with MinGW)

```
g++ -std=c++11 -Wall -Wextra driver.cpp SortedList.cpp -o sortedlist_test
```

Running the Program

```
./sortedlist_test
```

Expected Output

The program executes three test suites showing successful completion of core functionality, operator overloading, and Rule of Five compliance with no reported failures.

Program Architecture

The implementation uses a doubly-linked list with dummy header node that automatically maintains elements in sorted order using comparisons via `operator<`. Duplicates are permitted and placed after equal elements. The design simplifies edge case handling and provides predictable termination through natural `nullptr` boundaries, following this linear structure:

- `header->next` points to the first data node or `nullptr` if the list is empty.
- The last node's next pointer is `nullptr`, marking the list's logical end.
- Traversal naturally terminates when `current == nullptr`.

Class Components

Private Members

- `Node* header`: Dummy header node that simplifies insertions/removals at the front
- `int listSize`: Counter for number of elements
- `struct Node`: Inner structure with data, prev, and next pointers

Public Interface

- **Constructors/Destructor:** Default, copy, move constructors and destructor
- **Accessors:** `size()`, `empty()`
- **Mutators:** `insert()`, `remove()`, `clear()`
- **Operators:** `[]`, `+`, `==`, `!=`, `<<`

Test Results: ALL TESTS PASSED - Program successfully executes all test suites and prints "All tests completed successfully!"

Test Category	Methods Tested	Test Cases	Key Verification
Core Functionality	<code>Constructor</code> , <code>insert()</code> , <code>remove()</code> ,	1. Empty list initialization 2. Insertion with sorting 3. Duplicate handling	Elements maintain sorted order (3,1,5,2,3 → 1,2,3,3,5); size tracking

Test Category	Methods Tested	Test Cases	Key Verification
	<code>size()</code> , <code>empty()</code> , op- erator <code>[]</code> , <code>clear()</code>	4. Element access via operator <code>[]</code> 5. Element removal (first occurrence) 6. List clearance	accurate; empty state correct
Operator Overloading	<code>operator[]</code> , <code>operator+</code> , <code>operator==</code> , <code>operator!=</code> , <code>operator<<</code>	7. Out-of-bounds exception 8. List merging (operator <code>+</code>) 9. Equality comparison (operator <code>==</code>) 10. Inequality comparison (oper- ator <code>!=</code>) 11. Stream output formatting	Bounds checking works; A+B creates sorted union; ==/!= comparisons ac- curate; output formatting correct
Rule of Five	Copy/move con- structors, copy/move as- signment, de- structor	12. Copy constructor deep copy 13. Copy assignment operator 14. Move constructor 15. Move assignment operator 16. Destructor cleanup	Deep copies independent; move operations transfer ownership; no memory leaks; source objects valid after moves
Memory Management	All dynamic op- erations	(Implicit in above tests)	Zero memory leaks; proper cleanup across all opera- tions; RAI compliance con- firmed

Performance Analysis

Time Complexity Analysis

Operation	Time Complexity	Explanation
<code>insert()</code>	$O(n)$	Must traverse list to find insertion position
<code>remove()</code>	$O(n)$	Must search for element to remove
<code>operator[]</code>	$O(n)$	Must traverse from beginning to index
<code>size()</code>	$O(1)$	Cached in member variable
<code>empty()</code>	$O(1)$	Simple size check
<code>operator+</code>	$O(n*m)$	Must insert m elements into list of size n
<code>operator==</code>	$O(n)$	Compares n elements pairwise
<code>clear()</code>	$O(n)$	Must delete n nodes

Performance Characteristics

Strengths:

1. Automatic sorting eliminates need for separate sort operations
2. Constant-time size queries
3. Efficient insertion/deletion (no element shifting like arrays)
4. Move semantics provide $O(1)$ ownership transfer
5. Traversal is safer and easier to reason about, since null termination clearly indicates list bound-

aries.

Limitations:

1. O(n) access time compared to O(1) for arrays
2. O(n) insertion time to maintain sorted order
3. Higher memory overhead than arrays (pointers per element)
4. Cache-unfriendly due to non-contiguous memory

Ideal Use Cases:

- Datasets requiring maintained sorted order
- Frequent insertions/deletions at arbitrary positions
- Applications where random access is rare
- Priority queues or event scheduling systems

Why These Results?

Analysis of Success Factors

1. Linear Doubly-Linked List with Dummy Header provides solid foundation:

- **Predictable Termination:** Traversal ends naturally at `nullptr`, avoiding unintended infinite loops.
- **Simplified Edge Cases:** The dummy header eliminates special handling for inserting or deleting at the head.
- **Cleaner Debugging:** The list's beginning and end are clearly defined and visible in memory.

Why it works: The dummy header design combines the structural clarity of a linear list with the maintenance simplicity of a sentinel-based approach.

2. Correct Memory Management

The implementation properly manages resources through:

- **RAII Principle:** Constructor acquires, destructor releases
- **Rule of Five:** All special members correctly implemented
- **Deep Copying:** Copy operations create independent duplicates
- **Move Semantics:** Efficient resource transfer for temporaries

Why it works: Following C++ best practices ensures memory safety. The clear separation between copying (duplicate resources) and moving (transfer ownership) prevents both memory leaks and double-deletion bugs.

3. Insertion Algorithm Correctness

The `findInsertPosition()` and `insert()` methods work correctly because:

```
// Finds first node >= item, or nullptr if item is largest
while (current != nullptr && current->data < item) {
    current = current->next;
}
```

This guarantees:

- Elements inserted in correct sorted position
- Duplicates placed after existing equal elements (stable)
- Works correctly for empty lists (immediately returns `nullptr`)
- Works correctly when item should be inserted at the end (after last node)

Why it works: The traversal terminates naturally at `nullptr`, making boundary logic explicit and safe.

4. Comprehensive Testing

The test suite validates:

- **Correctness:** All operations produce expected results

- **Robustness:** Edge cases and error conditions handled
- **Independence:** Copy operations truly create separate objects
- **Type Generality:** Works with different data types

Why it works: The tests cover normal operation, boundary conditions, and error cases. Testing both int and string types ensures the template works with different object sizes and semantics.

5. Exception Safety

The implementation provides:

- **Strong Exception Guarantee:** Operations either succeed or leave state unchanged
- **No Resource Leaks:** Even when exceptions occur
- **Clear Error Messages:** Users understand what went wrong

Why it works: The insert method's try-catch ensures allocation failures don't corrupt the list. Bounds checking prevents undefined behavior.

Why No Issues Were Found

Several factors contribute to the implementation's correctness:

1. **Conservative Design:** Uses proven data structure patterns rather than novel approaches
2. **Defensive Programming:** Validates inputs, checks bounds, handles edge cases
3. **Explicit Testing:** Each feature has corresponding test cases
4. **Clear Logic:** Simple, readable code is less prone to bugs
5. **Compiler Warnings:** Would have caught type mismatches or uninitialized variables

Potential Improvements

- **Immediate Enhancements**
 - Add iterator support for STL compatibility and range-based loops
 - Implement contains() method for efficient membership testing
 - Add bulk operations for improved performance with multiple elements
- **Future Considerations**
 - Evaluate skip-list alternative for O(log n) operations with large datasets
 - Add thread safety mechanisms for concurrent access scenarios
 - Extend with set operations (intersection, union, difference)

References

DeepSeek AI. (2024). DeepSeek (Version 2) [Large language model]. <https://www.deepseek.com/>

- Used for research and debugging assistance during code development, particularly for identifying and resolving compilation errors related to constructor and pointer manipulation in the linked list. Also utilized for improving grammatical accuracy and structural cohesiveness in this documentation.

Microsoft Corporation. (2024). Visual Studio Code Auto-complete and IntelliSense Features [Integrated development environment].

- Employed Visual Studio's auto-fill and code completion features to assist with code documentation, including generating consistent comment patterns and maintaining coding standards throughout the implementation.

Note: All code implementation and architectural decisions were made by the author. AI tools were used solely for debugging assistance, documentation improvement, and productivity enhancement purposes. The core algorithms, design patterns, and final implementation represent original work.