

Probability theory in Mathlib

Rémy Degenne (Univ. Lille, Inria, CNRS, Centrale Lille, CRISyAL)

10/12/2025

A result from Mathlib

Azuma-Hoeffding inequality for sub-Gaussian random variables.

Let $(Y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of real random variables adapted to a filtration $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Suppose that Y_0 is sub-Gaussian with variance proxy c_0 and that for all $i \geq 0$, Y_{i+1} is conditionally sub-Gaussian with variance proxy c_{i+1} given \mathcal{F}_i . That is, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the moment generating functions satisfy

$$\mathbb{E} [e^{\lambda Y_0}] \leq \exp \left(\frac{\lambda^2 c_0}{2} \right), \quad \mathbb{E} [e^{\lambda Y_{i+1}} | \mathcal{F}_i] \leq \exp \left(\frac{\lambda^2 c_{i+1}}{2} \right).$$

Then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $\varepsilon \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} Y_i \geq \varepsilon \right) \leq \exp \left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i} \right).$$

A result from Mathlib

Azuma-Hoeffding inequality for sub-Gaussian random variables.

```
lemma measure_sum_ge_le_of_HasCondSubgaussianMGF
  {X : Type*} {m : MeasurableSpace X} [StandardBorelSpace X]
  {P : Measure X} [IsZeroOrProbabilityMeasure P]
  {Y : ℕ → X → ℝ} {cY : ℕ → ℝ≥0} {F : Filtration ℕ m}
  (h_adapted : Adapted F Y) (h0 : HasSubgaussianMGF (Y 0) (c 0) P) (n : ℕ)
  (h_subG : ∀ i < n - 1, HasCondSubgaussianMGF (F i) (F.le i) (Y (i + 1)) (c (i +
    1)) P)
  {ε : ℝ} (hε : 0 ≤ ε) :
  P.real {ω | ε ≤ ∑ i ∈ Finset.range n, Y i ω}
  ≤ exp (-ε ^ 2 / (2 * ∑ i ∈ Finset.range n, c i)) :=
```

Table of Contents

1 Probability measures and random variables

- ▶ Probability measures and random variables
- ▶ Distributions, moments
- ▶ Markov kernels and conditional distributions
- ▶ Stochastic processes and martingales
- ▶ Other results in and outside Mathlib

Probability spaces

First, a type with a sigma-algebra: $\{ \mathcal{X} : \text{Type}^* \} [\text{MeasurableSpace } \mathcal{X}]$

A measurable set: $\{s : \text{Set } \mathcal{X}\} (\text{hs} : \text{MeasurableSet } s)$

A random variable: $\{x : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow E\} (\text{hx} : \text{Measurable } x)$

Probability measures

A probability measure on \mathcal{X} : $\{P : \text{Measure } \mathcal{X}\} [\text{IsProbabilityMeasure } P]$

Other measure classes: IsFiniteMeasure, SigmaFinite, SFinite.

Don't use the ProbabilityMeasure type unless you are working with its topology.

Probability measures

A probability measure on \mathcal{X} : $\{P : \text{Measure } \mathcal{X}\} [\text{IsProbabilityMeasure } P]$

Other measure classes: `IsFiniteMeasure`, `SigmaFinite`, `SFinite`.

Don't use the `ProbabilityMeasure` type unless you are working with its topology.

A measure sends sets to $[0, +\infty]$ (`ENNReal`, or $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$).

(even non-measurable sets. Lemmas will require some measurability)

`ENNReal` is not well behaved: subtraction truncates at zero, `ring` does not apply.

Probability measures

A probability measure on \mathcal{X} : $\{P : \text{Measure } \mathcal{X}\} [\text{IsProbabilityMeasure } P]$

Other measure classes: IsFiniteMeasure, SigmaFinite, SFinite.

Don't use the ProbabilityMeasure type unless you are working with its topology.

A measure sends sets to $[0, +\infty]$ (ENNReal, or $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$).

(even non-measurable sets. Lemmas will require some measurability)

ENNReal is not well behaved: subtraction truncates at zero, ring does not apply.

But a probability measure is never infinite!?

simp knows about this. It can prove $P s < \infty$ automatically.

finiteness is a tactic that proves that things are finite.

Use P.real for a real-valued function on sets.

There are **several notions of measurability** in Mathlib:

- Measurable f : f is measurable
- AeMeasurable $f P$: f is almost everywhere equal to a measurable function
- StronglyMeasurable f : f is a limit of measurable simple functions
- AEStronglyMeasurable $f P$: f is almost everywhere equal to a strongly measurable function

Tactic to prove measurability of functions: `fun_prop`

Try it on any measurability goal!

If it fails, it's probably because one of two things:

- the measurability depends on a set being measurable → prove that first, with the `measurability` tactic
- there is something mathematically interesting going on

In a DiscreteMeasurableSpace, all sets are measurable.

All functions from discrete spaces are measurable.

Typically, **finite or countable types**.

- Mathlib has measure-theoretic probability, but not much about its specialization to discrete spaces.
- You will be asked to prove measurability of functions from/to discrete spaces.
- `fun_prop` is the answer.

Two integrals in Mathlib:

- $\int^- x, f x \partial P$: Lebesgue integral of $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0\infty}$ with respect to measure P
- $\int x, f x \partial P$ or $P[f]$: Bochner integral of $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow E$, where E is a normed space.
Default value 0 if f is not integrable. Integrable $f P$.

Strong measurability is important for Bochner integrals.

Tip: use the Lebesgue integral whenever possible. The Bochner integral generates many integrability side goals.

Integrable = a.e. strongly measurable + Lebesgue integral of extended norm (with value in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0\infty}$) finite.

See also `MemLp` for L^p spaces.

$x \in S$ almost surely iff $P(S^c) = 0$.

In Mathlib, $\forall^m x \partial P, x \in S$

Other example: $\forall^m x \partial P, f x < g x$

Expressed with filters. Almost everywhere filter of a measure: filter of co-null sets.

filter_upwards tactic.

Context: $hp : \forall^m x \partial P, p x, hpq : \forall^m x \partial P, p x \rightarrow q x$

Goal: $\forall^m x \partial P, q x$

After using the filter_upwards [hp, hpq] with x hpx hpqx tactic:

Context: $x, hpx : p x, hpqx : p x \rightarrow q x$

Goal: $q x$

Table of Contents

2 Distributions, moments

- ▶ Probability measures and random variables
- ▶ Distributions, moments
- ▶ Markov kernels and conditional distributions
- ▶ Stochastic processes and martingales
- ▶ Other results in and outside Mathlib

Integral, variance.

Covariance(s):

- of two real random variables
- as a bilinear form in an inner product space
- as a bilinear form on the dual of a normed space

Characteristic function(s):

- `charFunDual` in a normed space: $\text{charFunDual } \mu L = \int v, \exp(L v^* I) d\mu$
- `charFun` in an inner product space

Moment generating function $t \mapsto P[e^{tX}]$, cumulant generating function (log of the MGF).

→ defined on an interval, analytic.

Gaussian distributions

- In \mathbb{R} : gaussianReal $m v$, with mean $m : \mathbb{R}$ and variance $v : \mathbb{R} \geq 0$
- In normed spaces: IsGaussian μ . All maps by continuous linear forms have a Gaussian distribution.
- No multivariate Gaussian in \mathbb{R}^n yet (coming soon).

Some things Mathlib knows about Gaussians:

- Characteristic function
- Fernique's theorem: Gaussians in Banach spaces have exponential tails
- Moments are finite (consequence of Fernique's theorem)
- Many transforms of Gaussians are Gaussians

- In \mathbb{R} : gaussianReal $m v$, with mean $m : \mathbb{R}$ and variance $v : \mathbb{R} \geq 0$
- In normed spaces: IsGaussian μ . All maps by continuous linear forms have a Gaussian distribution.
- No multivariate Gaussian in \mathbb{R}^n yet (coming soon).

Some things Mathlib knows about Gaussians:

- Characteristic function
- Fernique's theorem: Gaussians in Banach spaces have exponential tails
- Moments are finite (consequence of Fernique's theorem)
- Many transforms of Gaussians are Gaussians

Other distributions are defined, but barely used. So they might need work.

An unused definition is probably unusable

Table of Contents

3 Markov kernels and conditional distributions

- ▶ Probability measures and random variables
- ▶ Distributions, moments
- ▶ Markov kernels and conditional distributions
- ▶ Stochastic processes and martingales
- ▶ Other results in and outside Mathlib

Probability transition kernel from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} : $\kappa : \text{Kernel } \mathcal{X} \mathcal{Y}$

A measurable map from \mathcal{X} to measures on \mathcal{Y} .

That is: for all measurable sets B of \mathcal{Y} , the map $x \mapsto \kappa(x)(B)$ is measurable.

If all measures are probability measures: [IsMarkovKernel κ]

Probability transition kernel from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} : $\kappa : \text{Kernel } \mathcal{X} \mathcal{Y}$

A measurable map from \mathcal{X} to measures on \mathcal{Y} .

That is: for all measurable sets B of \mathcal{Y} , the map $x \mapsto \kappa(x)(B)$ is measurable.

If all measures are probability measures: [`IsMarkovKernel` κ]

Operations on kernels and measures:

- Composition of kernels, of a kernel and a measure

$$\int_{p \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}} f(p) d(\kappa \circ_m P) = \int_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \int_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} f(x, y) d\kappa(x) dP$$

- Products of kernels

- “Composition-products” of kernels: getting a joint distribution from a marginal and a conditional distribution

From $\kappa : \text{Kernel } \mathcal{X} \mathcal{Y}$ and $\eta : \text{Kernel } (\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}) \mathcal{Z}$, get $\kappa \otimes_k \eta : \text{Kernel } \mathcal{X} (\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Z})$

Important theorem: disintegration of kernels

A kernel κ from \mathcal{X} to $\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Z}$ can be written as $\kappa_X \otimes_k \eta$, where κ_X is a kernel from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} and η is a kernel from $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ to \mathcal{Z} .

Assumptions: \mathcal{Z} standard Borel, and either \mathcal{X} countable or \mathcal{Y} countably generated.

Application to measures: a measure on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ can be disintegrated into its marginal on \mathcal{X} and a kernel from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} .

Conditionals and posteriors

```
condDistrib Y X P : Kernel  $\mathcal{X}$   $\mathcal{Y}$ 
```

Conditional probability distribution of random variable Y given X under measure P .
Obtained by disintegration of the joint distribution of (X, Y) on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$.

Posterior kernel: $P : \text{Measure } \mathcal{X}$ and $\kappa : \text{Kernel } \mathcal{X} \mathcal{Y}$ combine into
 $P \otimes_m \kappa : \text{Measure } (\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$. It disintegrates into a measure on \mathcal{Y} and a kernel from \mathcal{Y} to \mathcal{X} : the posterior kernel.

A form of Bayes' theorem

```
lemma posterior_eq_withDensity (h_ac :  $\forall^m \omega \partial P, \kappa \omega \ll \kappa \circ_m P$ ) :  
 $\forall^m x \partial(\kappa \circ_m P), (\kappa \upharpoonright P) x = P.\text{withDensity } (\text{fun } \omega \mapsto \kappa.\text{rnDeriv } (\text{Kernel.const } (\kappa \circ_m P)) \omega x)$  := by
```

(Conditional) independence of random variables, sigma-algebras, sets.

A particularity: derived from independence with respect to a kernel and a measure.

Definition (Independence with respect to a kernel and a measure)

Let P be a measure on \mathcal{X} , and κ be a kernel from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} . Let X and Y be two random variables on \mathcal{Y} . Then X and Y are independent with respect to κ and P if for all measurable sets s, t of \mathcal{Y} , for P -almost all x ,

$$\kappa(x)(X^{-1}(s) \cap Y^{-1}(t)) = \kappa(x)(X^{-1}(s)) \cdot \kappa(x)(Y^{-1}(t)).$$

- Apply to the conditional kernel to get conditional independence
- Apply to a constant kernel to get usual independence

→ same trick used for sub-Gaussianity.

Table of Contents

4 Stochastic processes and martingales

- ▶ Probability measures and random variables
- ▶ Distributions, moments
- ▶ Markov kernels and conditional distributions
- ▶ Stochastic processes and martingales
- ▶ Other results in and outside Mathlib

Stochastic processes

A stochastic process: a function $x : T \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \rightarrow E$.

Add measurability assumptions when needed.

We have definitions of

- **Filtrations:** $F : \text{Filtration } T m$
- **Adapted processes:** $\text{Adapted } F X$
- **Stopping times:** $\text{IsStoppingTime } F \tau$
- **Martingales:** $\text{Martingale } X F P$

```
def Martingale (X : T → X → E) (F : Filtration T m0) (P : Measure X) : Prop :=  
  Adapted F X ∧ ∀ i j, i ≤ j → P[X j|F i] =m[P] X i
```

Stopping times take values in $\text{WithTop } T$.

Definitions are general. But theorems are mostly for discrete time.

(Sub)martingale results

All in **discrete time**:

- Optional stopping theorem
- Optional sampling theorem
- Doob decomposition
- Doob's maximal inequality
- Doob's L^p inequality
- Martingale convergence theorems (a.s. and in L^p)

[Kexing Ying, RD, A formalization of Doob's martingale convergence theorems in mathlib, CPP 2023]

“in discrete time” = index set is precisely \mathbb{N} .

Very restrictive. We are generalizing now:

[LinearOrder T] [OrderBot T] [LocallyFiniteOrder T]

We are working on continuous time in the **Brownian motion project** (talk on Friday).

Table of Contents

5 Other results in and outside Mathlib

- ▶ Probability measures and random variables
- ▶ Distributions, moments
- ▶ Markov kernels and conditional distributions
- ▶ Stochastic processes and martingales
- ▶ Other results in and outside Mathlib

Other results in and outside Mathlib

5 Other results in and outside Mathlib

Also in Mathlib:

- Convergence of probability measures. Portmanteau theorem
- Strong law of large numbers

Not in Mathlib yet, but **in PRs or other projects**:

- Central limit theorem
- Cameron-Martin theorem
- Some decision theory and information theory (divergences)
- Kolmogorov continuity theorem
- Brownian motion in \mathbb{R}
- Continuous time martingales

In progress: stochastic integrals (talk on Friday).

How you can contribute

- Mathlib is missing many facts about the existing definitions
- You can join the Brownian motion project and work on stochastic calculus

We have enough basic probability definitions to start formalizing other fields

- Statistics
- Stochastic optimization
- Bayesian probability
- Information theory

How you can contribute

- Mathlib is missing many facts about the existing definitions
- You can join the Brownian motion project and work on stochastic calculus

We have enough basic probability definitions to start formalizing other fields

- Statistics
- Stochastic optimization
- Bayesian probability
- Information theory

I'm looking for 1 postdoc (probably soon 2 postdocs) to work on formalization of machine learning theory.