

Draft 2

Ana Karina and Tim

4/7/2020

Risk of infection from touching surfaces contaminated with SARS-CoV-2

Abstract

Introduction

SARS-CoV-2, the virus responsible for the COVID-19 pandemic, is transmitted via both direct (person-to-person) and indirect (via a contaminated environment) routes (WHO 2020; CDC 2020). Direct transmission appears to be the leading route and occurs via prolonged exposures to respiratory droplets produced while talking, coughing, and sneezing. Infection control recommendations, based on the assumption that direct contact transmission is the leading route, include maintaining social/physical distances, wearing masks, case isolation, contact tracing, and quarantine (Ferretti et al. 2020).

Despite knowledge that SARS-CoV-2 transmission is primarily through direct transmission, indirect transmission - or transmission occurring due to interaction with a contaminated environment - remains possible. People infected with SARS-CoV-2 shed the virus into the environment, as evidenced by extensive environmental contamination detected on surfaces in cruise ships, hospitals, and XXX (Ong et al. 2020; Ye et al. 2020). Infective coronavirus, including SARS-CoV-2, persists in the environment, with experimental evidence of persistence on surfaces for prolonged periods up to 9 days (Kampf et al. 2020). Viruses readily transfer from contaminated surfaces to the skin upon contact (Julian, Leckie, and Boehm 2010; Lopez et al. 2013; Bidawid et al. 2004; Rusin, Maxwell, and Gerba 2002; Ansari et al. 1991) and from the skin to the mouth and saliva of individuals (Pitot et al. 2017; Rusin, Maxwell, and Gerba 2002). Taken together, this evidence suggests surface contamination poses a risk for indirect SARS-CoV-2 transmission, similar to other respiratory viruses (Boone and Gerba 2007).

Indirect transmission events of SARS-CoV-2, even if not the dominant transmission route, complicate infection control efforts using contact tracing. Contact tracing efforts focus on identifying people sharing spaces coincidentally with cases (Ferretti et al. 2020). Indirect transmission could occur in the absence of shared spaces. As an example, transmission of SARS-CoV-1 in a Taiwanese hospital led to nosocomial infection of 31 patients. In 6 (20%) of the patients, contact tracing failed to detect direct contacts with other SARS patients, although RNA of SARS-CoV-1 was detected throughout the hospital on drinking water buttons, beds, and chairs (Chen et al. 2004). Based on these findings, the authors suggest indirect transmission as the cause of transmission amongst a subset of those infected. (Chen et al. 2004). If indirect transmission is an important route for SARS-CoV-2, control strategies will necessarily have to integrate hand hygiene interventions and surface disinfection alongside contact tracing (Gottlieb et al. 2020).

Despite the potential importance of indirect transmission, it is difficult to estimate its importance relative to direct transmission (Bar-on et al. 2020). Quantitative Microbial Risk Analysis (QMRA) provides a framework for understanding health risks from indirect transmission and provides insights into potential impacts of infection control recommendations. Mechanistic models of fomite-mediated transmission events within the context of QMRA frameworks have been used to inform risks for children interacting with contaminated toys (Julian et al. 2009), sanitation workers collecting and processing urine for nutrient recovery (Bischel et al. 2019), transmission of norovirus within a houseboat (Canales et al. 2019), and impact of surface disinfection (Ryan et al. 2014). QMRA has also been adapted to evaluate risks for hospital transmission of MERS-CoV through droplets and aerosolized particles. The analysis highlighted reductions in risk to hospital staff through mask use (>90% estimated risk reduction) and increased air exchange (up to 58% estimated risk reduction) (Adhikari et al. 2019).

In this study, a mechanistic model of indirect transmission within the QMRA framework is developed to estimate likelihood of transmission in community settings and inform guidance on surface disinfection strategies. Specifically, the risks of infection with SARS-CoV-2 are estimated for interactions with shared spaces (i.e., use of ATMs, buttons on cross walks and trains). Risk reductions are further estimated under various feasible surface disinfection strategies.

Materials and Methods

Hazard Identification

Whenever an infected individual coughs, sneezes or speaks, droplets containing pathogens make their way to the proximal surfaces (Bourouiba, Dehandschoewercker, and Bush 2014). It has been shown that SARS-CoV-2 can persist on surfaces like plastic, metal, or glass at room temperature for hours to days (Doremalen et al. 2020). Additionally, viruses are known to transfer from surfaces to the hands upon contact (Julian, Leckie, and Boehm 2010; Lopez et al. 2013; Bidawid et al. 2004; Rusin, Maxwell, and Gerba 2002; Ansari et al. 1991), as well as from hands to the lips and saliva of individuals (Rusin, Maxwell, and Gerba 2002; Pitol et al. 2017). Given the fact that people frequently touch their faces and the mucous membranes within the face (nose, eyes and mouth) (Kwok, Gralton, and McLaws 2015; Nicas and Best 2008), we have identified the indirect (surface-mediated) transfer of SARS-CoV-2 as a relevant transmission pathway. Therefore, this risk assessment is designed to explore the risks of infection associated with the surface-mediated transmission of SARS-CoV-2.

Exposure Assessment

Scenario definition

Only one potential exposure pathway was analysed: the indirect transmission of viruses through contaminated surfaces. Two different scenarios were modelled: single touch (frequently touched buttons) and multiple touches (ATM or cash machine). The stochastic and mechanistic model used to model the risk of surface-mediated transmission of SARS-CoV-2 infection is based on models described elsewhere (Julian et al. 2018; ???).

Scenario 1. Single touch buttons. Frequently touched buttons such as traffic light buttons, train buttons, and elevator buttons are of risk concern due to their frequent use by multiple people. In this scenario, we modelled the risk of touching a contaminated button followed by touching mucous membranes on the face. The material of the button was assumed to be either plastic or steel. The sequence of events leading to the viral inoculation of the susceptible individual was considered to be the following: 1) contamination event (infected person coughing directly on the surface), 2) virus decay on the surface, 3) virus transfer from the surface to the finger, 4) virus transfer from the finger to the mucous membranes of the nose, mouth and eyes.

Scenario 2. Multiple touches. ATM/cash machines are another example of surfaces that are frequently visited by individuals and are not frequently cleaned, as they are located in public spaces. For this risk assessment model, the ATM was assumed to be made of steel. The sequence of events leading to virus inoculation was considered to be the following: 1) contamination event (infected person coughing directly on the surface), 2) virus decay on the surface, 3) virus transfer from the surface to the finger and from finger to surface through multiple contacts, 4) virus transfer from the finger to the mucous membranes in the face (eyes, mouth, nose).

Virus concentration and transfer

Viral loads in the saliva or sputum of symptomatic COVID-19 patients within the first 14 days of symptom onset were used as model input. The virus titers used in the risk assessment were measured using quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) (Wölfel et al. 2020; Pan et al. 2020; Kim et al. 2020; To et al. 2020). The RT-qPCR method estimates the number of copies of viral RNA present in the samples. Nevertheless, the presence of coronavirus RNA does not imply the presence of infectious viruses. In risk assessments whenever data on the concentration of infectious viruses is not available, it is commonly accepted to use a gene copies to infectivity ratio to convert the concentration measured in gene copies to the concentration of infective viruses (Chigor, Sibanda, and Okoh 2014). The gene copy number to infectivity

ratio is, to our knowledge, not available for SARS-CoV-2. In the absence of SARS-CoV-2 data, we used the relationship reported for seasonal A(H1N1), influenza A(H3N2), and influenza B Ip et al. (2015) (Table 1). Both, influenza viruses and coronaviruses, are enveloped, RNA viruses with similar sizes.

The concentration of viruses in the surface after inoculation (through cough) was estimated using the following equation:

$$C(0) = \frac{C_{sp}V_s}{\frac{4\pi x_i^2}{a}} \quad (1)$$

where $C(0)$ [virus/cm²] is the concentration of virus in the surface, V_s [mL/cough] is the volume of saliva expelled per cough, C_{sp} [virus/mL] is the concentration of infective viruses in the saliva or sputum, x_i [cm] is the inoculation distance or the distance between the surface and the infected individual at the time of the cough for activity i , and a is the XXX. This equation assumes that the droplets are expelled in a conical shape and a proportion of the spheric part of the cone is in contact with the surface. After surface inoculation, the concentration of the virus on the surface at time t was estimated using the following equation:

$$C(t) = C(0)e^{-nt} \quad (2)$$

where $C(t)$ [virus/cm²] is the concentration of infective viruses at time t , $C(0)$ [virus/cm²] is the initial concentration of virus in the surface, t [min] is the time lapsed after surface inoculation, and n [m⁻¹] is the decay rate of the virus in the selected surface (metal or plastic). The concentration of virus on the hand after a single touch was estimated to be a function of the transfer efficiency of viruses between the hands and the surfaces. The concentration of viruses in the hand after multiple touches was estimated as reported elsewhere (Julian et al. 2009, 2018), where the transfer of virus is a function of the gradient in the concentration of viruses btween the hand and the surface.

$$C_{ff} = C(t)TE_{sh} \quad (3)$$

or

$$C_{ff} = (C(t) - C_{fi})TE_{sh} + C_{fi} \quad (4)$$

where C_{fi} and C_{ff} [virus/cm²] are the initial and final concentration of virus in the finger, $C(t)$ [virus/cm²] is the concentration of virus in the surface at time t , TE_{sh} [%] is the transfer efficiency of viruses between surfaces and hands. The dose of viruses that entered the susceptible individual through facial membranes, D [virus], was estimated as follows:

$$D = C_{ff}S_fTE_{hm} \quad (5)$$

where C_{finger_f} [virus/cm²] is the final concentration of virus in the finger, S_f [cm] is the surface area of the finger in contact with the mucous membranes, and TE_{hm} [%] is the transfer efficiency of the virus from the hand to the mucous membranes.

Dose-response

In the case of highly virulent pathogens, where no prophylaxis is available, it is common practice to use data of animal trials to generate dose-response models. The dose-response relationship used in this model was obtained from the QMRA wiki <http://qmrawiki.org/experiments/sars> and is based on two studies (De Albuquerque et al. 2006; DeDiego et al. 2008) of SARS-CoV and Murine hepatitis virus (MHV-1) infection in mice. MHV is a commonly used surrogate for human coronaviruses. The risk of infection was estimated with the following equation:

$$P_{inf} = 1 - e^{-kD} \quad (6)$$

where P_{inf} [%] is the probability of infection, k [-] is the infectivity parameter of the exponential model and D [virus] is the dose of virus that entered the individual through mucous membranes.

Model parameters used in the risk assessment

Parameter	Units	Description	Input values / Equation	Distribution	Reference and comments
C_{spGC}	gene copies/mL	Concentration of SARS-CoV-2 in sputum or saliva	Log ₁₀ gene copies/mL (data set)	ReSample	(Wölfel et al. 2020; Pan et al. 2020; Kim et al. 2020; To et al. 2020) RT-qPCR data from 9, 2, 2, and 23 patients with COVID-19
$GC : TCID_{50}$	-	Genome copies to infectious virus conversion factor	10^2 - 10^3	Uniform	(Ip et al. 2015) Mean RT-qPCR to $TCID_{50}$ ratio of 708, 547, and 185 gene copies per $TCID_{50}$ for seasonal A(H1N1), A(H3N2), and influenza B, respectively calculated
C_{sp}	$TCID_{50}$ /mL	Concentration of infective SARS-CoV-2 in sample	$C_{sp} = \frac{C_{spGC}}{GC:TCID_{50}}$	-	
V_s	mL	Volume of saliva expelled per cough	0.0484-0.0396	uniform	(Nicas and Jones 2009) volume = 0.044mL, (Adhikari et al. 2019) uniform $\pm 10\%$
$N_{cgh/min}$	min ⁻¹	Number of coughs per minute	0.57(1)	Normal	(Leung et al. 2020), based on data of 17 individuals with seasonal CoV

Parameter	Units	Description	Input values / Equation	Distribution	Reference and comments
t_{ATM}	min	Time spent on the ATM	0.75(0.38)	Normal	This study. Obtained from 20 hours of observational data
$t_{btw-ATM}$	min	Time between visits	0.13, 0.80	Weibull	This study. Obtained from 20 hours of observational data
$t_{btw-btn}$	min	Time between pressing button	1-20	Uniform	Assumed
x_{ATM}	cm	ATM inoculation distance	40	Point value	This study. Assumed from the observational data
x_{btn}	cm	Button inoculation distance	50	Point value	Assumed
$t_{\frac{1}{2}stl}$	min	Half life of CoV-2 in steel	338(35)	Normal	Doremalen et al. (2020), SARS-CoV-2 infectivity assays, 40% RH and 21-23°C
$t_{\frac{1}{2}pl}$	min	Half life of CoV-2 in plastic	409(39)	Normal	Doremalen et al. (2020), SARS-CoV-2 infectivity assays, 40% RH and 21-23°C
n_x	min ⁻¹	Decay rate in surface x (steel or plastic)	$n_x = \frac{\ln(2)}{t_{\frac{1}{2}}}$	-	calculated
TE_{sh-stl}	%	Transfer efficiency of virus between steel to hand	37.4(16)	Normal	Lopez et al. (2013), transfer efficiency of viruses (MS2) between surface and skin at 40-65% humidity

Parameter	Units	Description	Input values / Equation	Distribution	Reference and comments
TE_{sh-pl}	%	Transfer efficiency of virus between plastic to hand	79.5(21.2)	Normal	Lopez et al. (2013), transfer efficiency of viruses (MS2) between surface and skin at 40-65% humidity
TE_{hm}	%	Transfer efficiency from hand to mucose membranes	20(6.3)	Normal	(Pitol et al. 2017), transfer efficiency of viruses (MS2) from hand to saliva
A_f	cm ²	Finger surface area	3.9-5.9	Uniform	Fractional surface area of partial finger (AuYeung, Canales, and Leckie 2008) times average hand surface area (US Environmental Protection Agency 2011)
k	PFU ⁻¹	Parameter of the exponential dose-response	0.00107, 0.00135, 0.00680	Triangular	Data obtained from QMRWiki, based on 2 studies De Albuquerque et al. (2006), DeDiego et al. (2008), using the from 0.5th, 50th, and 99.5th percentiles as min, mode, and max
::	::	::	::	::	::

The input parameters of the distributions are: Normal: mean (SD); Uniform: lower-bound - upper-bound; Triangular: min, mode, max; Weibull: scale, shape.

Risk Characterization

Monte Carlo simulation: method is used to incorporate uncertainty and variability of the input parameters in the risk characterization.

Sensitivity analysis

A sensitivity analysis was performed to investigate how the variability and uncertainty of the parameters in the model influenced the estimated risks. The sensitivity was estimated using Spearman correlation coefficients between the inputs and outputs of the model.

Results and Discussion

Supporting Information

Author Information

Aknowledgements

References

- Adhikari, Umesh, Alexandre Chabrelie, Mark Weir, Kevin Boehnke, Erica McKenzie, Luisa Ikner, Meng Wang, et al. 2019. “A Case Study Evaluating the Risk of Infection from Middle Eastern Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (MERS-CoV) in a Hospital Setting Through Bioaerosols.” *Risk Analysis* 39 (12): 2608–24. <https://doi.org/10.1111/risa.13389>.
- Ansari, S, V Springthorpe, S Sattar, S Rivard, and M Rahman. 1991. “Potential role of hands in the spread of respiratory viral infections: studies with human parainfluenza virus 3 and rhinovirus.” *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 29 (10): 2115–9. <http://jcm.asm.org/content/29/10/2115.full.pdf>.
- AuYeung, Willa, Robert A Canales, and James O Leckie. 2008. “The fraction of total hand surface area involved in young children’s outdoor hand-to-object contacts.” *Environmental Research* 108 (3): 294–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2008.07.010>.
- Bar-on, Yinon M, Avi Flamholz, Rob Phillips, and Ron Milo. 2020. “SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) by the numbers.” *eLife*. <https://elifesciences.org/articles/57309>.
- Bidawid, S, N Malik, O Adegkunrin, S A Sattar, and J M Farber. 2004. “Norovirus cross-contamination during food handling and interruption of virus transfer by hand antisepsis: experiments with feline calicivirus as a surrogate.” *J Food Prot* 67 (1): 103–9. <https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-67.1.103>.
- Bischel, Heather N., Lea Caduff, Simon Schindelholtz, Tamar Kohn, and Timothy R. Julian. 2019. “Health Risks for Sanitation Service Workers along a Container-Based Urine Collection System and Resource Recovery Value Chain.” *Environmental Science and Technology* 53 (12): 7055–67. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b01092>.
- Boone, Stephanie A., and Charles P. Gerba. 2007. “Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and enteric viral disease.” *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 73 (6): 1687–96. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02051-06>.
- Bourouiba, Lydia, Eline Dehandschoewerker, and John W. M. Bush. 2014. “Violent expiratory events: On coughing and sneezing.” *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 745: 537–63. <https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2014.88>.
- Canales, Robert A., Kelly A. Reynolds, Amanda M. Wilson, Sonia L. M. Fankem, Mark H. Weir, Joan B. Rose, Sherif Abd-Elmaksoud, and Charles P. Gerba. 2019. “Modeling the role of fomites in a norovirus outbreak.” *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene* 16 (1): 16–26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15459624.2018.1531131>.
- CDC. 2020. “How COVID-19 Spreads.” Vol. 2019.
- Chen, Yee Chun, Li Min Huang, Chang Chuan Chan, Chan Ping Su, Shan Chwen Chang, Ying Ying Chang, Mel Ling Chen, et al. 2004. “SARS in Hospital Emergency Room.” *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 10 (5): 782–88. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1005.030579>.
- Chigor, Vincent N., Timothy Sibanda, and Anthony I. Okoh. 2014. “Assessment of the Risks for Human Health of Adenoviruses, Hepatitis A Virus, Rotaviruses and Enteroviruses in the Buffalo River and Three

Source Water Dams in the Eastern Cape.” *Food and Environmental Virology* 6 (2): 87–98. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12560-014-9138-4>.

De Albuquerque, Nadine, Ehtesham Baig, Xuezhong Ma, Jianhua Zhang, William He, Andrea Rowe, Marlina Habal, et al. 2006. “Murine Hepatitis Virus Strain 1 Produces a Clinically Relevant Model of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome in A/J Mice.” *Journal of Virology*. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.00747-06>.

DeDiego, Marta L., Lecia Pewe, Enrique Alvarez, Maria Teresa Rejas, Stanley Perlman, and Luis Enjuanes. 2008. “Pathogenicity of severe acute respiratory coronavirus deletion mutants in hACE-2 transgenic mice.” *Virology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2008.03.005>.

Doremalen, Neeltje van, Trenton Bushmaker, Dylan H. Morris, Myndi G. Holbrook, Amandine Gamble, Brandi N. Williamson, Azaibi Tamin, et al. 2020. “Aerosol and Surface Stability of SARS-CoV-2 as Compared with SARS-CoV-1.” *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 1–3. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2004973>.

Ferretti, Luca, Chris Wymant, Michelle Kendall, Lele Zhao, Anel Nurtay, Lucie Abeler-Dörner, Michael Parker, David Bonsall, and Christophe Fraser. 2020. “Quantifying SARS-CoV-2 transmission suggests epidemic control with digital contact tracing.” *Science* 368 (6491): 0–8. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb6936>.

Gottlieb, Scot, Caitlin Rivers, Mark B. McClellan, Lauren Silvis, and Crystal Watson. 2020. “National Coronavirus Response. A road map to reopening.” *American Enterprise Institute*, 1–16.

Ip, Dennis K. M., Lincoln L. H. Lau, Kwok Hung Chan, Vicky J. Fang, Gabriel M. Leung, Malik J. S. Peiris, and Benjamin J. Cowling. 2015. “The Dynamic Relationship between Clinical Symptomatology and Viral Shedding in Naturally Acquired Seasonal and Pandemic Influenza Virus Infections.” *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 62 (4): 431–37. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/civ909>.

Julian, Timothy R., Robert a. Canales, James O. Leckie, and Alexandria B. Boehm. 2009. “A model of exposure to rotavirus from nondietary ingestion iterated by simulated intermittent contacts.” *Risk Analysis* 29: 617–32. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.2008.01193.x>.

Julian, Timothy R., Hasitha S. K. Vithanage, Min Li Chua, Matasaka Kuroda, Ana K. Pitol, Pham Hong Lien Nguyen, Robert A. Canales, Shigeo Fujii, and Hidenori Harada. 2018. “High time-resolution simulation of *E. coli* on hands reveals large variation in microbial exposures amongst Vietnamese farmers using human excreta for agriculture.” *Science of the Total Environment* 635: 120–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.100>.

Julian, T. R., J. O. Leckie, and A. B. Boehm. 2010. “Virus transfer between fingerpads and fomites.” *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 109: 1868–74. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2010.04814.x>.

Kampf, G., D. Todt, S. Pfaender, and E. Steinmann. 2020. “Persistence of coronaviruses on inanimate surfaces and their inactivation with biocidal agents.” *Journal of Hospital Infection* 104 (3): 246–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2020.01.022>.

Kim, Jin Yong, Jae Hoon Ko, Yeonjae Kim, Yae Jean Kim, Jeong Min Kim, Yoon Seok Chung, Heui Man Kim, Myung Guk Han, So Yeon Kim, and Bum Sik Chin. 2020. “Viral load kinetics of SARS-CoV-2 infection in first two patients in Korea.” *Journal of Korean Medical Science* 35 (7): 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2020.35.e86>.

Kwok, Yen Lee Angela, Jan Gralton, and Mary Louise McLaws. 2015. “Face touching: A frequent habit that has implications for hand hygiene.” *American Journal of Infection Control* 43 (2): 112–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2014.10.015>.

Leung, N H L, D K W Chu, E Y C Shiu, K H Chan, and... 2020. “Respiratory Virus Shedding in Exhaled Breath and Efficacy of Face Masks.” *Nature Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0843-2>.

Lopez, Gerardo U., Charles P. Gerba, Akrum H. Tamimi, Masaaki Kitajima, Sheri L. Maxwell, and Joan B. Rose. 2013. “Transfer efficiency of bacteria and viruses from porous and nonporous fomites to fingers under different relative humidity conditions.” *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 79: 5728–34. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01030-13>.

- Nicas, Mark, and Daniel Best. 2008. "A study quantifying the hand-to-face contact rate and its potential application to predicting respiratory tract infection." *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene* 5 (6): 347–52. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15459620802003896>.
- Nicas, Mark, and Rachael M. Jones. 2009. "Relative contributions of four exposure pathways to influenza infection risk." *Risk Analysis* 29 (9): 1292–1303. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.2009.01253.x>.
- Ong, Sean Wei Xiang, Yian Kim Tan, Po Ying Chia, Tau Hong Lee, Oon Tek Ng, Michelle Su Yen Wong, and Kalisvar Marimuthu. 2020. "Air, Surface Environmental, and Personal Protective Equipment Contamination by Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) from a Symptomatic Patient." *JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association*, 2–4. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.3227>.
- Pan, Yang, Daitao Zhang, Peng Yang, Leo L M Poon, and Quanyi Wang. 2020. "Viral load of SARS-CoV-2 in clinical samples." *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 20 (4): 411–12. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099\(20\)30113-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099(20)30113-4).
- Pitol, A K, H N Bischel, T Kohn, and T R Julian. 2017. "Virus Transfer at the Skin-Liquid Interface." *Environmental Science and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b04949>.
- Rusin, P, S Maxwell, and C Gerba. 2002. "Comparative surface-to-hand and fingertip-to-mouth transfer efficiency of gram-positive bacteria, gram-negative bacteria, and phage." *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 93: 585–92. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.2002.01734.x>.
- Ryan, Michael O., Charles N. Haas, Patrick L. Gurian, Charles P. Gerba, Brian M. Panzl, and Joan B. Rose. 2014. "Application of quantitative microbial risk assessment for selection of microbial reduction targets for hard surface disinfectants." *American Journal of Infection Control* 42 (11): 1165–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2014.07.024>.
- To, Kelvin Kai Wang, Owen Tak Yin Tsang, Wai Shing Leung, Anthony Raymond Tam, Tak Chiu Wu, David Christopher Lung, Cyril Chik Yan Yip, et al. 2020. "Temporal profiles of viral load in posterior oropharyngeal saliva samples and serum antibody responses during infection by SARS-CoV-2: an observational cohort study." *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 20 (5): 565–74. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(20\)30196-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30196-1).
- US Environmental Protection Agency. 2011. "Exposure Factors Handbook: 2011 Edition." *U.S. Environmental Protection Agency* 1: 1–1466. <https://doi.org/EPA/600/R-090/052F>.
- WHO. 2020. "Modes of transmission of virus causing COVID-19: implications for IPC precaution recommendations." *Scientific Brief* 29 (March): 10–12. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2001316.5>.
- Wölfel, Roman, Victor M. Corman, Wolfgang Guggemos, Michael Seilmaier, Sabine Zange, Marcel A. Müller, Daniela Niemeyer, et al. 2020. "Virological assessment of hospitalized patients with COVID-2019." *Nature*, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2196-x>.
- Ye, Guangming, Hualiang Lin, Liangjun Chen, Shichan Wang, Zhikun Zeng, Wei Wang, Shiyu Zhang, et al. 2020. "Environmental contamination of the SARS-CoV-2 in healthcare premises: An urgent call for protection for healthcare workers." *medRxiv Preprint*, 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.11.20034546>.