0:0:0.0 --> 0:0:9.250

ВР

The the split reporting structure between Joe and and Josh is just making things more complex.

0:0:9.260 --> 0:0:13.90

BP

Josh is really struggling with being remote in the role.

0:0:13.400 --> 0:0:16.950

BP

You you you join a a weekly engineering meeting.

0:0:16.960 --> 0:0:24.340

BP

It's really unorganized and they're like trying to point the not point the finger, but nobody knows who's in charge.

0:0:24.350 --> 0:0:27.890

BP

Nobody's leading at a day to day level like it's.

0:0:27.930 --> 0:0:29.640

MF

You're seeing between Joe and Josh, Bobby.

0:0:30.10 --> 0:0:30.290

BP

Yeah.

0:0:31.990 --> 0:0:33.430

BP

Yeah, Joe's actually, I've.

0:0:33.440 --> 0:0:36.880

ΒP

I've learned to really appreciate Joe as I've gotten to to know him.

0:0:36.890 --> 0:0:42.570

BP

I think he's a really smart engineer, contributes very well in our, you know, weekly LCI meeting.

0:0:43.640 --> 0:0:45.290

BP

I just don't see him leading the team.

0:0:45.480 --> 0:0:50.170

ΒP

I I see his stock response as that's not our problem.

```
0:0:50.220 --> 0:0:52.470
BP
Like, sorry, hands off.
0:0:52.480 --> 0:0:57.540
Like I can't have that language right now like it's it's all our problems to own in that facility, so.
0:0:57.230 --> 0:0:57.600
JI
Yeah.
0:0:57.610 --> 0:0:57.960
What?
0:0:57.970 --> 0:0:58.490
JI
What are?
0:0:58.500 --> 0:0:59.50
What are those?
0:0:59.60 --> 0:0:59.900
Some of those problems?
0:1:4.840 --> 0:1:6.230
BP
Bomb issues.
0:1:6.240 --> 0:1:16.210
I mean migration issues from Windchill to to Oracle engineering, quality issues, base model issues.
0:1:21.220 --> 0:1:21.360
JI
Yeah.
0:1:19.540 --> 0:1:21.870
BP
And we've got.
```

0:1:21.880 --> 0:1:22.970

We're we're tracking it.

ВР

0:1:21.420 --> 0:1:24.70

MF

So so Bobby engineering quality issues.

0:1:24.80 --> 0:1:25.750

MF

He's saying that's not our problem.

0:1:25.890 --> 0:1:28.260

BP

No, no, no, he'll say that's his problem, right?

0:1:28.130 --> 0:1:28.890

MF

OK, OK.

0:1:28.270 --> 0:1:39.70

BP

So they'll they'll on those, but you know that's my only channel for base model issues for getting you know things to product engineering like I get the response but.

0:1:42.150 --> 0:1:53.490

BP

You could structure it in a different way to build the team is like, yes, there's these reasons and we're gonna pull all this information together and prioritize it to product engineering.

0:1:53.760 --> 0:1:58.50

BP

It's more just the the optics of not my problem.

0:1:58.440 --> 0:1:59.800

ΒP

Don't come to me to solve that.

0:2:2.140 --> 0:2:2.500

MF

Umm.

0:2:2.690 --> 0:2:2.850

JI

OK.

0:2:5.380 --> 0:2:7.510

BP

So that that's my, that's my big issues.

0:2:5.500 --> 0:2:7.570

Ш

So in in just just FYI.

0:2:7.580 --> 0:2:7.830

IJ

Yeah.

0:2:7.520 --> 0:2:8.530

BP

Just the local leadership.

0:2:7.840 --> 0:2:10.170

JI

Yeah, and yeah, appreciate that.

0:2:10.230 --> 0:2:13.160

J

And you know, I guess in the point again this call.

0:2:13.170 --> 0:2:14.380

JI

So I'm not gonna respond.

0:2:14.390 --> 0:2:18.160

Ш

I'm just gonna listen and we're taking these down and then we'll come back.

0:2:19.60 --> 0:2:19.280

MF

Yep.

0:2:18.170 --> 0:2:19.820

П

So but all of that was noted.

0:2:21.230 --> 0:2:21.550

IJ

Thank you.

0:2:22.40 --> 0:2:25.970

MF

And then to Richard, Bobby still rudely jumped in front of you.

0:2:25.470 --> 0:2:27.190

ΒP

I so rudely jumped in front of you but.

0:2:26.240 --> 0:2:28.370

MF

You're still the upper left of my screen.

0:2:27.960 --> 0:2:31.600

RT

I thought he must have gone to the upper left corner of your screen, but no.

0:2:34.20 --> 0:2:46.430

RT

So I I kind of say what I'm seeing is just a general sense of urgency and thinking in terms of with the sales team or with the with the customer.

0:2:46.440 --> 0:3:1.250

RT

Even so, having that focus on what's really valuable for the customer, uhm, sense of urgency to get things done, both on an order level, but also to make these improvements that we've been talking about for six months.

0:3:2.50 --> 0:3:2.230

IJ

Yeah.

0:3:1.880 --> 0:3:2.570

RT

Umm.

0:3:3.230 --> 0:3:7.690

RT

And getting good alignment with one the commercial teams.

0:3:7.750 --> 0:3:23.880

RT

So all the selling team, so the kind of the AE PPM interface and then with supply chain planning assembly, I just still see quite well the gaps in in really getting that good solid alignment going there.

0:3:23.430 --> 0:3:26.690

JI

Umm but it, but specifically for OE there.

0:3:27.540 --> 0:3:28.640

JI

You know, you'd mentioned you know.

0:3:27.970 --> 0:3:32.770

RT

Owen controls engineering, so the the, the two groups doing that, yeah.

0:3:33.950 --> 0:3:38.60

JI

Yeah, well, they're not doing product improvements and they're not doing supply chain.

0:3:39.30 --> 0:3:40.860

JI

Umm so but so.

0:3:41.140 --> 0:3:41.870

RT

To John.

0:3:41.880 --> 0:3:42.70

RT

What?

0:3:40.870 --> 0:3:43.330

JI

But you said the urgency, yeah.

0:3:42.80 --> 0:3:45.230

RT

What I meant was the interface with supply chain.

0:3:50.860 --> 0:3:51.20

JI

Yeah.

0:3:54.940 --> 0:3:55.110

IJ

Yeah.

0:3:45.240 --> 0:4:5.280

R٦

So procurement, because my point is we get an order and then we have things PO's going out to suppliers that process after we cut PO's to suppliers were good but getting from booking in order to cutting PO's to supplier just takes way too long.

0:4:25.650 --> 0:4:26.10

JI

OK.

0:4:5.290 --> 0:4:27.70

RT

We have too much waste in that process and I'm just not seeing a real sense of urgency to fix that and improve that with the biggest problems being when we book the order, hand it over to water engineering and then when that bomb is getting uploaded to Oracle with that whole process in there.

0:4:27.930 --> 0:4:28.160

MF

lt.

0:4:27.360 --> 0:4:30.420

RT

So I realized OE aren't doing all that process.

0:4:30.430 --> 0:4:32.590

RT

There's also there's a PM involvement.

0:4:32.600 --> 0:4:36.100

RT

There's a a parts grooming involvement from from OPS support.

0:4:37.170 --> 0:4:37.510

Ш

Right.

0:4:38.930 --> 0:4:39.100

RT

But.

0:4:41.460 --> 0:4:41.710

MF

To.

0:4:39.490 --> 0:4:42.80

IJ

Yeah, in in the yard.

0:4:42.490 --> 0:4:42.630

RT

Yeah.

0:4:42.130 --> 0:4:43.820

IJ

Meeting they're on time deliverables.

0:4:45.130 --> 0:4:45.310

RT

Yeah.

0:4:44.440 --> 0:4:46.450

Л

They've met that for a long time now, so.

0:4:48.790 --> 0:4:49.420

IJ

So curious.

0:4:48.460 --> 0:5:4.910

RT

I think just just bringing that sense of, uh, kind of alignment and urgency to really pushing that through to getting high quality deliverables on time that are in line with where we need to get to for our lead times.

0:5:7.230 --> 0:5:7.840

MF

So, so.

0:5:7.750 --> 0:5:7.910

RT

That.

0:5:5.380 --> 0:5:8.70

Ш

Yeah, but clear they are.

0:5:8.80 --> 0:5:9.200

JI

They are on time right now.

0:5:7.910 --> 0:5:9.500

MF

So, Richard, Richard.

0:5:9.510 --> 0:5:16.640

MF

Yeah, John just said they're meeting on time deliverables, but I if I'm reading into what you're saying, you're saying, yeah, we set that.

0:5:20.60 --> 0:5:20.310

ВР

Yeah.

0:5:19.940 --> 0:5:20.420

RT

Bracket.

0:5:16.650 --> 0:5:20.690

MF

But we were that's not really meeting the market need and we're hoping to push that.

0:5:20.490 --> 0:5:21.510

RT

Yeah, exactly.

0:5:20.320 --> 0:5:22.80

ΒP

We're we're still missing the target, yeah.

0:5:23.340 --> 0:5:23.660

MF

Got it.

0:5:21.590 --> 0:5:24.0

RT

Yeah, it it's not the on time.

0:5:33.210 --> 0:5:33.490

JI

Yeah.

0:5:24.10 --> 0:5:33.620

RT

So it's the on time as defined way back when in in the windshield time, but it's not what we defined in May to say this is where we need to get to umm.

0:5:32.990 --> 0:5:33.660

MF

Umm.

0:5:33.990 --> 0:5:35.200

MF

And and you're saying that the.

0:5:33.530 --> 0:5:42.280

IJ

So in in North America, those on time targets are set by by operate by manufacturing operations, production control in North America.

0:5:42.290 --> 0:5:47.520

IJ

In EMEA, I would assume it's not the engineers setting the timeline for their on time deliverables, correct?

0:5:50.550 --> 0:5:51.450

Ш

Who makes the requirement?

0:5:49.470 --> 0:5:57.620

RT

So when I say on time, I mean actually reducing that time down to where we wanna get to commercially.

0:5:57.830 --> 0:6:5.960

RT

So the commercial teams have said these are the lead times we need to get to and we're still not getting there.

0:6:6.820 --> 0:6:7.150

MF

So.

0:6:7.160 --> 0:6:32.940

MF

So Richard, if I'm hearing you, if I could just restate what I think I hear you saying in my own words is regardless of whether we're meeting what we said on paper a few months ago, you're you're looking for collaboration between supply chain, PPM AE production to say, how do we further reduce those and it it right now what you're seeing is I'm meeting my agreed upon dates.

0:6:32.950 --> 0:6:34.350

MF

So I'm I'm good.

0:6:34.360 --> 0:6:36.210

MF

And you're saying we shouldn't feel.

0:6:36.220 --> 0:6:39.370

MF

We shouldn't rest on our laurels because we're hitting our on time deliverables.

0:6:41.550 --> 0:6:42.240

RT

Exactly.

0:6:42.310 --> 0:6:44.720

RT

So it's pushing that and and thinking.

0:6:39.740 --> 0:6:46.160

MF

We need to be pushing that and you think collaboration among all the teams is what's required to do that.

0:6:46.750 --> 0:6:47.990

RT

Yeah, exactly.

0:6:47.580 --> 0:6:48.20

MF

Got it.

0:6:49.20 --> 0:7:6.570

RT

And then the other thing is that that's in my mind is, is this just a bad use of classes time and uh focus is to be trying to mess with this and should we get him 100% lined up on product engineering and?

0:7:8.50 --> 0:7:13.200

RT

Umm, as long as and and basically take that out of classes.

0:7:13.210 --> 0:7:14.440

RT

Kind of, yeah.

0:7:14.400 --> 0:7:14.580

MF

Yep.

0:7:14.450 --> 0:7:17.270

RT

Wheelhouse, or worry area of concern?

0:7:18.10 --> 0:7:18.620

RT

Umm.

0:7:18.970 --> 0:7:24.380

RT

And just, yeah, have him focused on on product engineering that that was the other thing in my mind.

0:7:25.160 --> 0:7:25.370

MF

Yep.

0:7:24.920 --> 0:7:32.800

R٦

And there's also one caveat here I think to make this work, we would also need someone globally from the engineering team.

0:7:37.710 --> 0:7:37.990

ΒP

Umm.

0:7:32.810 --> 0:7:49.930

RT

That's actually, umm, looking at process and improvement, standardization of processes, tools and systems that he probably they don't need in the order engineering group, but we would need someone who can, kind of.

0:7:49.940 --> 0:7:52.930

RT

I don't think Bobby and I had all the right people to do that.

0:7:53.260 --> 0:7:58.890

RT

I probably wouldn't put that in the current ownership of the individual OE supervisors.

0:8:1.900 --> 0:8:2.100

MF

Yep.

0:7:58.900 --> 0:8:5.420

RT

I think you would need someone uh handling that, but to me that again doesn't need to be, you know we.

0:8:6.700 --> 0:8:7.390

MF

Yep, and and.

0:8:7.400 --> 0:8:10.240

MF

And Richard, Bobby kind of spoke to Jill and Josh.

0:8:11.180 --> 0:8:19.510

MF

Umm any and and you know, I think we've we've all had this conversation about, you know class would love to be out of OE we'd love class to be out of OE.

0:8:21.750 --> 0:8:28.710

MF

Sebastian as a standalone you know if if if there was that global connection.

0:8:28.720 --> 0:8:35.220

MF

Any thoughts on Sebastian standing on his own in in Europe, if if that global connection was there?

0:8:37.200 --> 0:8:44.250

RT

Umm, I think if it's around processes systems tools.

0:8:44.750 --> 0:8:55.930

RT

Yes, I think when it comes down to actual people management and running the department interfacing well with the other operations groups that that's, that's where I.

0:8:57.490 --> 0:8:59.210

RT

But I I just don't see that yet.

0:9:1.760 --> 0:9:1.900

RT

Yeah.

0:9:0.480 --> 0:9:2.840

MF

Out of Sebastian? Yeah.

0:9:5.230 --> 0:9:11.390

RT

And Eric, come probably the least worried about and just cause things always get done in China.

0:9:14.880 --> 0:9:15.700

MF

Yep, Yep.

0:9:15.850 --> 0:9:20.520

DL

And and and just to Richard at a mill put words in your mouth.

0:9:20.530 --> 0:9:28.910

DL

But just to give people a an over a kind of a a a view into operations in EMEA.

0:9:31.650 --> 0:9:49.10

DL

Not talking about Sebastian's ability to manage his group, but that collaboration at the operations leadership level, that's something that's not a sub, just a Sebastian challenge.

0:9:54.70 --> 0:9:54.540

RT

Umm.

0:9:49.240 --> 0:9:56.10

וח

That's a challenge to Richard has with the three people kind of running the day to day of OPS and he's trying to coach all three.

0:9:57.640 --> 0:9:58.210

JI

Yeah.

0:9:58.470 --> 0:9:59.200

RT

Exactly.

0:9:59.360 --> 0:9:59.570

RT

Good.

0:9:59.340 --> 0:10:0.180 IJ And I get it and just. 0:9:59.580 --> 0:10:0.480 Good point direct, yeah. 0:9:59.750 --> 0:10:1.170 MF So you you're saying it's a? 0:9:59.270 --> 0:10:2.390 DL There there, there's a bit of trying trying to. 0:10:2.670 --> 0:10:13.100 DL There's a bit of trying to get these three individuals working on the same sheet of music, collaborating as Bobby said, not siloed thinking this is, how do we take? 0:10:13.150 --> 0:10:18.200 DL How do, regardless of, you know, blurring lines on responsibility? 0:10:18.210 --> 0:10:21.790 DL How the hell do we just, you know, leap frog to the next level? 0:10:22.480 --> 0:10:22.600 BP Yeah. 0:10:22.660 --> 0:10:24.350 And it's Sebastian, Tom and Bart. 0:10:24.360 --> 0:10:24.890 MF That's the. 0:10:25.190 --> 0:10:25.370 RTYep. 0:10:25.280 --> 0:10:25.510 DL

Yep.

0:10:24.900 --> 0:10:26.430

MF

That's the three amigos we're talking about.

0:10:29.80 --> 0:10:29.370

JI

Yeah.

0:10:29.380 --> 0:10:42.540

Ш

And just FYI, so the the whole reason that we hired Sebastian Umm, you know just probably over a year and a half ago was to offload OE from a from class.

0:10:42.810 --> 0:10:52.240

JI

That was the intent so that we could move and then we, the idea was we were gonna centralize order engineering globally under one global manager.

0:10:52.250 --> 0:10:56.120

JI

But we, you know, part of our principal was what we wanted local leadership in place.

0:10:56.510 --> 0:11:4.540

Л

So we got Sebastian and then we said, hey, he's gonna need at least a year or more to, you know, to get on board and to develop.

0:11:4.850 --> 0:11:7.940

JΙ

So you may not be fully there, but that was definitely the vision.

0:11:8.690 --> 0:11:9.20

IJ

Umm.

0:11:9.30 --> 0:11:28.220

Ш

For him and then reporting into a global OE manager that would help kind of tie all that together and then you know, to the point of you know improving processes and making you know OE faster, you know that's something we've been looking at very hard.

0:11:28.230 --> 0:11:36.990

IJ

You know, obviously, since Windchill, there's been a lot of mapping efforts that were going on and then, you know, there's this secondary process of now let's improve it.

0:11:37.810 --> 0:11:43.950

П

A lot of the a lot of the improvements though are are out of OE's hands.

0:11:44.380 --> 0:11:46.840

IJ

You know, it's not just something that owes can say hey.

0:11:49.40 --> 0:11:51.630

Ш

You know, let's let's work harder or do whatever.

0:11:51.880 --> 0:11:59.450

Л

There's a dependency on, you know, product engineering, you know as well and the products that are coming into them.

0:12:0.370 --> 0:12:3.240

Л

Uh, you know that that how have a lot to do with it.

0:12:3.370 --> 0:12:16.540

IJ

So and then, not to mention the systems, I think one of the big hole backs right now is kind of this idea to be able to incrementally release bills and materials, which is something that we had in EPDM days but don't have now.

0:12:16.550 --> 0:12:36.710

IJ

So you know, if we had that back in in Windchill, which is actually in flight right now, this putting this ability back in, you know we could do a lot more earlier releases of things of longer leads which or controls bills of materials, which is something that you know again was different than EPDM than windshield days.

0:12:36.780 --> 0:12:38.590

IJ

So but those things aren't.

0:12:38.600 --> 0:12:57.900

п

Even if you did have, uh, you know, a wise, you know, reporting in, you know to say, hey, let's make you materially faster, umm, I think you would pretty immediately run into those those two bottlenecks of the dependent teams of product engineering and the systems problems in the background.

0:12:59.460 --> 0:13:2.50

MF

It it does strike me that if it, you know you've got.

0:13:4.60 --> 0:13:7.530

MF

Some you'll up and coming managers, right.

0:13:7.540 --> 0:13:11.30

MF

You know, Bobby, you're seeing glimpses of of goodness out of Joe.

0:13:11.290 --> 0:13:11.450

ΒP

Yeah.

0:13:11.880 --> 0:13:14.290

MF

You we're seeing, you know that I was.

0:13:14.300 --> 0:13:20.70

MF

You know, I see stuff out of Sebastian that, that, that I'm like, this guy's got something here.

0:13:19.990 --> 0:13:20.180

RT

Mm-hmm.

0:13:20.260 --> 0:13:43.470

M

But it does strike me that given their current level of tenure and expertise, there is perhaps something to the idea that, you know, as you know, all this process improvement stuff that that John is talking about is is a lot and we need to have, you know, people thinking that way.

0:13:44.140 --> 0:13:46.210

MF

But still, Bobby and Richard.

0:13:46.220 --> 0:13:50.150

NAE

What you need is somebody you know between now and when we get there.

0:13:50.700 --> 0:14:1.780

 MF

You know, whatever there is, you know, as we've defined it that that you are going to have to be working the day to day until we get there and it may be more than just.

0:14:3.640 --> 0:14:11.570

MF

Those you know younger 10, you know, young, young in their tenure can handle real time together.

0:14:12.390 --> 0:14:12.530

Л

Yeah.

0:14:11.580 --> 0:14:17.740

MF

So so thinking through a structure that allows like, hey, am I just connecting with Tom?

0:14:17.750 --> 0:14:26.100

MF

Like Richard, your point of you know, maybe I need to release these items off the bomb earlier in the process instead of just waiting till the end.

0:14:26.410 --> 0:14:32.760

MF

No one thinks that's ideal, but that connects some dots between now and next June when we get whatever better.

0:14:36.40 --> 0:14:38.50

MF

Seems like a an approach.

0:14:38.60 --> 0:14:39.290

MF

We need to be thinking through.

0:14:39.300 --> 0:14:39.830

MF

I'm not.

0:14:47.750 --> 0:14:49.140

JI

Yeah, and.

0:14:39.900 --> 0:14:53.930

MF

I'm not pre, you know, defining some structure when I'm saying this, but just separating that out for those individuals, you know, if they're working real time thinking you know, OK, how do I work with Tom and Bart?

0:14:53.940 --> 0:14:55.0

MF

How do I work with?

0:15:1.730 --> 0:15:1.850

IJ

Yeah.

0:15:8.930 --> 0:15:9.260

IJ

Yeah.

0:15:9.180 --> 0:15:9.590

BP

Umm.

0:14:55.10 --> 0:15:10.60

MF

You know, you know the the folks in Bobby's world and you know, if I'm Joe and Joshua, my working together with each other and focusing there and letting the focus of you know all the process stuff get getting better be be on someone else.

0:15:18.230 --> 0:15:19.60

MF

Sure. Yep.

0:15:9.310 --> 0:15:26.420

JI

And just real quick, you know on, you know the the you know kind of these junior leaders, it's not just OE, you know it's you know honestly in my chain it's you know a lot of the integration you know managers like Eric Leaf and Daniela and you know it goes across the board.

0:15:27.60 --> 0:15:27.320

BP

Umm.

0:15:26.430 --> 0:15:37.720

JI

We what happened was we had some very, you know, explosive growth and we didn't have a whole cadre of experienced managers to put in place.

0:15:38.70 --> 0:15:47.430

JI

The people that we put in were the best in the brightest that we had at the time and I think for Sebastian, you know what I've seen is actually really good.

0:15:47.760 --> 0:15:49.590

JI

His math scores are good.

0:15:49.710 --> 0:16:10.660

IJ

His, you know, he's hitting his metrics as defined as we know it today and that you know, when we were going through the Windchill issues, his team, you know, they were on the tip of the spear of meltdown, and he was able to manage his team, you know, through, you know, some pretty tough adversity.

0:16:10.880 --> 0:16:18.930

Ш

And that's all with him being new and learning all of the, you know, the the processes and idiosyncrasies of of interlocks.

0:16:19.820 --> 0:16:22.700

JI

So I think you know the the future bodes really well for me.

0:16:22.710 --> 0:16:25.730

IJ

I think he's done really well, you know, to date.

0:16:25.880 --> 0:16:33.90

Л

And yeah, he just needs a potentially a little bit more guidance and a little bit more time to mature into a better.

0:16:33.100 --> 0:16:36.250

Ш

But again, I think you know what we're saying about Sebastian.

0:16:36.260 --> 0:16:45.320

Ш

I could probably say about six other supervisors, maybe even more through the equipment org and it's, you know, it's unfortunate, but it's where we are.

0:16:46.590 --> 0:16:47.180

DL

Yeah.

0:16:47.200 --> 0:16:47.460

MF

Yeah.

0:16:48.110 --> 0:16:48.330

ΒP

Yeah.

0:16:47.190 --> 0:16:48.960

DL

So I don't and and I don't.

0:16:48.970 --> 0:16:52.880

DL

I mean, it is what it is and we have that outside of engineering as well, right.

0:16:52.830 --> 0:16:52.970

RT

Yeah.

0:16:52.890 --> 0:16:53.630

DΙ

Yeah, looking.

0:16:53.640 --> 0:16:58.40

DL

You look in ETO, you got, you can say the same thing about Mike Rittling.

0:16:58.910 --> 0:17:3.480

DΙ

So I think I don't like everybody's contesting on this call.

0:17:3.490 --> 0:17:7.680

DL

You know that we may need to switch horses with Sebastian.

0:17:7.690 --> 0:17:8.220

DL

It's just.

0:17:8.450 --> 0:17:11.870

DL

Hey, when Sebastian has a sticky, sticky one, who's he talking to?

0:17:13.230 --> 0:17:24.140

DL

Especially it sounds like on the people side is where we may need the the you know the the, the the most coaching because the other side comes quite naturally to him.

0:17:24.150 --> 0:17:25.970

DL

Tools. Systems, processes.

0:17:26.740 --> 0:17:27.220

DL

Umm.

0:17:27.540 --> 0:17:36.730

DL

So yeah, I E it's it sounds like actually a real opportunity because we think, Sebastian, we're seeing signs of ****.

0:17:36.860 --> 0:17:39.170

DL

Sebastian's gonna be who we thought he was.

0:17:39.440 --> 0:17:44.780

DL

How do we accelerate that with, you know, giving them the right person to tap into?

0:17:45.710 --> 0:17:45.850

JI

Yeah.

0:17:46.830 --> 0:17:47.120 MF Yeah. 0:17:47.130 --> 0:17:52.140 Yeah, none of this is any sort of crucifixion of Sebastian, Josh, or Joe at all at all. 0:17:52.150 --> 0:17:53.360 MF It's it's. 0:17:53.760 --> 0:17:54.560 RTNo, no, no, not at all. 0:17:58.660 --> 0:17:58.820 ΒP Yeah. 0:17:53.570 --> 0:18:1.960 Yeah, we recognize we've got some, some, like you said, John, across the org and and question is and therefore what though, what, what, what do we do? 0:18:9.410 --> 0:18:9.820 IJ Yeah. 0:18:1.970 --> 0:18:10.630 MF There's a do nothing approach or there's a OK how do we kind of help them through this time? Yeah. 0:18:9.830 --> 0:18:10.800 And I think it's it. 0:18:10.810 --> 0:18:12.500 JI

0:18:21.50 --> 0:18:21.550

It's an everybody approach too.

MF

That's right.

0:18:21.710 --> 0:18:22.80

MF

That's right.

0:18:12.510 --> 0:18:23.330

JI

So it's, you know, it's not just slapping him, you know, one person, I mean, you know, all of us have to support the the junior leaders, you know, in every way that we possibly can. So.

0:18:23.700 --> 0:18:23.800

BP

Yes.

0:18:24.340 --> 0:18:24.720

MF

That's right.

0:18:29.540 --> 0:18:33.10

IJ

And I think it's a realization of, you know that's that's where we are.

0:18:33.20 --> 0:18:42.50

Ш

We're all in this together kind of thing instead of, you know, you know, pointing a finger at one of the leaders and saying, hey, they're not, you know, whatever, you know, we have to all work on it together.

0:18:42.340 --> 0:18:43.260

IJ

So anyway.

0:18:42.880 --> 0:18:43.540

DL

Yeah.

0:18:43.620 --> 0:18:43.770

MF

Yeah.

0:18:43.550 --> 0:18:48.950

DL

And I think there's actually, I think that's the purpose of this call, cause nobody's saying, hey, let's shift.

0:18:48.960 --> 0:18:52.90

DL

Can one of these three guys it's OK.

0:18:52.180 --> 0:18:56.60

DL

How do we here we worked together because we are.

0:18:56.150 --> 0:18:57.740

DL

You know we are seeing.

0:19:0.390 --> 0:19:0.590

JI

Yeah.

0:19:2.260 --> 0:19:2.380

RT

Yeah.

0:19:0.0 --> 0:19:6.130

DL

Good signs out of each one, but how do we how do we accelerate that?

0:19:22.820 --> 0:19:23.40

ΒP

Umm.

0:19:6.200 --> 0:19:25.240

DL

Give the business what it needs and also not to to your tears concerns, not to totally detached this from engineering such that it becomes a big mess as you guys are changing processes as CTO changes what it means for an engineer to be an engineer.

0:19:25.830 --> 0:19:38.140

DL

Those kind of things that somebody needs to think through that you know that the honestly the the uh maybe maybe the OPS manager isn't best to do.

0:19:40.850 --> 0:19:41.40

MF

Umm.

0:19:41.790 --> 0:19:41.970

ΒP

Yep.

0:19:44.230 --> 0:19:47.30

RT

So highly likely no, maybe.

0:19:48.600 --> 0:19:48.770

DL

Yeah.

0:19:48.820 --> 0:19:49.0

JI

Yeah.

0:19:52.140 --> 0:19:52.420

MF

So.

0:19:51.600 --> 0:20:2.990

JI

But and then you know, like you know the the two big buckets that I'm hearing is, you know, the number one is reactive support kind of real time support which you know I get it.

0:20:3.50 --> 0:20:8.370

Ш

And I think honestly it's it's an, you know that's another I've been talking about this with Matt.

0:20:8.810 --> 0:20:10.700

Ш

But I think you know it's true for OE.

0:20:10.710 --> 0:20:25.780

IJ

It's true for, you know, it's kind of true for all of engineering, you know, two manufacturing, whether it's product engineering, real time support or uh, manufacturing engineering, real time support or you know OE real time support, it's up and down.

0:20:26.210 --> 0:20:42.520

IJ

And I think what it's endemic of is a role gap that's developed as we've changed the complexity of our parts and people, et cetera, umm, and then potentially not, you know, really understanding all the load that's on you know those engineers in the middle.

0:20:42.730 --> 0:20:55.230

Ш

You know, they sit there, you know, from the left, they're receiving, you know, request for support from sales teams, from product management from uh, you know, managers like me.

0:20:55.360 --> 0:21:1.390

П

And then they have to do their, you know, their work, their daily deliverables and produce that, you know, in a in a good way.

0:21:1.680 --> 0:21:12.130

п

And then on the right then then you have to still support you know operations, you know manufacturing and supply chain and then also support, you know life cycle services etcetera.

0:21:12.140 --> 0:21:17.720

Ш

It's also true for R&D and that, umm, you know, it's becoming a little too much.

0:21:17.730 --> 0:21:36.220

JI

I think when you know where we were, you know smaller it was, you know, we had the capacity to be able to kind of support all of the commercial requests of the product management request support, you know, the floor, you know, for little things like, hey, where is the drawing form, fit function, type of part problems.

0:21:36.230 --> 0:21:48.770

Ш

But it also LCS, but now you know having the engineers and the middle of supporting you know everything to every you know to, to great detail is becoming pretty overwhelming.

0:21:48.780 --> 0:21:54.850

Ш

And it's actually I think it's causing you know, the the downward spiral, you know quality.

0:21:54.860 --> 0:22:5.290

IJ

So where you know these teams are not working on improving their processes or improving themselves, they're spending that time reacting to.

0:22:6.120 --> 0:22:8.280

Л

Umm, you know things going on?

0:22:9.170 --> 0:22:9.760

JI

Umm.

0:22:10.310 --> 0:22:13.820

Л

And therefore the problems keep occurring.

0:22:14.110 --> 0:22:21.50

IJ

The volume keeps increasing so you get more money, more problems and less time focusing on improvement.

0:22:21.60 --> 0:22:22.520

J١

And it's the downward spiral.

0:22:22.870 --> 0:22:45.510

IJ

So which has led me to kind of this idea of that between engineering and the interfacing departments, that interface is not working well and and really we're talking about role gaps and maybe it's hiring people or it's training people, but that's that's what I'm thinking is you know it is is one of the issues so.

0:22:46.330 --> 0:22:56.990

BP

I just to add my my two cents in there and I don't I don't know the whole thing, but I do see, you know, the the weekly OE tracking of where they're spending their time.

0:22:57.50 --> 0:23:0.160

BP

I observe them multiple days a week.

0:23:0.310 --> 0:23:2.280

RP

They are by no means overworked right now.

0:23:2.330 --> 0:23:4.910

BP

Like I think there was a point in time where that was true.

0:23:6.290 --> 0:23:8.820

BP

That is not true since June.

0:23:9.790 --> 0:23:11.840

JI

Yeah, it it certainly goes up and down and.

0:23:13.630 --> 0:23:13.810

ВР

Yeah.

0:23:11.30 --> 0:23:14.470

MF

You're saying with the order fall off, Bobby. Yeah.

0:23:15.60 --> 0:23:17.90

IJ

Yeah, I mean they you're right.

0:23:17.100 --> 0:23:26.990

IJ

I mean, last year from July and through April, of course, they were crushed beyond belief.

0:23:24.790 --> 0:23:27.510

BP

Ohh yeah, yeah yeah, they were crushed, sure.

0:23:25.910 --> 0:23:27.940

RT

That's terrible, yeah.

0:23:27.0 --> 0:23:47.780

IJ

And then April, until you know, maybe you're saying maybe 10 weeks ago, right they were, they were killing the backlog and working overtime etcetera and here there may be a sales dip in you know and yeah they may not be you know fully productive.

0:23:47.790 --> 0:23:49.260

Ш

I mean, they just started to get ahead.

0:23:51.650 --> 0:23:52.290

BP

Yeah, no.

0:23:50.570 --> 0:24:0.530

Ш

You know, if I look back, it's probably weeks now that they've kind of, you know, really kind of got in the backlog, the below the four week goal that they had so.

0:24:3.240 --> 0:24:4.640

JI

But then you know he last.

0:24:4.690 --> 0:24:7.500

IJ

You know, I I know we were scheduling 45, but you know.

0:24:10.150 --> 0:24:22.970

IJ

I said, you know, from my perspective, you know, beyond the, you know, the kind of the flexibility, you know, the engineering is gonna need as we change, you know, to CTO and then potentially MBOM is coming.

0:24:23.850 --> 0:24:47.710

IJ

You know, I remember the the way that, you know, these teams were back in 2018 before we consolidated the part of the department, you know, OE reported into manufacturing and product engineering have put it to Derek EMEG and integration reported to me and of course R&D reported to Matt and you know what we saw at that time as things weren't working well.

0:24:48.0 --> 0:24:50.250

JI

You know, we further had silos etcetera.

0:24:50.260 --> 0:24:57.670

Ш

So we said, hey, let's at least start to consolidate, you know, the production engineering, you know, engineering teams together.

0:24:58.420 --> 0:25:27.650

IJ

So we could work on those interfaces and that's, you know, right before the pandemic 2019-2020 is really when we did that and a lot of the time that you know I've been spending was getting those teams you know to work together well where they weren't, you know before OE and product engineering product engineering formerly guest weren't working well manufacturing engineers, they weren't working well and now they're actually starting to come around pretty well and now we're working on that with our R&D.

0:25:27.660 --> 0:25:31.530

Ш

So I think pulling that together was actually a really good move.

0:25:31.920 --> 0:25:33.550

П

You know, at least getting the engineers.

0:25:33.560 --> 0:25:36.410

Л

I think the interface is still are not working well.

0:25:36.940 --> 0:25:50.650

Л

Then the immediate result of that was when the company was doing very big initiatives, you know, such as the first one was bulk sorter, uh, where we needed to to use engineers globally.

0:25:50.660 --> 0:25:58.830

Л

We used every single engineer that had a computer to help us work on those that Amazon bulk sorter to get it ready for the market in time.

0:25:59.980 --> 0:26:0.510

RT

Umm.

0:25:59.540 --> 0:26:18.260

IJ

The next one up was was Estes and then the transformation of HOPS where you know, again having that all of the engineers you know within one department, umm, you know really helped us to be able to you know push forward our our biggest initiatives.

0:26:18.270 --> 0:26:33.530

IJ

And I actually remember Derek, you know, at the time, you know, after we consolidated and I was able to kind of cobble everybody together and make us, you know, get the products out the door and get them to remember us saying, hey, yeah, this really added a lot of value.

0:26:33.540 --> 0:26:34.560

JI

And it included OE.

0:26:36.810 --> 0:26:36.950

DL

Yeah.

0:26:36.710 --> 0:26:41.550

Ш

So that's where I kind of get a little bit, you know, I I don't wanna go backwards.

0:26:41.560 --> 0:26:43.860

J

You know, I like kind of where we're going.

0:26:43.870 --> 0:26:45.160

Л

I think the interface is.

0:26:45.670 --> 0:26:46.880

Ш

Yeah, they they stink.

0:26:47.410 --> 0:27:1.120

IJ

But we can improve, but that ability, you know to level load, you know globally and regionally for specific you know programs or like emergencies like you know what happened in Windchill.

0:27:1.850 --> 0:27:2.540

IJ

I think it was.

0:27:3.100 --> 0:27:10.660

IJ

It was a it was pivotal to have, you know, kind of that singular engineering structure to be able to pull everybody together.

0:27:11.390 --> 0:27:18.180

DL

So if we look at this one, I think John is gonna be you know the most 2 two things that I think are important here.

0:27:18.190 --> 0:27:28.460

DΙ

So you know you, you, your concerns with moving OE even before what you just said there were enough to make me pause.

0:27:28.650 --> 0:27:36.660

DL

So the the question is The Who, who, who, who do we envision running it and structure?

0:27:36.670 --> 0:27:46.190

DL

Are we visioning somebody running it globally and I, you and Matt can go back and, you know, chunked this out and then the other thing that I think and and I'm.

0:27:47.940 --> 0:27:56.610

DΙ

I am becoming more and more a proponent of we have to figure out how to work in a matrix organization.

0:27:56.620 --> 0:28:2.870

DL

So I think the the other thing that I would say is we're not really good at that.

0:28:11.540 --> 0:28:11.770

RT

You know.

0:28:3.150 --> 0:28:13.230

DL

So however we, whatever we do here, what are we doing to get better at that to you know, to have a more balanced matrix?

0:28:14.160 --> 0:28:17.840

DL

Because generally when I see us work in a matrix, we have a weak matrix.

0:28:18.650 --> 0:28:20.350

DL

Ohh, we're functional.

0:28:20.390 --> 0:28:27.610

DL

Management had you is really calling the shots and functional management further away from the decisions.

0:28:27.810 --> 0:28:32.490

DL

So how do we get that more balanced matrix going forward?

0:28:32.700 --> 0:28:43.850

DL

Because I do think if you just look at, if you look at where we're going and how we're how we're trying to globalize certain things, I think a matrix is going to be necessary.

0:28:43.940 --> 0:28:48.760

DL

And then how do we how do we use this as an opportunity to get better at working in a matrix?

0:28:49.780 --> 0:28:49.940

BP

Yeah.

0:28:59.530 --> 0:28:59.800

DL

Right.

0:28:51.70 --> 0:29:2.880

MF

And and Derek, I would, I I would add to that, especially until you really get everything established, there's just certain people who are better at that than others.

0:29:2.890 --> 0:29:9.160

MF

So some people who just like they can have those conversations and work across those boundaries.

0:29:15.590 --> 0:29:15.850

BP

Umm.

0:29:9.250 --> 0:29:20.770

ME

I hate to say silos, but no matter what we do, you gotta work across a boundary somewhere and just think about who are the people that do really well with that until it becomes muscle memory.

0:29:21.520 --> 0:29:21.890

JI

Yeah.

0:29:21.960 --> 0:29:24.480

IJ

And I think you know, and part of it's, it's definitely a people.

0:29:26.830 --> 0:29:29.660

J١

Aspect to it, and but there's a lot of process to it as well.

0:29:45.470 --> 0:29:45.730

DL

Yeah.

0:29:45.740 --> 0:29:46.440

DL

It's OK. Jack.

0:29:46.440 --> 0:29:47.150

DL

John John.

0:29:30.30 --> 0:29:49.430

IJ

And I think if we fixed a lot of the issues you know, so you say, hey, why do you need, you know, why do why do we all have to kind of be constantly, you know, all this extra communication and extra, umm, you know, problem solving is a hey, what's what's going on? Yeah.

0:29:47.290 --> 0:30:1.350

DL

John, I think you got, I think you were on the same page there, but it's it's, it's the right leader who can balance the the value of that and having people work that and the value of, well, no ****, I gotta get something done today.

0:30:3.210 --> 0:30:6.80

DL

And you know, so until that.

0:30:6.140 --> 0:30:7.70

DΙ

Here's how I'm gonna.

0:30:7.80 --> 0:30:9.270

DL

Here's how I'm gonna get this thing out the door.

0:30:9.640 --> 0:30:19.240

DL

It's, you know, but so we've we've had you and I've seen the examples of people that are you know that are that lean too hard one way or the other.

0:30:19.250 --> 0:30:24.230

DL

And historically, we've had the let's get her done because that's kind of where we've come from.

0:30:25.490 --> 0:30:29.200

 DL

I do think we need as we're getting bigger, we need manner.

0:30:29.270 --> 0:30:32.530

DL

We need leaders that have to get healthy balance of.

0:30:33.370 --> 0:30:46.280

DL

I understand the need for process and that we can't rely on heroes, but I also know hey, what I he need to hit the when I need the break the glass for an emergency.

0:30:46.490 --> 0:30:47.420

DL

What the hell to do?

0:30:47.430 --> 0:30:47.960

DL

It's not.

0:30:48.10 --> 0:30:51.690

DL

It's not lost on me because I don't have the perfect process.

0:30:54.390 --> 0:31:6.640

MF

Yeah, just doubling down on that, John, when when I said muscle memory process is what I was talking about, right, the the until those processes get established cause once once you have the established processes the.

0:31:7.940 --> 0:31:9.750

П

Yeah, processes and and.

0:31:8.840 --> 0:31:13.600

MF

The talent of that individual, you know, requirement gets a little easier.

0:31:11.420 --> 0:31:16.310

JI

Right role gaps role, role gaps, role ambiguity.

0:31:16.850 --> 0:31:17.70

MF

Yep.

0:31:16.520 --> 0:31:17.470

JI

You know us doing.

0:31:18.400 --> 0:31:19.590

ΒP

Yeah, right. Right.

0:31:17.480 --> 0:31:20.390

IJ

Hey this is your problem, I think it's literally what's happening.

0:31:20.720 --> 0:31:22.330

Ш

I think this is the biggest problem.

0:31:22.400 --> 0:31:23.310

JI

You know it's this is you.

0:31:23.320 --> 0:31:23.650

IJ

It's you.

0:31:23.660 --> 0:31:23.990

Л

No, you.

0:31:24.0 --> 0:31:24.440

Ш

It's you.

0:31:24.450 --> 0:31:24.850

JI

You.

0:31:30.140 --> 0:31:30.530

DL

What?

0:31:30.540 --> 0:31:30.990

DL

What?

0:31:25.100 --> 0:31:31.710

П

That's gonna fix that as soon as you fix that, I think life gets a lot better and a lot of these managers, all of a sudden start to look a lot better.

0:31:31.540 --> 0:31:31.790

BP

We.

0:31:32.210 --> 0:31:46.750

DL

And I think one, I think one of I think to double down on what you're saying and and double down on what I was saying, we need leaders that you that know when there are no boundaries and no shift.

0:31:46.760 --> 0:31:49.860

DL

I don't care whose problem it is, what we gotta. We're gonna.

0:31:49.930 --> 0:31:58.770

DL

We're gonna rally around this and fix it, and we also need the same leader to be able to say this is an emergency.

0:31:58.830 --> 0:32:3.340

DL

I'm not going to work outside of even my my my process.

0:32:3.350 --> 0:32:7.460

DL

It stinks right now, and I'm just gonna chug it through because I need we.

0:32:7.470 --> 0:32:11.470

DL

We need to have processes the roles such that it doesn't take heroes.

0:32:12.760 --> 0:32:13.390

Ш

Yeah.

0:32:13.440 --> 0:32:13.660

IJ

Agree.

0:32:14.640 --> 0:32:14.800

MF

Yep.

0:32:14.550 --> 0:32:19.550

IJ

I mean and right, I mean that's that's kind of the world we're living in right now.

0:32:20.910 --> 0:32:22.730

 DL

Which which is which is tough right?

0:32:19.560 --> 0:32:23.490

IJ

And I think as you know, what we do, the majority of the time, right, right.

0:32:27.460 --> 0:32:27.620

IJ

And.

0:32:29.900 --> 0:32:31.660

Ш

Yes, thanks.

0:32:33.820 --> 0:32:34.100

IJ

Right.

0:32:22.760 --> 0:32:38.810

DL

Cause if yeah, I think it's we it's it's we it's it's much easier to find hero people that love being heroes and it's much easier to find guys that love process it is fewer and further between or the people that are comfortable living in both worlds.

0:32:39.630 --> 0:32:44.20

IJ

I mean, we're actually right as an organization, we're good at putting out the fires, right.

0:32:44.800 --> 0:32:45.480

DL

Right, right.

0:32:45.620 --> 0:32:47.280

BP

Yeah, yeah, yeah.

0:32:46.270 --> 0:32:47.620

DL

But we're but but.

0:32:47.290 --> 0:32:47.650

BP

Yeah, right.

0:32:44.980 --> 0:32:48.100

JI

I think in general you know the biggest big things we rally.

0:32:47.690 --> 0:32:50.30

DL

But we're walking around, we're walking around with matches.

0:32:51.240 --> 0:32:51.830

MF

Yeah.

0:32:52.260 --> 0:32:52.510

MF

Hey.

0:32:52.520 --> 0:32:54.30

MF

Hey guys.

0:32:54.40 --> 0:32:55.430

MF

I'm I'm looking at time.

0:32:55.440 --> 0:33:0.190

MF

Just just want to be, you know, be be considerate of everyone's time.

0:33:0.840 --> 0:33:3.150

MF

I think John and I have our work cut out for us.

0:33:3.160 --> 0:33:13.680

MF

Appreciate everyone jumping on here and kind of last minute, but this this was very helpful for me, but let let's let John and I canoodle this around and come back with with some ideas here.

0:33:16.160 --> 0:33:16.410

BP

Great.

0:33:16.310 --> 0:33:16.630

MF

Alright.

0:33:16.420 --> 0:33:16.720

BP

Thank you.

0:33:16.730 --> 0:33:17.190

BP

I appreciate it.

0:33:17.220 --> 0:33:17.410

JI

OK.

0:33:15.280 --> 0:33:18.900

DL

Yeah, John, you got John, do you have 5 minutes?

0:33:19.320 --> 0:33:19.550

JI

I do.

0:33:19.160 --> 0:33:19.820

DL

You stay on.

0:33:20.430 --> 0:33:20.590

JI

Yep.

0:33:20.270 --> 0:33:21.0

DL

Alright. Thanks.

0:33:20.510 --> 0:33:21.0

MF

Yep.

0:33:21.200 --> 0:33:21.620

BP

Alright, bye.

0:33:21.590 --> 0:33:22.360

MF

Thanks. Bye.

0:33:22.400 --> 0:33:22.690

IJ

Hey guys.

0:33:23.440 --> 0:33:23.590

DL

Hey.

0:33:22.530 --> 0:33:23.900

RT

OK, bye.

0:33:29.450 --> 0:33:29.830

DL

Yep.