🧪 TEST CASE: FULL-LIVE COURT SIMULATION WITH AI JUDGE

Test ID: `TC_FULL_LIVE_COURT_001`

Scenario

- **Jurisdiction:** Supreme Court

- **Case:** Mr. X (Petitioner) v. State (Respondent)

- **Legal Issue:** Bail Application under s.439 CrPC

- **User Representation:** State (Respondent)

- **Goal:** Test full court simulation flow with automatic AI Judge interventions, evidence handling, rebuttals, and judgment.

Step 1: Call Case

Field	Value
Party A Label	Petitioner
Party B Label	Respondent
Jurisdiction	Supreme Court

Legal Issue	Bail Application under s.439 CrPC
Representation	Respondent

Action: Click **Call Case**

Expected Behavior:

- Inputs are **locked**

- Phase automatically starts with **Opening Statements**

- Judge opens session automatically:

1. The Court: Matter of Mr. X (Petitioner) v. State (Respondent). Counsel for Respondent, proceed with Opening Arguments.

Step 2: Opening Statements

User (Respondent):

My Lord, bail should not be granted due to seriousness of alleged offense and risk of flight.

AI Lawyer (Petitioner):

My Lord, the Petitioner's claim that bail should be granted is justified. Mr. X has strong community ties and is willing to comply with all Court conditions.

Judge Check:

- User argument aligns with Respondent → no intervention

- Phase transitions automatically to **Rebuttal**

Step 3: Rebuttal Phase

User (Respondent) – self-damaging statement:

My Lord, the Petitioner's claims about bail are reasonable, though the offense is serious.

Expected Judge Intervention (Automatic):

2. The Court intervenes: Counsel, you appear to be conceding the Petitioner's point, which harms your own case. Kindly clarify.

- **AI Lawyer response is suspended**

- User must submit clarification

User Correction:

My Lord, we maintain that bail should not be granted due to flight risk and seriousness of offense.

- **AI Lawyer (Petitioner) responds:**

My Lord, the Petitioner reiterates strong community ties and willingness to comply with all bail conditions.

Step 4: Evidence Submission

User (Respondent):

- Submits **Exhibit R1**: Police Investigation Report
  Judge Automatic Acknowledgment:

3. The Court has taken note of Exhibit R1 submitted by the Respondent.

AI Lawyer Response (Petitioner):

My Lord, while the Respondent refers to Exhibit R1, it contains no concrete evidence of flight risk.

User (Respondent):

- Submits **Exhibit R2**: Financial surety affidavit from Petitioner
  Judge Automatic Acknowledgment:

4. The Court has taken note of Exhibit R2 submitted by the Petitioner.

Step 5: Judge Questions (Automatic)

1. To Respondent: "Does Exhibit R1 indicate any concrete flight risk?"

   - **User:** "No, only general concerns."

2. To Petitioner: "Can Mr. X guarantee appearance if bail is granted?"

   - **AI Lawyer:** "Yes, Mr. X offers financial surety and will surrender passport."

- Judge frames the issue automatically:

5. The Court frames the sole issue: Whether bail can be granted considering the alleged offense and risk of flight.

Step 6: Automatic Judgment

6. JUDGMENT
7.
8. 1. Issue:
9. Whether bail should be granted to Mr. X under s.439 CrPC.
10.
11. 2. Findings:

```
12. - Alleged offense is serious but unproven.
13. - No concrete evidence indicates flight risk.
14. - Petitioner has strong community ties.
15. - Proposed conditions (passport surrender, financial surety) are
      satisfactory.
16.
17. 3. Reasoning:
18. Bail is a statutory right unless proven otherwise. The Respondent
      has not established sufficient grounds to deny bail.
19.
20. 4. Order:
21. Bail is granted to Mr. X with conditions:
22. - Surrender passport to Court authorities.
23. - Comply with all investigation directives.
24. - Provide financial surety as determined by the Court.
```

- Court session **auto-closes**

Pass Criteria

1. **Call Case locks fields** → no edits allowed

2. **Automatic phase progression:** Opening → Rebuttal → Evidence → Judge Questions → Judgment

3. **AI Judge intervenes automatically** for self-damaging arguments

4. **AI Lawyer responds only after Judge allows**

5. **Evidence acknowledgment is automatic**

6. **Final judgment is auto-generated**, structured, and displayed

Fail Conditions

- AI Judge does not intervene on self-damaging arguments

- AI Lawyer responds before Judge acknowledgment

- Phase transitions require manual clicks

- Evidence is ignored or misattributed

- Judgment requires manual trigger
25.