

Test Query Set for 2005–2006 Bombay High Court Cases

#	Query	Expected Answer (Retriever ON)	Expected Answer (Retriever OFF)
1	Why was Criminal Application No. 4272 of 2004 rejected by the Bombay High Court on 12th April 2005?	Application rejected because no <i>prima facie case was made out for bail</i> ; hearing of appeal expedited.	Likely no result , since decision year is in PDF as 2005 and toggle OFF ignores High Court procedural.
2	Why was Criminal Revision Application No. 3 of 2005 dismissed for default by the Bombay High Court on 14th February 2005?	Dismissed for non-prosecution / failure to comply with Court order .	Likely no result ; procedural details may not appear if toggle OFF.
3	Why did the Bombay High Court reject the appellant's plea under MCOCA in 2005 for association with Telgi?	Court held that the appellant's alleged association with Telgi did not attract Section 3(2) of MCOCA , only at best Section 24.	Possibly a partial answer or none; depends on corpus indexing.
4	Why was Criminal Revision Application No. 2 of 2002 dismissed for default on 1 July 2005?	Dismissed due to non-appearance and non-prosecution ; application pending since 2002.	Likely no result , as corpus indexing relies on High Court toggle and procedural info.
5	What was the Bombay High Court's order regarding pending criminal applications in 2005 where applicants failed to appear?	Applications dismissed for default due to non-prosecution or non-appearance.	Likely generic/no answer , since procedural context is filtered out.

Key Notes for Testing

1. **Toggle ON** (`include_high_court=True` and `procedural=null`):
 - Should retrieve all relevant High Court orders from 2005–2006 PDFs, including bail rejections, dismissals for default, procedural notes.

- Expected answers are precise and align with the case PDFs.

2. Toggle OFF:

- May skip procedural context and dismissals.
- Expected answers are either missing, incomplete, or generic.
- Only the main case info (e.g., parties, filing) may be returned.