FIRST STAGE / INTERIM EVALUATION OF THE DISSERTATION OR PROJECT ACTIVITY

NAME OF THE STUDENT:								
ROLL NUMBER:								
TITLE OF THE DI	TITLE OF THE DISSERTATION/REPORT:							
DATE OF EXAMI	NATION :							
GRADE ¹ : AA	AB	BB	BC	CC	FR			
(please circle clearly the grade awarded AND strike out the others)								
EXPLANATIONS/ FURTHER REMARKS/ SUGGESTIONS², may be included on the reverse of this form.								
NAMES AND SIGNATURES OF PANEL MEMBERS:								
PANEL MEMBER	NAME, DEPA ORGANIZAT		7	SIGNATURE DATE	WITH			
Supervisor								
Co-Supervisor(s)								
Internal Examiner								

¹ The grades from AA to CC are Pass Grades. If the student is not awarded one of these, then the student is deemed to have failed in this examination and is given an FR. The student, having incorporated the suggestions and observations of the panel, can ask to be re-evaluated after ONE MONTH. The student can pass this re-evaluation with a CC grade. Should the student fail, re-registration for this Stage is required.

² The above report, in ORIGINAL, must be forwarded to the Academic Section. It is recommended that a copy of this evaluation report be made available to the student, for his/ her record and necessary action. It is also recommended that the supervisor(s) retain a copy until the student graduates.

FINAL STAGE EVALUATION OF DISSERTATION OR PROJECT ACTIVITY

PROCEDURE AND GUIDELINES:

- 1. For the Final Stage Evaluation of the Dissertation or Project Activity carried out in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the Degrees of Master of Technology (M. Tech.), Master of Philosophy (M. Phil.) and Dual Degree (B. Tech. + M. Tech.) of the Institute, the form titled *Final Stage Evaluation of Dissertation or Project Activity Evaluation Panel Selection* providing the examination panel information, duly approved by the PGC must be completed and approved by the Convenor, PGC. This completed form must be included with the evaluation report.
- 2. The form titled *Final Stage Evaluation of Dissertation or Project Activity Evaluation by the Supervisor(s)* is to be completed during the Final Stage Examination⁴. If the panel contains a supervisor and co-supervisor(s), this form may be completed either **individually** or **jointly** by the supervisors. Additional copies of this form may be made at the departmental offices.
- 3. The form titled *Final Stage Evaluation of Dissertation or Project Activity Evaluation by the Examiners* is to be completed by the examiners **individually** ⁴. **Two examiners** are to be included on the panel, with either one external examiner and one internal examiner, or two internal examiners. Two copies of the form are included, one for each examiner.
- 4. The Project Supervisor(s) and Examiners shall award grades based on their individual evaluation and return the completed forms to the Chairperson of the Final Stage Evaluation Panel. The Chairperson is requested to discuss the grades awarded with the panel members, and arrive by consensus on a **final grade** to be awarded to the student.³
- 5. A blank page has been provided for any **suggestions** from the panel to improve this evaluation process. Comments/ suggestions/ observations from the panel in this regard will always be appreciated, and efforts will be made to consolidate the suggestions and implement them.
- 6. The complete ORIGINAL evaluation report is to be forwarded to the Academic Section. It is recommended that a copy of the consolidated evaluation report be made available to the student, for his/ her record. It is also recommended that the supervisor(s) retain a copy of this report, until the student graduates.

³ Should the final grade awarded lie outside the closed range [Minimum Individual Grade Awarded, Maximum Individual Grade Awarded] an explanation may kindly be provided.

⁴ The detailed items of evaluation in Part A and Part B of the forms for the supervisor(s) and examiners, are meant to facilitate arriving at a conclusion on the individual grade to be awarded. There is **no** pre-specified means of conversion from the points awarded in these items to the individual grade awarded. The manner in which the supervisor(s) or examiners arrive at their individual grades is left to their discretion. However, it is requested that these detailed items be completed, to enable discussion with the Chairperson after the award of individual grades.

FINAL STAGE EVALUATION OF DISSERTATION OR PROJECT ACTIVITY - EVALUATION PANEL SELECTION

NAME OF THE ST	ΓUDENT:	
ROLL NUMBER:		
TITLE OF THE DI	SSERTATION/REPOR	T:
ACADEMIC PROC	GRAMME: M.Tech./ M	. Phil./ Dual Degree(B.Tech.+M.Tech.)
DEPARTMENT/ S	CHOOL/ IDP GROUP/	CENTRE:
SPECIALIZATION	J:	
DATE:	TIME:	VENUE
DANEY.		
PANEL MEMBER	NAME AND DEPAR	TMENT/ ORGANIZATION
Chairperson		
Examiner 1		
Examiner 2		
Signatures:		
Supervisor:		
Co-supervisor(s):		
Approved by: Head of the Departm	ent/ Convener, Post Grad	nate Committee (PGC):

FINAL STAGE EVALUATION OF DISSERTATION OR PROJECT ACTIVITY - CONSOLIDATED EVALUATION REPORT

NAME OF THE STUDENT:								
ROLL NUMBER:	ROLL NUMBER:							
TITLE OF THE DI	ISSERTATION	N/REPORT:						
DATE OF EXAMI	NATION :							
GRADE ⁵ : AA	AB	BB	ВС	CC	FR			
(please circle clearly	the grade award	led AND strik	e out the otl	ners)				
EXPLANATIONS/ FURTHER REMARKS/ SUGGESTIONS ⁶ , may be included on the reverse of this form. NAMES AND SIGNATURES OF PANEL MEMBERS:								
PANEL MEMBER	NAME, DEI ORGANIZA			SIGNATURE V DATE	WITH			
Chairperson								
Supervisor								
Co-Supervisor(s)								
Examiner I								
Examiner II								

⁵ The grades from AA to CC are Pass Grades. If the student is not awarded one of these, then the student is deemed to have failed in this examination and is given an FR. The student, having incorporated the suggestions and observations of the panel, can ask to be re-evaluated after ONE MONTH. The student can pass this re-evaluation with a CC grade. Should the student fail, re-registration for this Stage is required.

⁶ The above report, in ORIGINAL, must be forwarded to the Academic Section. It is recommended that a copy of this evaluation report be made available to the student, for his/ her record and necessary action. It is also recommended that the supervisor(s) retain a copy until the student graduates.

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY

NAME OF THE STUDENT: _____

M Tech./ M. Phil./ Dual Degree (B.Tech. + M.Tech.) Programmes

FINAL STAGE EVALUATION OF DISSERTATION OR PROJECT ACTIVITY - EVALUATION BY THE <u>SUPERVISOR(S)</u>

KOLL .	NUMBER:					
DEPAF	RTMENT/ SCHOOL/ I	DP GROUP/ CF	ENTRE:			
I. Asses	ssment					
	(to be completed before	e the oral prese	ntation begin	s)		
S. No.	Assessn	nent criterion	Marks (on 10)	Remark(s)		
1. 2.	Critical understanding	of the research	area			
2.	Clarity and completen	ess of problem				
	formulation/experime	nt design				
3.	Extent of independent	work				
4.	Initiative and diligenc	e demonstrated				
5.	Quality of work done					
6.	Quantity of work done	2				
3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Analysis, interpretation	n and validity o	f results			
8.	Quality of the report s	ubmitted				
	(to be completed after	end of the viva-v	voce examina	,	Down	arks
S. No.			usad	Marks (on 10)	Kein	arks
9. 10.	Presentation: clarity, s					
10.	Answers to questions understanding of prob	•				
(a) (b) to the contract of the	Acceptable and may be Acceptable with mino he student during the ex Not acceptable in its prision. The modification he must be certified by the mission.	e regarded as fir revisions. The camination and resent form and s must be incorphe supervisor(s)	nal in its prese e revisions ar or outlined if as outlined if porated by the and at least	ent form ad modifications ha n Item III below. n Item III below, n e student in the dis- one examiner before	ve been ind eeds major sertation and re the final	icated d the
	marks (mandatory for a separate sheets)	recommendation	i (c); piease i	ise the reverse side	of this forn	ı or
Sugges	ted grade: AA	AB	BB	BC	CC	FR
(please	circle clearly the grad	le awarded AN	D strike out	the others)		
Name o	of the Supervisor:					
Co-Sup	pervisor:					
Signatı	ure(s) with date:					
-8	- (~)					

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY

M Tech./ M. Phil./ Dual Degree (B.Tech. + M.Tech.) Programmes

FINAL STAGE EVALUATION OF DISSERTATION OR PROJECT ACTIVITY - EVALUATION BY THE <u>EXAMINER</u>

NAME	OF THE ST	UDENT: _					
ROLL	NUMBER: _				·		
DEPA	RTMENT/ SO	CHOOL/ I	DP GROUP/ C	ENTRE:			
I. Asse	<u>ssment</u>						
Part A	(to be comple	eted before	e the oral prese	ntation begin	s)		
S. No.		Assessn	nent criterion		Marks (on 10)	Rem	ark(s)
1.	Critical und	erstanding	of the research	area			
2.	Clarity and	completen	ess of problem				
	formulation	/experime	nt design				
3.	Quality of w						
3. 4. 5.			n and validity o	f results			
5.	Quality of the					-	
S. No.	(12 22 20px		end of the viva- nent criterion		Marks (on 10)	Ren	narks
6.	Presentation	: clarity, s	tructure, tools u	ised.			
6.7.			– analysis, dept			-	
		-	lem/ conclusion				
(a) (b) to ti (c) rev sam	Acceptable a Acceptable when student during Not acceptables is in the modern of the acceptable acce	and may be with minor the exple in its production	e regarded as fine revisions. The amination and resent form and s must be incorrect.	nal in its prese e revisions and or outlined in as outlined in porated by the	candidate is: (please ent form ad modifications had in Item III below, in Item III below, n e student in the disc one examiner before	ve been ind eeds majo r sertation ar	dicated r
	marks (mand separate sheet		ecommendation	ı (c); please ı	use the reverse side	of this form	m or
Sugges	sted grade:	AA	AB	BB	BC	CC	FR
(please	circle clearl	y the grad	le awarded AN	D strike out	the others)		
Nama	of the Eveni	nor•					

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY

M Tech./ M. Phil./ Dual Degree (B.Tech. + M.Tech.) Programmes

FINAL STAGE EVALUATION OF DISSERTATION OR PROJECT ACTIVITY - EVALUATION BY THE <u>EXAMINER</u>

NAME	OF THE ST	UDENT: _					
ROLL	NUMBER: _						
DEPA	RTMENT/ SO	CHOOL/ I	DP GROUP/ C	ENTRE:			
I. Asse	<u>ssment</u>						
Part A	(to be comple	eted before	e the oral prese	ntation begin	2.5)		
S. No.		Assessn	ent criterion		Marks (on 10)	Rem	ark(s)
1. 2.	Critical und	erstanding	of the research	area			
2.	Clarity and	completen	ess of problem				
	formulation	/experime	nt design				
3.	Quality of w						
4.	_		n and validity o	f results		1	
3. 4. 5.	Quality of the					1	
S. No.	Dragantation		ent criterion	usad	Marks (on 10)	Ren	narks
6.			tructure, tools u			=	
7.		•	- analysis, dept				
	understandı	ng of prob	lem/ conclusion	is/ interence.			
(a) (b) to ti (c) rev sam	Acceptable a Acceptable when student during Not acceptables is in the modern of the acceptable acce	and may be with minor the explesion its production its production	e regarded as fine revisions. The amination and resent form and s must be incorporated as fine resent form and s must be incorporated as fine resent form and s must be incorporated as fine resent form and s must be incorporated as fine resent form and s must be incorporated as fine resent form and s must be incorporated as fine revisions.	nal in its prese e revisions and or outlined as outlined porated by the	candidate is: (please ent form nd modifications ha in Item III below, in Item III below, n e student in the disc one examiner before	ve been ince eeds majo n sertation an	licated
	marks (mand separate sheet	•	ecommendation	n (c); please	use the reverse side	of this form	n or
Sugges	ted grade:	AA	AB	BB	BC	CC	FR
(please	circle clearl	y the grad	e awarded AN	D strike out	the others)		
Name 4	of the Exami	ner•					

SUGGESTIONS (IF ANY) REGARDING THE EVALUATION PROCESS AND/ OR FORMS: