



## Introduction

---

Clear and concise documentation is essential for efficient security operations, particularly in the high-stakes environment of incident response. This guide provides a foundational reference for **Security Operations Center (SOC) Analysts** on standardizing alert prioritization, incident classification, and executing the response lifecycle. The ultimate purpose is to ensure consistent, timely, and effective handling of all security events.

### 1. Alert Priority Levels

Alert prioritization determines the order in which security events are addressed, based on **impact** and **urgency**.

- **Priority Definitions:** Severity is typically categorized as **Critical**, **High**, **Medium**, or **Low**, often mapped to the potential consequences of an event, such as a data breach or service disruption. For instance, **Critical** denotes events like *active ransomware encryption*, while **High** might cover *unauthorized admin access*.
- **Assignment Criteria:** Prioritization relies on factors like **asset criticality** (e.g., production server vs. test VM), **exploit likelihood** (e.g., a known CVE with a public exploit), and **business impact** (e.g., financial loss).
- **Scoring Systems:** The **Common Vulnerability Scoring System (CVSS)** is mastered for risk quantification, where a score, such as 9.8 for *Log4shell* (CVE-2021-44228), is mapped to a **Critical** priority. SOC tools like *Splunk's risk scoring* are also explored for automated prioritization.

### 1.2. Incident Classification

Classification involves categorizing and enriching security events to streamline the investigation process.

- **Incident Categories:** Events are classified by **type**, such as **malware**, **phishing**, **DDoS**, *insider threats* (e.g., unauthorized data export), or **data exfiltration**.
- **Taxonomy:** Frameworks like **MITRE ATT&CK** (e.g., *T1566-Phishing*), **ENISA Incident Taxonomy**, and **VERIS** (Vocabulary for Event Recording and Incident Sharing) framework provide standardized labeling for security events.



- **Contextual Metadata:** Incidents must be enriched with details, or **metadata**, including affected systems, timestamps, source IPs, and **Indicators of Compromise (IOC)** (e.g., a *malicious hash*).

## 1.3. Basic Incident Response

The response process follows a structured **Incident Lifecycle** to ensure a comprehensive and recoverable resolution.

- **Incident Lifecycle:** The six phases are: **Preparation** (e.g., playbooks), **Identification** (e.g., alert triage), **Containment** (e.g., isolate systems), **Eradication** (e.g., remove malware), **Recovery** (e.g., restore services), and **Lessons Learned** (e.g., post-mortem).
- **Procedures:** Key procedures include **system isolation**, **evidence preservation** (e.g., memory dumps), *communication protocols*, and leveraging **SOAR** (Security Orchestration, Automation, and response) tools like *Splunk Phantom* for workflow orchestration.

## 2. Alert Triage and Management Practice

Alerts are mapped to MITRE ATT&CK techniques in a system like Google Sheets:

Alert ID	Type	Priority	MITRE Tactic
001	Phishing	HIGH	T1566
003	Malware	Critical	T1567

### 2.1.2 Incident Ticket Draft

A ticket is drafted in **TheHive** to initiate the formal response process:

- **Title:** [Critical] Ransomware Detected on Server-X
- **Description:** Indicators: [File: *crypto\_locker.exe*], [IP: 192.168.1.50]
- **Priority:** Critical
- **Assignee:** SOC Analyst



## 2.2 Response Documentation and Evidence

Documentation is critical for tracking actions, maintaining the **chain of custody**, and conducting post-incident analysis.

### 2.2.1 Investigation Steps Log

All actions taken during **Containment** and **Eradication** are logged with timestamps:

Timestamp	Action
2025-11-22 14.00.00	Isolated endpoint
2025-11-22 14.00.30	Collected memory dump

### 2.2.2. Evidence Preservation Record

Documentation of preserved evidence (e.g., using **Velociraptor** or **FTK Imager**) is vital for forensic analysis:

Item	Description	Collected By	Date	Hash Value
Memory Dump	Server-X Dump	SOC Analyst	2025-08-18	SHA256

## 2.3 Capstone Project

This scenario simulates a complete incident from attack to reporting, utilizing tools like **Metasploit**, **Wazuh**, and **CrowdSec**.

### 2.3.1 Detection and Triage Log

A log of the initial detection and classification of the simulated attack (e.g., exploiting a *vsftpd* *backdoor*):

Timestamp	Source IP	Alert Description	MITRE Technique
2025-11-22 11:00:00	192.168..XX.XX	VSFTPD exploit	T1190



### 2.3.2 Stakeholder Briefing

A draft briefing for a non-technical manager summarizes the incident clearly, ensuring direct and clear communication:

“At 11:00 AM, our systems detected a **critical** exploit on Server-X, specifically an attempted takeover using a known *VSFTPD vulnerability*. The incident was immediately contained. We successfully isolated the affected server and utilized **CrowdSec** to permanently block the attacker’s IP from our network perimeter. A full forensic investigation and system rebuild is underway to ensure the threat is fully eradicated and that business services can be fully recovered as quickly as possible. The *Containment* phase is complete.”

---



## Summary

The successful management of security events relies on the consistent application of **standardized criteria** for alert prioritisation (**CVSS**), proper **classification** (using frameworks like **MITRE ATT&CK**), and strict adherence to the **Incident Response Lifecycle**. Through continuous practice with tools like **Wazuh**, **TheHive**, and **Velociraptor**, SOC Analysts develop the essential skills to efficiently detect, contain, and revolve from sophisticated threats, ensuring minimal business impact.