

Protocol Audit Report

Prepared by: Sec_p0x

Table of Contents

- · Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
 - Scope
 - Roles
- Executive Summary
 - Issues found
- Findings
- High
- Medium
- Low
- Informational
- Gas

Protocol Summary

Owner can save the password and retrive it. Not any other.

Disclaimer

The Sec_p0x makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

Risk Classification

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
	High	Н	H/M	М
Likelihood	Medium	H/M	М	M/L
	Low	М	M/L	L

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

Audit Details

Scope

Commit Hash: 7d55682ddc4301a7b13ae9413095feffd9924566 In Scope: ./src/ -> PasswordStore.sol Solc Version: 0.8.18 Chain(s) to deploy contract to: Ethereum

Roles

Owner: The user who can set the password and read the password. Outsides: No one else should be able to set or read the password.

Executive Summary

Findings

High

[H-1] Passwords stored on-chain are visable to anyone, not matter solidity variable visibility

Description: All data stored on-chain is visible to anyone, and can be read directly from the blockchain. The PasswordStore::s_password variable is intended to be a private variable, and only accessed through the PasswordStore::getPassword function, which is intended to be only called by the owner of the contract.

However, anyone can directly read this using any number of off chain methodologies

Impact: The password is not private.

Proof of Concept: The below test case shows how anyone could read the password directly from the blockchain. We use foundry's cast tool to read directly from the storage of the contract, without being the owner.

1. Create a locally running chain

make anvil

2. Deploy the contract to the chain

make deploy

3. Run the storage tool

We use $\bf 1$ because that's the storage slot of $\bf s_password$ in the contract.

cast storage <ADDRESS_HERE> 1 --rpc-url http://127.0.0.1:8545

You'll get an output that looks like this:

You can then parse that hex to a string with:

And get an output of:

```
myPassword
```

Recommended Mitigation: Due to this, the overall architecture of the contract should be rethought. One could encrypt the password off-chain, and then store the encrypted password on-chain. This would require the user to remember another password off-chain to decrypt the password. However, you'd also likely want to remove the view function as you wouldn't want the user to accidentally send a transaction with the password that decrypts your password.

[H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword is callable by anyone

Description: The PasswordStore::setPassword function is set to be an external function, however the natspec of the function and overall purpose of the smart contract is that This function allows only the owner to set a new password.

Impact: Anyone can set/change the password of the contract.

Proof of Concept:

Add the following to the PasswordStore.t.sol test suite.

```
function test_anyone_can_set_password(address randomAddress) public {
    vm.prank(randomAddress);
    string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPassword";
    passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);
    vm.prank(owner);
    string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
    assertEq(actualPassword, expectedPassword);
}
```

Recommended Mitigation: Add an access control modifier to the setPassword function.

```
if (msg.sender != s_owner) {
    revert PasswordStore__NotOwner();
}
```