

Name: Piyush Maheshwari

NetID: pm489

Collaborators: None

(1) If P is a convex polygon in the plane, a *triangulation* of P is a way of dividing it up into triangles whose corners are vertices of P . More precisely, a triangulation of P consists of a set of line segments $\mathbf{L} = \{L_1, \dots, L_r\}$ and a set of triangles $\mathbf{T} = \{T_1, \dots, T_s\}$ satisfying the following properties.

1. For each segment $L_i \in \mathbf{L}$, the endpoints of L_i are vertices of P .
2. For each triangle $T_j \in \mathbf{T}$, the sides of T_j are segments in \mathbf{L} .
3. No two segments in \mathbf{L} cross each other in the plane. In other words, any two segments $L_i, L_j \in \mathbf{L}$ are either disjoint or they have a unique point of intersection that is an endpoint of both segments.
4. Every side of the polygon P is a side of exactly one triangle $T_j \in \mathbf{T}$.
5. Every segment $L_i \in \mathbf{L}$ that is not a side of P is a side of exactly two triangles $T_j, T_k \in \mathbf{T}$.

Suppose that P is a convex polygon in the plane and that you are given an input consisting of the following information:

- a list of the vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n of P , in clockwise order;
- a positive integer cost $c(i, j)$ that denotes the cost of creating a line segment from v_i to v_j . These costs are defined whenever $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

For any triangulation of P , the cost of the triangulation is defined to be the sum of the costs of the line segments included in the set \mathbf{L} . Design an algorithm to compute the minimum cost of a triangulation of P .

Solution

Lemma 1. *Both vertices of any edge (u, v) of the polygon will be connected to some vertex w in any possible triangulation.*

Proof. Suppose in any possible triangulation vertices u, v of an edge are connected to different vertices x and y . Without loss of generality we can assume that u, v, x, y follow a clockwise order. If $x \neq y$ then the edge u, v is not part of any triangle since the line segments they are part of must not cross each other. This means there must be some other x' and y' between x and y which are also connected to vertices u, v . Now using the same argument on x' and y' we can find another pair x'' and y'' . Eventually these vertices will converge since there are a finite number of vertices and hence u, v will be connected to the same vertex. This proves the lemma. \square

Now let $\text{opt}(i,j)$ be the minimum cost of triangulation of the polygon formed by vertices i to j taken in clockwise order. Now from lemma 1 we know that there must be some k between i and j to which i and j must be connected and they will form a triangle. So the cost of such a triangulation will be the cost of the triangulation of polygon i to k , plus the cost of triangulation of polygon k to j plus the cost of the triangle formed for i, k, j . Since there are only $i - j - 1$ such values of k , we can calculate the minimum over all values of k and we will get the minimum cost of triangulation of the polygon between i and j .

$$\text{opt}(i, j) = c_{ij} \text{ for } \forall i, j \text{ such that } j - i = 1$$

$$\text{opt}(i, j) = \min_{k=i+1 \text{ to } j-1} \text{opt}(i, k) + \text{opt}(k, j) + c_{ij}$$

This recurrence gives us a way to calculate $\text{opt}(i,j)$ for all values of i, j such that $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. The final answer will be given by $\text{opt}(1,n)$. We can observe that while calculating $\text{opt}(i,j)$ we depend upon sub problems $\text{opt}(m,n)$ such that $|m - n| < |i - j|$. Thus we can calculate all the value of $\text{opt}(i,j)$ in increasing order of $|i - j|$.

Algorithm 1

```

1: procedure MIN-TRIANGULATION
2:    $\text{opt}[i, j] \leftarrow INF \forall i, j \text{ such that } 1 \leq i < j \leq n$  ▷ Initialize the table opt
3:   for all  $\text{len} = 1$  to  $n$  do
4:     for all  $i = 1$  to  $n - \text{len} - 1$  do
5:        $j \leftarrow i + \text{len}$ 
6:       if  $j = i + 1$  then
7:          $\text{opt}(i, j) \leftarrow c_{ij}$  ▷ This is the base case
8:       else
9:         for all  $k = i + 1$  to  $j - 1$  do
10:           $\text{opt}(i, j) \leftarrow \min(\text{opt}(i, j), \text{opt}(i, k) + \text{opt}(k, j) + c_{ij})$ 
11:   return  $\text{opt}(1, n)$ 

```

Proof of correctness

We can prove this by induction. We claim that $\text{opt}(i,j)$ contains the minimum triangulation cost of the polygon formed by vertices from i to j .

Base case : When $j - i = 1$, this means that the polygon is just a edge of the polygon and the cost of triangulating it would be simply the cost of that edge.

$$\text{opt}(i, j) = c_{ij} \text{ for } \forall i, j \text{ such that } j - i = 1$$

Inductive Step : From lemma 1 we know that the polygon formed by vertices from i to j can be triangulated by choosing a k between i and j , triangulating the polygon from i to k , then triangulating the polygon from k to j and then adding the triangle i, k, j . So the minimum cost of triangulating a polygon which has a triangle i, k, j can be found by adding the min cost of triangulating polygon (i,k) plus min cost of triangulating polygon (k,j) plus the cost of triangle i, k, j . And to find the min cost of triangulation of polygon i,j we can consider all such k 's and

take a minimum over them. Note that we are adding only cost of c_{ij} since the cost of the other vertices of the triangle will be included in the other two vertices.

$$\text{optimal}(i, j) = \min_{k=i+1 \text{ to } j-1} \text{optimal}(i, k) + \text{optimal}(k, j) + c_{ij}$$

From our inductive hypothesis we know that $\text{opt}(i, k)$ and $\text{opt}(k, j)$ are the optimal costs of the triangulating the polygons i, k and k, j respectively. Hence we have

$$\text{optimal}(i, j) = \min_{k=i+1 \text{ to } j-1} \text{opt}(i, k) + \text{opt}(k, j) + c_{ij} = \text{opt}(i, j)$$

Hence the induction holds.

Running Time

We have $O(n^2)$ sub problems and each takes $O(n)$ time (line 9-10) to solve. So the total running time is $O(n^3)$