

## Section 1.2 – Propositional Equivalences

### Introduction

An important type of step used in a mathematical argument is the replacement of a statement with another statement with the same truth value.

### Definition

A compound proposition that is always true, no matter what the truth values of the proposition variables that occur in it, is called a **tautology**. A compound proposition that is always false is called a **contradiction**. A compound proposition that is neither a tautology nor a contradiction is called a **contingency**.

### Example

$p$	$\neg p$	$p \vee \neg p$	$p \wedge \neg p$
$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$

$p \vee \neg p$  is always true, it is tautology

$p \wedge \neg p$  is always false, it is contradiction.

### Logical Equivalences

### Definition

Compound propositions  $p$  and  $q$  are called **logically equivalent** if  $p \leftrightarrow q$  is a tautology. The notation  $p \equiv q$  denotes that  $p$  and  $q$  are logically equivalent.

<i>De Morgan's Laws</i>
$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$
$\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$

### Example

Show that  $\neg(p \vee q)$  and  $\neg p \wedge \neg q$  are logically equivalent.

### Solution

$p$	$q$	$p \vee q$	$\neg(p \vee q)$	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$\neg p \wedge \neg q$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$
$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$

The truth table shows that  $\neg(p \vee q) \leftrightarrow \neg p \wedge \neg q$  is a tautology and these compound propositions are logically equivalent.

### Example

Show that  $p \rightarrow q$  and  $\neg p \vee q$  are logically equivalent.

### Solution

$p$	$q$	$\neg p$	$\neg p \vee q$	$p \rightarrow q$
$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$

The truth table shows that  $p \rightarrow q$  and  $\neg p \vee q$  are logically equivalent.

### Example

Show that  $p \vee (q \wedge r)$  and  $(p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$  are logically equivalent. This is the *distributive law* of disjunction over conjunction.

### Solution

$p$	$q$	$r$	$q \wedge r$	$p \vee (q \wedge r)$	$p \vee q$	$p \vee r$	$(p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$
$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$F$

The truth table shows that  $p \vee (q \wedge r)$  and  $(p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$  are logically equivalent.

In these equivalences, ***T*** denotes the compound proposition that is always true and ***F*** denotes the compound proposition that is always false.

<b><i>Logical Equivalences</i></b>	
<b><i>Equivalence</i></b>	<b><i>Name</i></b>
$p \wedge T = p$ $p \vee F = p$	<b><i>Identity laws</i></b>
$p \vee T \equiv T$ $p \wedge F \equiv F$	
$p \vee p \equiv p$ $p \wedge p \equiv p$	<b><i>Idempotent laws</i></b>
$\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$	
$p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$ $p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$	<b><i>Commutative laws</i></b>
$(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv p \vee (q \vee r)$ $(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$	
$p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$ $p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$	<b><i>Distributive laws</i></b>
$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$ $\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$	
$p \vee (p \wedge q) \equiv p$ $p \wedge (p \vee q) \equiv p$	<b><i>Absorption laws</i></b>
$p \vee \neg p \equiv T$ $p \wedge \neg p \equiv F$	

<b><i>Logical Equivalences Involving Conditional Statements</i></b>
$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$
$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \vee \neg p$
$p \vee q \equiv \neg p \rightarrow q$
$p \wedge q \equiv \neg(p \rightarrow \neg q)$
$\neg(p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \wedge \neg q$
$(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow (q \wedge r)$
$(p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \vee q) \rightarrow r$
$(p \rightarrow q) \vee (p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow (q \vee r)$
$(p \rightarrow r) \vee (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$

<b><i>Logical Equivalences Involving Biconditional Statements</i></b>
$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$
$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv \neg p \leftrightarrow \neg q$
$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$
$\neg(p \leftrightarrow q) \equiv p \leftrightarrow \neg q$

$$\neg(p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \cdots \vee p_n) \equiv (\neg p_1 \wedge \neg p_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \neg p_n)$$

$$\neg(p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_n) \equiv (\neg p_1 \vee \neg p_2 \vee \cdots \vee \neg p_n)$$

## Using De Morgan's Laws

The two logical equivalences known as De Morgan's laws are particularly important. The equivalence  $\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$  and similarly  $\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$

### Example

Use De Morgan's laws to express the negations of "Miguel has a cellphone and he has a laptop computer" and "Heather will go to the concert or Steve will go to the concert."

#### Solution

Let:  $p$  be "Miguel has a cellphone"  
 $q$  be "Miguel has a laptop computer"  
 $can$  be expressed as  $p \wedge q$

By De Morgan's laws  $\neg(p \wedge q)$  is equivalent to  $\neg p \vee \neg q$ . We can express the negation of our original statement as "*Miguel does not have a cellphone or he does not have a laptop computer*"

Let:  $r$  be "Heather will go to the concert"  
 $s$  be "Steve will go to the concert"  
 $can$  be expressed as  $r \vee s$

By De Morgan's laws  $\neg(r \vee s) \equiv \neg r \wedge \neg s$ . We can express the negation of our original statement as "*Heather will not go to the concert and Steve will not go to the concert.*"

### Example

Show that  $\neg(p \rightarrow q)$  and  $p \wedge \neg q$  are logically equivalent.

#### Solution

$$\begin{aligned} \neg(p \rightarrow q) &\equiv \neg(\neg p \vee q) \\ &\equiv \neg(\neg p) \wedge \neg q \\ &\equiv p \wedge \neg q \end{aligned}$$

$p$	$q$	$p \rightarrow q$	$\neg(p \rightarrow q)$	$\neg q$	$p \wedge \neg q$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$F$

### ***Example***

Show that  $\neg(p \vee (\neg p \wedge q))$  and  $\neg p \wedge \neg q$  are logically equivalent by developing a series of logical equivalences.

### **Solution**

$$\neg(p \vee (\neg p \wedge q)) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg(\neg p \wedge q)$$

*By De Morgan's law*

$$\equiv \neg p \wedge (p \vee \neg q)$$

*Double negation law*

$$\equiv (\neg p \wedge p) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$$

*Distribution law*

$$\equiv \mathbf{F} \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$$

$$\neg p \wedge p \equiv \mathbf{F}$$

$$\equiv (\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee \mathbf{F}$$

*Commutative law for disjunction*

$$\equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$$

*Identity law*

### ***Example***

Show that  $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \vee q)$  is a tautology.

### **Solution**

$$(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \vee q) \equiv \neg(p \wedge q) \vee (p \vee q)$$

*By De Morgan's law*

$$\equiv (\neg p \vee \neg q) \vee (p \vee q)$$

*By Associative and commutative laws*

$$\equiv (\neg p \vee p) \vee (\neg q \vee q)$$

$$\equiv \mathbf{T} \vee \mathbf{T}$$

$$\equiv \mathbf{T}$$

## **Exercises**    **Section 1.2 – Propositional Equivalences**

1. Use the truth table to verify these equivalences
  - a)  $p \wedge T \equiv p$
  - b)  $p \vee F \equiv p$
  - c)  $p \wedge F \equiv F$
  - d)  $p \vee T \equiv T$
  - e)  $p \vee p \equiv p$
  - f)  $p \wedge p \equiv p$
2. Show that  $\neg(\neg p)$  and  $p$  are logically equivalent
3. Use the truth table to verify the commutative laws
  - a)  $p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$
  - b)  $p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$
4. Use the truth table to verify the associative laws
  - a)  $(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv p \vee (q \vee r)$
  - b)  $(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$
5. Show that each of these conditional statements is a tautology by using truth result tables.
  - a)  $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow p$
  - b)  $p \rightarrow (p \vee q)$
  - c)  $\neg p \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$
  - d)  $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$
  - e)  $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p$
  - f)  $[\neg p \wedge (p \vee q)] \rightarrow q$
  - g)  $[(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)] \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$
  - h)  $[p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)] \rightarrow q$
6. Show that  $p \leftrightarrow q$  and  $(p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$  are logically equivalent
7. Show that  $\neg(p \leftrightarrow q)$  and  $p \leftrightarrow \neg q$  are logically equivalent
8. Show that  $p \rightarrow q$  and  $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$  are logically equivalent
9. Show that  $\neg p \leftrightarrow q$  and  $p \leftrightarrow \neg q$  are logically equivalent
10. Show that  $(p \rightarrow q) \vee (p \rightarrow r)$  and  $p \rightarrow (q \vee r)$  are logically equivalent
11. Show that  $(p \rightarrow r) \vee (q \rightarrow r)$  and  $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$  are logically equivalent

12. Show that  $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$  is a tautology
13. Show that  $(p \vee q) \vee (\neg p \vee r) \rightarrow (q \vee r)$  is a tautology
14. Show that  $\mid$  (NAND) is functionally complete