HarvardX: PH125.9x Final Project

By Philip J Brown, pjbMit@pjb3.com
6/16/2019

HarvardX Data Science Capstone Class PH125.9x (2T2018)

Student: Philip Brownemail: Phil@pjb3.com

• github: https://github.com/pjbMit

RealML - A Real Estate Machine Learning Project

This is RealML, a real estate machine learning project and report created by Philip J Brown (pjbMit@pjb3.com) as the final capstone project for the Data Science Certificate program offered by HarvardX: PH125.9x from edx.org.

Part 1) EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The goal of this project is to utilize data analysis and modelling skills to a create machine learning engine and this report as the final exercise in completing the 9 course Data Science Certificate program offered by HarvardX through edx.org.

For my project I chose to use machine learning techniques to build a *RealML*, a real estate sales price prediction engine. More specifically, I wanted to answer this question:

Can I reasonably predict the resale price of residential condominum and single family real estate within a five mile radius of *Fairlington Villages* (link), the condominum development in Arlington Virginia that I call home?

Through this project, I am able to demonstrate examples of data identification and acquisition, data wrangling and cleansing, data analysis, modeling and machine learning techniques, data presentation and data visualization and report generation and presentation. The project was built by acquiring and analyzing more than 20,000 reports of current real estate sales within the stated five mile radius for residential properties that sold for at least \$5,000 but less than \$1,000,000.

After some research I was able to locate and curate live data for this project, so the basis for this report is real and impactful – at least it is to me as home owner in this area. *:-)

The results of this analysis were very encouraging, and are included in the **results** section and the **conclusion** section, which are the last two sections in this report.

The mission was to locate, curate, wrangle and cleanse real data, and use it build a prediction engine that tries to predict sales prices so as to optimize the model for a low Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), defined as

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(actual_i - predicted_i)^2}{N}}$$

This project is intended to highlight some of the skills acquired throughout the courses in this program. All programming was done in R Code using RStudio on MacBook Pro. After acquiring and processing the data, the real work began!

In addition to this executive summary, this report also includes a methods and analysis section, a results section and a conclusion section.

Key files for this project have been uploaded and stored on my git hub page at github.com/pjbMit/real_estate_project. The three main files for this project are listed below, and can be viewed on git hub – The file names are also links:

- real_ml_script.R (link)
- real_ml_report.Rmd (link)
- real_ml_report.pdf (link)

Additionally, a gzip'd version of the data file is on github at:

• realml data file.github.json.gz (link)

Part 2) METHODS AND ANALYSIS

The project was created in the RS tudio environment using Rstudio Version 1.1.442 on a Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10 $\,$ 14 $\,$ 5

```
R version 3.5.1 (2018-07-02) nickname Feather Spray
```

All code was written in R and executed in RStudio.

Here are the methods and techniques used.

Data was downloaded from AttomData.com, a commercial data provider, using their RESTful API and an apikey that is needed in order to get data. Sales data was queried from their API, and results were downloaded 10,000 rows at a time.

The data was then filtered to remove property types that aren't residential condos or homes.

Part 3) RESULTS

After downloaing the raw data, we had sales information covering the following sales date range:

```
## newest_sale oldest_sale
## 1 2019-5-6 2012-1-10
```

We found additional filter criteria to help us cleanse the data. For example, shown below is the data after filtering to show just the property types and subtypes of interest, which had the effect of removing commercial sales, industrial sales, and other data that is out of scope for this project.

```
## propsubtype HOUSE RESIDENTIAL
## proptype
## CONDOMINIUM 864 11511
## SFR 10516 5134
## num_sales
## 1 28025
```

After examining the data, we saw that a little data-cleansing house keeping was in order. We found that about 10% of the data had zero listed for bedrooms, yet those units had about 1400 sqft on average (mean), thus the zero bedrooms was clearly an error. We removed these rows along with two unneeded colums.

```
myData %>% group_by(transtype) %>% summarize(num=n())
```

```
## # A tibble: 1 x 2
##
     transtype
                 num
##
     <chr>>
               <int>
## 1 Resale
               28025
#All rows are "Resale", so we can remove that colum.
#Look for zero bedrooms, and determine their mean sqft.
myData %>% filter(beds==0) %>% summarize(num=n(),mean(sqft))
      num mean(sqft)
## 1 3923
            1455.371
#remove zero bedroom errors, and remove unneeded columns.
cleanData <- myData %>% filter(beds != 0) %>%
             select(-transtype,-propsubtype)
#Remove rowId
cleanDataRowId <- myData$rowId</pre>
cleanData <- cleanData %>% select (-rowId)
# now split to test and training data
set.seed(2931)
trainIndx <- createDataPartition(cleanData$price, p=0.80, list=FALSE)
# use 80% of data to for training the model.
myTrain <- cleanData[trainIndx,]</pre>
myTrainRowId <- cleanDataRowId[trainIndx]</pre>
# select 20% of the data for test validation
myTest <- cleanData[-trainIndx,]</pre>
myTestRowId <- cleanDataRowId[-trainIndx]</pre>
#Summarize and describe data
```

After cleansing the data, here's a grouped summary showing the data by year and property type:

```
## year_sold 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019
## proptype
## CONDOMINIUM 718 976 989 1797 1741 1412 1426 204
## SFR 1076 1259 1118 2012 1865 1268 1188 235
## [1] 19284
```

Now it's time to look at some the individual data attributes.

```
##
      beds baths sqft yearbuilt
                                                                        addr
                                  proptype
## 1
        3
           2.0 1152
                          1947
                                                              3831 1ST ST SE
                                       SFR.
## 2
        2
           3.0 1174
                          1900 CONDOMINIUM
                                               4623 MACARTHUR BLVD NW UNIT B
## 4
        2
           3.0 1174
                          1900 CONDOMINIUM 4617 1/2 MACARTHUR BLVD NW UNIT B
           1.0 670
                          1962 CONDOMINIUM
                                                      922 24TH ST NW APT 505
## 5
        1
## 6
        1
            2.0 1147
                          1981 CONDOMINIUM
                                              1080 WISCONSIN AVE NW APT 2013
## 7
        5
           4.5 5259
                          1780
                                                                224 S LEE ST
                                       SFR
## 8
        2 3.0 1752
                          1958
                                       SFR
                                                           6422 WILLOWOOD LN
            2.0 1440
                                       SFR
                                                            6402 CAVALIER DR
## 10
        4
                          1950
        3
                                       SFR
## 11
            1.0 1081
                          1955
                                                               3603 KEOTA ST
## 12
           2.5 2012
                          1954
                                       SFR
                                                           1513 CRESTWOOD DR
         3
##
            city state
                                  lat
                                             lon saledate price
                        zip
                   DC 20032 38.833980 -77.006050 2019-5-6 225000
## 1 Washington
## 2
     Washington
                   DC 20007 38.911207 -77.089150 2019-4-30 665000
## 4 Washington DC 20007 38.911050 -77.089072 2019-4-30 672000
```

```
DC 20037 38.901422 -77.051584 2019-4-29 340500
## 5
     Washington
                    DC 20007 38.904825 -77.062959 2019-4-29 570000
## 6
    Washington
     Alexandria
                    VA 22314 38.802959 -77.041569 2019-4-26 385000
                    VA 22310 38.776706 -77.109278 2019-4-26 495000
## 8 Alexandria
## 10 Alexandria
                    VA 22307 38.778635 -77.069521 2019-4-26 595000
## 11 Alexandria
                    VA 22303 38.800732 -77.094047 2019-4-25 340000
## 12 Alexandria
                    VA 22302 38.831096 -77.081177 2019-4-25 977800
```

Next, we looked the remaining columns to see how they correlated, so that we can remove any columns that are highly correlated.

TODO * Data was ... * After these models were evaluated, we looked at variability, and attempted to add genre to models using several standard models available through the **caret package** and applied techniques such as cross-validation. While we examined these models, and made multiple attempts to improve the results, none of the techniques tried improved upon the best results that were previously used.

- General approach:

For many approaches, I first tried working on a very small data set, just to get the code working, then I re-ran the on a medium sized data set, and then when I was satisfied, then I processed the full training set.

Similarly, initially I did NOT do full cross-validation, but once the model was built and the code was working, I enabled cross validation and other ML techniques.

Additionally, being sensitive to computation times, I wrote code and used global variables to enable saving daa and objects containing intermediate results as files on the local file system. By changing the values of these logial variable from TRUE to FALSE, or vice-versa, I was able to re-run code without having to repeat some of the more lengthy processing or repeatedly downloading and cleansing the same data.

```
-set up
```

Set up libraries and enable multi-core processing for some of the operations used by the caret package. Because I have an 8 core processor, for calcuations that can utilize the parallel processing features, this script runs *substantially faster.

TODO

Part 4) CONCLUSION

The model results were promising, as can be seen by the output from rmse results.

I discovered a library and options to set to enable multi-core parallel processing for some of the algorithms in the **caret** package, and this technique helped tremendously, as I was able to span 8 R-sessions that ran in parallel to process some of the algorithms.

Ultimately, the best results that I obtained were a **RMSE** of **TODO** which was obtained ffrom the final model. This was deemed satisfactory based on goals and scope of this project. Of course, if you plan to move nearby, please do your own due dilligence before purchasing a home – while I wanted to choose an impactful and relevant project, this project was created primarily for didactic purposes.

(See the output below which shows the best results obtained.)

```
# The estimates that minimize this can be found similarly to what we did above.
# Here we use cross-validation to pick a lambda
if(runningInScript){
}
```

```
## [1] "Thanks for checking this out! pjbMit@pjb3.com :-)"
```