


Searches for invisible decays of the Higgs boson with the CMS detector

P. Dunne - Imperial College London
on behalf of the CMS Collaboration

IOP 2015




Outline

- ▶ Why look for invisibly decaying Higgs bosons?
- ▶ Higgs to invisible at CMS
- ▶ New search in the vector boson fusion (VBF) channel
- ▶ Combination with ZH channel

Why look for invisibly decaying Higgs bosons?


- ▶ SM compatible 125 GeV Higgs boson observed by ATLAS and CMS
 - ▶ SM compatible does not mean BSM incompatible



CMS-HIG-14-009

- ▶ Many BSM theories predict Higgs to invisible, e.g. SUSY
 - ▶ Often provide good DM candidates

CMS





Higgs to invisible at CMS

- ▶ Indirect: Look for effect of BSM Higgs decays on Higgs total width
- ▶ Direct: Use channels where the Higgs recoils against a visible system

ggH: high rate, no visible products (unless ISR/FSR, i.e. mono-X)

VBF: medium rate, jets+MET final state

ZH: low rate, leptons/b jets+MET final state




CMS VBF History

- ▶ CMS ran two sets of triggers in 2012:
 - ▶ prompt: reconstructed immediately
 - ▶ parked: looser thresholds, reconstructed in long shutdown
- ▶ CMS published result using full run I prompt dataset
 - ▶ VBF only observed(expected) 95% C.L. limit on $B(H \rightarrow inv)$ for $m_H=125$ GeV is 0.65 (0.49)
- ▶ New analysis presented today uses full run I parked dataset




VBF: strategy

- ▶ Select events with two VBF jets + MET:
 - ▶ no colour connection between jets means large η gap
- ▶ QCD background difficult to model:
 - ▶ use tight selection to remove



VBF: selection

- ▶ Main backgrounds: $W \rightarrow \ell\nu / Z \rightarrow \nu\nu + \text{jets}$, QCD, top
 - ▶ Veto events with leptons present
 - ▶ Require MET to be well separated from any jets




VBF: background estimation

- ▶ All major backgrounds have data driven normalisation


$$N_{bkg}^{sig} = \frac{(N_{obs}^{control} - N_{other\ bkgs}^{control})}{N_{MC}^{control}} \cdot N_{MC}^{sig}$$

- ▶ Most backgrounds from missed lepton or misreconstructed jet
 - ▶ use control region where object is reconstructed

$W \rightarrow \mu\nu$ control region



$Z \rightarrow \nu\nu$ control region




CMS-PAS-HIG-14-038

VBF results

Total background	$439.7 \pm 41.0(\text{stat.}) \pm 55.8(\text{syst.})$
VBF H(inv.) assuming $B(H \rightarrow \text{inv})=100\%$	$273.4 \pm 31.2(\text{syst.})$
ggF H(inv.) assuming $B(H \rightarrow \text{inv})=100\%$	$22.6 \pm 15.6(\text{syst.})$
Observed data	508


- Compatible with the background hypothesis

Signal region



CMS-PAS-HIG-14-038


Signal region




CMS-PAS-HIG-14-038

VBF limits

- ▶ Perform a single bin counting experiment using CL_S method
- ▶ Observed(expected) 95% C.L. limit on $B(H \rightarrow inv)$ for $m_H=125$ GeV is 57(40)%




CMS-PAS-HIG-14-038



CMS-PAS-HIG-14-038

ZH: summary

- Search also performed in $ZH \rightarrow \ell\ell inv$ and $ZH \rightarrow b\bar{b} inv$ channels at CMS
- Observed(expected) 95% C.L. limit on $B(H \rightarrow inv)$ for $m_H=125$ GeV is **81(83)%**



Eur. Phys. J. C 74 (2014) 2980

Combined Results

- ▶ Separate limits on $\sigma \times B(H \rightarrow inv)$ are combined at 125 GeV
- ▶ Assume SM production cross-sections to interpret as a limit on $B(H \rightarrow inv)$

Observed (expected) limits
on $B(H \rightarrow inv)$ at 95% C.L.
for $m_H = 125$ GeV

Channel	Limit/%
VBF	57(40)
ZH($\ell\ell + bb$)	81(83)
VBF + ZH	47(35)

Conclusions

- ▶ A direct search for Higgs boson decays to invisible final states has been carried out in the VBF channel
 - ▶ No significant excesses are seen over the background predictions
- ▶ This has been combined with the results in the $Z(\ell\ell)H$ and $Z(bb)H$ channels
- ▶ The combined limit is 47(35)% observed (expected) at 95% C.L. for $m_H = 125\text{GeV}$

Backup

References

- ▶ CMS Higgs combination - CMS-HIG-14-009
- ▶ CMS VBF Higgs to invisible parked data PAS - CMS-PAS-HIG-14-038
- ▶ CMS Higgs to invisible paper - Eur. Phys. J. C 74 (2014) 2980

Comparison to recent ATLAS result

- ▶ We see an excess where ATLAS see a deficit:
 - observed can move the post-fit expected limit
 - were we to see a similar deficit our expected limit improves by $\sim 10\%$
- ▶ ATLAS use a single data driven normalisation factor for all V +jets backgrounds
 - statistical uncertainty on the factor is therefore lower
 - reducing our $Z \rightarrow \nu\nu$ statistical uncertainty to the level we see in $W \rightarrow \mu\nu$ our expected limit improves by $\sim 10\%$

W+jets

- ▶ $W \rightarrow e/\mu\nu$ control region formed by swapping lepton veto for e/μ requirement
- ▶ $W \rightarrow \tau\nu$ control region formed by requiring a hadronic tau
 - not many events with hadronic taus, need to loosen requirements
 - assign a 20% systematic to $W \rightarrow \tau\nu$ to compensate

$$N_{bkg}^{sig} = (N_{obs}^{control} - N_{other\ bkgs}^{control}) \cdot \frac{N_{MC}^{sig}}{N_{MC}^{control}}$$

$W \rightarrow \mu\nu$	$102.5 \pm 6.2 \pm 11.7$
$W \rightarrow e\nu$	$57.9 \pm 7.4 \pm 7.7$
$W \rightarrow \tau\nu$	$94.6 \pm 13.1 \pm 23.8$

Z+jets

- ▶ Use $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ MC ignoring muons to emulate $Z \rightarrow \nu\nu$
- ▶ Correct for difference in cross-section
- ▶ Efficiency correction takes into account EWK vs QCD difference

$$N_S^{Z \rightarrow \nu\nu} = \left(N_C^{Data} - N_C^{bkg} \right) \cdot \frac{\sigma(Z \rightarrow \nu\nu)}{\sigma(Z \rightarrow \mu\mu)} \cdot \frac{\epsilon_S^{ZMC}}{\epsilon_C^{ZMC}}$$

$Z \rightarrow \nu\nu$	$158.1 \pm 37.3 \pm 21.2$
------------------------	---------------------------

QCD

- ▶ Take shape from region with third jet near MET
- ▶ Normalise in sideband region
 - normalisation highly selection dependent
 - parameterise as function of selection and extrapolate
- ▶ Final estimate 17 ± 14

Other backgrounds

- ▶ Taken from MC

top	5.5 ± 1.8
VV	3.9 ± 0.7



Z($\ell\ell$)H outline

Signal Topology and Selection

- ▶ Two same flavour opposite sign electrons or muons
 - $p_T > 20$ GeV, $|M_{\ell\ell} - m_Z| < 15$ GeV
- ▶ Large MET
 - $MET > 120$ GeV

Backgrounds and Rejection Cuts

- ▶ $ZZ(\ell\nu\nu)+\text{jets}$, $WW(\ell\nu\nu)+\text{jets}$
- ▶ $WZ(\ell\nu\ell\ell)+\text{jets}$
 - Veto events with >3 leptons, $p_T > 10$ GeV
- ▶ $Z(\ell\ell)+\text{jets}$
 - MET cut, MET- $\ell\ell$ balance requirement
- ▶ $t\bar{t}$, single top, $W(\ell\nu)$, QCD
 - ≤ 1 jet, $p_T > 30$ GeV
 - no b-tagged jets, $p_T > 30$ GeV




$Z(\ell\ell)H$ background estimation

$ZZ(\ell\nu\nu)+\text{jets}$ and $WZ(\ell\nu\ell\ell)+\text{jets}$

- ▶ Estimated from MC prediction

$Z(\ell\ell)+\text{jets}$

- ▶ Estimated from photon + jets events
- Photon p_T spectrum reweighted to match Z spectrum



$WW(\ell\nu\ell\nu)+\text{jets}$, single top, $t\bar{t}$, $Z(\tau\tau)$


- ▶ Estimated from $e\mu$ events and Z peak sidebands:
 - $m_{\ell\ell}$ 40-70 and 110-200 GeV
 - $N_{\ell\ell}^{sig} = N_{e\mu}^{sig} \cdot N_{\ell\ell}^{SB} / N_{e\mu}^{SB}$

$Z(\ell\ell)H$ results


Process		$\sqrt{s} = 7\text{TeV}$		$\sqrt{s} = 8\text{TeV}$	
		ee	$\mu\mu$	ee	$\mu\mu$
0 jets	Total backgrounds	8.7 ± 6.5	11.0 ± 3.3	37.4 ± 3.7	51.6 ± 4.8
	ZH(125)	2.3 ± 0.2	3.1 ± 0.3	10.3 ± 1.2	14.7 ± 1.5
	Observed data	9	10	36	46
S/B for $B(H \rightarrow \text{inv})$ 100%		0.26	0.28	0.28	0.24
1 jet	Total backgrounds	2.6 ± 0.7	2.8 ± 0.9	10.6 ± 4.2	13.8 ± 5.8
	ZH(125)	0.4 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.1	1.6 ± 0.2	2.5 ± 0.3
	Observed data	1	4	11	17
S/B for $B(H \rightarrow \text{inv})$ 100%		0.15	0.18	0.15	0.18

- Limits obtained from a 2D fit to m_T and $\Delta\phi(\ell\ell)$
- 1D fit to m_T for 7 TeV data
- Assuming SM Higgs production cross-section and acceptance:
 - observed(expected) 95% C.L. limit on $B(H \rightarrow \text{inv})$ for $m_H=125$ GeV is 83(86)%

arXiv:1404.1344



arXiv:1404.1344



Z(bb)H outline and backgrounds

Signal Topology and Selection



- ▶ Two b-tagged jets:
 - $p_T > 30/60$ GeV, $p_{Tjj} > 100 - 130$ GeV
- ▶ Three bins in MET
 - 100-130, 130-170, > 170 GeV

Backgrounds and Rejection Cuts

- ▶ $Z(\nu\nu)+\text{jets}$, $W(\ell\nu)+\text{jets}$
- ▶ $ZZ(\nu\nu b\bar{b})$
- ▶ $WZ(\ell\nu b\bar{b})$, $t\bar{t}$, single top
 - Veto events with leptons, $p_T > 15$ GeV
- ▶ QCD
 - MET quality requirements

Background estimation - data normalised MC

- ▶ Normalisation from a simultaneous fit in seven control regions:
 - Z+jets (0,1,2 b-jets), W+jets (0,1,2 b-jets), $t\bar{t}$




arXiv:1404.1344

$Z(b\bar{b})H$ results

Process	High $p_T(V)$	Intermediate $p_T(V)$	Low $p_T(V)$
Total backgrounds	181.3 ± 9.8	64.8 ± 4.1	40.5 ± 4.1
$Z(b\bar{b})H(inv)$	12.6 ± 1.1	3.6 ± 0.3	1.6 ± 0.1
Observed data	204	61	38

- Multivariate analysis (BDT):
 - performed for each mass hypothesis and boost region
- Limits from a fit to the BDT output distribution
- Assuming SM Higgs production cross-section and acceptance:
 - observed(expected) 95% C.L. limit on $B(H \rightarrow inv)$ for $m_H=125$ GeV is 182(199)%



arXiv:1404.1344