

VBF Higgs to Invisible - Update AN-14-243 P. Dunne



Introduction

- Light trees have been made using prompt data ntuples and trigger weights
- Data cards have been made using the prompt light trees for both the prompt and parked cuts
 - I don't have the ggH samples or UES information in the prompt data ntuples,
- ggH and UES are therefore neglected in all limits on next slide
- Results on next slide
- \blacktriangleright nb As we now drop $W\gamma$ the limits on the next slide should be compared to 46.29% not the 49% in the paper



Limits

- ightharpoonup 14 \pm 10 used for parked cuts QCD estimate
- ightharpoonup 31 \pm 23 from paper used for prompt cuts QCD estimate
- ▶ Data driven top control region used for both prompt and parked cuts
- Prompt trigger weights ignore correlations in turn on part of parked cut region

| | Prompt trigger | parked trigger |
|-------------|----------------|----------------|
| Prompt cuts | 45.12% | 45.51% |
| Parked cuts | 47.07% | 39.65% |

Interpretation

- \blacktriangleright Prompt cuts limits \sim same as old card with both prompt and parked trigger
- ▶ The parked cuts give a worse limit with prompt trigger than with parked trigger
 - i.e. We can only use the parked cuts because of the parked trigger
- Also seen in parked cuts control region data yields, most higher with parked trigger
- Where prompt trigger yield is larger prompt and parked yields are within stat. unc.
 of each other



Summary

- Prompt cuts numbers from light tree framework compatible with old cards for prompt and parked triggers
- Improvement to limit seen from using parked analysis cuts is only possible because of parked trigger
- Adding ggH and UES contribution back into parked trigger with parked cuts card gives limit of 37% as shown on Monday



Backup