

MaCh3 Summer 17 OA

Patrick Dunne,
for the MaCh3 group



Oscillations at T2K

- Standard PMNS oscillations apply to mass eigenstates as:


$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ \nu_\mu \\ \nu_\tau \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{13} & 0 & s_{13}e^{i\delta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13}e^{-i\delta} & 0 & c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

- T2K sees disappearance of ν_μ , appearance of ν_e and equivalents for antineutrino
- Gives sensitivity to $\sin^2(\theta_{23})$, $\sin^2(\theta_{13})$, Δm_{23}^2 and δ

MaCh3 introduction

- ▶ MaCh3 is a Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) oscillation fitter
- ▶ Performs MCMC integration to give the posterior probability
- ▶ Choose to fit ND280 and SK simultaneously

What goes into an oscillation fit?



K. Duffy

Updates for Summer OA

- ▶ SK data: Use full Run 1-8 SK data for all 5 samples
 - All analyses fitting in E_{rec} for ν_μ and 2D for ν_e
- ▶ ND280 data: No new data, but new RHC binning
- ▶ New SK reconstruction using fitQun
- ▶ New xsec model

fitQun

- ▶ SK reconstruction with significantly lower mis-ID probability
- ▶ Available for all samples used in OA fit

Maximize:

$$L(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_j^{unhit} P_j(unhit|\mathbf{x}) \prod_i^{hit} \{1 - P_i(unhit|\mathbf{x})\} f_q(q_i|\mathbf{x}) f_t(t_i|\mathbf{x})$$

Track parameters:

particle ID
vertex
direction
momentum

Probability of i^{th}
PMT registering a
hit

Probability of
observing charge
 q at time t in i^{th}
PMT


A. Missert

fitQun: Fiducial volume

- ▶ Much lower mis-ID probability allows fiducial volume (FV) to be expanded
- ▶ Optimise 2 variables cut values based on:

$$\sum_i^{\text{bins}} \frac{\left(\frac{dN_i}{d\theta} \right)}{N_i + (\sigma_{\text{syst}}^2)_i}$$

- ▶ Results in 20% larger FV with more events around oscillation max. energy




A. Missert

New xsec model (2017b)

- ▶ Large changes made to this year's cross-section model:
- ▶ Removal of E_B dial
- ▶ 2p2h uncertainty treatment
- ▶ Change to RPA treatment


$$E_B$$

- ▶ Part of model of initial nucleon momentum
 - Describes energy needed to remove nucleon from atom
- ▶ E_B dial in 2015
parametrisation didn't migrate events as desired
- ▶ Also it's effect was very small, so we dropped it



E_B


- ▶ Planned new dial based on comparison of nominal SF model with RFG model with varied E_B
- ▶ SF has larger phase space than RFG so should work
- ▶ Problem: larger \neq covering
 - RFG (red) populates some areas SF (black) doesn't
 - Large bias in forward region
- ▶ Dropped new dial as well



M. Dunkman

2p2h

- ▶ 2p2h is multi-nucleon hard scatter
- ▶ Effect largest at flux peak
- ▶ Old model only varied normalisation
- ▶ Add shape modelling



BeRPA


- ▶ RPA describes screening of nucleon by rest of nucleus
- ▶ Old model weighted to Nieves model nominal
 - No uncertainty
- ▶ Introduce BeRPA parametrisation

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} A(1 - \frac{x}{U})^3 + 3B(1 - \frac{x}{U})^2 \frac{x}{U} + 3p_1(1 - \frac{x}{U})(\frac{x}{U})^2 + D(\frac{x}{U})^3, & x < U \\ 1 + p_2 \exp(-E(x - U)), & x > U \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Values and errors for A, B, D, E and U obtained by fitting to Nieves model
 - p_1 and p_2 fixed by continuity

BeRPA

- ▶ A, B, D, E and U varied in ND280 Data fit
- ▶ Appear to go away from nominal
- ▶ High flux parameters seen last year return to normal
- ▶ NB MaCh3 implement BeRPA event-by-event, other fitters don't



C. Wret



Validation progress: event rate

- ▶ All of the above changes implemented in MaCh3
- ▶ Validate that code is ok by checking against other analyses
 - expect some differences due to BeRPA treatment
 - Still validating CC1pi

	MaCh3	p-theta
FHC 1Rmu	145.817	148.031
FHC 1Re	41.050	41.6955
RHC 1Rmu	8.468	8.494
RHC 1Re	67.039	68.0174
FHC CC1pi	3.9659	3.63896


Validation progress

- Validate systematic model implementation too
- MaCh3 (dashed) vs p-theta (solid)
- Expect small differences due to different spline binning




Asimov fits

- ▶ Hot off the presses!
- ▶ woRC Asimov A ($\delta = -1.601$, $\sin^2(\theta_{23}) = 0.523$), Run 1-7 POT




Asimov fits: Comparison with p-theta

- ▶ Only 900k steps for MaCh3 (usually a few million)
- ▶ MaCh3 and p-theta using different ND fits which stills how some disagreement



Timeline

- ▶ Targeting EPS-HEP: July 5-12
- ▶ Currently on time (just)



Conclusions

- ▶ Lots of new features coming in summer OA
 - Improved xsec model
 - New SK reconstruction which allows expanded FV
- ▶ Still work to do and timeline is tight
- ▶ Excited to see the new data

Backup