Higgs to Invisible MC Comparison

Cut flow

- Compare yields cut by cut
- Loosest selection easily available in CMS samples is: met significance>3, $\Delta\eta_{jj} > 3.6$
  - all selection steps below have this requirement
- Add cuts one by one

Cut added	Powheg + CMS yield	Powheg + Delphes yield
$j_1 p_T > 50$, $j_2 p_T > 45$	1351	2655
min$\Delta\phi(j, met) > 2.3$	649	1232
met> 90	624	1221
$M_{jj} > 1200$	300	338
met significance> 4	273	286

Compare Distributions

- Selection: met significance$>$ 3, $\Delta\eta_{jj} > 3.6$
- These two variables were causing biggest cut flow difference before
- Met significance now seems fairly well modelled





Compare Distributions

- Selection: met significance>3, $\Delta\eta_{jj} > 3.6$, $j_1 p_T > 50$, $j_2 p_T > 45$, $\min\Delta\phi(j, met) > 2.3$, met$>90$
- Jet pts fairly similar, a little harder in powheg





Compare Distributions

- Selection: met significance$>$ 3, $\Delta\eta_{jj} > 3.6$, $j_1 p_T > 50$, $j_2 p_T > 45$, min$\Delta\phi(j, met) > 2.3$, met$>$ 90
- Madgraph jets more central than powheg





Compare Distributions

- Selection: met significance$>$ 3, $\Delta\eta_{jj} > 3.6$, $j_1 p_T > 50$, $j_2 p_T > 45$, min$\Delta\phi(j, met) > 2.3$, met$>$ 90
- Again see madgraph jets being more central
- Met similar between madgraph and powheg





Compare Distributions

- Selection: met significance$>$ 3, $\Delta\eta_{jj} > 3.6$, $j_1 p_T > 50$, $j_2 p_T > 45$, min$\Delta\phi(j, met) > 2.3$, met$>$ 90
- Limited statistics in phi





Compare Distributions

- Selection: met significance>3, $\Delta\eta_{jj} > 3.6$, $j_1 p_T > 50$, $j_2 p_T > 45$, min$\Delta\phi(j, met) > 2.3$, met>90
- Numbers of jets similar, slightly more additional jets in madgraph



Summary

-

Backup