

Z Background Formulae Paper - HIG-13-030, PASs: HIG-13-013, HIG-13-018, HIG-13-028

D. Colling, G. Davies P. Dunne, A.M. Magnan, A. Nikitenko and Joao Pela: Imperial with
 R. Aggleton, J. Brooke: Bristol
 C.Asawangtrakuldee, Q.Li: Peking
 P. Srimanobhas: Chulalongkorn
 S. Kumar, K. Mazumdar: Mumbai



## Definitions

$$\begin{split} N_{S}(Z \rightarrow \nu \nu) &= \left(N_{C}^{Data} - N_{C}^{Bkg}\right) \cdot \\ &\frac{\sigma(Z \rightarrow \nu \nu, \text{EWK}) \frac{N_{S}^{\text{MC}}(\text{EWK})}{N_{\text{Gen}}(60 < m_{Z}^{\text{Gen}} < 120 \, \text{GeV, EWK})} + \sigma(Z \rightarrow \nu \nu, \text{QCD}) \frac{N_{S}^{\text{MC}}(\text{QCD})}{N_{\text{Gen}}(60 < m_{Z}^{\text{Gen}} < 120 \, \text{GeV, QCD})} \\ &\frac{\sigma(Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \mu \mu, \text{EWK}) \frac{N_{C}^{\text{MC}}(\text{EWK})}{N_{\text{Gen}}(\text{EWK})} + \sigma(Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \mu \mu, \text{QCD}) \frac{N_{C}^{\text{MC}}(\text{QCD})}{N_{Gen}^{\text{Cen}}(\text{QCD})} \end{split}$$

### Regions

- $\blacktriangleright$  Control Region: Reco dimuon, with 60  $< m_{\mu\mu}^{reco} < 120\, {\rm GeV}$  passing VBF selections
- $N_C^{MC}$  is measured in  $Z \to \mu \mu + Jets$  MC with a generator level cut of  $m_Z^{Gen} > 50 \, GeV$
- Signal Region: VBF selections and no veto leptons
- We use the same  $Z \to \mu\mu$  sample as for  $N_C^{MC}$  and ignore the leptons to approximate a  $Z \to \nu\nu$  sample, this will be denoted  $N_S^{MC}$
- For the efficiencies to be the same for the  $Z \to \mu\mu$  and  $Z \to \nu\nu$  samples a generator level mass window of  $60 < m_Z^{Gen} < 120$  must be applied, this will be denoted  $N_S^{MC}[60,120]$ .



## Derivation of formula

Basic formula for data driven estimate

$$N_S^{
u
u}$$
 Data  $= \frac{N_C^{Data} - N_C^{BKG}}{N_C^{MC}} \cdot N_S^{
u
u}$  MC

▶ To use  $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$  MC we use the formula:

$$N_S^{\nu\nu \ MC} = N_S^{MC}[60, 120] \cdot \underbrace{\frac{\sigma(Z \to \nu\nu)}{\sigma(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, 60 < m_Z^{Gen} < 120 \, GeV)}}_{R[60, 120]}$$

► The cross-section ratio that we have calculated is:

$$R[50, \infty] = \frac{\sigma(Z \to \nu \nu)}{\sigma(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu \mu, m_Z^{Gen} > 50)}$$

We therefore use:

$$\begin{split} R[60,120] &= \frac{\sigma(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, \, m_Z^{Gen} > 50\,\text{GeV})}{\sigma(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, \, 60 < m_Z^{Gen} < 120\,\text{GeV})} \cdot R[50,\infty] \\ &= \frac{N(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, \, m_Z^{Gen} > 50\,\text{GeV})}{N(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, \, 60 < m_Z^{Gen} < 120\,\text{GeV})} \cdot R[50,\infty] \end{split}$$



# Derivation of formula (2)

• Substituting our expression for  $N_S^{\nu\nu MC}$  into the original formula gives:

$$\begin{split} N_{S}^{\nu\nu \; Data} &= \frac{N_{C}^{Data} - N_{C}^{BKG}}{N_{C}^{MC}} \cdot N_{S}^{MC}[60, 120] \cdot R[60, 120] \\ &= \frac{N_{C}^{Data} - N_{C}^{BKG}}{N_{C}^{MC}} \cdot N_{S}^{MC}[60, 120] \cdot \frac{N(Z/\gamma^{*} \to \mu\mu, m_{Z}^{Gen} > 50 \, GeV)}{N(Z/\gamma^{*} \to \mu\mu, 60 < m_{Z}^{Gen} < 120 \, GeV)} \cdot R[50, \infty] \end{split}$$



## Formulae from paper

$$N_{S}^{Data} = (N_{C}^{Data} - N_{C}^{BKG}) \cdot R[50, \infty] \cdot \frac{\epsilon_{S}^{VBF}}{\epsilon_{C}^{VBF} \epsilon_{\mu\mu}}$$

$$\bullet \epsilon_{\mu\mu} = \frac{N(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, \text{reco dimuon, } 60 < m_{\mu\mu}^{\text{reco}} < 120 \text{GeV})}{N(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, m_{Z}^{\text{Gen}} > 50 \text{GeV})}$$

$$\bullet \epsilon_{C}^{VBF} = \frac{N_{C}^{MC}}{N(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, \text{reco dimuon, } 60 < m_{\mu\mu}^{\text{reco}} < 120 \text{GeV})}$$

$$\bullet \epsilon_{S}^{VBF} = \frac{N_{S}^{MC}[60, 120]}{N(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, 60 < m_{Z}^{\text{Gen}} < 120 \text{GeV})}$$

n.b. efficiencies are not defined in the paper, so the differences in the

denominator between  $\epsilon_{\mu\mu}$  and  $\epsilon_{S}^{VBF}$  are not apparent



# Simplifications

- Numerator of  $\epsilon_{\mu\mu}$  and denominator of  $\epsilon_{\it C}^{\it VBF}$  cancel so they should not be included in the error calculation
- Currently stat, lepton ID, JES, JER and UES uncertainties are considered on all terms

$$\qquad \qquad \frac{\epsilon_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathit{VBF}}}{\epsilon_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathit{VBF}} \cdot \epsilon_{\mu\mu}} = \frac{\mathit{N_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathit{MC}}[60,120]}}{\mathit{N_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathit{MC}}}} \cdot \frac{\mathit{N(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, m_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\mathit{Gen}} > 50\,GeV)}}{\mathit{N(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, 60 < m_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\mathit{Gen}} < 120\,GeV)}}$$

#### Final formula

$$\begin{split} N_S^{\nu\nu \; Data} &= \frac{N_C^{Data} - N_C^{BKG}}{N_C^{MC}(Z^{Gen} \to \mu\mu)} \cdot N_S^{MC}[60, 120](Z^{Gen} \to \mu\mu) \\ &\times \frac{N(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, m_Z^{Gen} > 50\,\text{GeV})}{N(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, 60 < m_Z^{Gen} < 120\,\text{GeV})} \cdot R[50, \infty] \end{split}$$

► This is the same as the formula derived above



# Preliminary Results - No Systematics

## Components

- $ightharpoonup N_C^{Data}: 12 \pm 3.4641(stat)$
- $ightharpoonup N_C^{Bkg}: 0.225755 \pm 0.118559(stat)$
- $N_S^{MC}$ [60, 120]: 40.9211 ± 1.86195(stat)
- $ightharpoonup N_{\it C}^{\it MC}(\it Z^{\it Gen} 
  ightarrow \mu \mu)$  : 26.4646  $\pm$  1.46436(stat)
- $\blacktriangleright \ \textit{N(Z/}\gamma^* \rightarrow \mu\mu, \textit{m}_{\textit{Z}}^{\textit{Gen}} > 50 \textit{GeV}): 3.3216e + 07 \pm 5763.33 \textit{(stat)}$
- $ightharpoonup N(Z/\gamma^* o \mu\mu, 60 < m_Z^{Gen} < 120\, GeV): 3.1915e + 07 \pm 5649.33 (stat)$

## Preliminary Result

- Analysis B  $98.4151 \pm 28.41(stat.) \pm 13.6326(MCstat.)$
- Analysis A  $103.452 \pm 30.4366(stat.) \pm 14.2746(MCstat.)$



# What about QCD/EWK cross-section ratio difference

- ▶ For QCD the ratio of  $\nu\nu$  and  $\mu\mu$  cross-sections is 5.6
- For EWK Sasha has calculated that it is 1.6
- $\triangleright$   $N_S^{MC}$  is made up of 23 QCD events and 18 EWK events
- ➤ To my mind this means that the background estimation decreases considerable as a result of the much lower ratio for 40% of the signal events



## Conclusions

- ► Method does seem consistent
- ▶ Is there a reason not to calculate the cross-section ratio with the mass window?
- It would remove the need for the additional event ratio.
- Preliminary results from analalysis B are compatible at at least the same level as the W estimates



# Backup