

# Z Background Synchronisation

P. Dunne, C. Asawatangtrakuldee



#### Outline

- ▶ A synchronisation has been carried out between analysis A and B Z estimates
- A couple of bugs were found in the preliminary analysis B number
- ► Two main changes were made to the analysis A Z background estimate
  - Correction of efficiency definitions
  - Updates to EWK cross-sections
- ► The two analyses now produce consistent estimates of the Z background



### Corrections to efficiency formulae from paper draft

$$N_S^{Data} = (N_C^{Data} - N_C^{BKG}) \cdot \frac{\sigma(Z \rightarrow \nu\nu)}{\sigma(Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \mu\mu)} \cdot \frac{\epsilon_S^{VBF}}{\epsilon_C^{VBF}\epsilon_{\mu\mu}}$$

For the number in the paper the following form was used for efficiencies:

$$- \ \epsilon = \frac{\sigma(\textit{QCD}) \cdot \textit{N}^{\textit{MC}}_{\textit{Sel}}(\textit{QCD}) + \sigma(\textit{EWK}) \cdot \textit{N}^{\textit{MC}}_{\textit{Sel}}(\textit{EWK})}{\textit{N}^{\textit{MC}}_{\textit{Gen}}(\textit{QCD}) + \textit{N}^{\textit{MC}}_{\textit{Gen}}(\textit{EWK})}$$

► This is not quite right and has been corrected to:

$$- \ \epsilon = \frac{\sigma(\textit{QCD}) \cdot \frac{N_{\textit{Sel}}^{\textit{MC}}(\textit{QCD})}{N_{\textit{Gen}}^{\textit{MC}}(\textit{QCD})} + \sigma(\textit{EWK}) \cdot \frac{N_{\textit{Sel}}^{\textit{Sel}}(\textit{EWK})}{N_{\textit{Gen}}^{\textit{MC}}(\textit{EWK})}}{\sigma(\textit{QCD}) + \sigma(\textit{EWK})}$$

•  $\epsilon_C^{VBF} \cdot \epsilon_{\mu\mu}$  is also now calculated as one number, due to cancellations between the numbers used in each efficiency



### Updates to EWK cross-sections

- In addition to the efficiency correction the EWK cross-sections have been updated
- ► For the paper we used LO EWK cross-sections
- Sasha has calculated NLO cross-sections:
- $\sigma_{NLO}(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, EWK) = 304$ fb,  $\sigma_{LO}(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, EWK) = 288$ fb
- Also for the paper  $\frac{\sigma(Z\to\nu\nu)}{\sigma(Z/\gamma^*\to\mu\mu)}$  was taken to be the same for QCD and EWK
- ▶ Sasha has calculated that the cross-section ratio is very different for EWK:
  - Ratio(EWK)=1.480, Ratio(QCD)=5.616



### Other differences between analyses A & B

- Analysis A use the inclusive DY+Jets sample with a cut of  $Z_{pT}^{Gen} < 100$  GeV and the high Z pt DY+Jets sample
- No events pass from the inclusive DY+Jets sample with the  $p_{\mathcal{T}}$  cut
- Analysis B previously used the inclusive DY+Jets sample and the DY+1/2/3/4 Jets samples correctly weighted
- Similarly to in the W estimate
- ▶ This was changed to using the 5 weighted  $p_T$  inclusive samples with a cut of  $Z_{pT}^{Gen} < 100$  GeV and the high Z pt DY+Jets sample
- ► This changed the result by 9% for an MC stat error of 18%
- There is very little overlap between the events used in the two estimates as few inclusive sample events pass the  $Z_{pT}^{Gen}$  cut



### New Results

	Analysis	Paper draft	$\sigma(Z/\gamma^*  o \mu\mu, EWK)$ & $\epsilon$ formula corrected	Ratio $\sigma(EWK)$ corrected
ſ	Α	$104 \pm 30 (stat.)$	$105 \pm 31 (stat.)$	92 ± 27(stat.)
	В	N/A	$102\pm30(stat.)$	88 ± 25(stat.)

- Efficiency formula and  $\sigma(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, EWK)$  corrections go in opposite directions
- Hence small change in middle column
- ► The two analyses are consistent
- ▶ There is a 12% decrease in the analysis A Z background estimate



Backup



#### **Numbers**

Number	Analysis B	Analysis A
nCData-nCBKG	$12\pm3(\mathit{stat})$	12
nSMC QCD	$30 \pm 3 (stat)$	32
nSMC EWK	$6.0 \pm 0.2 ( extit{stat})$	6.0
nSMC Total	$36\pm3( extit{stat})$	38
nCMCQCD Total	$20\pm3(stat)$	21
nCMCEWK Total	$4.2 \pm 0.2 (stat)$	4.3
nCMC Total	$24\pm3( extit{stat})$	25
nGen QCD	$2.3e + 07 \pm 7000(stat)$	2.3e+07
nGen EWK	$5800 \pm 6 (stat)$	5800
nGen Total	$2.3e + 07 \pm 7000(stat)$	2.3e+07
nGenZMassFiltered QCD	$2.2e + 07 \pm 7000(stat)$	2.2e+07
nGenZMassFiltered EWK	$5000 \pm 6 (stat)$	5000
nGenZMassFiltered Total	$2.2e + 07 \pm 7000(stat)$	2.2e+07



#### **Definitions**

#### Regions

- $\blacktriangleright$  Control Region: Reco dimuon, with 60  $< m_{\mu\mu}^{\rm reco} < 120\,{\rm GeV}$  passing VBF selections
- $N_C^{MC}$  is measured in  $Z \to \mu \mu + Jets$  MC with a generator level cut of  $m_Z^{Gen} > 50 \, GeV$
- Signal Region: VBF selections and no veto leptons
- We use the same  $Z \to \mu\mu$  sample as for  $N_C^{MC}$  and ignore the leptons to approximate a  $Z \to \nu\nu$  sample, this will be denoted  $N_S^{MC}$
- For the efficiencies to be the same for the  $Z \to \mu\mu$  and  $Z \to \nu\nu$  samples a generator level mass window of  $60 < m_Z^{Gen} < 120$  must be applied, this will be denoted  $N_S^{MC}$  [60,120].



### Derivation of formula

Basic formula for data driven estimate

$$N_S^{
u
u\,Data} = rac{N_C^{Data} - N_C^{BKG}}{N_C^{MC}} \cdot N_S^{
u
u\,MC}$$

▶ To use  $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$  MC we use the formula:

$$N_S^{\nu\nu MC} = N_S^{MC}[60, 120] \cdot \underbrace{\frac{\sigma(Z \to \nu\nu)}{\sigma(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, 60 < m_Z^{Gen} < 120 GeV)}}_{R[60, 120]}$$

► The cross-section ratio that we have calculated is:

$$R[50, \infty] = \frac{\sigma(Z \to \nu \nu)}{\sigma(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu \mu, m_Z^{Gen} > 50)}$$

We therefore use:

$$\begin{split} R[60,120] &= \frac{\sigma(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, \, m_Z^{\text{Gen}} > 50\,\text{GeV})}{\sigma(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, \, 60 < m_Z^{\text{Gen}} < 120\,\text{GeV})} \cdot R[50,\infty] \\ &= \frac{N(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, \, m_Z^{\text{Gen}} > 50\,\text{GeV})}{N(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, 60 < m_Z^{\text{Gen}} < 120\,\text{GeV})} \cdot R[50,\infty] \end{split}$$



### Derivation of formula (2)

Substituting our expression for  $N_S^{\nu\nu MC}$  into the original formula gives:

$$\begin{split} N_{S}^{\nu\nu \; Data} &= \frac{N_{C}^{Data} - N_{C}^{BKG}}{N_{C}^{MC}} \cdot N_{S}^{MC}[60, 120] \cdot R[60, 120] \\ &= \frac{N_{C}^{Data} - N_{C}^{BKG}}{N_{C}^{MC}} \cdot N_{S}^{MC}[60, 120] \cdot \frac{N(Z/\gamma^{*} \to \mu\mu, m_{Z}^{Gen} > 50 \, GeV)}{N(Z/\gamma^{*} \to \mu\mu, 60 < m_{Z}^{Gen} < 120 \, GeV)} \cdot R[50, \infty] \end{split}$$



### Formulae from paper

$$\begin{split} N_S^{Data} &= \left(N_C^{Data} - N_C^{BKG}\right) \cdot R[50, \infty] \cdot \frac{\epsilon_S^{SF}}{\epsilon_C^{VBF} \epsilon_{\mu\mu}} \\ &\blacktriangleright \epsilon_{\mu\mu} = \frac{N(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, \text{reco dimuon, } 60 < \text{m}_{\mu\mu}^{\text{reco}} < 120 \text{GeV})}{N(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, \text{m}_Z^{Gen} > 50 \text{GeV})} \\ &\blacktriangleright \epsilon_C^{VBF} = \frac{N_C^{MC}}{N(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, \text{reco dimuon, } 60 < \text{m}_{\mu\mu}^{\text{reco}} < 120 \text{GeV})} \\ &\blacktriangleright \epsilon_S^{VBF} = \frac{N_S^{MC}[60, 120]}{N(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, 60 < \text{m}_Z^{Gen} < 120 \text{GeV})} \\ &\blacktriangleright \text{n.b. efficiencies are not defined in the paper, so the differences in the} \end{split}$$

denominator between  $\epsilon_{\mu\mu}$  and  $\epsilon_{S}^{VBF}$  are not apparent



### Simplifications

- Numerator of  $\epsilon_{\mu\mu}$  and denominator of  $\epsilon_{\it C}^{\it VBF}$  cancel so they should not be included in the error calculation
- Currently stat, lepton ID, JES, JER and UES uncertainties are considered on all terms

$$\blacktriangleright \frac{\epsilon_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathit{VBF}}}{\epsilon_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathit{VBF}} \cdot \epsilon_{\mu\mu}} = \frac{\mathit{N_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathit{MC}}[60,120]}}{\mathit{N_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathit{MC}}}} \cdot \frac{\mathit{N(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, m_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\mathit{Gen}} > 50\,GeV)}}{\mathit{N(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, 60 < m_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\mathit{Gen}} < 120\,GeV)}}$$

#### Final formula

$$\begin{split} N_S^{\nu\nu \, Data} &= \frac{N_C^{Data} - N_C^{BKG}}{N_C^{MC}(Z^{Gen} \to \mu\mu)} \cdot N_S^{MC}[60, 120](Z^{Gen} \to \mu\mu) \\ &\times \frac{N(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, m_Z^{Gen} > 50 \, \text{GeV})}{N(Z/\gamma^* \to \mu\mu, 60 < m_Z^{Gen} < 120 \, \text{GeV})} \cdot R[50, \infty] \end{split}$$

► This is the same as the formula derived above