

Introduction to Machine Learning SS20			
True risk and estimated error			
True risk: $R(w) = \int P(x,y)(y - w^T x)^2 \partial x \partial y = \mathbb{E}_{x,y}[(y - w^T x)^2]$, Est. error: $\hat{R}_D(w) = \frac{1}{ D } \sum_{(x,y) \in D} (y - w^T x)^2$, Training error (empirical risk) systematically underestimates true risk , thus we need a separate test set.			
Standardization			
Centered data, unit variance: $\tilde{x}_i = \frac{x_i - \hat{\mu}}{\hat{\sigma}}$, $\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \hat{\mu})^2$, use it before regularization			
Cross-Validation			
Data should be iid. Select k : Small: overfitting to test set, little data for training, underfitting to train set, Large: Better performance (LOOCV: $k = n$), higher computational complexity.			
Gradient Descent (GD)			
Pick arbitrary $w_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, 2. $w_{t+1} = w_t - \eta_t \nabla \hat{R}(w_t)$, mild assumptions, step size sufficiently small: stationary point ($\nabla = 0$); converges linearly for constant stepsize and squared loss. <i>Convex</i> problems \rightarrow finds optimum! Compare GD vs Closed Form : Comp. Complex., Problem may not offer closed form sol.			
Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD)			
1. Pick arbitrary $w_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ 2. $w_{t+1} = w_t - \eta_t \nabla_w l(w_t; x', y')$, with u.a.r. data point $(x', y') \in D$, if data lin. seperable, finds lin. seperator! SGD $O(1)$ vs. $O(n)$ for GD. <i>Mini-batches</i> exploit parallelism, reduce variance.			
Feature Selection			
Greedy : +: Any pred. method, convex -: Slower (Train many models). // Greedy Forward : Add best elements according to loss and stop once error increases. (faster) Greedy Backward : Find best element to remove according to loss until error doesn't decrease anymore. (handles dependant features) L1 : + Faster (training and feature selection joint), - Only works with linear models.			
Regression			
Solve $w^* = \operatorname{argmin}_w \hat{R}(w) + \lambda C(w)$			
Linear Regression			
$\hat{R}(w) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - w^T x_i)^2 = \ Xw - y\ _2^2$ $\nabla_w \hat{R}(w) = -2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - w^T x_i) \cdot x_i$ $w^* = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$, $\mathbf{E}[w^*] = w$, $\mathbf{V}[w^*] = (X^T X)^{-1} \sigma^2$			
Ridge regression			
$\hat{R}(w) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - w^T x_i)^2 + \lambda \ w\ _2^2$ $\nabla_w \hat{R}(w) = -2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - w^T x_i) \cdot x_i + 2\lambda w$ $w^* = (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T y$ $\mathbf{E}[w^*] = (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} (X^T X) w$ $\mathbf{V}[w^*] = \sigma^2 (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} (X^T X) [(X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1}]^T$			
L1-regularized regression (Lasso)			
$\hat{R}(w) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - w^T x_i)^2 + \lambda \ w\ _1$, Lasso performs variable selection as coefficients go to 0 if $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$			
Classification			
Solve $w^* = \operatorname{argmin}_w l(w; x_i, y_i)$; loss function l			
0/1 loss			
$l_{0/1}(w; y_i, x_i) = 1$ if $y_i \neq \operatorname{sign}(w^T x_i)$ else 0			
Perceptron algorithm			
Use $l_P(w; y_i, x_i) = \max(0, -y_i w^T x_i)$ and SGD $\nabla_w l_P(w; y_i, x_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y_i w^T x_i \geq 0 \\ -y_i x_i & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ Convex surrogate \rightarrow if data lin. separable \Leftrightarrow obtains a lin. separator (not necessarily optimal)			
Support Vector Machine (SVM)			
Hinge loss: $l_H(w; x_i, y_i) = \max(0, 1 - y_i w^T x_i)$ $\nabla_w l_H(w; y, x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y_i w^T x_i \geq 1 \\ -y_i x_i & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ $w^* = \operatorname{argmin}_w l_H(w; x_i, y_i) + \lambda \ w\ _2^2$			
Kernels			
Choosing Kernels Domain knowledge, Brute force or heuristic search, CV Choosing Param. CV Trick Reformulate such that inner product appear, replace + Explicit control, Incorporation of prior knowledge by kernel eng. - Avoid large d but solution is in \mathbb{R} , Hard to design Proof Validity Show Symmetry and p.d., Find an explicit feature map, Derive the kernel from others Proof Inval. Disp. Symmetry or p.d. by Ex.			
Properties of kernel			
$k: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, k must be some inner product (efficientm implicit, symmetric, positive-definite, linear) for some space V . i.e. $k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \langle \varphi(\mathbf{x}), \varphi(\mathbf{x}') \rangle_V \stackrel{Eucl.}{=} \varphi(\mathbf{x})^T \varphi(\mathbf{x}')$ and $k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = k(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x})$ Kernel matrix: Positive semi-definite!			
Important kernels			
Linear: $k(x,y) = x^T y$, Poly: $k(x,y) = (x^T y + 1)^d$ Gaussian: $k(x,y) = \exp(-\ x-y\ _2^2 / (2h^2))$ Laplacian: $k(x,y) = \exp(-\ x-y\ _1 / h)$			
Composition rules			
Valid kernels k_1, k_2 , also valid kernels: $k_1 + k_2$; $k_1 \cdot k_2$; $c \cdot k_1$, $c > 0$; $f(k_1)$ if f polynomial with pos. coeffs. or exponential			
Reformulating the perceptron			
Ansatz: $w^* \in \operatorname{span}(X) \Rightarrow w = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j y_j x_j$ $\alpha^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{i=1}^n \max(0, -\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j y_i y_j x_i^T x_j)$			
Kernelized perceptron and SVM			
Use $\alpha^T k_i$ instead of $w^T x_i$, use $\alpha^T D_y K D_y \alpha$ instead of $\ w\ _2^2$ $k_i = [y_1 k(x_i, x_1), \dots, y_n k(x_i, x_n)]$, $D_y = \operatorname{diag}(y)$ Prediction : $\hat{y} = \operatorname{sign}(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i k(x_i, \hat{x}))$ SGD update: $\alpha_{t+1} = \alpha_t$, if mispredicted: $\alpha_{t+1,i} = \alpha_{t,i} + \eta_t$ (c.f. updating weights towards mispredicted point)			
Kernelized linear regression (KLR)			
Ansatz: $w^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x$ $\alpha^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\alpha} \ \alpha^T K - y\ _2^2 + \lambda \alpha^T K \alpha$ $= (K + \lambda I)^{-1} y$, Prediction: $\hat{y} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i k(x_i, \hat{x})$			
k Nearest Neighbours (kNN)			
$y = \operatorname{sign}(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i [x_i \text{ among } k\text{NN of } x])$ – No weights \rightarrow no training! Depends on all data. Can be kernelized!			
Imbalance			
Cost-Sensitive Classification			
Scale loss by cost: $l_{CS}(w; x, y) = c_{\pm} l(w; x, y)$			
Metrics			
$n = n_+ + n_-$, $n_+ = TP + FN$, $n_- = TN + FP$ Accuracy: $\frac{TP+TN}{n}$, Precision: $\frac{TP}{TP+FP}$ Recall/TPR: $\frac{TP}{n_+}$, FPR: $\frac{FP}{n_-}$, F1: $\frac{2TP}{2TP+FP+FN} = \frac{2}{\frac{1}{prec} + \frac{1}{rec}}$, ROC Curve: $y = \text{TPR}$, $x = \text{FPR}$			
Multi-class			
One-vs-all (Classif. c) but requires confidence in prediction, One-vs-one (Classif. $\frac{c \times (c-1)}{2}$), encoding			
Multi-class Hinge loss			
$l_{MC-H}(w^{(1)}, \dots, w^{(c)}; x, y) = \max(0, 1 + \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, y-1, y+1, \dots, c\}} w^{(j)T} x - w^{(y)T} x)$			
Neural networks			
Parameterize feature map with θ : $\phi(x, \theta) = \varphi(\theta^T x) = \varphi(z)$ (activation function φ) $\Rightarrow w^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{w, \theta} \sum_{i=1}^n l(y_i; \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \phi(x_i, \theta_j))$ $f(x; w, \theta_{1:d}) = \sum_{i=1}^m w_i \varphi(\theta_i^T x) = w^T \varphi(\Theta x)$ Over-			
fitting			
Early Stopping, Regularization $\lambda \ W\ _F^2$, Dropout: Randomly ignore hidden units during each SGD iter. with probability p , Change weights after training to compensate (All units present), Batch Norm. : Reduces cov. shift, larger LR possible, regularizing effect, Difference Kernels : Kernels optimize α only \Rightarrow convex, ANNs optimize w and θ .			
Activation functions			
Sigmoid: $\frac{1}{1+\exp(-z)}$, $\phi'(z) = (1 - \phi(z)) \cdot \phi(z)$ \tanh : $\tanh(z) = \frac{\exp(z) - \exp(-z)}{\exp(z) + \exp(-z)}$, ReLU: $\max(z, 0)$			
Forward Propagation			
Input layer : $\mathbf{v}^{(0)} = \mathbf{x}$; Hidden layers : $\mathbf{z}^{(\ell)} = \mathbf{W}^{(\ell)} \mathbf{v}^{(\ell-1)}$, $\mathbf{v}^{(\ell)} = \phi(\mathbf{z}^{(\ell)})$; Output layer : $f = \mathbf{W}^{(L)} \mathbf{v}^{(L-1)}$ E.g. : $E = (y - u_1 \rho(w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2) + \dots)^2$			
SGD for ANNs			
$\hat{\mathbf{W}} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{W}} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(\mathbf{W}; \mathbf{x}_i, y_i)$; $\ell(\mathbf{W}; \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \ell(\mathbf{y} - f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{W}))$; For random (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) , $\mathbf{W}_{t+1} = \mathbf{W}_t - \eta_t \nabla_{\mathbf{W}} \ell(\mathbf{W}; \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$			
Backpropagation			
Output layer : Err: $\delta^{(L)} = \mathbf{l}'(\mathbf{f}) = [l'(f_1), \dots, l'(f_p)]$ Grad: $\nabla_{\mathbf{W}^{(L)}} \ell(\mathbf{W}; \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = \delta^{(L)} \mathbf{v}^{(L-1)T}$ Hidden layers : Err: $\delta^{(\ell)} = \phi'(\mathbf{z}^{(\ell)}) \odot \mathbf{W}^{(\ell+1)T} \delta^{(\ell+1)}$ Grad: $\nabla_{\mathbf{W}^{(\ell)}} \ell(\mathbf{W}; \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = \delta^{(\ell)} \mathbf{v}^{(\ell-1)T}$			
Learning with momentum			
$a \leftarrow m \cdot a + \eta_t \nabla_w l(W; y, x)$; $W_{t+1} \leftarrow W_t - a$			
CNN			
Output dim: $L \times L \times M$, M: filters, F: size of filters, S: stride (how many positions the filters are moved), P: padding (pad inputs with 0). $L = \lfloor \frac{N-F+2P}{S} - 1 \rfloor$ Pooling : Aggregate Units to decrease width of the network (avg or max)			
Clustering			
k-mean			
$\hat{R}(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^n \min_{j \in \{1, \dots, k\}} \ x_i - \mu_j\ _2^2$, $\hat{\mu} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mu} \hat{R}(\mu)$ non-convex, NP-hard, only conv. to local opt., iter can be exponential O(nkd) Selecting k : Elbow method, increasing k leads to negligible decrease in loss, CV can't be used as test loss keeps decreasing Lloyd's Heuristic : 0. Initialize cluster centers While not converged: 1.Assign points, 2.Update centers. (converges to local optimum) K-Means++ : Start with rand. data pts. as center, add centers rand., \propto squared dist. to closest center. $O(\log k)$ cost of opt. k-Means sol. Spectral clustering = kernelized k-means.			

Dimensionality reduction
PCA (linear dim. reduction)
Linear mapping $W^T x$ that projects vectors x into a k -dim. subspace such that the reconstruction error (euclidian) is minimal. $D = x_1, \dots, x_n \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $\Sigma = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i^T$, $\mu = 0$ $(W, z_1, \dots, z_n) = \operatorname{argmin} \sum_{i=1}^n \ W z_i - x_i\ _2^2$, $W = (v_1 \dots v_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$, orthogonal; $z_i = W^T x_i$ v_i are the eigenvectors of $\Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i v_i v_i^T$. Only if $k = d$ x_i can be reconstructed from k principal components. Via SVD $\rightarrow k$ first columns of V .
Kernel PCA
Kernel PC: $\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\alpha^{(i)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} v_i$, $K = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i v_i^T$, $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_d \geq 0$ New point: $\hat{z} = f(\hat{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j^{(i)} k(\hat{x}, x_j)$
Autoencoders
Find identity function: $x \approx f(x; \theta)$, $f(x; \theta) = f_{\text{decode}}(f_{\text{encode}}(x; \theta_{\text{encode}}); \theta_{\text{decode}})$, if $\phi(z) = z \rightarrow$ equal to PCA.
Probabilistic modeling
Find $h: X \rightarrow Y$ that minimize prediction error: $R(h) = \int P(x, y) l(y; h(x)) \partial y x \partial y = \mathbb{E}_{x, y} [l(y; h(x))]$
For least squares regression
Bayes optimal predictor h : $h^*(x) = \mathbb{E}[Y X=x]$ Pred.: $\hat{y} = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y X=\hat{x}] = \int \hat{P}(y X=\hat{x}) y \partial y$
Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE)
$\theta^* = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \hat{P}(y_1, \dots, y_n x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta)$ E.g. lin. + Gauss: $y_i = w^T x_i + \varepsilon_i$, $\varepsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ i.e. $y_i \sim N(w^T x_i, \sigma^2)$, With MLE (use $\operatorname{argmin} -\log$): $w^* = \operatorname{argmin}_w \sum (y_i - w^T x_i)^2$. For Gaussian MLE equal to least squares solution.
Bias Variance trade-off
Prediction error = $\text{Bias}^2 + \text{Variance} + \text{Noise}$
Maximum a posteriori estimate (MAP)
likelihood = loss function, regularizer = prior. $\hat{\theta} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} f(\theta x) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} g(\theta) \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i \theta)$ Gauss. prior $\equiv \ w\ _2^2$, Laplace prior $\equiv \ w\ _1$ SGD: $w = w(1 - 2\lambda \eta_t) + \eta_t y x \hat{P}(Y = -y w, x)$
Logistic regression
Link func.: $\sigma(w^T x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-w^T x)}$ (Sigmoid), iid Bernoulli noise instead: $P(y x, w) = \text{Ber}(y; \sigma(w^T x))$ Classification: Use $P(y x, w)$, predict most likely class label. (Boundary shifted towards less training data points) MLE: $\operatorname{argmax}_w P(y_{1:n} w, x_{1:n}) \Rightarrow w^* =$

$\operatorname{argmin}_w \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + \exp(-y_i w^T x_i))$, Convex \rightarrow SGD: $w = w + \eta_t y x \cdot \hat{P}(Y = -y w, x)$ $\hat{P}(Y = -y w, x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(y w^T x)}$, can be regularized (L1, L2) + kernelized, apply to NN for class probabilities, multi-class setting: maintain 1 w per class, model the others.
Bayesian decision theory
Conditional distribution over labels $P(y x)$, Set of actions A , Cost function $C: Y \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ $a^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{a \in A} \mathbb{E}[C(y, a) x]$ Calculate \mathbb{E} via sum/integral. Classification: $C(y, a) = [y \neq a]$; asymmetric: $C(y, a) = \begin{cases} c_{FP}, & \text{if } y = -1, a = +1 \\ c_{FN}, & \text{if } y = +1, a = -1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ Regression: $C(y, a) = (y - a)^2$; asymmetric: $C(y, a) = c_1 \max(y - a, 0) + c_2 \max(a - y, 0)$ E.g. $y \in \{-1, +1\}$, predict $+$ if $c_+ < c_-$, $c_+ = \mathbb{E}(C(y, +1) x) = P(y = 1 x) \cdot 0 + P(y = -1 x) \cdot c_{FP}$, c_- likewise
Discriminative vs. generative modeling
Discriminative estimate $P(y x)$, generative $P(y, x)$. Generative approach uses chain rule: $P(x, y) = P(x y) \cdot P(y) = P(y x) \cdot P(x)$. Discriminative: generally more robust, but cannot detect outliers. Generative: Can be more powerful (e.g., detect outliers) if model assumptions are met.
Naive Bayes
Naive: features conditionally independent given Y , prior on labels $P(y)$, Estimate conditional distribution $P(x y)$ for each class y . Classes can be mixture of categorical and continuous features. Prediction using Bayes rule : $P(y x) = \frac{P(y)P(x y)}{P(x)} = \frac{P(y)P(x y)}{\sum_y P(y)P(x y)} = \frac{P(y)P(x y)}{\sum_y P(y)P(x y)}$ Use conjugate priors (posterior dist. same as prior) to avoid overfitting.
Deriving decision rule
$P(y x) = \frac{1}{2} P(y) P(x y)$, $Z = P(x)^{-1} = \sum_y P(y) P(x y)$ $y^* = \operatorname{amax}_y P(y x) = \operatorname{amax}_y P(y) \prod_{i=1}^d P(x_i y)$
Gaussian (Naive) Bayes Classifier
Gaussian Naive Bayes: $\hat{\mu}_y, \hat{\sigma}_y$, indep. assumption. # param. = $O(c \cdot d)$ Gaussian Bayes: corr. among features, but $O(c \cdot d^2)$, MLE for GB: $\hat{P}(x y) = N(x; \hat{\mu}_y, \hat{\Sigma}_y)$, $\hat{P}(Y = y) = \hat{p}_y = \frac{n_y}{n}$, $\hat{\mu}_y = \frac{1}{n_y} \sum_{i: y_i = y} x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\hat{\Sigma}_y = \frac{1}{n_y} \sum_{i: y_i = y} (x_i - \hat{\mu}_y)(x_i - \hat{\mu}_y)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$
Discriminant function: $f(\mathbf{x}) = \log \frac{p}{1-p} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\log \frac{ \hat{\Sigma}_- }{ \hat{\Sigma}_+ } +$

$(\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mu}_-)^T \hat{\Sigma}_-^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mu}_-) - (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mu}_+)^T \hat{\Sigma}_+^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mu}_+)$]
Fisher's linear discriminant analysis: Assuming equal class probabilities and covariances: $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + w_0$, $w_0 = \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\mu}_-^T \hat{\Sigma}_-^{-1} \hat{\mu}_- - \hat{\mu}_+^T \hat{\Sigma}_+^{-1} \hat{\mu}_+)$, $\mathbf{w} = \hat{\Sigma}_-^{-1} (\hat{\mu}_+ - \hat{\mu}_-)$ if assumptions met, Gaussian NB and LDA same pred. as <i>logistic</i> regression, LDA maximizes ratio of between-class and within-class variances. Quadratic discriminant analysis: we predict $y = \operatorname{sign}(f(\mathbf{x}))$ ($f(\mathbf{x})$ = discriminant function)
Categorical Naive Bayes Classifier
MLE for $P(y) = p = \frac{n_+}{n}$, MLE for feature distr.: $\hat{P}(X_i = c Y = y) = \theta_{c y}^{(i)} = \frac{\text{Count}(X_i = c, Y = y)}{\text{Count}(Y = y)}$, Prediction: $y^* = \operatorname{argmax}_y \hat{P}(y x)$ Could lift Naive assumption by modeling joint cond. dist., but exponential in d and prone to overfitting.
Mixture models
Model each c. as probability distr. $P(x \theta_j)$ $P(D \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k w_j P(x_i \theta_j)$ $L(w, \theta) = -\sum_{i=1}^n \log \sum_{j=1}^k w_j P(x_i \theta_j)$. (\rightarrow Fitting a GMM = Training a GBC without labels; Clustering = latent variable modeling)
Gaussian-Mixture Bayes classifiers
Estimate prior $P(y)$; Est. cond. distr. for each class: $P(x y) = \sum_{j=1}^{k_y} w_j^{(y)} N(x; \mu_j^{(y)}, \Sigma_j^{(y)})$
Hard-EM algorithm
Initialize parameters $\theta^{(0)}$, for $t = 1, 2, \dots$ E-step: Predict most likely class for each point: $z_i^{(t)} = \operatorname{argmax}_z P(z x_i, \theta^{(t-1)})$ $= \operatorname{argmax}_z P(z \theta^{(t-1)}) P(x_i z, \theta^{(t-1)})$; now we have complete data: $D^{(t)} = \{(x_1, z_1^{(t)}, \dots, x_n, z_n^{(t)})\}$ M-step: Compute the MLE: $\theta^{(t)} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} P(D^{(t)} \theta)$, i.e. $\mu_j^{(t)} = \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{i: z_i = j} x_i$ with spherical covariances same as k -means. CV can be used to determine # cluster centers. Alternating optimization on the complete data likelihood.
Soft-EM algorithm
E-step: Calc. cluster membership weights for each point: $\gamma_j^{(t)}(x_i)$ given estimates of previous iterations. M-step: Fit clusters to weighted data points: $w_j^{(t)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_j^{(t)}(x_i)$; $\mu_j^{(t)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_j^{(t)}(x_i) x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_j^{(t)}(x_i)}$ $\sigma_j^{(t)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_j^{(t)}(x_i) (x_i - \mu_j^{(t)})^T (x_i - \mu_j^{(t)})}{\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_j^{(t)}(x_i)}$

Avoid degeneracy: small term to the diagonal of the MLE. Constrained GMM: different covariance matrices \rightarrow different sizes of clusters. (Diagonal = GNB). Each iteration in M-step is equiv. to training a GBC with weighted data. \rightarrow Closed form solution
Soft-EM for semi-supervised learning
learning from unlabeled and labeled data. E-step: labeled points: $y_i: \gamma_j^{(t)}(x_i) = [j = y_i]$, unlabeled: $\gamma_j^{(t)}(x_i) = P(Z = j x_i, \mu^{(t-1)}, \Sigma^{(t-1)}, w^{(t-1)})$
Useful Math
Calculus
$F'(x) = f'(g(x))g'(x)$ $\frac{\delta x^T A x}{\delta x} = (A + A^T)x$, $\frac{\delta x^T a}{\delta x} = \frac{\delta a^T x}{\delta x} = a$, $\frac{\delta a^T X b}{\delta X} = ab^T$. $\frac{\delta a^T X^T b}{\delta X} = ba^T$, $\frac{\delta a^T X a}{\delta X} = \frac{\delta a^T X^T a}{\delta X} = aa^T$
Probabilities
$\mathbb{E}_x[X] = \int x \cdot p(x) \partial x$ (cont.), $\mathbb{E}_x[X] = \sum_x x \cdot p(x)$ $\text{Var}[X] = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mu_X)^2] = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2$ $\mathbb{E}_x[b + cX] = b + c \cdot \mathbb{E}_x[X]$ $\mathbb{E}_x[b + CX] = b + C \cdot \mathbb{E}_x[X]$, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ $\mathbb{V}_x[b + cX] = c^2 \mathbb{V}_x[X]$, $\mathbb{V}_x[b + CX] = C \mathbb{V}_x[X] C^T$, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ $\text{Cov}[X, Y] = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}(X))(Y - \mathbb{E}(Y))] = \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y]$, $\mathbb{V}[X + Y] = \mathbb{V}[X] + \mathbb{V}[Y] + 2\text{Cov}[X, Y]$
Invertible/nonsingular Matrices
$A^{m \times m}: A^{-1}A = I_d = AA^{-1}$ only if $\det(A) \neq 0$; $Ax = 0$ has only trivial solution $x = 0$.
Orthogonal Matrices
$A^{m \times m}: A^T A = I_d = AA^T \Leftrightarrow A^T = A^{-1}$
Symmetric Positive Definite Matrices
Symmetric: $A^{n \times n}: A^T = A$, symmetric positive definite if: $\forall x \setminus \{0\} \in \mathbb{R}^n: x^T A x > 0$ (semi-definite if: ≥ 0) \Leftrightarrow all eigenvalues of A are positive.
Eigendecomposition
$AP = PD \Leftrightarrow A = PDP^{-1}$ iff eigenvectors of A form a basis in \mathbb{R}^n . D diagonal matrix of eigenvalues. Eigenvectors in P . $Ap = \lambda p$
Cholesky decomposition
$A^{n \times n}: A = LL^T$, symmetric and positive definite.
Singular value decomposition
$A = U \Sigma V^T$; $A^{m \times n}$; $U^{m \times m}$, $V^{n \times n}$: U, V orthogonal and $\Sigma^{m \times n}$ diagonal with singular values $\sigma = \sqrt{\lambda(A^T A)}$ $Av = \sigma u$