Marker: Dr Cathryn Peoples

Date: February 2022 Neelam Pirbhai-Jetha

Criteria	Comments
Application of knowledge & understanding (20%)	I really like how you have used Gibb's Reflective Cycle to structure your reflection. This makes is really explicit as to how you have drawn on your feelings about the different aspects of the module, what you have learnt, what you will do differently in the future, and so on. I also like the way that your reflections on the module content take into account the entire module, thereby presenting a holistic view of the module. It is fascinating to read about your action plans beyond this module, and I look forward to hearing more about them in the future, Neelam.
	Excellent evidence of preparation for the seminars, involving Codio content.
Collaboration/indepen dent working (20%)	You have engaged with the content excellently throughout the module, Neelam, thank you and well done.
	Excellent evidence of notes kept at the end of each meeting.
	Good engagement with the collaborative discussions.
Criticality (40%)	Excellent evidence of critical thought here, Neelam, in relation to the way that you are proposing to use knowledge gained during this module in your future digital humanities endeavours.
Structure & presentation (10%)	Excellent attention to structure & presentation in your reflection document. You have very effectively selected the different angles on which you wished to reflect on, such as the overall module content, team work, academic reading, note taking, and so on.
	On the homepage of your ePortfolio, it would be a good idea to include the title of each of the modules, instead of Module 1, Module 2, and so on. While it may be obvious to you what is contained within each of these links, it is not obvious to me, and it will be even less obvious to someone external to UoEO.

	Perhaps due to the colour which your ePortoflio has been designed to use (black and white), it is not clear to me which of the text is clickable and which is not. It may be effective to structure this content differently to make it very explicit where a reader/visitor to the site should click. Some more attention could be given to the design of your ePortfolio, as I had to do quite a lot of scrolling around to find the content.
Academic integrity (10%)	Excellent use of academic referencing to support your discussion, such as the use of a reference around forum use. This is another example of excellent attention to detail in your work, Neelam.

Overall comments

Positives:

- Your application of Gibb's Reflection Guide to this piece of work is simply excellent, Neelam I have really enjoyed reading your reflection from this angle. Well done.
- The hard work and effort that you have made with this module is evident through your ePortfolio. Impressive summary notes have been recorded for the various units of reading, and you have engaged excellently with the practical formative activity.

Points for development:

• Every time I returned from unit reading to the main page in your ePortfolio, I was returned to the top of the page and I had to scroll down the page to continue reading the content. Also, when I clicked on the page where your content is, I was returned to the homepage, and have to select 'Module 3' again to return to where I was previously. There is an opportunity to return the reader to the place that they were last reading, to make their visit to your website accessible and usable.

Overall Grade: